Brouillon 2

Léo Burgund

May 15, 2025

1 Problem

1.1 heading 2

1.1.1 heading 3

1.1.1.1 heading 4

Goal:

$$\min_{f} \mathcal{L}(f)$$
.

We will study the variations of the loss made by the variations of S, with other parameters fixed. Hence we will study

$$\arg\min_{S}\mathcal{L}(S)$$

with

$$S = X W_Q W_K^\top X^\top$$

First order approximation:

$$\mathcal{L}(S + dS) = \mathcal{L}(S) + \langle G, dS \rangle + o(\|(dS)\|)$$

with $G = \nabla_S \mathcal{L}(S)$, and

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}S &= X \big(W_Q + \mathrm{d}W_Q \big) (W_K + \mathrm{d}W_K)^\top X^\top - X W_Q W_K^\top X^\top \\ &= X W_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top X^\top + X \, \mathrm{d}W_Q W_K^\top X^\top + X \, \mathrm{d}W_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top X^\top \\ &= X \big(W_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top + \mathrm{d}W_Q W_K^\top \big) X^\top + o \big(\big\| \mathrm{d}W_Q \big\| \cdot \| \mathrm{d}W_K \big\| \big) \end{split} \tag{1.1}$$

We define

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{linear}} &\coloneqq X \big(W_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top + \mathrm{d}W_Q W_K^\top \big) X^\top \\ \mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{full}} &\coloneqq X W_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top X^\top + X \, \mathrm{d}W_Q W_K^\top X^\top + X \, \mathrm{d}W_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top X^\top \end{split}$$

1.2 Study of dS

1.2.1 Searching for bounds

We can find an upper bound for the quadratic term, we have, according to Lemma 1.2:

$$\left\| \mathrm{d} S_{\mathrm{quad}} \right\|_F \coloneqq \left\| X \, \mathrm{d} W_Q \, \mathrm{d} W_K^\top X^\top \right\|_F \leq \| X \|_2 \left\| \mathrm{d} W_Q \, \mathrm{d} W_K^\top \right\|_F$$

we have

$$\left\|\mathrm{d}W_{Q}\,\mathrm{d}W_{K}^{\intercal}\right\|_{F} \leq \left\|\mathrm{d}W_{Q}\right\|_{F}\left\|\mathrm{d}W_{K}^{\intercal}\right\|_{2} = \left\|\mathrm{d}W_{Q}\right\|_{F}\left\|\mathrm{d}W_{K}\right\|_{2} \leq \left\|\mathrm{d}W_{Q}\right\|_{F}\left\|\mathrm{d}W_{K}\right\|_{F}$$

hence,

$$\left\|\mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{quad}}\right\|_F \leq \|X\|_2 \left\|\mathrm{d}W_Q\right\|_F \left\|\mathrm{d}W_K\right\|_F$$

We also have an upper bound for the linear term, (buseless?)

$$\begin{split} \left\| \mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{linear}} \right\|_F &:= \left\| X \big(W_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top + \mathrm{d}W_Q W_K^\top \big) X^\top \right\|_F \leq \left\| X W_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top X^\top \right\|_F + \left\| X \, \mathrm{d}W_Q W_K^\top X^\top \right\|_F \\ &\leq \left\| X \right\|_2 \left\| W_Q \right\|_F \left\| \mathrm{d}W_K \right\|_F + \left\| X \right\|_2 \left\| \mathrm{d}W_Q \right\|_F \left\| W_K \right\|_F \\ &\leq \left\| X \right\|_2 \left(\left\| W_Q \right\|_F \left\| \mathrm{d}W_K \right\|_F + \left\| \mathrm{d}W_Q \right\|_F \left\| W_K \right\|_F \right) \end{split}$$

And a lower bound,

$$\|\mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{linear}}\| \geq \left| \left\| XW_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top X^\top \right\|_F - \left\| X \, \mathrm{d}W_Q W_K^\top X^\top \right\|_F \right|$$

Hence

$$\frac{\left\| \mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{quad}} \right\|}{\left\| \mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{linear}} \right\|} \leq \frac{\left\| X \right\|_2 \left\| \mathrm{d}W_Q \right\|_F \left\| \mathrm{d}W_K \right\|_F}{\left| \left(\left\| X W_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top X^\top \right\|_F - \left\| X \, \mathrm{d}W_Q W_K^\top X^\top \right\|_F \right) \right|}$$

1.2.2 Direct form

We also have the direct form

$$\frac{\left\| \mathrm{d} S_{\mathrm{quad}} \right\|}{\left\| \mathrm{d} S_{\mathrm{linear}} \right\|} = \frac{\left\| X \, \mathrm{d} W_Q \, \mathrm{d} W_K^\top X^\top \right\|_F}{\left\| X \left(W_Q \, \mathrm{d} W_K^\top + \mathrm{d} W_Q W_K^\top \right) X^\top \right\|_F}$$

We can consider two different approaches, either picking dS_{full} or dS_{linear} .

1.3 Problem continuation

We will attempt to resolve the following problem:

$$\arg\min_{dS}\langle G, dS \rangle$$
 s.t. $\|dS\| \le \gamma$

with $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

 γ is similar to the learning rate, and constrains dS to respect the first order approximation.

The solution dS has a norm $\|dS\| = \gamma$ when there exists a dS such that $\langle G, dS \rangle \leq 0$.

We make the hypothesis that we can always find such a dS.

We then have the following problem:

$$\arg\min_{dS} \langle G, dS \rangle \quad \text{s.t. } ||dS|| = \gamma$$

$$\left(\iff \gamma \cdot \arg\min_{dS} \langle G, dS \rangle \text{ s.t. } ||dS|| = 1 \right)$$

$$(1.2)$$

1.4 Linear approach, $dS = X(W_Q dW_K^\top + dW_Q W_K^\top)X^\top$ We have

$$\begin{split} \langle G, \mathrm{d} S \rangle &= \left\langle G, X \big(W_Q \, \mathrm{d} W_K^\top + \mathrm{d} W_Q W_K^\top \big) X^\top \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle X^\top G X, W_Q \, \mathrm{d} W_K^\top + \mathrm{d} W_Q W_K^\top \right\rangle \quad \text{, let } T = X^\top G X \\ &= \left\langle T, W_Q \, \mathrm{d} W_K^\top \right\rangle + \left\langle T, \mathrm{d} W_Q W_K^\top \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \mathrm{d} W_Q, T W_K \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathrm{d} W_K, T^\top W_Q \right\rangle \end{split}$$

Linear in dW_Q , dW_K .

The problem now is

$$\arg\min_{\mathrm{d}W_Q,\,\mathrm{d}W_K} \left\langle \mathrm{d}W_Q, TW_K \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathrm{d}W_K, T^\top W_Q \right\rangle \quad \text{s.t.} \ \left\| X \big(W_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top + \mathrm{d}W_Q W_K^\top \big) X^\top \right\| = \gamma$$

Hence the "raw directions" of steepest descent to minimize the scalar products are

$$\Delta W_Q^{(0)} = -TW_K$$

$$\Delta W_K^{(0)} = -T^\top W_O$$

We define the linear operator

$$\mathcal{A}\Big(\Delta W_Q^{(0)}, \Delta W_K^{(0)}\Big) \coloneqq X \big(W_Q \Delta W_K^\top + \Delta W_Q W_K^\top\big) X^\top$$

and

$$\mathrm{d}S^{(0)} \coloneqq \mathcal{A}\Big(\Delta W_Q^{(0)}, \Delta W_K^{(0)}\Big), \ \rho \coloneqq \left\|\mathrm{d}S^{(0)}\right\|_F$$

We make the hypothesis that $\rho \neq 0$, as we just have to skip the update if it is 0. We define

$$\alpha := \frac{\gamma}{\rho}$$

and

$$\Delta W_O \coloneqq \alpha \Delta W_O^{(0)}, \ \Delta W_K^{(0)} \coloneqq \alpha \Delta W_K^{(0)}$$

We then have

$$\|\mathcal{A}(\Delta W_O, \Delta W_K)\|_F = \alpha \rho = \gamma$$

so the pair ΔW_Q , ΔW_K have the best minimizing direction for the problem (1.2), while respecting the norm constraint.

We the have the closed form expressions

$$\begin{split} \rho &= X \Big(W_Q \big(- T^\top W_Q \big)^\top - T W_K W_K^\top \Big) X^\top \\ &= - X \Big(W_Q W_Q^\top T + T W_K W_K^\top \Big) X^\top \\ \Delta W_Q^\star &= - \frac{\gamma}{\rho} T W_K \\ \Delta W_K^\star &= - \frac{\gamma}{\rho} T^\top W_Q \end{split}$$

 $\textbf{1.5 Quadratic approach, } \, \mathrm{d}S = X \big(W_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top + \mathrm{d}W_Q W_K^\top + \mathrm{d}W_Q \, \mathrm{d}W_K^\top \big) X^\top$

Appendix A

Lemma 1.1. Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\sigma_1 \geq ... \geq \sigma_{\min(m,n)}$ its singular in decreasing order, and $M = U \Sigma V^{\top}$ its SVD decomposition.

$$\begin{split} \|M\|_F^2 &= \operatorname{tr}(MM^\top) = \operatorname{tr}(U\Sigma V^\top V \Sigma^\top U^\top) = \operatorname{tr}(U\Sigma \Sigma^\top U^\top) = \operatorname{tr}(U^\top U \Sigma \Sigma^\top) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma \Sigma^\top) = \|\Sigma\|_F^2 = \sum_i^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i^2 \\ &\geq \sigma_1 = \|M\|_2^2 \end{split}$$

Hence $||M||_F \ge ||M||_2$.

Lemma 1.2. We know (bound on the Rayleigh quotient) that for any symmetric positive semidefinite matrix M and any vector x,

$$x^{\top} M x \le \lambda_{\max}(M) \|x\|^2.$$

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, $(a_1, ..., a_m)$ the row vectors of A.

Then

$$\begin{split} \|AB\|_F^2 &= \operatorname{tr}(A(BB^\top)A^\top) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m a_i (BB^\top) a_t^\top \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_{\max}(BB^\top) \|a_i\|^2 = \lambda_{\max}(BB^\top) \operatorname{tr}(AA^\top) = \|B\|_2^2 \|A\|_F^2 \end{split}$$

Hence $||AB||_F \le ||B||_2 ||A||_F$.

The same reasoning can be applied to prove $||AB||_F \le ||A||_2 ||B||_F$.

Moreover, let $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times o}$, we have

$$\|ABC\|_F = \|(AB)C\|_F \leq \|AB\|_F \|C\|_2 \leq \|A\|_2 \|B\|_F \|C\|_2.$$