

Review: Unsupervised Learning of Visual Features by Contrasting Cluster Assignments

SwAV proposes a new self-supervised learning paradigm to learn feature representation by comparing clustering assignments of different views, avoiding direct feature comparison.

Main idea:

- comparing clustering assignments of different views instead of directly comparing sample features
- Online clustering mechanism is introduced to dynamically learn prototype vectors.
- Sinkhorn-Knopp algorithm is used to optimize clustering assignment.
- Multi-crop strategy is proposed to significantly improve performance.

The training process of SwAV consists of the following steps:

1. Data augmentation: Generate two different augmented views x_t, x_s for each image
2. Feature extraction: Get features $z_t = f(x_t), z_s = f(x_s)$ through encoder f
3. Prototype mapping: Match features with a set of prototype vectors $\{c_1, \dots, c_K\}$ to get scores
4. Encoding calculation:
 - Use Sinkhorn-Knopp algorithm to calculate soft clustering assignment to get encoding q_t, q_s
 - Use softmax to calculate probability distribution to get p_t, p_s
5. Cross prediction: Minimize cross prediction loss $L(z_t, z_s) = l(z_t, q_s) + l(z_s, q_t)$
Where $l(z, q)$ measures the degree of fit of feature z to the prediction of encoding q

Formula derivation and description:

$$L(z_t, z_s) = l(z_t, q_s) + l(z_s, q_t)$$

$$L(\mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{z}_s) = l(\mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{q}_s) + l(\mathbf{z}_s, \mathbf{q}_t)$$

The core loss function in SwAV.

z_t and z_s : the feature representations of two different data-augmented views of the same image

q_t and q_s : the "encodings" (cluster assignment probabilities) corresponding to these two features.

$$l(\mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{q}_s) = - \sum_k \mathbf{q}_s^{(k)} \log \mathbf{p}_t^{(k)}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{p}_t^{(k)} = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{z}_t^\top \mathbf{c}_k\right)}{\sum_{k'} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{z}_t^\top \mathbf{c}_{k'}\right)}. \quad (2)$$

$q_s^{(k)}$: the soft clustering assignment obtained by the Sinkhorn-Knopp algorithm

$p_t^{(k)}$: the probability distribution of the similarity between feature z_t and prototype c_k after softmax

τ : a temperature parameter used to adjust the smoothness of the distribution

$$-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{s,t \sim \mathcal{T}} \left[\frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{z}_{nt}^\top \mathbf{C} \mathbf{q}_{ns} + \frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{z}_{ns}^\top \mathbf{C} \mathbf{q}_{nt} - \log \sum_{k=1}^K \exp \left(\frac{\mathbf{z}_{nt}^\top \mathbf{c}_k}{\tau} \right) - \log \sum_{k=1}^K \exp \left(\frac{\mathbf{z}_{ns}^\top \mathbf{c}_k}{\tau} \right) \right].$$

Average the entire batch of N samples and all possible augmentation pairs (s, t)

$$\max_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{Z}) + \varepsilon H(\mathbf{Q}), \quad (3)$$

where H is the entropy function, $H(\mathbf{Q}) = -\sum_{ij} \mathbf{Q}_{ij} \log \mathbf{Q}_{ij}$ and ε is a parameter that controls the smoothness of the mapping. We observe that a strong entropy regularization (*i.e.* using a high ε) generally leads to a trivial solution where all samples collapse into an unique representation and are all assigned uniformly to all prototypes. Hence, in practice we keep ε low. Asano *et al.* [2] enforce

The term $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{Z})$ maximizes the similarity between feature \mathbf{Z} and prototype \mathbf{C} .

$$\mathcal{Q} = \left\{ \mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{K \times B} \mid \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{1}_B = \frac{1}{K} \mathbf{1}_K, \mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{1}_K = \frac{1}{B} \mathbf{1}_B \right\}, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_K$ denotes the vector of ones in dimension K . These constraints enforce that on average each prototype is selected at least B/K times in the batch.

$$\mathbf{Q}^* = \text{Diag}(\mathbf{u}) \exp \left(\frac{\mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{Z}}{\varepsilon} \right) \text{Diag}(\mathbf{v}), \quad (5)$$

\mathbf{Q}^* is the optimal solution to the optimization problem (3), that is, the optimal soft clustering assignment matrix, which is a $K \times B$ matrix that represents the soft assignment probability of B samples to K prototypes. \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are renormalized vectors with dimensions K and B respectively

$\mathbf{u} = \text{ones}(K)/K$

$\mathbf{v} = \text{ones}(B)/B$

$\exp(\mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{Z} / \varepsilon)$ calculates the similarity between the feature and the prototype

$\text{Diag}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\text{Diag}(\mathbf{v})$ ensure that the equilibrium constraint is met

Use the Sinkhorn-Knopp algorithm to iteratively calculate the renormalized vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v}

Only 3 iterations are needed to get good results

$$L(\mathbf{z}_{t_1}, \mathbf{z}_{t_2}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{t_{V+2}}) = \sum_{i \in \{1,2\}} \sum_{v=1}^{V+2} \mathbf{1}_{v \neq i} \ell(\mathbf{z}_{t_v}, \mathbf{q}_{t_i}). \quad (6)$$

$\mathbf{z}_{t_1}, \mathbf{z}_{t_2}$ are two full-resolution views

\mathbf{z}_{t_3} to $\mathbf{z}_{t_{V+2}}$ are V low-resolution views

$\mathbf{1}_{\{v \neq i\}}$ means not comparing with itself

The above formula is equal to:

Comparison between full resolution views+Comparison between low resolution view and

full resolution view

$$l(z_{t1}, q_{t2}) + l(z_{t2}, q_{t1}) + \sum_{v=3}^{V+2} [l(z_{tv}, q_{t1}) + l(z_{tv}, q_{t2})]$$