

INTERNSHIP REPORT, ATTENTION GROWING NETWORKS

LÉO BURGUND

1. NOMENCLATURE

1.1. Dimensions.

- b Batch
- d_e Embedding dimension
- d_s Sequence length
- d_k Query/Keys dimension
- d_v Value dimension
- h Number of heads

1.2. Matrix.

In the case of multi-head attention, for each head $i = 1, \dots, h$, we have:

- Input $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times d_e}$
- $W_{Q_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e \times \frac{d_k}{h}}, Q_i := XW_{Q_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times \frac{d_k}{h}}$
- $W_{K_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e \times \frac{d_k}{h}}, K_i := XW_{K_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times \frac{d_k}{h}}$
- $S_i := \frac{Q_i K_i^\top}{\sqrt{\frac{d_k}{h}}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times d_s}$
- $A_i := \text{softmax}_{\text{row}}(S)$
- $W_{V_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e \times \frac{d_v}{h}}, V_i := XW_{V_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times \frac{d_v}{h}}$
- $H_i := A_i V_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times \frac{d_v}{h}}, H = [H_1, \dots, H_h] \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times d_v}$
- $W_O \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v \times d_e}$
- Output $Y := HW_O + X \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times d_e}$

Remark 1.2.1: The number of parameters to learn

$$\left(\underbrace{2 \left(d_e \frac{d_k}{h} \right)}_{W_{Q_i}, W_{K_i}} + \underbrace{d_e \frac{d_v}{h}}_{W_{V_i}} \right) h + \underbrace{d_v d_e}_{W_O}$$

is the same for any $h \in \mathbb{N}_+^*$.

Remark 1.2.2: We can easily consider the bias by augmenting the matrices:

$$X' = [X \mid \mathbf{1}] \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times (d_e + 1)}$$

$$H' = [H \mid \mathbf{1}] \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times (d_v + 1)}$$

And adding a row of parameters to $W_{Q_i}, W_{K_i}, W_{V_i}, W_O$. For example:

$$W'_{Q_i} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{Q_i} \\ (bQ)^\top \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(d_e + 1) \times \frac{d_k}{h}}.$$

2. PROBLEM

We study the case where $h = 1$.

We are interested in growing the d_k dimension. We consider the first order approximation, using the functional gradient,

$$\mathcal{L}(f + \partial f(d\theta, d\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{L}(f) + \langle \nabla_f \mathcal{L}(f), \partial f(\partial\theta, \partial\mathcal{A}) \rangle + o(\|\partial f(\partial\theta, \partial\mathcal{A})\|).$$

To avoid the softmax's non linearity, we will consider the gradient with respect to the matrix S , just before the softmax.

We then have

$$\mathcal{L}(S + \partial S) = \mathcal{L}(S) + \langle \nabla_S \mathcal{L}(S), \partial S \rangle + o(\|\partial S\|)$$

with

$$\partial S = X(W_Q + \partial W_Q)(W_K + \partial W_K)^\top X^\top - XW_QW_K^\top X^\top.$$

We have the following optimization problem:

$$\arg \min_{\partial S} \langle \nabla_S \mathcal{L}(S), \partial S \rangle, \text{ such that } \|\partial S\| \leq \gamma$$

$$\arg \min_{\partial W_Q, \partial W_K} \|B - X(W_Q + \partial W_Q)(W_K + \partial W_K)^\top X^\top\|_F^2$$

$$\text{with } B := \nabla_S \mathcal{L}(S) + XW_QW_K^\top X^\top$$

Which is a low rank regression limited by d_k (if $d_k < d_e$). B is known.

We can approximate $\underbrace{X(W_Q + \partial W_Q)}_{d_e \times d_k} \underbrace{(W_K + \partial W_K)^\top X^\top}_{d_k \times d_e}$ with a truncated SVD, taking

the first d_k singular values.

If we want to grow the inner dimension of the attention matrix by p neurons, we can instead approximate by taking the first $d_{k'} := d_k + p$ singular values.

Hence, instead of approximating a matrix $\underbrace{(W_Q + \partial W_Q)}_{d_e \times d_k} \underbrace{(W_K + \partial W_K)^\top}_{d_k \times d_e}$, we approximate

$$\underbrace{Z}_{d_e \times d_e} = \underbrace{\tilde{W}_Q}_{d_e \times (d_{k'})(d_{k'}) \times d_e} \underbrace{\tilde{W}_K^\top}_{(d_{k'}) \times d_e} = \left[W_Q + \partial W_Q \mid \underbrace{\tilde{W}_Q}_{d_e \times p} \right] \left[W_K + \partial W_K \mid \underbrace{\tilde{W}_K}_{d_e \times p} \right]^\top$$

with $\text{rank}(Z) \leq d_{k'}$ (we make the hypothesis that $d_{k'} < d_e$).

We then have the optimization problem

$$\arg \min_Z \|B - XZX^\top\|_F^2 \text{ subject to } \text{rank}(Z) \leq d_{k'}.$$

Which is a low rank regression problem, limited by $d_{k'}$.

Let f such that

$$f(Z) = \|B - XZX^\top\|_F^2,$$

f is convex.

We have

$$\nabla_Z f = -2X^\top(B - XZX^\top)X,$$

so

$$\nabla_Z f = 0 \Leftrightarrow X^\top XZX^\top X = X^\top BX.$$

In the case where $d_e \leq d_s$ and $\text{rank}(X) = d_e$, then $X^\top X$ is non-singular, and we have the solution

$$Z^* = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top BX (X^\top X)^{-1}.$$

In the general case,

$$Z^* = X^+ B (X^+)^{\top},$$

with $X^+ = (X^{\top} X)^{-1} X^{\top}$ the Moore-Penrose inverse.

If we had $d_{k'} \geq d_e$, we could use the trivial factorization $\mathring{W}_Q = Z^*, \mathring{W}_K = I_{d_e}$.

As most of the time $d_{k'} < d_e$, we have to approximate the factorization.

According to the Eckart–Young–Mirsky theorem, the best approximation Z_k^* of $X^+ B (X^+)^{\top}$ with $\text{rank}(Z_k^*) = d_{k'}$ is obtained with a truncated SVD.

Indeed, we have

$$Z^* = U \Sigma V^{\top}, \quad \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_{d_e}).$$

We keep the $d_{k'}$ largest singular values

$$U_k = [u_1, \dots, u_{d_{k'}}], \quad V_k = [v_1, \dots, v_{d_{k'}}], \quad \Sigma_k = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{d_{k'}}).$$

We get

$$Z_k^* = U_k \Sigma_k V_k^{\top}, \quad \text{rank}(Z_k^*)$$

$$\mathring{W}_Q^* = U_k \Sigma_k^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mathring{W}_K^* = V_k \Sigma_k^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Remark 2.1:

$$\min_{\mathring{W}_Q, \mathring{W}_K} \|B - X \mathring{W}_Q \mathring{W}_K^{\top} X^{\top}\|_F^2 = \sum_{i > d_{k'}} \sigma_i^2, \quad \text{subject to } \text{rank}(\mathring{W}_Q \mathring{W}_K^{\top}) \leq d_{k'}$$

REFERENCES

Email address: leo.burgund@gmail.com