
3.2.1 Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be asymptotically nonnegative functions.

Using basic definition of Θ – notation, prove that $\max\{f(n), g(n)\}$

Since $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are asymptotically nonnegative functions $\exists n_0$ and n_1 such that

$$n_2 = \max\{n_0, n_1\} \rightarrow f(n) \geq 0 \text{ and } g(n) \geq 0; \forall n > n_2$$

$$\text{let } h(n) = \max\{f(n), g(n)\} \rightarrow \begin{cases} h(n) = f(n), & \text{if } f(n) \geq g(n) \\ h(n) = g(n), & \text{if } g(n) \geq f(n) \end{cases}$$

$$\Theta(f(n) + g(n)) = \{t(n); \exists c_1, c_2, \text{ and } n_0 > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq c_1[f(n) + g(n)] \leq t(n) \leq c_2[f(n) + g(n)] \forall n \geq n_0\}$$

$h(n) \in \Theta(f(n) + g(n))$ if we find c_1, c_2 and n_0 such that:

$$c_1[f(n) + g(n)] \leq h(n) \leq c_2[f(n) + g(n)]$$

if we take n_0 as n_2 we know that $h(n) = f(n)$ or $g(n)$. So $h(n) \leq f(n) + g(n) \forall n \geq n_0$,

so take $c_2 = 1$

$$\text{we know that } 0 \leq f(n) \leq h(n) \text{ and } 0 \leq g(n) \leq h(n) \rightarrow 0 \leq \frac{1}{2}(f(n) + g(n))$$

$$\leq h(n) \text{ so take } c_1 = \frac{1}{2} \therefore \text{QED}$$

3.2.2 Explain why the statement, The running time of algorithm A is at least $O(n^2)$ is meaningless

We have : $O(g(n)) = \{f(n), \exists n_0 \text{ and } c_1 \geq 0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq f(n) \leq c_1 g(n) \forall n \geq n_0\}$.

We have an Upper Bound, so the statement would mean

"The running time of Algorithm A is at least at maximum $O(n^2)$ so meaningless"

3.2.3 Is $2^{n+1} = O(2^n)$? Is $2^{2n} = O(2^n)$?

case 2^{n+1} :

if so, there $\exists c_1$ and n_0 such that $\forall n \geq n_0$ we have $0 \leq 2 \cdot 2^n \leq c_1 2^n$. Take c_1

$= 2$ and $n_0 = a$, where $a > 0$. **TRUE**

case 2^{2n} :

if so, there $\exists c_1$ and n_0 such that $\forall n \geq n_0$ we have $0 \leq 2^n \cdot 2^n \leq c_1 2^n \rightarrow 0 \leq 2^n$

$\leq c_1$. **False** since: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n = \infty$. **FALSE**

3.2.4 Prove the following

"For any two functions $f(n)$ and $g(n)$, we have $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if and only if $f(n) = O(g(n))$ and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ "

case 1:

If $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ then $\exists c_1, c_2$ and $n_0 > 0$ such that $\forall n \geq n_0$ we have $0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n)$.

case 2:

If $f(n) = O(g(n))$ and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ then $\exists c_1$ and n_0 such that $0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n); \forall n \geq n_0$ and $\exists c_2$ and n_1 such that $0 \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n) \forall n \geq n_1$. Choose now $n_0^* = \max\{n_0, n_1\}$ and $c_1^* = c_1$ and $c_2^* = c_2$

3.2.5 Prove that the running time of an algorithm is $\Theta(g(n))$ if and only if its worst case running time is $O(g(n))$ and its best case running time is $\Omega(g(n))$

Case 1:

Let $T(n)$ be the running time of an algorithm and $W(n)$ is the worst running case and $B(n)$ is the best running case. We know $W(n) = O(g(n))$ and $B(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ so there $\exists c_1, n_0, n_1$, and $c_2 > 0$ such that $0 \leq W(n) \leq c_1 g(n) \forall n \geq n_0$ and $0 \leq c_2 g(n) \leq B(n); \forall n \geq n_1$. Take $n_2 = \max\{n_1, n_0\} \rightarrow 0 \leq c_2 g(n) \leq B(n) \leq T(n) \leq W(n) \leq c_1 g(n) \forall n \geq n_2 \rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(g(n))$

Case 2:

The worst case and best case running time are part of the running time function, if it $\in \Theta(g(n))$ then we know that $W(n) = O(g(n))$ and $B(n) = \Omega(g(n))$

3.2.6 Prove that $o(g(n)) \cap \omega(g(n))$ is the empty set

$o(g(n)) = \{f(n); \text{for any } c > 0 \exists n_0 > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq f(n) < c g(n) \forall n \geq n_0\}$

$\omega(g(n)) = \{t(n); \text{for any } c_1 > 0 \exists n_1 > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq c_1 g(n) < f(n) \forall n \geq n_1\}$

Suppose Exists a function $J(n)$ such that $J(n) \in o(g(n))$ and

$\in \omega(g(n))$ so for any c and c_1 [so if we take $c_1 = c$ for our choices > 0 we would have:

$0 \leq c g(n) < f(n) < c g(n) \forall n \geq n_2$, such that: $n_2 = \max\{n_0, n_1\}$. What is Impossible

3.2.7 We can extend our notation to the case of two parameters n and m that can go to ∞ independently at different rates. For a given function $g(n, m)$, we denote by $O(g(n, m))$ the set of functions $O(g(n, m)) = \{f(n, m); \exists c, n_0 \text{ and } m_0 > 0 \text{ such } 0 \leq f(n, m) \leq cg(n, m) \forall n \geq n_0 \text{ or } m \geq m_0\}$. Give corresponding definitions for $\Omega(g(n, m))$ and $\Theta(g(n, m))$.

Similar definitions would be:

$$\Omega(g(n, m)) = \{f(n, m): \text{there exists positive constants, } c, n_0 \text{ and } m_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq cg(n, m) \leq f(n, m) \forall n \geq n_0 \text{ or } m \geq m_0\}$$

$$\Theta(g(n, m)) = \{f(n, m): \text{there exists positive constants, } c, n_0 \text{ and } m_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq cg(n, m) \leq f(n, m) \leq cg(n, m) \forall n \geq n_0 \text{ or } m \geq m_0\}$$

3.3.1 Show that if $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are monotonically increasing functions, then so are the functions $f(n) + g(n)$ and $f(g(n))$ and if f and g are also, nonnegative $f(n) \cdot g(n)$ is monotonically increasing

Let $h(n) = f(n) + g(n)$ and $L(n) = f(g(n))$

if $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are monotonically increasing, then if

$$n_1 \geq n_2 \rightarrow f(n_1) \geq f(n_2) \text{ and } g(n_1) \geq g(n_2) \rightarrow f(n_1) + g(n_1) \geq f(n_2) + g(n_2) \rightarrow h(n_1) \geq h(n_2). \text{ Hence } f(n) + g(n) \text{ is monotonically increasing}$$

$$\text{if } n_1 \geq n_2 \rightarrow g(n_1) \geq g(n_2) \rightarrow f(g(n_1)) \geq f(g(n_2)).$$

Hence $f(g(n))$ is monotonically increasing

$$\text{if } n_1 \geq n_2 \rightarrow g(n_1) \geq g(n_2) \geq 0 \text{ and } f(n_1) \geq f(n_2) \geq 0 \rightarrow fg(n_1) \geq fg(n_2);$$

Hence $f(g(n))$ is monotonically increasing

3.3.2 Prove that $\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor + \lceil 1 - \alpha n \rceil = n$ for any integer n and real number α

We just need to use the following properties of floor and ceiling functions:

$$-\lfloor x \rfloor = \lceil x \rceil \text{ and } \lfloor n + x \rfloor = n + \lfloor x \rfloor, \text{ where } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor + \lceil (1 - \alpha)n \rceil = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor + \lceil n - \alpha n \rceil = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor + n + \lceil -\alpha n \rceil = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor + n - \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor = n$$

3.3.3 Show that $(n + o(n)^k) = \Theta(n^k)$ for any real constant k . Conclude that $[n]^k = \Theta(n^k)$ and $\lfloor n \rfloor^k = \Theta(n^k)$

let be $f(n) \in o(n)$, so we have that $\forall c > 0 \exists n_0 > 0$ such that $0 \leq f(n) < cn \forall n > n_0$
 $n \leq f(n) + n < cn + n = (c + 1)n \rightarrow$

We have that for $c = c_1 > 0 \exists n_1 > 0$ such that $n^k \leq (f(n) + n)^k < (c_1 + 1)^k n^k \forall n \geq n_1$, so choose $c_2 = 1, c_3 = (c_1 + 1)^k$ and $n_0 = n_1$ and so we have that:

$(n + o(n))^k = \Theta(n^k)$ since $\exists c_2, c_3$ and n_0 that $0 \leq c_2 n^k \leq (n + o(n))^k \leq c_3 n^k \forall n > n_0$

3.3.4 Prove the following:

a) $a^{\log_b c} = c^{\log_b(a)}$

b) $n! = o(2^n), n! = \omega(n!)$ and $\lg(n!) = \Theta(n \lg(n))$

c) $\lg(\Theta(n)) = \Theta(\lg(n))$

a) $\log_b c \cdot \log_b a = \log_b a \cdot \log_b c \rightarrow \log_b a^{\log_b c} = \log_b c^{\log_b a} \rightarrow a^{\log_b c} = c^{\log_b(a)}$

b. 1) We know that $\frac{n!}{n^n} = \frac{n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \dots 1}{n \cdot n \cdot n \dots n}$. Each term is ≤ 1 . We have that $n!$

$\leq n^n$. It is true for $n = 1$. Suppose it holds for n , let's prove for $n + 1$:

$$(n+1) \cdot n! \leq (n+1)n^n = n^{n+1} + n^n \leq (n+1)^{n+1}$$

$= (n)^{n+1} + (n+1) \cdot n^n + \text{positive number}$. So, it holds for $n \geq 1$. We have

$$0 \leq \frac{n!}{n^n} \leq \frac{1}{n}. \text{ Now since the } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0 \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{n^n} = 0 \therefore \text{ for any } c \exists n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq n!$$

$$< cn^n \forall n \geq n_0$$

b. 2) for any $c \exists n_0$ such that $0 \leq c2^n < n! \forall n \geq n_0$. $\frac{2^n}{n!} = \frac{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \dots 2}{n \cdot (n-1) \dots 2 \cdot 1}$

$$\leq \frac{4}{n}. \text{ So } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{n!} = 0 \rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists n_0 > 0 \text{ that if } n > n_0$$

$$\frac{2^n}{n!} < \epsilon, \text{ take } \epsilon = \frac{1}{c} \text{ and the proof holds.}$$

b. 3) We want to prove that $\exists c_1, c_2$ and $n_0 > 0$, such that $0 \leq c_1 n \lg(n) \leq \lg(n!)$

$$\leq nc_2 \lg(n)$$

We know that $n^n > n! \rightarrow n \log(n) > \lg(n!). \forall n \geq 0$ (We can prove it by induction).

Now if we can prove that $\exists c_1$ such that $n^{c_1 n} \leq n! \forall n \geq n_0$ we are done. If we take c_1

$= 0.5$ it holds since:

$$\frac{n}{n^2} \leq n! \text{ if } n = 4. \text{ Now let's see the ratio of the terms } \frac{(n+1)!}{n!} = (n+1)$$

$$\frac{(n+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{n^{\frac{n}{2}}} = \left((n+1) \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \text{ Now this ratio is } < (n+1) \text{ for } n \geq 4 \leftrightarrow$$

$$\left((n+1) \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (n+1) \leftrightarrow (n+1) \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^n \leq (n+1)^2 \leftrightarrow \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^n \leq (n+1) \rightarrow$$

since $n+1 = 5$ and $\left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^n$ is bounded by euler

There exists $c_1 = 0.5$, such that if $n > 4$ we know that $c_1 n \log(n) \leq \lg(n!)$.

c) let $g(n) = \Theta(n) \rightarrow \exists c_1, c_2$ and n_0 such that $0 \leq c_1 n \leq g(n) \leq c_2 n \forall n$

$> n_0$. Since logarithm is a monotonically increasing, we know that \rightarrow

$$0 \leq \lg(c_1 n) \leq \lg(g(n)) \leq \lg(c_2 n) \rightarrow$$

$$0 \leq \lg(n) \leq \lg(c_1) + \lg(n) \leq \lg(g(n)) \leq \lg(c_2) + \lg(n) \rightarrow \text{So we know by far that}$$

$$\exists c_1, c_2 \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq c_1 n \leq g(n) \leq c_2 n \forall n > n_0$$

$$0 \leq \lg(n) \leq \lg(g(n)) \leq \lg(c_2) + \lg(n). \text{ if } c_2 \leq n_0 \text{ then } \lg(c_2) \leq \lg(n) \forall n > n_0 \text{ and so:}$$

$$0 \leq \lg(n) \leq \lg(g(n)) \leq \lg(c_2) + \lg(n) \leq 2 \lg(n). \text{ If } c_2 > n_0, \text{ take a new } n_0 \text{ such } n_0^{\text{new}} \text{ is } c_2$$

$$\text{So we know that } c_2 = 2, c_1 = 1 \text{ and } \exists n_0 \text{ that guarantees that } \lg(\Theta(n)) = \Theta(\lg(n))$$

3.3.5 Is the function $[\lg(n)]!$ polynomially bounded? Is the function $[\lg \lg(n)]!$ polynomially bounded?

$[\lg(n)]!$ is not polynomially bounded: suppose $\exists n_0$ and $c_1 > 0$ such that $\forall n \geq n_0$ we have

$$0 \leq [\lg(n)]! \leq c_1 n^k. \text{ for any } n_0 \text{ we will always have numbers } 2^b > n_0 \forall b \geq \log(n_0)$$

And so $0 \leq b! \leq c_1 2^{bk}$. This would imply that $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b!}{2^{bk}} = 0$, but this limit $= \infty$

3.3.6 Which is asymptotically larger? $\lg^*(n)$ or $\lg^* \lg(n)$?

$\lg^* \lg(n) = \lg^* n - 1$. So $\lg^* \lg(n)$ is asymptotically larger

3.3.7 Show that the golden ratio ϕ and its conjugate $\bar{\phi}$ both satisfy the equation $x^2 = x + 1$

Just substitute the golden ratio value in the equation

3.3.8 Prove by induction that the i th Fibonacci number satisfies the equation:

$$F_i = \frac{\phi^i - \bar{\phi}^i}{\sqrt{5}}, \text{ where } \phi \text{ is the golden ratio and } \bar{\phi}^i \text{ its conjugate}$$

$F_0 = 0$, and $F_1 = 1$. Base cases verified. if F_i and F_{i-1} holds, lets prove it holds for F_{i+1}

$$F_{i+1} = \frac{\phi^i - \bar{\phi}^i}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\phi^{i-1} - \bar{\phi}^{i-1}}{\sqrt{5}} = \frac{\phi^{i+1} \left(\frac{1}{\phi^1} + \frac{1}{\phi^2} \right) - \bar{\phi}^{i+1} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\phi}^1} + \frac{1}{\bar{\phi}^2} \right)}{\sqrt{5}} = \frac{\phi^{i+1} - \bar{\phi}^{i+1}}{\sqrt{5}}$$

3.3.9 Show that $k \lg k = \Theta(n)$ implies $k = \Theta(n/\lg(n))$

$\exists n_0, c_1$ and c_2 such that $\forall n \geq n_0$ $0 \leq c_1 n \leq k \lg(k) \leq c_2 n \rightarrow$

$$0 \leq n \leq c_3 k \lg(k) \quad \forall n \geq n_0; \left[c_3 = \frac{1}{c_1} \right] \text{ and } 0 \leq c_4 k \lg(k) \leq n \left[c_4 = \frac{1}{c_2} \right] \rightarrow$$

$0 \leq c_4 k \lg(k) \leq n \leq c_3 k \lg(k) \rightarrow$ applying log we have

$$0 \leq \lg(k) \leq \lg(c_4) + \lg(k) + \lg(\lg(k)) = \lg(c_4 k \lg(k)) \leq \lg(n) \leq$$

$$\lg(c_3 k \lg(k)) = \lg(c_3) + \lg(k) + \lg(\lg(k)) \leq \lg(c_3) + 2 \lg(k)$$

So we know that $0 \leq c_1 \lg(k) \leq \lg(n) \leq c_2 \lg(k)$ and $0 \leq c_1 k \lg(k) \leq n \leq k \lg(k)$

Dividing we have $0 \leq c_1 k \leq \frac{n}{\lg(n)} \leq c_2 k$. By the same argument in the beginning

$$\text{We prove that } k = \Theta\left(\frac{n}{\lg(n)}\right)$$

3.1 Asynptotic behaviour of polynomials

let $p(n) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i n^i$, $a_d > 0$, be a degree d polynomial in n and let k be a constant

Prove the following:

a) if $k \geq d$ then $p(n) = O(n^k)$

Apply L'hospital Rule in $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(n)}{n^k} = 0$ or constant. So its clearly upper Bounded by n^k

b) if $k \leq d$ then $p(n) = \Omega(n^k)$

We can apply L'hospital Rule in the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^k}{p(n)}$ and verify that this limit is 0 or a constant.

So n^k is clearly Upper Bounded by $p(n)$ and so n^k lower bound $p(n)$

c) if $k = d$ then $p(n) = \Theta(n)$

If a and b occur, so if $k = d$, then $p(n)$ is $O(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$ $\therefore p(n) = \Theta(n)$

d) if $k > d$ then $p(n) = o(n^k)$

We can apply L'hospital Rule in the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(n)}{n^k}$ and verify that this limit is 0. So it's clearly upper Bounded by n^k . The formal definition of Limits in the infinity prove it

e) if $k < d$ then $p(n) = \omega(n)$

We can apply L'hospital Rule in the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^k}{p(n)}$ and verify that this limit is 0.

So n^k is clearly Upper Bounded by $p(n)$ and so n^k lower bound $p(n)$. The formal definition of limits in the infinity prove it more rigorously

3.2 Relative Asymptotic growths

Indicate, for each pair of expressions (A, B) in the table below whether A is O, o, Ω, ω , or Θ of B , write yes or no. $k \geq 1, e > 0$ and $c > 1$, are all constants

A	B	O	o	Ω	ω	Θ
$lg^k(n)$	n^e	yes	yes			
n^k	c^n	yes	yes	no	no	no
\sqrt{n}	$n^{\sin(n)}$	no	no	no	no	no
2^n	$2^{n/2}$	no	no	yes	yes	no
n^{lg^c}	c^{lg^n}	yes	no	yes	no	yes
$lg(n!)$	$lg(n^n)$	yes	no	yes	no	yes

1st row

$\exists c_1$ and n_0 such that $\forall n \geq n_0$ we have $0 \leq (lg(n))^k \leq c_1 n^e$? Yes, let's analyze the limits

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{lg^k n}{n^e} \rightarrow$ L'hôpital rule $\rightarrow \frac{k log^{k-1}(n)}{en^e} \rightarrow$ well, we can see and prove by induction,

but the exponent of the denominator will remain constant

and the exponent of the numerator will decay until be negative, in this situation clearly the limit will be 0. In this situation choose c_1

$= 1$ and it holds. In addition for any c_1 we have $0 \leq (lg(n))^k < c_1 n^e$

$\exists c_1$ and n_0 such that $\forall n \geq n_0$ we have $0 \leq c_1 n^e \leq (lg(n))^k$? No, by the limit above

For any $c \exists n_0$, such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have $0 \leq c_1 n^e < (lg(n))^k$? False, get c

$= 1$ and by the limit above it doesn't hold

2nd row

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^k}{c^n} = 0. \text{ So } \exists c_1 \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq n^k \leq c_1 c^n$$

$\exists c_1$ and n_0 such that $0 \leq c_1 c^n \leq n^k$? No. reason is the limit above

for any $c_1 > 0 \exists n_0 > 0$ such that $0 \leq c_1 c^n < n^k$? False, get $c_1 = 1$.

Then, by the limit above, there is no n_0 possible

for any $c_1 > 0 \exists n_0 > 0$ such that $0 \leq n^k < c_1 c^n$? Yes.

The formal definition of limit proves it directly

3rd row

$$\frac{1}{n} \leq n^{\sin(n)} \leq n \rightarrow \text{verify constants: } 0 \leq \sqrt{n} \leq c_1 n^{\sin(n)} \text{ for all } n \geq n_0? \text{ Clearly no}$$

$0 \leq c_1 n^{\sin(n)} \leq \sqrt{n}$, for all $n \geq n_0$? clearly no, since we will always have n such $c_1 n$
 $> \sqrt{n}$ whatever n_0 be

based on the two proofs above is Not $\Theta(n)$

for any $c > 0 \exists n_0 > 0$ such that $0 \leq cn^{\sin(n)} < \sqrt{n}$? no, choose c

$= 1$, for all n_0 we choose will exist a n such $n^{\sin(n)} > \sqrt{n}$

for any $c > 0 \exists n_0 > 0$ such that $0 \leq \sqrt{n} < cn^{\sin(n)}$? no, choose c

$= 1$, for all n_0 we choose will exist a n such $\sqrt{n} > \frac{1}{n}$

4th row

$0 \leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{2}} = 2^n \leq c_1 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$? No! since this would imply that $\exists c_1$ and n_0 such that $\forall n$
 $\geq n_0. 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq c_1$, which is clearly false.

$0 \leq c_1 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \rightarrow c_1 \leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \forall n \geq n_0$? yes, choose $n_0 = 2$ and $c_1 = 1$

Since its not $O(B)$, its not $\Theta(B)$

Does, for any $c > 0 \exists n_0 > 0$ such that $0 \leq c 2^{\frac{n}{2}} < 2^n \forall n \geq n_0$? Yes. Choose n_0
 $= \lg_2 c^2$ and the property holds

Does, for any $c > 0 \exists n_0 > 0$ such that $0 \leq 2^n < c 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \forall n \geq n_0$? No. If we could, then
for any c we have choosen $2^{n/2} < c \forall n > n_0$. Which is clearly false

5th row

We know that $x < x + k$, where k

> 0 . So we know that $\lg(c) \lg(n) \leq \lg(c) \lg(n) + \lg(c_1)$, where $c_1 > 1$

$$1 \log(n^{\log(c)}) \leq \lg(c_1 \cdot c^{\lg(n)}) \rightarrow 0 \leq n^{\log(c)} \leq c_1 c^{\log(n)}$$

$$0 \leq c_1 c^{\lg(n)} \leq n^{\lg(c)} \rightarrow \text{just get } c_1 < 1 \text{ and } > 0$$

For any $c, \exists n_0$ such that $0 \leq c^{\lg(n)} < n^{\log(c)}$? False. Take $c > 1$ and it won't hold

For any $c, \exists n_0$ such that $0 \leq n^{\log(c)} < c^{\lg(n)}$? No take $c < 1$ and it won't hold

6th row

We already know that $\lg(n!) = \Theta(\lg(n^n))$

For any $c > 0 \exists n_0$ such that $\forall n \geq n_0$ we have $0 \leq \lg(n!) < c \lg(n^n)$?

No. We already showed this doesn't hold for $c = \frac{1}{2}$

For any $c > 0 \exists n_0$ such that $\forall n \geq n_0$ we have $0 \leq c \lg(n^n) < \lg(n!)$? choose c
1, doesn't hold.

3.4 Asymptotic notation properties. Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be asymptotically positive functions.

Prove or Disprove each of the following conjectures

a) $f(n) = O(g(n))$ implies $g(n) = O(f(n))$

b) $f(n) + g(n) = \Theta(\min\{f(n), g(n)\})$

c) $f(n) = O(g(n))$ implies $\lg(f(n)) = O(\lg(g(n)))$, where $\lg(g(n)) \geq 1$ and $f(n) \geq 1$ for all sufficiently large n

d) $f(n) = O(g(n))$ implies $2^{f(n)} = O(2^{g(n)})$

e) $f(n) = O(f(n)^2)$

f) $f(n) = O(g(n))$ implies $g(n) = \Omega(f(n))$

g) $f(n) = \Theta(f(n/2))$

h) $f(n) + o(f(n)) = \Theta(f(n))$

a) let $f(n) = n$ and $g(n) = n^2$. FALSE

b) let $f(n) = n$ and $g(n) = n^2$. FALSE

**c) $\exists c, n_0$ such that $\forall n \geq n_0 \rightarrow 0 \leq f(n) \leq c_1 g(n) \rightarrow 0 \leq \log(f(n))$
 $\leq \log(c_1) + \log(g(n)) \leq \log(g(n)) \log(c_1) + \log(g(n)) = (1 + \log(c_1)) \log(g(n))$**

So just take new $c_1 = 1 + \log(c_1)$. TRUE

d) Suppose it's true and let $f(n) = 2n$ and $g(n) = n \rightarrow 2^n \cdot 2^n \leq c_1 2^n \rightarrow$

$(2^n < c_1)$ for certain c_1 , which is clearly false (limit definition proves it) FALSE

e) Suppose it's true and $0 \leq f(n) \leq c_1 f(n)^2 \rightarrow \text{let } f(n) = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow n$

$\leq c_1$ for certain c_1 , which is clearly false. **FALSE**

f) $0 \leq f(n) \leq c_1 g(n) \rightarrow 0 \leq \frac{1}{c_1} f(n) \leq g(n)$. **TRUE**

g) $0 \leq c_1 f\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 f\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \rightarrow \text{let } f(n) \text{ be } 2^n \rightarrow c_1 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq c_2 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \rightarrow 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq c_2$

\rightarrow which is clearly False, since $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ approaches infinity. **FALSE**

h) let $g(n)$ be a function such that for any $c > 0 \exists n_0 > 0$ such that if $n \geq n_0$ $0 \leq g(n)$

$< cf(n) \forall n \geq n_0$

Now we want to verify if $\exists c_1, c_2, n_0 > 0$ such that $0 \leq c_1 f(n) \leq f(n) + g(n)$

$\leq c_2 f(n)$. We know that, exist n_0 such $0 \leq g(n) < cf(n)$. So exist n_{01} such that $g(n)$

$< f(n)$. If choose c_2 to be 2, and n_0 to be n_{01} . **TRUE**

3.5 Manipulating asymptotic notation. let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be asymptotically positive functions. Prove the following identities

a) $\Theta(\Theta(f(n))) = \Theta(f(n))$

b) $\Theta(f(n)) + O(f(n)) = \Theta(f(n))$

c) $\Theta(f(n)) + \Theta(g(n)) = \Theta(f(n) + g(n))$

d) $\Theta(f(n)) \cdot \Theta(g(n)) = \Theta(f(n) \cdot g(n))$

e) argue that for any real constants $a_1, a_2 > 0$ and integer constants k_1, k_2

the following asymptotic bound holds $(a_1 n)^{k_1} \lg^{k_2}(a_2 n) = \Theta(n^{k_1} \lg^{k_2} n)$

f) Prove that for $S \subset \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\sum_{k \in S} \Theta(f(k)) = \Theta\left(\sum_{k \in S} f(k)\right)$, assuming that both

sums converge

g) Show that for $S \subset \mathbb{Z}$, the following asymptotic bound does not necessarily hold, even assuming that both products converge, by giving a counterexample:

$$\prod_{k \in S} \Theta(f(k)) = \Theta\left(\prod_{k \in S} f(k)\right)$$

a) let $g(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$. So, there exists c_1, c_2 and $n_0 > 0$ such that \rightarrow

$0 \leq c_1 f(n) \leq g(n) \leq c_2 f(n) \forall n \geq n_0$

Now let $j(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ so o, there exists c_{10}, c_{20} and $n_{00} > 0$ such that \rightarrow

$$0 \leq c_{10}g(n) \leq j(n) \leq c_{20}f(n) \forall n \geq n_0 \rightarrow$$

$$0 \leq c_1 c_{10}f(n) \leq j(n) \leq c_2 c_{20}f(n). \text{ So } \Theta(f(n)) = \Theta(\Theta(f(n)))$$

b) let $g(n) \in O(f(n)) \rightarrow$ So, there exists c_1 and $n_0 > 0$ such that \rightarrow

$$0 \leq g(n) \leq c_1 f(n) \forall n \geq n_0 \text{ Now let } J(n)$$

$\in \Theta(f(n) + g(n))$. So, there exists c_{10}, c_{20} and $n_{00} > 0$ such that \rightarrow

$$0 \leq c_{10}(f(n) + g(n)) \leq j(n) \leq c_2(f(n) + g(n)) \forall n \geq n_{00} \rightarrow$$

$$0 \leq c_{10}(f(n) + g(n)) \leq j(n) \leq c_2 f(n) + c_1 c_2 f(n) = c_3 f(n)$$

And we know that $0 \leq c_{10}f(n) \leq c_{10}(f(n) + g(n)) \leq j(n) \rightarrow$

$$\text{So } \Theta(f(n) + O(f(n))) = \Theta(f(n))$$

c) let $G(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ and $F(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$ So $\exists c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$ and n_0 such that $\forall n$

$\geq n_0$ we have $0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq G(n) \leq c_2 g(n)$ and $0 \leq c_3 f(n) \leq F(n) \leq c_4 f(n) \rightarrow$

$0 \leq c_1 g(n) + c_3 f(n) \leq G(n) + F(n) \leq c_2 g(n) + c_4 f(n)$. Now lets choose c_f

$= \max\{c_2, c_4\}$ and $c_i = \min\{c_1, c_3\}$ then $0 \leq c_i(g(n) + f(n)) \leq G(n) + F(n)$

$$\leq c_f(g(n) + f(n))$$

d) let $G(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ and $F(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$ So $\exists c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$ and n_0 such that $\forall n$

$\geq n_0$ we have $0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq G(n) \leq c_2 g(n)$ and $0 \leq c_3 f(n) \leq F(n) \leq c_4 f(n) \rightarrow$

$0 \leq c_1 g(n) c_3 f(n) \leq G(n) F(n) \leq c_2 g(n) c_4 f(n)$. Now lets choose $c_f = \max\{c_2, c_4\}$ and c_i

$= \min\{c_1, c_3\}$ then $0 \leq c_i(g(n)f(n)) \leq G(n)F(n) \leq c_f(g(n)f(n))$

$$e) 0 \leq c_1 n^{k_1} \lg(n)^{k_2} \leq a_1^{k_1} n^{k_1} \lg(a_2 n)^{k_2} 0 \leq c_2 n^{k_1} \lg(n)^{k_2} \rightarrow$$

$$0 \leq c_1 \lg(n)^{k_2} \leq a_1^{k_1} \lg(a_2 n)^{k_2} \leq c_2 \lg(n)^{k_2} \rightarrow$$

$$0 \leq \left(\frac{c_1}{a_1^{k_1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k_2}} \lg(n) \leq (\lg(k_2) + \lg(n)) \leq \left(\frac{c_2}{a_1^{k_1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k_2}} \lg(n)$$

$$0 \leq \lg(n) \leq \lg(a_2) + \lg(n) \leq \left(\frac{c_2}{a_1^{k_1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k_2}} \lg(n); \text{ let } c_1 = a_1^{k_1}$$

$$c_2 = a_1^{k_1} 2^{k_2}$$

$$\lg(a_2) + \lg(n) \leq 2 \lg(n) \forall n \geq a_2$$

Just begin from this last equation and make the way up!

f)

g)

