NWEN303 Assignment 4

Topic: Solving Mailbox overflow [Total Marks: 100]

In this project you are required to edit the code of **Cakes** in order to solve the problem of Mailbox overflow. You need to implement a very precise specification.

Due date: 19 May at midnight

Task1 [20 Marks]

Understand and explain the given code

In your report, explain how the various classes and methods inside Cakes work.

This includes **Alice**, **Bob**, **Charles**, **Tim**, **OpenAkka**, **AkkaConfig** and **Cakes**: the class containing the main method.

We expect about 1 page of text.

Task2 [50 Marks]

Solving Mailbox Overflow

Following very closely the specification below edit the file **Cakes.java**:

Alice, Bob and Charles are Producers.

A **Producer**<**T**> has a list of stored products, has a maximum size of the list of products, and can be in running or non-running state.

A **Producer** knows how to answer to three messages: **T**, **MakeOne** and **GiveOne**.

Here is how a **Producer** needs to answer those messages.

- T (a product):
 - just add it to the list of products (thus, it can go over the limit).
- **MakeOne,** when the list is full (the size is at or over the maximum size limit):
 - sets the state to not-running.
- **MakeOne,** when the list is not full:
 - **pipe**s a future product to **self**;
 - when the future product is completed, **tell MakeOne** to **self**.
- **GiveOne,** when the list is empty:
 - **pipe**s a future product to the **sender**.
- **GiveOne,** when the list is not empty:
 - removes a product from the list and **tell** that product to the **sender**.
- (additionally) **GiveOne** when the state is not-running and the list is not full:
 - set the state as running;
 - **tell MakeOne** to **self**.

To answer those messages, a **Producer** can **make** future products.

(Since Actors need non-blocking computation, what kind of **Future** should it be?)

The computation actually making the product could be very long, and thus it must be asynchronous with respect to the **Producer** actor, so that they will be able to keep answering other messages.

In more detail: **Alice** and **Bob** will just **make Wheat** and **Sugar**; **Charles** will **ask Alice** and **Bob** for **Wheat** and **Sugar**, he will then **combine** the ingredients to produce a future **Cake**. **Tim** now needs to **ask Charles** for **cake**s.

The overall process should keep the same behavior as before.

Edit the file **Cakes.java**:

- Introduce an abstract actor **Producer**<**T**>, with an **abstract make()** method.
- Alice, Bob and Charles now extend Producer and implement make().
- Tim code is adapted as needed.
- The method **Cakes.computeGift(int)** can be adapted, for example to satisfy different constructors for **Charles** and **Tim**.
- The code as a whole keeps the same behavior as before. In particular, it can still transparently run on a single machine or on many machines.

NOTE:

Producing cakes with sugar and wheat is, of course, just a metaphor to represent some complex computation that needs to be performed by the various actors.

To respect this metaphor, only code under the control of Alice can produce Wheat.

In the same way, only **Bob** can produce **Sugar**, and only **Charles** can produce **Cakes**. Those cakes must be produced using the **Wheat** and **Sugar** objects created by **Alice** and **Bob**.

A solution that breaks the metaphor, where for example **Charles** makes also the **Wheat** and the **Sugar** is invalid and will not receive many marks. If you *'just try to make your code compile'* you are likely to break the metaphor.

Task3 [30 Marks]

Load balancing on multiple machines

- Edit the class Sugar so that it now takes at least 200 milliseconds to create a Sugar instance.
- Then, modify Cakes so that 4 copies of Bob (the Sugar producer) are used, and reside on 4 different machines.
- Edit the main method to instantiate those 4 **Bob**s and edit **Charles** so that he takes advantage of the 4 **Bob**s.
- In the report, describe the improvement in performance between the solution with 1 **Bob** and the solution with 4 **Bob**s; briefly describe how you measured the performance difference.

TO SUBMIT:

Your submission should include:

- 1. A jar file with all your code for Task2, without the tweaks of Task3. Thus Task2 can be marked independently of your performance while encoding Task3.
- 2. A jar file with all your code for Task3, including an option to run with 1 Bob or with 4 Bobs. This means you will submit a fair amount of duplicated code that is common for Task2 and 3.
- 3. Your report in pdf format
- 4. A txt file stating any bugs in your code and how to run your code (i.e. a readme file)