

formal

leontaglelb

July 2024

1 Literature Review

It is a well established factum that taking sick leave is subject to an economic calculation on part of the workers, rather than being an orthogonal, merely health-concerned matter. (Johansson & Palme, 2005) begin their article with a quote by Nobel Laureate Ragnar Frisch: “Regarding the high absence rate at the Department: Acquiring minor diseases, such as colds or flu, is an act of choice”. Their paper is among many others (italian-jobs norway-jobs, us-jobs, sweden-jobs) which give empirical evidence of such a choice being driven by economic incentives, through an event study on exogenous institutional regime changes in the subject nation’s public insurance system. This line of research, though, is concerned with the actions of workers themselves and their subsequent effect on macroeconomic employment variables, whereas our main focus shall be the role played by physicians.

Our doctors’ utility function is composed of two terms: one concerning revenue, the other patients’ health. This is in line with the literature on physicians, which now commonly regards them as “altruistic” agents whose utility is to a higher or lesser degree dependent of that of the patients, a claim which has found empirical support in both medical students ((Brosig-Koch, Hennig-Schmidt, Kairies-Schwarz, & Wiesen, 2017), (Hennig-Schmidt & Wiesen, 2014)) as well as doctors themselves ((Kesternich, Schumacher, & Winter, 2015), (Brosig-Koch, Hennig-Schmidt, Kairies-Schwarz, & Wiesen, 2016)). (Crea, 2019) finds no evidence for this, whereas (Godager & Wiesen, 2013) does, and explores its heterogeneity across physicians. The fact that physicians are also concerned with revenue, rather than being purely altruistic, is also well evidenced ((Clemens & Gottlieb, 2014), (Hennig-Schmidt, Selten, & Wiesen, 2011), (Autor, Duggan, & Gruber, 2014); see (Robertson, Rose, & Kesselheim, 2012) for a review on the matter). Therein lies the dilemma with giving physicians the status of gatekeepers for different services and certifications, like disability insurance (as in autor). As markussen-roed (page 1) put it: “In essence, the GPs have been assigned the task of protecting the public (or private) insurer’s purse against the customers who form the basis for their own livelihood ”.

...

2 Model

We consider $i = 1, \dots, I$ patients and $j = 1, \dots, J$ doctors.

Patients are characterized by the tuple $(\kappa_i, \gamma_i) \in$, their “medical need” and “taste for licenses” respectively, following the ex-ante cumulative distributions $F(k)$ and $G(\gamma)$.

Doctors are described by their “service quality” $V_j \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$, following the *ex-post*, empirical distribution $H(V)$.

A patient i visits a doctor for treatment and may be granted a medical license. As such, their utility function –implicitly dependent on his (κ_i, γ_i) tuple– is defined piece-wise as follows:

$$U_i(V_j) = \begin{cases} \gamma_i + V_j \kappa_i - \tau & \text{if they're granted a license,} \\ V_j \kappa_i - \tau & \text{if they only visit a doctor,} \\ 0 & \text{if he doesn't see a doctor,} \end{cases}$$

As we see, there's three components to patient utility: an interaction between

References

- Autor, D., Duggan, M., & Gruber, J. (2014, October). Moral hazard and claims deterrence in private disability insurance. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 6(4), 110–141. Retrieved from <https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/app.6.4.110> doi: 10.1257/app.6.4.110
- Brosig-Koch, J., Hennig-Schmidt, H., Kairies-Schwarz, N., & Wiesen, D. (2016). Using artefactual field and lab experiments to investigate how fee-for-service and capitation affect medical service provision. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 131, 17–23. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167268115000891> (Experimental and Behavioral Economics of Healthcare) doi: 10.1016/j.jebo.2015.04.011
- Brosig-Koch, J., Hennig-Schmidt, H., Kairies-Schwarz, N., & Wiesen, D. (2017). The effects of introducing mixed payment systems for physicians: Experimental evidence. *Health Economics*, 26(2), 243–262. Retrieved from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/hec.3292> doi: 10.1002/hec.3292
- Clemens, J., & Gottlieb, J. D. (2014, April). Do physicians' financial incentives affect medical treatment and patient health? *American Economic Review*, 104(4), 1320–1349. Retrieved from <https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/aer.104.4.1320> doi: 10.1257/aer.104.4.1320
- Crea, G. (2019). Physician altruism and moral hazard: (no) evidence from finnish national prescriptions data. *Journal of Health Economics*, 65, 153–169. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167629617307348> doi: 10.1016/j.jhealeco.2019.03.006
- Godager, G., & Wiesen, D. (2013). Profit or patients' health benefit? exploring the heterogeneity in physician altruism. *Journal of Health Economics*, 32(6), 1105–1116. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167629613001070> doi: 10.1016/j.jhealeco.2013.08.008
- Hennig-Schmidt, H., Selten, R., & Wiesen, D. (2011). How payment systems affect physicians' provision behaviour—an experimental investigation. *Journal of Health Economics*, 30(4), 637–646. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167629611000452> doi: 10.1016/j.jhealeco.2011.05.001
- Hennig-Schmidt, H., & Wiesen, D. (2014). Other-regarding behavior and motivation in health care provision: An experiment with medical and non-medical students. *Social Science & Medicine*, 108, 156–165. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0277953614001622> doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.03.001
- Johansson, P., & Palme, M. (2005). Moral hazard and sickness insur-

- ance. *Journal of Public Economics*, 89(9), 1879–1890. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0047272705000290>
doi: 10.1016/j.jpubeco.2004.11.007
- Kesternich, I., Schumacher, H., & Winter, J. (2015). Professional norms and physician behavior: Homo oeconomicus or homo hippocraticus? *Journal of Public Economics*, 131, 1–11. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0047272715001449>
doi: 10.1016/j.jpubeco.2015.08.009
- Robertson, C., Rose, S., & Kesselheim, A. S. (2012). Effect of financial relationships on the behaviors of health care professionals: A review of the evidence. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, 40(3), 452–466. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-720X.2012.00678.x