

SDA 2020 — Assignment 6

For these exercises you can use the *R*-functions `cor` and `cor.test` for the (test on) different correlation, see `help(cor)` and `help(cor.test)`.

For the categorical data you can use the *R*-functions `fisher.test` and `phyper` for the cumulative distribution function of a hypergeometric distribution – see `help(fisher.test)` and `help(phyper)` – and the functions `bootstrapcat` and `maxcontributionscat`.¹ When performing statistical tests, *clearly* state the null hypothesis and test statistic together with its distribution under the null hypothesis.

Make a concise report of *all* your answers in *one single PDF file*, with only *relevant R code in an appendix*. It is important to make clear in your answers how you have solved the questions. Graphs should look neat (label the axes, give titles, use correct dimensions etc.). Multiple graphs can be put into one figure using the command `par(mfrow=c(k,1))`, see `help(par)`. Sometimes there might be additional information on what exactly has to be handed in. **Read the file AssignmentFormat.pdf on Canvas carefully.**

Exercise 6.1 The data in the file `expensescrime.txt` were obtained to determine factors related to state expenditures on fighting criminality (courts, police, etc.). The variables are: **state** (indicating the state in the USA), **expend** (state expenditures on fighting criminality in \$1000), **bad** (number of persons under judicial supervision), **crime** (crime rate per 100000), **lawyers** (number of lawyers in the state), **employ** (number of persons gainfully employed by and performing services for a government) and **pop** (population of the state in 1000).

- Make plots for every pair of variables to judge their relationship. (Don't include the variable **state**.) Use the *R*-function `pairs`. (Don't hand in these plots.)
- Make a plot of the lawyers *rate*, i.e. number of lawyers in the state per citizen, versus crime rate. Based on these plots, how do you judge the correlation between **crime** and lawyer rate?
- Perform Kendall's and Spearman's rank correlation tests for the variables **crime** and lawyer rate using the *R*-function `cor.test`.
- Read Section 6.4 and Example 6.7 in the syllabus. Perform a permutation test for testing dependence between **crime** and lawyer rate, as explained in Section 6.4.3, based on Kendall's rank correlation coefficient.²
- What is your conclusion about the correlation between the two variables **crime** and lawyer rate, based on the outcomes in parts c and d?
- Use simulations to find an approximate value for the asymptotic relative efficiency of Kendall's rank correlation test with respect to Spearman's rank correlation test, when the bivariate data are bivariate normally distributed with zero means and variance-covariance matrix $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, i.e. the correlation between the x- and the y-coordinates of the random pairs is 0.5.³ Use the sample sizes $n = 45 (= 0.9 \cdot 50)$, 50 , $55 (= 1.1 \cdot 50)$ to find out which of the three values 0.9, 1, 1.1 seems to be closest to the true asymptotic

¹You can find these functions in the file `functions.Ch7.txt`.

²Because computing all 51! possible permutations is not feasible, you should generate a large number (e.g. 1000) of random permutations using the function `sample` and approximate the *p*-value based on these values.

³You can generate such bivariate normally distributed data with the help of the command `rmvnorm(n,mean=c(0,0), sigma=matrix(c(1,0.5,0.5,1), 2,2))` after having installed and loaded the *R* package `mvtnorm`.

relative efficiency. Generate at least $B = 5,000$ independent test outcomes per sample size to find an approximation of the true power.

You may use a modification of the R code on slides 7 and 8 of the Lecture 8 handout slides to simulate the power of both tests.

Hand in: your plot (in b.) and answers to parts b.–f.

Exercise 6.2 In a study about the consequences of a certain virus infection, scientists wished to use the numbers of cases in a certain town to infer for all of humanity, given sufficient medical equipment, whether there is a relationship between the gender and the fatalities among the infected.

The following table represents the dataset:

infected	deaths	recoveries	total
men	24	1020	1044
women	15	1167	1182
total	39	2187	2226

In this exercise, we are using the significance level $\alpha = 5\%$.

- Describe the null and alternative hypotheses to be tested and perform Fisher's exact test. Use the R command `fisher.test`.
Hint: In R you should store a contingency table as an object of type `matrix`. For these data you can for example use the command `matrix(c(24,...),nrow=2,ncol=2)`.
- The scientists also analyzed the numbers of cases in a different city and got the impression that men are more often among the fatalities than women. Investigate this suspicion based on the current dataset; state the null and alternative hypotheses to be tested and perform Fisher's exact test. Use the R command `fisher.test`.
- Find the p -value from part b. with the help of a suitable application of the command `phyper`.

Hand in: your answers to all parts.

Exercise 6.3 The file `nausea.txt` contains data about post-operative nausea after medication against nausea. The patients, who complained about post-operative nausea, were randomly assigned to one of the different medicines or to a placebo. One of the medicines, Pentobarbital, was administered in two different doses. The first column in the file contains the **total** number of patients that were given the medicine or placebo, and the second column contains the number of cases of nausea (after medication) in that group. In this exercise, we are going to analyze the relationship between occurrence of nausea and type of drug. For all tests considered in this exercise, we use the significance level $\alpha = 5\%$.

- Which of the three models II A, II B and II C is most suitable for these data?
Note: always motivate your answers!
- Investigate in a suitable way the hypotheses that belong to the model you have chosen in part a. Do not forget to formulate these hypotheses and to check the rule of thumb if you are using a chi-square approximation.

- c. Use the option `simulate.p.value` of the *R* command `chisq.test` to check whether the *p*-value that you found in part b. is reliable.
- d. Compute the contributions and the standardized residuals for the test(s) that you performed in part b. Which ‘categories’ stand out?

For bootstrap procedures for statistics other than the standard chi-square statistic you can use the function `bootstrapcat`. Such a procedure can be rather time consuming. Adapt the value of *B* such that it is both feasible and reliable regarding bootstrap errors. For the test considered in part e., use the two-sided alternative hypotheses.

- e. Test the same hypotheses as in part b., but now with a bootstrap procedure using the statistic $T = \text{‘The largest of the absolute values of the contributions’}$. To compute the observed value of this statistic the *R*-function `maxcontributionscat` available on Canvas can be used.

Note that even though the two-sided hypothesis is tested, the test is right-tailed, i.e. it rejects for relatively large values of the test statistic.

- f. Does the result of part e. agree with that of part b.?
- g. Find out which of the drugs led to the value of the statistic *T* in part e. and conduct a one-sided Fisher’s exact test to check whether this drug works better than the placebo. Do not forget to state appropriate null and alternative hypotheses in terms of the categorical variables that belong to the first row and the first column of the (2×2) -matrix.

Hand in: your answers to all parts.