# Brouillon 4

#### Léo Burgund

May 23, 2025

#### 1 Nomenclature

#### 1.1 Dimensions

- b Mini-batch size
- $d_e$  Embedding dimension
- $d_s$  Sequence length
- $d_k$  Query/Keys dimension
- $d_v$  Value dimension
- h Number of heads

We make the hypothesis that  $d_k < d_e < d_s$ .

# 1.2 Matrix operations in a self-attention block

In the case of multi head attention, for each head i = 1, ..., h, we have:

- Input  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times d_e}$
- $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & W_{Q_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e \times \frac{d_k}{h}}, Q_i \coloneqq XW_{Q_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times \frac{d_k}{h}} \\ \bullet & W_{K_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e \times \frac{d_k}{h}}, K_i \coloneqq XW_{K_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times \frac{d_k}{h}} \\ \bullet & S_i \coloneqq \frac{Q_i K_i^\top}{\sqrt{\frac{d_k}{h}}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times d_s} \end{array}$

- $$\begin{split} \bullet & \quad A_i \coloneqq \operatorname{softmax_{row}}(S) \\ \bullet & \quad W_{V_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e \times \frac{d_v}{h}}, V_i \coloneqq XW_{V_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times \frac{d_v}{h}} \\ \bullet & \quad H_i \coloneqq A_i V_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times \frac{d_v}{h}}, \ H = [H_1, ..., H_h] \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times d_v} \end{split}$$
- $W_O \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v \times d_e}$
- Output  $Y := HW_O + X \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times d_e}$

For now, we study the case h = 1.

 $\oint$  We omit the  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_k}}$  scaling for the S matrix, it can cause problem with growing, so for growing we will make it a learnable parameter (and initialize it at  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{k_{\text{initial}}}}}$ ?). Or maybe scale with  $\left(\frac{\sqrt{d_k}}{\sqrt{d_k+p}}\right)$ ?

# 2 Problem

Goal:

$$\min_{f} \mathcal{L}(f).$$

We will study the variations of the loss made by the variations of S, with other parameters fixed. Hence we will study

$$\arg\min_{S}\mathcal{L}(S)$$

1

Let  $G := \nabla_S \mathcal{L}(S)$ .

We have

$$\operatorname{rk}(G) \le d_s, \quad \operatorname{rk}(S) \le d_k$$

We have the first order approximation, with the introduction of  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ , similar to a step size:

$$\mathcal{L}(S + \gamma \, \mathrm{d}S) = \mathcal{L}(S) + \gamma \langle G, \mathrm{d}S \rangle_F + o(\|(\mathrm{d}S)\|_F)$$

We consider the problem

$$\arg\min_{\mathrm{d}S}\,\langle G,\mathrm{d}S\rangle_F\ \mathrm{s.t.}\,\|\mathrm{d}S\|_F\leq\gamma\wedge\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{d}S)\leq d_k<\mathrm{rk}(G)$$

Let  $Z = W_Q W_K^{\top}$ , with  $\operatorname{rk}(Z) \leq d_k$ , we then have

$$S = XW_QW_K^\top X^\top$$
$$= XZX^\top$$

and

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}S &= X \Big( W_{Q_{+1}} W_{K_{+1}} \Big) X^\top - X W_Q W_K^\top X^\top \\ &= X (Z + \mathrm{d}Z) X^\top - X Z X^\top \\ &= X \, \mathrm{d}Z X^\top \end{split}$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{rk}(\mathrm{d}S) = \operatorname{rk}\big(X\,\mathrm{d}ZX^\top\big) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathrm{d}Z) \leq d_k < d_e < d_s$$

Hence, the problem becomes

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d} Z^\star &= \arg\min_{\mathrm{d} Z} \left\langle G, X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\rangle_F \; \mathrm{s.t.} \; \left\| X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\|_F \leq \gamma \\ &= -\arg\max_{\mathrm{d} Z} \left\langle G, X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\rangle_F \; \mathrm{s.t.} \; \left\| X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\|_F \leq \gamma \\ &= -\arg\max_{\mathrm{d} Z} \left\langle G, X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\rangle_F \; \mathrm{s.t.} \; \left\| X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\|_F = \gamma \\ &= -\gamma \arg\max_{\mathrm{d} Z} \left\langle G, X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\rangle_F \; \mathrm{s.t.} \; \left\| X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\|_F = 1 \\ &= -\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \arg\min_{\mathrm{d} Z} \left\| G - X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\|_F^2 \end{split}$$

(\*) We make the hypothesis that we can always find a  $\langle G, X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \rangle_F > 0$ .

Justification for  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = \|.\|_F$ 

Let  $\lambda \coloneqq \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$ ,  $P \coloneqq \arg\min_{\mathrm{d}Z} \left\| G - X \, \mathrm{d}Z X^\top \right\|_F^2$ .

We will first search P, then  $\lambda$  with a line search.

# **2.1** Find *P*

Let

$$f(P) = \left\|G - XPX^\top\right\|_F^2$$

f is convex.

We have

$$\nabla_P f = -2X^\top (G - XPX^\top)X$$

SO

$$\nabla_P f = 0 \Longleftrightarrow X^\top X P X^\top X = X^\top G X$$

 $\bullet$  Better way to find P by considering  $X^{\top}(XPX^{\top}-G)X=0$ ?

Under the hypothesis that  $X^{\top}X$  is invertible  $(\operatorname{rk}(X) = d_e, d_e < d_s$ ), we have the solution

$$P^* = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top G X (X^\top X)^{-1}$$
$$= (X^\top X)^+ X^\top G X (X^\top X)^+$$
$$= X^+ G (X^+)^\top$$

To account for the batch, there are several ways to average:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{X} \Big[ \big( X^{\top} X \big)^{+} X^{\top} G X \big( X^{\top} X \big)^{+} \Big] &= \mathbb{E}_{X} \Big[ X^{+} G \big( X^{+} \big)^{\top} \Big] \\ \mathbb{E}_{X} \big[ X^{\top} X \big]^{+} \mathbb{E}_{X} \big[ X^{\top} G X \big] \mathbb{E}_{X} \big[ X^{\top} X \big]^{+} \\ \mathbb{E}_{X} \big[ X \big]^{+} \mathbb{E}_{X} \big[ G \big] \big( \mathbb{E}_{X} \big[ X \big]^{+} \big)^{\top} \\ \mathbb{E}_{X} \big[ X^{\top} X \big]^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{X} \big[ X^{\top} G X \big] \mathbb{E}_{X} \big[ X^{\top} X \big]^{-1} \end{split}$$

Which batch average?

#### 2.2 Line search

Do a line search to find  $\lambda$ 

#### 2.2.1 "Normal" way

Line search on

$$\mathcal{L} \Big( \boldsymbol{X} \; \mathrm{SVD}_{d_k + p} \Big( W_Q^t W_K^{t^\top} - \lambda P \Big) \boldsymbol{X}^\top \Big)$$

# 2.2.2 Testing fast way

Lose the rank constraint, but lose the SVD so faster. Get a good approximation of  $\lambda$  ?

$$\mathcal{L} \big( X \big( W_Q^t W_K^{t^\top} - \lambda P \big) X^\top \big) = \mathcal{L} \big( X W_Q^t W_K^{t^\top} X^\top - \lambda X P X^\top \big)$$