Name: Yang Hao Mao
CP: 10705881
Q10: What are the main factors you would look at to make your final choice?
R:----------

To choose between LoRa and NB-IoT, we can consider the following factors:

Signal Coverage: LoRa has a wider channel bandwidth, typically 125kHz or 250kHz, whereas NB-IoT operates in existing cellular network bands with a channel bandwidth of typically 180kHz.
(*Consideration*: LoRa excels in providing long-range transmission capabilities, making it ideal for outdoor deployments such as weather monitoring stations situated far from gateways or base stations. Its ability to penetrate obstacles also suits rural areas or regions lacking infrastructure; NB-IoT offers reliable coverage in indoor environments and urban areas. Its narrower channel bandwidth allows for better penetration through buildings and provides stable connectivity in areas with dense infrastructure.)

Data rates: LoRa has relatively low data rates, typically between 290 bit/s and 50 kbit/s, whereas NB-IoT has higher data rates, typically up to 250 kbit/s.
(*Consideration*: LoRa is suitable for transmitting moderate amounts of data from weather sensors. Real-time updates may not be crucial, given its lower data rates; NB-IoT is advantageous for applications requiring faster transmission of weather data or handling larger volumes of data.)

Power consumption: LoRa uses the Aloha protocol and has a relatively low power performance, especially in Class A devices, which automatically enter sleep mode after sending data to conserve power, whereas NB-IoT, although it consumes more power than LoRa over short distances, adopts the standards set by 3GPP, which makes it more effective than LoRa in conserving power over a wider coverage area.
(*Consideration*: both LoRa and NB-IoT offer power consumption solutions suitable for battery powered weather like monitoring stations, however, LoRa is more suitable if long range communication and minimal power consumption are primary considerations)

Data rate VS. **power consumption**: The choice between LoRa and NB-IoT depends on balancing data rate requirements with power consumption constraints. If long battery life and minimal power consumption are primary concerns, LoRa is preferable. However, if real-time data updates are critical and power efficiency is still essential, NB-IoT may be the better option despite potentially higher power consumption.

Price: Without considering the deployment cost, NB-IoT costs 5 USD per module, while LoRa requires 12 USD
(*Consideration*: while LoRa modules may have a higher initial cost compared to NB-IoT modules, the overall deployment cost should also be considered. Since the weather monitoring station is located on a balcony and may not require extensive infrastructure, the lower module cost of NB-IoT makes it a more affordable option)

Security: Both LoRa and NB-IoT support encryption and authentication mechanisms to secure data transmission. However, they have different levels of security. LoRa uses LoRaWAN protocols such as AES encryption and end-to-end authentication, but LoRa then operates in unauthorized spectrum and may be more susceptible to jamming and unauthorized access, whereas NB-IoT, as a standardized cellular technology

developed by the 3GPP, typically follows stricter security standards and protocols, and it its reliance on more Stronger communication standards and operator security measures (e.g., use of SIM cards) for end-device authentication, and therefore may provide a higher level of security
(*Consideration*: given the sensitivity of weather data and the potential consequences of unauthorized access or tampering, the security features of both LoRa and NB-IoT are crucial considerations. While both technologies offer encryption and authentication mechanisms, NB-IoT's adherence to stricter 3GPP standards and reliance on operator security measures may provide a higher level of security compared to LoRa)

Scalability: both LoRa and NB-IoT offer excellent scalability, with LoRa able to support thousands of devices within a single network and its star network architecture making scaling simple without major infrastructure changes, and NB-IoT able to support up to 50,000 devices per base station, which leverages existing cellular infrastructure for easy integration with existing networks
(*Consideration*: both LoRa and NB-IoT offer excellent scalability for weather monitoring applications. LoRa's star network architecture allows for easy scaling without major infrastructure changes, while NB-IoT leverages existing cellular infrastructure for seamless integration with large-scale networks. Therefore, both technologies are suitable options for weather monitoring stations, depending on specific deployment requirements and constraints.)