18 Table 2.13 shows data from the 2002 General Social Survey cross classifying a person's perceived happiness with their family income. The table displays the observed and expected cell counts and the standardized residuals for testing independence.

a) Show how to obtain the expected cell count of 35.8 for the first cell. This is done using the formula  $U_{11} = (n_{10} \cdot n_{01})/n$   $M_{11} = \left[ (21 + 159 + 110) \cdot (21 + 53 + 94) \right] / (21 + 159 + 110 + 53 + 372 + 221 + 94 + 249 + 83)$   $= 35.77093 \approx 35.8$ 

b) For testing independence,  $\chi^2 = 73.4$ . Report the df value and the P-value and interpret. df = (I-1)(J-1) = ((3)-1)((3)-1) = 4

P-value: <.001

A P-value of <.001 suggests that it is very unlikely that family income and reported happiness are independent.

c) Interpret the standardized residuals in the corner cells having counts 21 and 83.

These residuals are both Insidual >2, suggesting that these results are likely too high for us to consider family income and reported happiness are not truly independent.

These residuals are well above residuals in the corner cells having counts 110 and 94.

These residuals are well above residual > 2, again suggesting that family income and reported happiness are truly independent.

19 Table 2.14 was taken from the 2002 General Social Survey,

a) Test the null hypothesis of independence between party identification and race. Interpret.  $n_{1.} = 871 + 444 + 873 = 2188$   $n_{2.} = 302 + 80 + 43 = 425$   $n_{1.} = 871 + 302 = 1173$   $n_{1.} = 873 + 43 = 916$   $n_{1.} = 2188 + 425 = 2613$   $m_{1.} = \frac{(2188)(1173)}{(2613)} = 982.21$   $m_{1.} = \frac{(2188)(524)}{(2613)} = 438.77$   $m_{1.} = \frac{(2188)(916)}{(2613)} = 767.01$   $m_{2.} = \frac{(425)(524)}{(2613)} = 85.23$   $m_{2.} = \frac{(425)(916)}{(2613)} = 148.99$   $m_{2.} = \frac{(871 - 982.21)^{2} + (444 - 438.77)^{2} + (873 - 767.01)^{2} + (302 - 190.79)^{2} + (80 - 85.23)^{2} + (43 - 148.99)^{2}}{482.21}$   $m_{2.} = \frac{(871 - 982.21)^{2} + (444 - 438.77)^{2} + (873 - 767.01)^{2} + (302 - 190.79)^{2} + (80 - 85.23)^{2} + (43 - 148.99)^{2}}{482.21}$ 

continued on next page



 $\chi^2 = |2.59 + 0.06 + |4.65 + 64.82 + 0.32 + 75.40 = |67.84|$ df = ((3)-1)((2)-1) = 2

P-value = <.001

Based on a X² test for independence, we can reject the null hypothesis that race and party affiliation are independent, suggesting that there is some correlation between race and party affiliation.

21) Each subject in a sample of 100 men and 100 women is asked to indicate which of the following factors (one or more) are responsible for increases in teenage crime:

A An increasing B An increase in A income gap B single parent families C parent-child time

Male 60 81 75
Female 75 87 86

No, because responders were allowed to select more than one response we know that some of the cell responses are dependent on others and therefore not independent.

b) Explain how this table actually provides information needed to cross classify gender

with each of the three variables. Construct the contingency table relating gender to opinion about whether factor A is responsible for increases in teenage crime.

By splitting the table into partial tables, we can evalvate how each gender feels on each factor one at a time.

Response to Factor A

Yes No

Male 60 40

Female 75 25

22 Table 2.15 classifies psychiatric patients by their diagnosis and whether their treatment prescribed drugs.

a) Construct a test of independence and interpret the P-Value.

Table 2.15	Drugs	No Drugs	A250	
Schizophrenia	105	8	113	df = ((2)-1)((5)-1) = 4
Affective Disorder	12	2	14	
Neurosis	18	19	3 7	
Personality Disorder	4.7	52	99	
Special Symptoms	0	13	13	
	182	94	1276	š

\* The sample size is small, and with  $u_{22} = 4.77$  and  $u_{25} = 4.43$  we risk the use of a  $\chi^2$  test for independence being invalid. However, I will use the  $\chi^2$  test anyway because these us are close to 5 and the rest are safely above 5, and I am unsure of any better method to use (not a  $2\chi^2$ , no Fisher Exact test).

$$\chi^{2} = \frac{(105 - 74.51)^{2} + (12 - 9.23)^{2} + (18 - 24.40)^{2} + (47 - 65.28)^{2} + (0 - 8.57)^{2} + (8 - 38.49)^{2} + (2 - 4.77)^{2}}{74.51} + \frac{(19 - 12.60)^{2} + (52 - 33.72)^{2} + (13 - 4.43)^{2}}{33.72} + \frac{(13 - 4.43)^{2}}{4.43}$$

= 12.48 + .83 + 1.68 + 5.12 + 8.57 + 24.15 + 1.6 + 3.25 + 9.91 + 16.58 = 84.17

P-value: <.001

Based on the X² test, so the null hypothesis that disorder and use of drugs in treatment are dependent can be rejected. This would suggest that there is not a firm treatment protocol for psychiatric disorders according to this data.

b) 0 btn'n standardized residuals and interpret.  $p_{1} = \frac{n_{1}}{n} = \frac{(113)}{276} = .409 \quad p_{2} = \frac{(14)}{276} = .051 \quad p_{3} = \frac{(37)}{276} = .134 \quad p_{4} = \frac{(99)}{276} = .359$   $p_{5} = \frac{(13)}{276} = .047 \quad p_{1} = \frac{(182)}{276} = .660 \quad p_{2} = \frac{(94)}{276} = .341$   $\frac{7}{276} = \frac{(105) - (74.51)}{276} = 7.88 \quad r_{12} = \frac{(8) - (38.49)}{\sqrt{(38.49)(1 - (.499))(1 - (.341))}} = -7.87$   $\sqrt{(74.51)(1 - (.409))(1 - (.660))} = 0.94 \quad r_{22} = \frac{(2)}{(2)} - \frac{(4.77)}{(4.77)(1 - (.051))(1 - (.341))} = -1.60$   $\sqrt{(23.3)(1 - (.051))(1 - (.660))} = -1.39 \quad r_{32} = \frac{(19)}{\sqrt{(24.490)(1 - (.341))}} = 2.39$   $\sqrt{(24.490)(1 - (.134))(1 - (.660))} = -2.83 \quad r_{42} = \frac{(52)}{\sqrt{(33.72)(1 - (.359))(1 - (.341))}} = 4.84$   $\sqrt{(8.57)(1 - (.057))(1 - (.660))} = -4.47 \quad r_{52} = \frac{(13)}{\sqrt{(4.43)(1 - (.947))(1 - (.341))}} = 5.14$ 

Most of the residuals have an absolute value greater than Z, suggesting the data is not independent. In fact, for Schizophrenia and Affective disorder there appears to be a trend of prescribing drugs more often than expected, and less often for the other mental disorders.

25 For tests of Ho; independence,  $\{\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{ij} = (n_i \cdot n_{ij})/n\}$ a) Show that  $\{\mathcal{M}_{ij}\}$  have the same row and column totals as  $\{n_{ij}\}$ Given that  $\sum_{n_i} = n$  and  $\sum_{n_i} = n_{ij}$ For any given i,  $\mathcal{M}_{io} = \sum_{n_i} \frac{n_{io} \cdot n_{oj}}{n} \rightarrow \text{because we are} \qquad \mathcal{M}_{io} = n_{io} \cdot \sum_{n_i} = n_{io} \cdot \frac{(n)}{n} = n_{io} \cdot 1 = n_{io}$   $\sum_{n_i} \frac{n_{io} \cdot n_{oj}}{n} \rightarrow \frac{n_{io} \cdot n_{oj}}{n} = n_{io} \cdot n_{oj} = n_{io} \cdot 1 = n_{io}$ Thus,  $\{n_{io}\} = \{n_{io}\}$ . This finding will be true for all rows, and the same logic will verify that  $\{n_{io}\} = \{n_{io}\}$ 

b) For  $2 \times 2$  tables, show that  $\hat{u}_{11} \cdot \hat{u}_{22} / \hat{u}_{12} \cdot \hat{u}_{21} = 1.0$ . Hence  $\{\hat{u}_{ij}\}$  satisfy Ho If.  $\hat{\theta} = \hat{u}_{11} \cdot \hat{u}_{22} = \hat{u}_{11} \cdot \hat{u}_{22} \cdot \hat{u}_{12} \cdot \hat{u}_{21}$ Then:  $\hat{\theta} = \underbrace{n_{1} \cdot n_{11}}_{n} \cdot \underbrace{n_{2} \cdot n_{12}}_{n} \cdot \underbrace{n}_{11} \cdot n_{12} \cdot \underbrace{n}_{21} = 1.0$   $\frac{n_{1} \cdot n_{12}}{\hat{u}_{12}} \cdot \hat{u}_{21} = 1.0$ Then:  $\hat{\theta} = \underbrace{n_{1} \cdot n_{11}}_{n} \cdot \underbrace{n_{2} \cdot n_{12}}_{n} \cdot \underbrace{n}_{12} \cdot \underbrace{n}_{12} \cdot n_{21} = 1$ 

26] A  $\chi^2$  variate with degrees of freedom equal to df has representation:  $Z_1^2 + ... + Z_{29}^2$ , where  $Z_1,..., Z_{29}$  are independent standard normal variates. a) If Z has a standard normal distribution, what distribution does  $Z^2$  have?  $Z^2$  has a  $\chi^2$  distribution with whatever number of degrees of freedom of was assigned to Z.

b) Show that, if Y, and Yz are independent X2 variates with degrees of freedom of, and ofz, then Y, + Yz has a X2 distribution with of = of, + ofz.

Fisher's Exact test: 
$$P(n_{II}) = \frac{\binom{n_{I0}}{n_{II}} \binom{n_{Z0}}{n_{II}}}{\binom{n_{II}}{n_{II}}}$$

30) The table contains the results of a study comparing radiation therapy and surgery for laryngeal cancer treatment. Use Fisher's exact test to test  $H_0: \theta = 1$  against  $H_a: \theta \geq 1$ . Interpret the results.

Cancer Controlled

Yes No

Surgery 21 2 23

Radiation Therapy 15 3 18  $P(21) = \begin{pmatrix} 23 \\ 21 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 18 \\ 36 - 21 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 23 \\ 22 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 18 \\ 36 - 22 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 23 \\ 23 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 18 \\ 36 - 23 \end{pmatrix}$   $= 253 \cdot 816 + 23 \cdot 3060 + 1 \cdot 8568$  749398 - 749398 = .275 + .094 + .011 = 0.381

With a P-value = 0.381, it is not convincing that the outcome of cancer control is actually dependent on the treatment chosen. It is not possible to say, with reasonable confidence, that the type of treatment chosen actually effects whether or not laryngeal cancer is controlled.