Brouillon 4

Léo Burgund

May 23, 2025

1 Nomenclature

1.1 Dimensions

- b Mini-batch size
- d_e Embedding dimension
- d_s Sequence length
- d_k Query/Keys dimension
- d_v Value dimension
- h Number of heads

We make the hypothesis that $d_k < d_e < d_s$.

1.2 Matrix operations in a self-attention block

In the case of multi head attention, for each head i = 1, ..., h, we have:

- Input $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times d_e}$
- $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & W_{Q_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e \times \frac{d_k}{h}}, Q_i \coloneqq XW_{Q_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times \frac{d_k}{h}} \\ \bullet & W_{K_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e \times \frac{d_k}{h}}, K_i \coloneqq XW_{K_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times \frac{d_k}{h}} \\ \bullet & S_i \coloneqq \frac{Q_i K_i^\top}{\sqrt{\frac{d_k}{h}}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times d_s} \end{array}$

- $$\begin{split} \bullet & \quad A_i \coloneqq \operatorname{softmax_{row}}(S) \\ \bullet & \quad W_{V_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e \times \frac{d_v}{h}}, V_i \coloneqq XW_{V_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times \frac{d_v}{h}} \\ \bullet & \quad H_i \coloneqq A_i V_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times \frac{d_v}{h}}, \ H = [H_1, ..., H_h] \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times d_v} \end{split}$$
- $W_O \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v \times d_e}$
- Output $Y := HW_O + X \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times d_e}$

For now, we study the case h = 1.

 \oint We omit the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_k}}$ scaling for the S matrix, it can cause problem with growing, so for growing we will make it a learnable parameter (and initialize it at $\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{k_{\text{initial}}}}}$?). Or maybe scale with $\left(\frac{\sqrt{d_k}}{\sqrt{d_k+p}}\right)$?

2 Problem

Goal:

$$\min_{f} \mathcal{L}(f).$$

We will study the variations of the loss made by the variations of S, with other parameters fixed. Hence we will study

$$\arg\min_{S}\mathcal{L}(S)$$

1

Let $G := \nabla_S \mathcal{L}(S)$.

We have

$$\operatorname{rk}(G) \le d_s, \quad \operatorname{rk}(S) \le d_k$$

We have the first order approximation, with the introduction of $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, similar to a step size:

$$\mathcal{L}(S + \gamma \, \mathrm{d}S) = \mathcal{L}(S) + \gamma \langle G, \mathrm{d}S \rangle_F + o(\|(\mathrm{d}S)\|_F)$$

We consider the problem

$$\arg\min_{\mathrm{d}S}\,\langle G,\mathrm{d}S\rangle_F\ \mathrm{s.t.}\,\|\mathrm{d}S\|_F\leq\gamma\wedge\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{d}S)\leq d_k<\mathrm{rk}(G)$$

Let $Z = W_Q W_K^{\top}$, with $\operatorname{rk}(Z) \leq d_k$, we then have

$$S = XW_QW_K^\top X^\top$$
$$= XZX^\top$$

and

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}S &= X \Big(W_{Q_{+1}} W_{K_{+1}} \Big) X^\top - X W_Q W_K^\top X^\top \\ &= X (Z + \mathrm{d}Z) X^\top - X Z X^\top \\ &= X \, \mathrm{d}Z X^\top \end{split}$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{rk}(\mathrm{d}S) = \operatorname{rk}\big(X\,\mathrm{d}ZX^\top\big) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathrm{d}Z) \leq d_k < d_e < d_s$$

Hence, the problem becomes

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d} Z^\star &= \arg\min_{\mathrm{d} Z} \left\langle G, X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\rangle_F \; \mathrm{s.t.} \; \left\| X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\|_F \leq \gamma \\ &= -\arg\max_{\mathrm{d} Z} \left\langle G, X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\rangle_F \; \mathrm{s.t.} \; \left\| X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\|_F \leq \gamma \\ &= -\arg\max_{\mathrm{d} Z} \left\langle G, X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\rangle_F \; \mathrm{s.t.} \; \left\| X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\|_F = \gamma \\ &= -\gamma \arg\max_{\mathrm{d} Z} \left\langle G, X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\rangle_F \; \mathrm{s.t.} \; \left\| X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\|_F = 1 \\ &= -\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \arg\min_{\mathrm{d} Z} \left\| G - X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \right\|_F^2 \end{split}$$

(*) We make the hypothesis that we can always find a $\langle G, X \, \mathrm{d} Z X^\top \rangle_F > 0$.

Justification for α , $\alpha = \|.\|_F$

Let $\lambda \coloneqq \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$, $P \coloneqq \arg\min_{\mathrm{d}Z} \left\| G - X \, \mathrm{d}Z X^\top \right\|_F^2$.

We will first search P, then λ with a line search.

2.1 Find *P*

Let

$$f(P) = \left\|G - XPX^\top\right\|_F^2$$

f is convex.

We have

$$\nabla_P f = -2X^\top (G - XPX^\top)X$$

SO

$$\nabla_P f = 0 \Longleftrightarrow X^\top X P X^\top X = X^\top G X$$

 \bullet Better way to find P by considering $X^{\top}(XPX^{\top}-G)X=0$?

Under the hypothesis that $X^{\top}X$ is invertible $(\operatorname{rk}(X) = d_e, d_e < d_s$), we have the solution

$$P^* = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top G X (X^\top X)^{-1}$$
$$= (X^\top X)^+ X^\top G X (X^\top X)^+$$
$$= X^+ G (X^+)^\top$$

! Which formula to implement?

To account for the batch, there are several ways to average:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[\left(X^{\top} X \right)^{+} X^{\top} G X (X^{\top} X)^{+} \right] &= \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[X^{+} G (X^{+})^{\top} \right] \\ \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[X^{\top} X \right]^{+} \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[X^{\top} G X \right] \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[X^{\top} X \right]^{+} \\ \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[X \right]^{+} \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[G \right] \left(\mathbb{E}_{X} \left[X \right]^{+} \right)^{\top} \end{split}$$

2.2 Line search

Do a line search to find λ

2.2.1 "Normal" way

Line search on

$$\mathcal{L} \big(\boldsymbol{X} \; \mathrm{SVD}_{d_k + p} \big(W_Q^t W_K^{t^\top} - \lambda P \big) \boldsymbol{X}^\top \big)$$

2.2.2 Testing fast way

Lose the rank constraint, but lose the SVD so faster. Get a good approximation of λ ?

$$\mathcal{L}\big(X\big(W_Q^tW_K^{t^\top} - \lambda P\big)X^\top\big)$$