HW10

Question 14.1 The breast cancer data set breast-cancer-wisconsin.data.txt from http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/breast-cancer-wisconsin/ (description at http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Breast+Cancer+Wisconsin+%28Original%29) has missing values. 1. Use the mean/mode imputation method to impute values for the missing data. 2. Use regression to impute values for the missing data. 3. Use regression with perturbation to impute values for the missing data. 4. (Optional) Compare the results and quality of classification models (e.g., SVM, KNN) build using (1) the data sets from questions 1,2,3; (2) the data that remains after data points with missing values are removed; and (3) the data set when a binary variable is introduced to indicate missing values.

```
#Input the data
data<-read.csv('breast-cancer-wisconsin.data.txt',header=F,stringsAsFactors = F)</pre>
head(data)
##
          V1 V2 V3 V4 V5 V6 V7 V8 V9 V10 V11
## 1 1000025 5
                          2
                             1
                                3
## 2 1002945 5 4
                   4
                       5
                          7 10
                                3
                                   2
                                            2
                                            2
## 3 1015425 3 1 1
## 4 1016277 6 8 8
                                        1
                                            2
## 5 1017023 4
                                            2
## 6 1017122 8 10 10
                         7 10
#Find the missing data
miss<-which(data$V7== '?')</pre>
miss
   [1] 24 41 140 146 159 165 236 250 276 293 295 298 316 322 412 618
impute_me<-data[-miss,]</pre>
```

Mean method

```
mean<-mean(as.numeric(impute_me$V7))
mean

## [1] 3.544656

mean_mod<-round(mean)
mean_mod</pre>
```

[1] 4

```
From above, mean method indicates that missing value should be 4.
##Mode method
mode<-which.max(tabulate(as.numeric(impute_me$V7)))</pre>
mode
## [1] 1
With mode method, it ends up with 1 as the imputation value for Colume 7.
##Use regression to impute
impute_mod<-impute_me[,-1] # Exclude the Column ID</pre>
impute_mod2<-impute_mod[,-10] # Exclude V11, which is our response for further analysis
impute_mod2<-cbind(impute_mod$V7,impute_mod[,1:5],impute_mod[,7:9])
colnames(impute_mod2)[1]<- 'V7'</pre>
impute_mod2$V7<-as.integer(impute_mod2$V7)</pre>
Since Column 1 denotes ID number, it should be deleted. Also, in our analysis, it's better to exclude categorical
response (Column 11). Including it in the imputation analysis will cause overfitting.
#Use stepwise method to select the most related variables.
library(caret)
## Loading required package: lattice
## Loading required package: ggplot2
ctrl<-trainControl(method='repeatedcv',number=5,repeats=5)</pre>
impute_mod<-data.frame(impute_mod)</pre>
lm_step<-train(V7~.,data=impute_mod2,"lmStepAIC",scope=list(lower=V7~1, upper=V7~.),direction='backward
Step: AIC=933.64 .outcome \sim V2 + V4 + V5 + V6 + V8 + V9 + V10
   Df Sum of Sq
                    RSS
                             AIC
2617.7 933.64 - V6 1 13.13 2630.8 935.06 - V10 1 17.66 2635.3 936.23 - V9 1 26.73 2644.4 938.58 - V2 1
171.36\ 2789.0\ 974.95\ -\ V4\ 1\ 248.24\ 2865.9\ 993.52\ -\ V8\ 1\ 263.20\ 2880.9\ 997.08\ -\ V5\ 1\ 348.67\ 2966.3\ 1017.05
# Execute the linear regression with only the optimal factors devrived from stepwise method.
impute_reg<-lm(V7~ V2 + V4 + V5 + V6 + V8 + V9 + V10, data=impute_mod2)
summary(impute_reg)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = V7 ~ V2 + V4 + V5 + V6 + V8 + V9 + V10, data = impute mod2)
```

Max

##

##

Residuals:

Min

1Q Median

3Q

```
## -9.6884 -0.9129 -0.2961 0.7161 8.7039
##
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -0.576177
                        0.189599 -3.039 0.00247 **
## V2
              0.226760 0.041510 5.463 6.60e-08 ***
## V4
              0.299210
                        0.057053 5.244 2.10e-07 ***
                        0.045451 7.347 5.88e-13 ***
## V5
              0.333912
## V6
              0.076768
                        0.060640 1.266 0.20596
## V8
              0.310819
                        0.058017 5.357 1.16e-07 ***
## V9
              -0.077772 0.059253 -1.313 0.18979
## V10
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 2.274 on 675 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.6145, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6105
## F-statistic: 153.7 on 7 and 675 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Linear regression output shows that V6, V9, V10 is not strongly related with the response(V7).

We exclude them.

```
impute_reg2<-lm(V7~ V2 + V4 + V5 + V8, data=impute_mod2)
summary(impute_reg2)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = V7 ~ V2 + V4 + V5 + V8, data = impute_mod2)
## Residuals:
##
               1Q Median
## -9.8115 -0.9531 -0.3111 0.6678 8.6889
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                          0.17514 -3.060
## (Intercept) -0.53601
                                            0.0023 **
## V2
               0.22617
                          0.04121
                                    5.488 5.75e-08 ***
## V4
                          0.05086
                                    6.239 7.76e-10 ***
               0.31729
## V5
               0.33227
                          0.04431
                                    7.499 2.03e-13 ***
## V8
               0.32378
                          0.05606
                                   5.775 1.17e-08 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## Residual standard error: 2.274 on 678 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.6129, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6107
## F-statistic: 268.4 on 4 and 678 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Adjusted R² is 0.6107 from above regression model and let's see how it perform under LOOCV.

```
#Cross-validate the model.
SStot<- sum((impute_mod2$V7-mean(impute_mod2$V7))^2)
```

```
totsse<-0
for (i in 1:nrow(impute_mod2)){
  mod_step_i = lm(V7 ~ V2 + V4 + V5 + V8,data=impute_mod2[-i,])
  predict<- predict(mod_step_i,impute_mod2[i,])
  totsse<-totsse+((predict-impute_mod[i,1])^2)
}
R2_mod_step<-1- totsse/SStot
R2_mod_step</pre>
## 1
## 0.6445699
```

The R² from LOOCV is even higher than the one from regression, which infers that the model is reliable.

```
#Restructure the data.
missing_mod2<-data[miss,]
missing_mod2<-missing_mod2[,-1]</pre>
missing_mod2<-missing_mod2[,-10]
missing_mod2$V7[missing_mod2$V7 == '?']<-NA
missing_mod2<-cbind(missing_mod2$V7, missing_mod2[,1:5], missing_mod2[,7:9])
head(missing_mod2)
##
      missing_mod2$V7 V2 V3 V4 V5 V6 V8 V9 V10
## 24
                 <NA> 8 4
                            5
                               1
                                   2
                                     7
                                             1
                                     7 8
## 41
                 <NA>
                      6 6
                            6
                                9
                                   6
                                             1
## 140
                 <NA> 1 1
                             1 1 1 2 1
                            3 1 2 2 1
## 146
                 <NA> 1 1
                                             1
                      1 1 2 1 3 1 1
## 159
                 <NA>
                                             1
```

```
#get the predicted results from regression
predicted_reg<-round(predict(impute_reg2,missing_mod2))
predicted_reg</pre>
```

```
## 24 41 140 146 159 165 236 250 276 293 295 298 316 322 412 618
## 5 8 1 2 1 2 3 2 2 6 1 3 5 2 1 1
```

<NA> 5 1 1 1 2 3 1

##Impute with regression and perturbation

165

I use rnorm to factor the variabilities into the prediction. The standard deviation i used here is the residual standard error from the linear regression since it can reflect the variabilities more broadly.

```
perturbation<-rnorm(length(predicted_reg), mean=predicted_reg, sd=summary(impute_reg2)$sigma)
perturbation</pre>
```

```
## [1] 7.0977446 11.3907361 4.8159242 3.3075931 0.6277916 3.9939778
## [7] 5.9528859 0.2063312 2.9213984 8.6376872 2.9464637 3.2049316
## [13] 8.7712699 0.3411606 -2.3327318 -0.6335187
```

```
perturb_mod<-round(perturbation)</pre>
perturb_mod
   [1] 7 11 5 3 1 4
                          6 0 3 9 3 3 9 0 -2 -1
#The output is on a scale of 1-10
perturb_mod[perturb_mod<1]<-1</pre>
perturb_mod[perturb_mod>10]<-10</pre>
perturb_mod
   [1] 7 10 5 3 1 4 6 1 3 9 3 3 9 1 1 1
Optional Question
(Optional) Compare the results and quality of classification models (e.g., SVM, KNN) build using (1) the
data sets from questions 1,2,3;
#Prepare the data
set.seed(1)
data_miss<-data[miss,]</pre>
data_mean<-data_miss
data_mean$V7[data_mean$V7=='?']<-mean_mod</pre>
data_mean_full<-rbind(impute_me,data_mean)</pre>
data_mean_full<-data_mean_full[,-1]</pre>
index<-runif(nrow(data_mean_full))</pre>
data_mean_full<-data_mean_full[order(index),]</pre>
head(data_mean_full)
##
       V2 V3 V4 V5 V6 V7 V8 V9 V10 V11
## 503 4 1 1 2 2 1
                          2
## 478 4 1 1 1
                    2 1
                                     2
                          1
                             1
                                 1
## 528 4 1 1 1 2 1
                          3
                                 1
                                     2
## 568 4 1 1 1 2 3 2 1
                                     2
                                 1
## 424 5 1 3 1 2 1 2 1
                                 1
                                     2
## 165 5 1 1 1 2 4 3 1
                                     2
                                 1
\#\#Experiment with SVM model:
\#\#Mean method:
library(kernlab)
##
## Attaching package: 'kernlab'
```

The following object is masked from 'package:ggplot2':

##

alpha

```
library(ggplot2)
ksvm_mean<- ksvm(V11~.,data=data_mean_full,type="C-svc",kernel="vanilladot",C=100,scaled=TRUE)
## Setting default kernel parameters
pred_mean<-predict(ksvm_mean,data_mean_full[,1:9])</pre>
accuracy_mean<-sum(pred_mean == data_mean_full$V11)/nrow(data_mean_full)
accuracy_mean
## [1] 0.9713877
ksvm_mean
## Support Vector Machine object of class "ksvm"
##
## SV type: C-svc (classification)
## parameter : cost C = 100
## Linear (vanilla) kernel function.
## Number of Support Vectors : 61
##
## Objective Function Value : -4773.306
## Training error : 0.028612
\#\#\mathrm{Mode} method:
data_mode<-data_miss
data_mode$V7[data_mode$V7=='?']<-mode</pre>
data_mode_full<-rbind(impute_me,data_mode)</pre>
data_mode_full<-data_mode_full[,-1]
index<-runif(nrow(data_mode_full))</pre>
data_mode_full<-data_mode_full[order(index),]</pre>
ksvm_mode<- ksvm(V11~.,data=data_mode_full,type="C-svc",kernel="vanilladot",C=100,scaled=TRUE)
## Setting default kernel parameters
pred_mode<-predict(ksvm_mode,data_mode_full[,1:9])</pre>
accuracy_mod<-sum(pred_mode == data_mode_full$V11)/nrow(data_mode_full)</pre>
accuracy_mod
## [1] 0.9728183
ksvm_mode
```

```
## Support Vector Machine object of class "ksvm"
##
## SV type: C-svc (classification)
## parameter : cost C = 100
## Linear (vanilla) kernel function.
## Number of Support Vectors : 58
##
## Objective Function Value : -4572.789
## Training error : 0.027182
\#\#Regression method:
data_reg<-data_miss
for (i in 1:length(predicted reg)){
 data_reg$V7[i] <-predicted_reg[i]</pre>
data_reg_full<-rbind(impute_me,data_reg)</pre>
data_reg_full<-data_reg_full[,-1]</pre>
ksvm_reg<- ksvm(V11~.,data=data_reg_full,type="C-svc",kernel="vanilladot",C=100,scaled=TRUE)
## Setting default kernel parameters
pred_reg<-predict(ksvm_reg,data_reg_full[,1:9])</pre>
accuracy_reg<-sum(pred_reg == data_reg_full$V11)/nrow(data_reg_full)
accuracy_reg
## [1] 0.9728183
ksvm_reg
## Support Vector Machine object of class "ksvm"
## SV type: C-svc (classification)
## parameter : cost C = 100
## Linear (vanilla) kernel function.
## Number of Support Vectors : 59
##
## Objective Function Value : -4691.052
## Training error: 0.027182
##Perturbation method:
data_per<-data_miss
for (i in 1:length(perturb mod)){
 data_per$V7[i] <-perturb_mod[i]</pre>
```

```
data_per_full<-rbind(impute_me,data_per)</pre>
data_per_full<-data_per_full[,-1]</pre>
ksvm_per<- ksvm(V11~.,data=data_per_full,type="C-svc",kernel="vanilladot",C=100,scaled=TRUE)
## Setting default kernel parameters
pred_per<-predict(ksvm_per,data_per_full[,1:9])</pre>
accuracy_per<-sum(pred_per == data_per_full$V11)/nrow(data_per_full)
accuracy_per
## [1] 0.9685265
ksvm per
## Support Vector Machine object of class "ksvm"
## SV type: C-svc (classification)
## parameter : cost C = 100
##
## Linear (vanilla) kernel function.
## Number of Support Vectors : 61
##
## Objective Function Value : -5011.227
## Training error : 0.031474
\#\#Remove missing data:
data_rem<-impute_me[,-1]
ksvm_rem<- ksvm(V11~.,data=data_rem,type="C-svc",kernel="vanilladot",C=100,scaled=TRUE)
## Setting default kernel parameters
pred_rem<-predict(ksvm_rem,data_rem[,1:9])</pre>
accuracy_rem<-sum(pred_rem == data_rem$V11)/nrow(data_rem)</pre>
accuracy_rem
## [1] 0.9751098
ksvm_rem #Check model output
## Support Vector Machine object of class "ksvm"
## SV type: C-svc (classification)
```

```
## parameter : cost C = 100
##
## Linear (vanilla) kernel function.
##
## Number of Support Vectors : 52
##
## Objective Function Value : -4120.225
## Training error : 0.02489
```

##Compare model accuracy

The model that generates the highest SVM accuracy (0.9751098) is the one derived from data which removes all the missing data. For this standpoint, when dealing with large dataset, removing missing data could be a better option.

##Question 15.1

Describe a situation or problem from your job, everyday life, current events, etc., for which optimization would be appropriate. What data would you need?

If i were the campaign manager for US presidential election, i would utilize the optimization method to allocate funds to different campaign channels to maximize the number of ballots.

variables:

x= total labor cost spent in state i. y= social media commercials cost in state i. z= the budget for state i a= 1 if the fund spent in state i is over z, 0 if not.