

Informative booklets for non-destructive testing

**NDT**

Compact and understandable

Volume 6

# ACOUSTIC EMISSION TESTING



Informative Booklets for Non-Destructive Testing

**NDT**

Compact and understandable

Volume 6

# ACOUSTIC EMISSION TESTING

Fundamentals, Equipment, Applications



Castell-Verlag GmbH

Wuppertal

in co-operation with



Vollen  
Systeme

**KARL DEUTSCH**  
PHIL- UND MESSGERÄTEBAU

German Society for  
Non-destructive Testing

## Volume 6

# Acoustic Emission Testing

## Fundamentals, Equipment, Applications

by

Hartmut Vallen

The German Library – CIP-standard reception

Dipl.-Ing. Hartmut Vallen

Acoustic Emission Testing; Fundamentals, Equipment, Applications

© Castell Publication Inc., Wuppertal 2006

The main purpose of Acoustic Emission Testing (abbreviated: AE or AT) is the detection of growing defects (i.e. cracks) of tanks, pressure vessels, reactors and piping systems during elevated pressurization.

The detection of defects is performed by measuring and analyzing acoustic emission signals, typically within the frequency range of 20 kHz to 2 MHz which are emitted when cracks grow or other rapid local dislocations within the stressed structure occur.

Because of its capability to detect defects right at the moment of their growth, the AE testing method may also be used as a real-time monitoring and warning system to avoid a failure of the structure under test with possibly disastrous consequences.

Being an entire passive non-destructive test method, AE-Testing is very well suited for a large number of applications, particularly for those, which are not accessible by other testing methods.

Printed in Germany

Layout, printing and processing:  
Limberg Druck GmbH, Kaarst

ISBN 3 - 934 255 - 26 - 4

## Content

|  |           |   |    |
|--|-----------|---|----|
| <b>1. Overview</b>   | <b>6</b>  |   |    |
| 1.1 Introduction   | 6         | 4.1 Numerical Display                               | 33 |
| 1.2 Terminology  | 7         | 4.2 Cumulative and Differential Diagrams – a Sample | 33 |
| 1.3 Sources of AE  | 8         | Evaluation  |    |
| 1.4 Propagation of AE Waves – Even from Inaccessible Locations                             | 9         | 4.3 Pressure vs. Time                               | 34 |
| 1.5 AE Process Chain   | 10        | 4.4 Amplitude Distribution, a Sample Evaluation     | 37 |
| 1.6 Transient and Continuous Signals   | 11        | 4.5 Point Diagrams Showing Quantity vs. Time or     | 37 |
| 1.7 Determination of the Arrival Time  | 12        | Quantity vs. Quantity                               | 38 |
| 1.8 AE Parameters  | 13        | 4.6 Location Charts Including an Image of the Test  | 38 |
| 1.9 External Parameters  | 15        | Object and a Cluster Chart                          | 38 |
|  |           | 4.7 Diagrams of Waveforms vs. Time and Frequency    | 39 |
| <b>2. AE Measurement Chain</b>   | <b>16</b> |   |    |
| 2.1 Couplant   | 16        |   |    |
| 2.2 Hsu-Nielsen-Source   | 17        |   |    |
| 2.3 Sensors – Converting the Mechanical Wave into an Electrical AE Signal                  | 17        |   |    |
| 2.4 dB and dB <sub>AE</sub> -Scales  | 19        |   |    |
| 2.5 Attachment of the sensors  | 19        |   |    |
| 2.6 Sensor to Preamplifier cable   | 19        |   |    |
| 2.7 AE Pre-amplifier   | 20        |   |    |
| 2.8 Pre-amplifier to System Cable  | 21        |   |    |
| 2.9 Frequency Filter   | 21        |   |    |
| 2.10 A/D Converter   | 21        |   |    |
| 2.11 Feature Extraction  | 23        |   |    |
| 2.12 Transient Recorder  | 23        |   |    |
| 2.13 Data Buffer   | 23        |   |    |
| 2.14 Personal Computer and Software  | 24        |   |    |
| 2.15 Sensor Coupling Test (also referred to as auto-calibration)                           | 24        |   |    |
| 2.16 Front View of an AE System  | 25        |   |    |
| <b>3. Location Calculation and Clustering</b>  | <b>27</b> |   |    |
| 3.1 Location Calculation Based on Time Differences   | 27        |   |    |
| 3.2 Clustering   | 29        |   |    |
| 3.3 Location Errors  | 30        |   |    |
| <b>4. Visualization of Measurement Results</b>   | <b>33</b> |   |    |
| 4.1 Numerical Display  | 33        |   |    |
| 4.2 Cumulative and Differential Diagrams – a Sample  | 33        |   |    |
| Evaluation   |           |   |    |
| 4.3 Pressure vs. Time  | 34        |   |    |
| 4.4 Amplitude Distribution, a Sample Evaluation  | 37        |   |    |
| 4.5 Point Diagrams Showing Quantity vs. Time or  | 37        |   |    |
| Quantity vs. Quantity  |           |   |    |
| 4.6 Location Charts Including an Image of the Test   | 38        |   |    |
| Object and a Cluster Chart   |           |   |    |
| 4.7 Diagrams of Waveforms vs. Time and Frequency   | 39        |   |    |
| <b>5. Characterization of AE Sources</b>   | <b>40</b> |   |    |
| 5.1 The Kaiser-Effect  | 40        |   |    |
| 5.2 Clustering   | 41        |   |    |
| 5.3 Intensity (Mean Values of Amplitudes or Energy)  | 41        |   |    |
| 5.4 Increase of Activity   | 41        |   |    |
| 5.5 High Amplitudes, also Single Signals (Big Bang, Forced Rupture)                        | 41        |   |    |
| 5.6 Activity during Phases of Constant Pressure  | 41        |   |    |
| 5.7 Amplitude Distribution   | 41        |   |    |
| 5.8 Indications of Sources of Interference   | 42        |   |    |
| <b>6. Advantages and Limitations of AE Exemplified by the Water and Gas Pressure Tests</b> | <b>43</b> |   |    |
| 6.1 Advantages   | 43        |   |    |
| 6.2 Limitations  | 44        |   |    |
| 6.3 Spherical Liquid Gas Tank as an Example for the Test Procedure                         | 45        |   |    |
| <b>7. AE Testing Standards</b>   | <b>47</b> |   |    |
| <b>8. Conclusion</b>   | <b>48</b> |   |    |

## 1. Overview

### 1.1 Introduction

AE-Analysis is an extremely powerful technology that can be deployed within a wide range of usable applications of non-destructive testing: metal pressure vessels, piping systems, reactors, and similar. This paper focuses on this kind of applications. Other types of applications will only be mentioned briefly:

- Non-destructive testing of heavily mechanically stressed components or complete structures of fibre-reinforced plastics or composites, as used e.g. in the aerospace industry.
- Material research (e.g. investigation of material properties, breakdown mechanisms, and damage behaviour)
- Inspection and quality assurance, e.g. monitoring of welding and wood drying processes, series inspection of ceramic components, scratch tests and more.
- Real-time leakage test and location within various components, ranging from a small valve up to a tank bottom with diameter of 100m.
- Geological and micro-seismic research.
- Detection and location of high-voltage partial discharges in large transformers.




Figure 1:

Online testing of a stainless steel vessel, length 25m, diameter 4m

As we will see, the AE analysis provides additional information compared to the hydro test, e.g. whether a test object has **weak spots** and

where they are located, even if they are not yet relevant under security aspects. So, AE testing is the more valuable technique with respect to the acquisition of information. Therefore AE testing should be taken into consideration for any kind of repetition tests of large vessels, where appropriate, in parallel to the hydro test.

Fig. 1 shows a vessel with a length of 25 m and a diameter of 4 m, which is undergoing detailed AE measurements.

### 1.2 Terminology

This paper refers to certain standard terms (EN1330-9) defined by a workgroup of CEN TC138 (n.d.: not defined by the standards, just added for a better understanding):

**AE (AE):**

A transient elastic wave produced by rapid release of (elastic) energy or during certain processes.

**AE-event:**

A physical event causing AE, e.g. crack formation.

**AE-source:**

The physical origin of one or more AE events. This can e.g. be a crack, growing step by step. Each growing of the crack is an AE event.

**AE signal:**  
The electrical signal of the sensor resulting from AE

**Transient signal, burst:** AE signal with clearly detectable start and end

**Hit:**

A burst detected by the AE system

**AE-activity:**

Occurrence of AE signals as a result of AE

**AE-intensity:**

The strength of the AE events in units of e.g. energy or amplitude (n.d.)

**AE channel:**

Single AE sensor including the associated instruments for the acquisition and measurement of the AE signals = measurement chain

**Multi-channel system:**

System providing multiple AE channels, e.g. for source location, or for examining areas too large for one sensor (n.d.)

Acronyms used are 'AE' for 'AE' (according to EN 1330-9) and 'AT' for 'AE Testing' (according to EN473-2000).

### 1.3 Sources of AE

All solid materials have certain elasticity. They become strained or compressed under external forces and spring back when released. The higher the force and the elastic deformation, the higher the stored elastic energy. If the elastic limit is exceeded a fracture occurs immediately if it is a brittle material, or after certain plastic deformation. If the elastically strained material contains a defect, e.g. a welded joint defect, a non-metallic inclusion, incompletely welded gas bubble or similar, cracks may occur at heavily stressed spots, rapidly relaxing the material by a fast dislocation. This rapid release of elastic energy is what we call an AE event. It produces an elastic wave that propagates and can be detected by appropriate sensors, and analyzed. The impact at its origin is a wideband movement (up to some MHz). The frequency of AE testing of metallic objects is in the ultrasound range, usually between 100 and 300 kHz.

Everybody knows the sound of breaking glass but it is not as widely known, that tiny cracks in steel or other solid materials emit very intense ultrasound bursts. AE testing detects and interprets the acoustic events resulting from these crack processes and can identify, locate, and display the beginning of damage to the tested object within very short time.

During plastic deformation, dislocations move through the crystal lattice. These movements also produce AE but most of these processes (except for twinning) only have very low amplitudes, which can be measured reliably only with a short sensor distance and in a laboratory environment. Most of these processes produce continuous signals rather than short bursts. Bursts are pulses or short wave packets, the type of signals AE testing is based on.

AE testing is a **passive, receptive** technique analyzing the ultrasound pulses emitted by a defect at the moment of its occurrence. In contrast to the ultrasound technique one does not measure the response to an artificial and repeatable acoustic excitation of the test object. Instead, the sound signals which are produced by defects are evaluated every growth of a defect is a unique event and cannot be exactly reproduced again.

The AE analysis is a **dynamic technique**. AE occurs when a crack grows or when the crack borders rub against each other, e.g. when a crack closes after relaxation of the test object. Usually, the test object must be stressed exceeding the operating level in order to have local defects grow and emit acoustic emission. Therefore AE analysis is the appropriate technique especially in those cases, where test objects are anyway stressed more

than under normal conditions, e.g. the first proof test or re-qualification tests of pressure vessels.

Also corrosion, e.g. at the bottom of oil tanks, produce burst AE that propagates through the liquid oil to the tank wall, where it can be detected. With leakages, AE is e.g. produced by turbulent flow in the leak itself or by particles rebounding from the tank support. Burst AE from leakages occurs mainly at high pressure. Small pressure differences mainly cause laminar flow that emits continuous AE with low amplitudes and small propagation distances.

Also, if due to mechanical loading composites de-laminate, glue joints detach, fibre reinforcements break, etc. AE is produced, which can be analyzed for testing or monitoring of these structures.

### 1.4 Propagation of AE Waves – Even from Inaccessible Locations

A short, transient AE event is produced by a very fast release of elastic energy, in fact a local dislocation movement. This local dislocation is the source of an elastic wave that propagates in all directions and cannot be stopped any more. It is similar to an earthquake, with the epicenter at the defect, but with microscopic dimensions.

On flat surfaces, the wave propagates in the terms of **concentric circles** around its source and can be detected by one or more sensors. During propagation, the wave is attenuated. The maximum distance, where an AE event can still be detected depends on various parameters, e.g. on the material properties, the geometry of the test object, its content and environment, etc. On flat or cylindrical metal surfaces, events can still be detected at a distance of several meters, which is one of the great advantages of this technique.

AE-testing can cover areas reliably which are not accessible by other testing methods.

Tank bottom testing preferably analyzes waves which propagate through the liquid from the source to the tank wall.

In liquid filled pipelines the maximum distance AE events can be detected is usually longer than in gas filled tubes, because the AE signal attenuates less in the liquid volume than in the thin tube wall.




Figure 2: Propagation of sound

Depending on the position of the AE source, the wave reaches the sensors with certain delays. The position of the source can be calculated using the different arrival times. This is called 'location calculation'. Thanks to the high computing power of modern PCs, the location calculation can be done in real-time, i.e. during the examination, and the results can be displayed immediately. This will be discussed in detail in one of the following chapters.

### 1.5 AE Process Chain

This booklet will just provide an overview, as a detailed discussion of the single steps would exceed its scope. The AE process chain basically consists of the following links:

1. Test object and application of load: Produce mechanical tensions
2. Source mechanisms:
3. Wave propagation:
4. AE sensors:
5. Acquisition of measurement data: Converting the electrical AE signal into an electronic data set
6. Display of measurement data: Plotting the recorded data into diagrams
7. Evaluation of the display: From diagrams to a safety-relevant interpretation

As can be seen in figure 3, mechanical stress has to be produced within the test object, which is usually done by applying external forces. The behaviour of the material and the starting point of the release of elastic energy, e.g. by crack formation or growth, are influenced by the material properties and the environmental conditions.

The elastic wave propagating through the material is detected and converted into the electrical AE signal by the AE sensors.

The AE System processes the AE signal, converts the received wave packets into feature data sets, determines the source locations, calculates statistics, and displays them graphically and numerically.

So-called parametric channels measure the environmental conditions as well as the external load as reference parameters for the detected AE.





Figure 3: AE process chain (schematic)

### 1.6 Transient and Continuous Signals

Basically, there are two types of AE signals, transient and continuous signals. With transient AE signals, also called bursts, start and end points deviate clearly from background noise. With continuous AE signals, we can see amplitude and frequency variations but the signal never ends. In figure 4, an example of both types of AE signals are shown.



*Figure 4: Transient (left) and continuous (right) AE signal*

The useful signals for AE testing with large pressure vessels are burst type signals, e.g. originating from fracture or crack growth. Continuous signals are mostly unwanted (noise) signals such as friction or flow noise. But even burst signals can be interfering signals, e.g. short friction noise or electrical spikes. At best the background noise is just the electronic noise of the preamplifier or the sensor.


### 1.7 Determination of the Arrival Time

One of the very important tasks of an AE system is to convert the AE bursts into compact data sets and to eliminate the background noise (which is more or less continuous).

For this, modern AE systems use detection thresholds. The threshold has to be set to the right value by the user. If the AE signal exceeds the threshold in either positive or negative direction, this means the start of a hit (a hit is a detected burst).

The time of the first threshold crossing is called "arrival time of the burst" and is needed for location calculation. Waveforms displayed in figure 4 and 5 are produced by joining many single points called 'samples'. They correspond to single measurements at constant time intervals.

Digital systems sample the AE signal e.g. every 100 ns, which means 10 million times a second. The unit of the time axes of the above diagrams is 1 μs, i.e. every 10 μs interval contains 100 samples. A wave packet of 100 μs as shown above is made of more than 1000 samples, which shows the huge amount of memory required for a single burst.



*Figure 5: Determination of the arrival time*

### 1.8 AE Parameters

In very few cases, AE testing is based on only a few bursts. In general, some hundreds or thousands of bursts are recorded for statistical evaluation. Statistical evaluation of the waveforms themselves is difficult, but certain features of waveforms can be evaluated statistically. One has to determine the most important parameters of each waveform in order to compare the results of the structure under test with those of a defect-free test object and with those of a defective test object.

The most commonly used features are (see figure 6):

- Arrival time (absolute time of first threshold crossing)
- Peak amplitude
- Rise-time (time interval between first threshold crossing and peak amplitude)
- Signal duration (time interval between first and last threshold crossing)
- Number of threshold crossings (counts) of the threshold of one polarity
- Energy (integral of squared (or absolute) amplitude over time of signal duration)

## • RMS (root mean square) of the continuous background noise (before the burst)

AE bursts are not only produced by the defects we are looking for but can also originate from drop-ins such as peak values of the background noise, which sometimes exceed a low threshold. Therefore, it is very important to determine those characteristics that distinguish the wanted, from the unwanted bursts.




Figure 6: Features of transient signals

The peak amplitude is one of the most important burst features. Crack signals show medium to high amplitudes and have durations of some 10 µs, depending on the test object's properties.

In most cases, bursts with less than 3 threshold crossings and durations of less than 3 µs can be regarded as unwanted signals. Most of the bursts with low amplitudes and long duration are friction noise. Very short signals may indicate electrical noise peaks, especially, if they arrive at all channels at the same time.

With logical filters one can separate bursts on the basis of those burst features in a flexible way. This must be done carefully: Always make sure not to miss inadvertently important bursts.

## 1.9 External Parameters

External parameters, such as pressure or temperature are used as reference for the measured AE data. A parametric channel is a DC signal input, which represents the actual value of an external parameter, such as pressure, temperature, etc. Figure 7 shows an example for a common display of the total number of events and pressure vs. time




Figure 7: Events (left axis) & pressure (right axis) vs. time

## 2. AE Measurement Chain

The diagram in figure 8 shows the schematic of an AE measurement chain, from the coupling agent (also called couplant) up to the PC.

The AE wave is picked up at the sensor position through a coupling agent. The sensor converts the wave into an electric

AE-signal that is then amplified and transferred through a cable (can be several 100m long) to the AE channel plug-in. There the signal is processed into a signal data set and then transferred to a computer which stores, analyses and displays the data.




Figure 8: AE measurement chain

### 2.1 Couplant

The coupling agent is crucial to the quality of sensor coupling. It provides a good acoustic contact between the sensor and the surface of the test object. Make sure to select the appropriate couplant, which does not corrode the test object's surface, and which fits to the given temperature. Usually, silicone grease (high vacuum grease), oil, or glue can be used.

**Note:** Some of the glues become brittle and produce strong cracking noise with smallest movement of the test object! Therefore it is recommended to glue an elastic sensor hold down at the sample and to fix the sensor with an elastic device, e.g. a rubber band, against the test object's surface.

For best coupling results, do not take too much of the couplant and make the layer as thin as possible by firmly pressing the sensor against the test object's surface. After attaching the sensor(s), the quality of the coupling must be verified (pencil lead break, automatic coupling test). If required, the coupling procedure must be repeated.

## 2.2 Hsu-Nielsen-Source

The pencil lead break (also termed as Hsu-Nielsen-Source or HSN-Source) is a frequently used artificial acoustic source, which produces well reproducible acoustic events. A pencil lead (usually 2H, 0.3 mm) is broken against the structure under a defined angle. A special shoe (specified e.g. in ASTM standard E976) aids in breaking the lead consistently. The Hsu-Nielsen-Source is used e.g. to verify the sensor coupling or to determine the acoustic attenuation of a structure.

### 2.3 Sensors – Converting the Mechanical Wave into an Electrical AE Signal




Figure 9: Basic setup of an AE sensor

Figure 10: AE sensor  
(with integrated pre-amplifier)

Piezoelectric sensors have proved to be most appropriate for AE testing. They are robust and more sensitive than other sensor techniques, e.g. capacitive, electro-dynamic, or laser-optical sensors.

When testing metal vessels for integrity, frequencies between 100 and 300 kHz are usually most interesting. The sensors used for this frequency range have a resonance of about 150 kHz and cover the range of 100 – 300 kHz with a variation of sensitivity of approximately 6 dB. The resonance frequency determines indirectly the spatial range of the sensor: High frequencies attenuate faster, so they have a smaller detection distance. Background noise coming from longer distances consists only of

frequency components below 100 kHz, so they have only a small influence on the measurement chain tuned to 100 – 300 kHz.

For testing tank bottoms, sensors with a high sensitivity in the range down to 25 kHz are required, because signals run over long distances. Hence it is very important to find and turn off potential noise sources.

Often the sensors already contain a pre-amplifier and are attached to the objects using magnetic holding devices. The amplified AE signal is transmitted to the AE system via a signal cable. Usually, the 28V<sub>DC</sub> power supply for the pre-amplifiers is fed through the signal cable. The signal cable can have a length of several 100 m.

The sensitivity of piezoelectric sensors can reach values of up to 1000V/ $\mu$ m. A displacement of 0.1 pm generates 100 $\mu$ V<sub>PK</sub> then and can be well distinguished from the electrical noise (about 10 $\mu$ V<sub>FR</sub>).

Just for comparison: Atomic radii are in the range of 150 pm.

Examples: Mangan: 112pm, Lead: 175pm.

Displacements of 1/1000 of an atomic radius can produce well-distinguished AE signals!

Sensitivities of sensors are shown as frequency response diagrams (output voltage vs. frequency, see figure 11).

In Figure 11, the sensitivity is given in dB re 1V/ $\mu$ bar. So, -60 dB would mean an output voltage of 1 mV<sub>PK</sub> if the sensor would be excited with a sinusoidal pressure of 1  $\mu$ bar (peak value) of the frequency at the horizontal axis (e.g. in a water bath). (-60 dB means a factor of 0.001).




Figure 11: Frequency response of a resonant 150 kHz sensor (kHz)

## 2.4 dB and dB<sub>AE</sub>-Scales

The dB scale is a logarithmic expression for a factor or a ratio according to the equation

$$A[\text{dB}] = 20 \cdot \log \left( \frac{U_{\text{out}}}{U_{\text{in}}} \right)$$

The addition of dB values corresponds to the multiplication of their factors. Please, calculate the following examples mentally:

- 141,42 \* 0,0005 \* 1,4142. (= 0,1)
- 43dB – 66 dB + 3dB (= –20dB)

Both terms are equivalent but the addition of dB values is much easier than the multiplication of the factors.

Usually, the maximum amplitude of a burst is given in dB<sub>AE</sub>, so the reference voltage is 1  $\mu$ V ( $U_{\text{in}}$  in the equation above).

Therefore 0dB<sub>AE</sub> corresponds to 1  $\mu$ V, 20dB > 10 $\mu$ V, 40dB > 100 $\mu$ V, 60dB > 1mV, 100dB > 100mV.

## 2.5 Attachment of the sensors

Usually, AE sensors are attached to the test object using magnetic holders (see figure 12). With non-magnetic objects, elastic ties, tape, clamps, glue, etc are used.

When attaching sensors, please take care to avoid unwanted noise produced by loose parts, striking cables, etc.

During the whole measurement, a constant hold-down force must be ensured.

## 2.6 Sensor to Preamplifier cable

- Connects the sensor with the pre-amplifier
- Should not be longer than 1.2 m (because of capacitive load on the sensor)
- Usually very sensitive and thin (because of miniaturized sensor connectors)
- Must not be bent sharply or strained
- Never apply tensile load, especially to the connectors!

- May conduct unwanted acoustic noise through the connector up to the piezo element

This cable is not required for sensors with integrated pre-amplifier.



Figure 12: Magnetic holder including sensor

## 2.7 AE Pre-amplifier

The AE pre-amplifier can be either a separate device or is integrated into the sensor. It amplifies the AE signal and drives the cable between sensor and AE system. Important characteristics:

- Low input noise to distinguish the smallest sensor signals from electronic noise
- Large dynamic range to process high amplitudes without saturation
- Large range of operating temperature for applications in the neighbourhood of low temperature vessels as well as above the transition temperature from brittle to ductile behaviour
- Usual voltage supply: 28 V DC via signal cable
- Optional frequency filter
- Calibration pulse can be routed through to the sensor

## 2.8 Pre-amplifier to System Cable

- Coaxial cable, usually RG 58 C/U
- 50-Ohm BNC connectors at both ends
- May have a length of several 100 m
- Transmits AE signals, DC power supply, and calibration pulse for sensor coupling test

## 2.9 Frequency Filter

The frequency filter is used to eliminate unwanted frequency ranges (noise sources) and matches the measurement chain to the requirements of the application.

- 20 – 100 kHz for tank bottom tests (leakage, corrosion)
- 100-300 kHz for integrity testing metallic components
- Above 300 kHz for reduced range (smaller distance between sensors).

Filter modules are easy to exchange. Optionally, the required frequency range can be selected via the software in order to match the system with the requirements in the field without changing the measurement electronics (e.g. for easy switching between tank bottom and integrity testing).

## 2.10 A/D Converter

The A/D converter is used to digitize the AE signal that has passed the frequency filter. A huge measurement dynamic is required, as very strong bursts from nearby produce much higher amplitudes than weak ones from a large distance.

This is illustrated by figure 13. The demand on the complete measurement chain and especially on the A/D-converter, with respect to dynamic range and sample rate is enormous: Signals from weak sources at large distances shall be discriminated from the electronic noise and signals from strong sources at short distances must not saturate the measurement range. Thanks to the progress in microelectronics, such demands became feasible during the most recent years. Figure 13 shows a low and a high amplitude signal, as it was digitized by the AE-System (AMSY4, Vallen-Systeme) in the measurement range of +/-100mV. The left signal shows a burst amplitude of about 40µV, the right one of about 40 mV, a 1000 times higher amplitude.

The AE technique puts high demands not only on the dynamic range, but also on the measurement speed. In order to e.g. derive the maximum amplitude directly from the samples of the A/D converter (ADC) quickly and without extensive calculation processes, even the interesting signal frequencies between 100 – 300 kHz require a sample rate of 5 – 10 MHz.




Figure 13 AE signal dynamics - bursts with amplitudes of  $40\mu\text{V}$  (left) and  $40\text{mV}$  (right)

Figure 14 shows the section of the waveform in figure 13 (right) with the maximum amplitude at  $63.2\ \mu\text{s}$ . Each sample is displayed as a bullet. There are 5 bullets each  $\mu\text{s}$ , so, the curve was stored with a sample rate of 5 MHz. With half the sample rate, the measurement point at  $63.2\ \mu\text{s}$  would be missing, producing an additional measurement error of some percent. If the sample at  $59.6\ \mu\text{s}$  would be missing, the number of threshold crossings would be too low by one, as only this sample exceeds the positive threshold (at  $32\mu\text{V}$ ).




Figure 14: Section of the maximum amplitude at  $63.2\ \mu\text{s}$ . Bullets correspond to measured values at a sample rate of 5 Msamples/second

## 2.11 Feature Extraction

The Vallen systems AMSY4 and AMSY-5 use a continuous sampling rate of **10 MHz** for the feature extraction. This implies the huge amount of 10 million measurement values per second per channel, which has to be processed in real-time. Formerly this was not possible with reasonable efforts. Today it can be realized by FPGA's (Field Programmable Gate Arrays). These special ICs have thousands of processing elements that can be linked by software. Thereby special processing setups (pipeline structures) can be designed that perform the vast number of about 420 million instructions per second (420 MIPS). That corresponds to **42 instructions per sample (at 10 MHz)**. Result of these calculations is the feature extraction that is providing the above mentioned features (maximum amplitude, duration, rise-time, counts, energy, and others) for every hit in each channel.

## 2.12 Transient Recorder

In order to understand the AE signals better and to display the curve as in the picture above, sometimes the complete waveform is stored, even if this requires a large memory. The propagation of the wave can be extremely complex. Further research and improvements for future applications of the AE testing technique can only be done using those complete waveforms recorded by transient recorders. An enormous potential improvement of the AE technology is already within sight today.

The transient recorder is an optional addition to the channel plug-in.

## 2.13 Data Buffer

The data buffer prevents data loss in the case when the CPU is busy with other tasks and temporarily not ready to accept more data. Today's Windows PCs are extremely powerful but they are not made for strict **real-time processing**. Therefore **external buffers** are very important for those systems that use the advantages of standard Windows operating systems (memory of the AMSY5: approximately 100kHits AE, 8 MB/channel TR).

Up to this point, the number of channels working in parallel through the AE system bus is only limited by the size of the system case. Vallen-Systeme GmbH has realized AMSY4 systems with up to 144 channels, which are required if large test objects have to be monitored under load. With the new AMSY-5, the inter-connection of multiple system units became even more user-friendly due to extensive self test and self configuration abilities.

## 2.14 Personal Computer and Software

Modern AE systems use computers providing a menu-driven parameter input and system control. An online help system provides quick access to help texts explaining the use of the software.

First the result of a data acquisition is just a file containing the features of all the bursts of all the sensors as well as the external parameters, such as test pressure, temperature, and others. If the complete waveform is to be stored, another file is created. During the test the measured data is analyzed online and displayed, so the operator may immediately recognize the possible development of defects within the test object. He may then stop the load increase (e.g. pressurization at pressure tests) in order to minimize possible danger to man, environment, and the tested object.

The tasks of the PC are:

- Data acquisition and storage (all data are stored)
- Data analysis, online / offline
- Logical filtering (plausibility)
- Location calculation and clustering
- Statistics
- Display of the results (graphically and numerically)
- Self test of the system hardware

- Sensor coupling test, recording of the sensor frequency response
- Self test of the system hardware

## 2.15 Sensor Coupling Test (also referred to as auto-calibration)

Using this function the coupling of the sensors can be checked automatically.

One channel transmits an electrical test pulse to the connected sensor. This sensor emits a mechanical wave, which is detected by the neighbouring sensors. After 3 test pulses, the next sensor becomes the pulse emitter. The plausibility of the received amplitude allows the drawing of conclusions on the quality of the coupling.

The table on the right shows the results of a sensor coupling test with 6 channels.

Sample reading:

Row 6, column 1: value 73dB

The value of 73dB means that the wave emitted by channel six produced the amplitude of 73 dB at channel 1.





Figure 15: Block diagram of the automatic sensor coupling test

The automatic coupling test is performed before and after the test in order to prove a constant quality of the coupling during the whole test.

## 2.16 Front View of an AE System

Figure 16 shows the front view of a standard modular 15 channel AE system (model AMSY4 by Vallen Systeme GmbH) used for AE testing by test institutes with high reputations (e.g. TÜV Austria, TÜV Rheinland, TÜV Süddeutschland, Stress Engineering Services Inc., and many more).

### 3. Location Calculation and Clustering

#### 3.1 Location Calculation Based on Time Differences

The determination of the source location of each event is an essential element of AE testing. The distance differences between a source (defect) and different sensors are equal to **Arrival Time Difference** \* Sound Velocity. Location calculation is based on the evaluation of the arrival time differences of the AE signal propagating from its source to different sensors as illustrated in the two-dimensional example shown in Figure 17. An AE wave is propagating in concentric circles from its source and arrives at different sensors with certain delays. The delay is proportional to the distance between the sensor and the source. In this example the wave first reaches sensor 1, then 4, then 2 and lastly, sensor 3.




Figure 16: AMSY4 System with 15 AE channel plug-in modules (ASIPPs)

Figure 17: The principle of localization





Figure 18: Sensor signals

Figure 18 shows the waveforms of a breaking pencil lead on an acrylic glass plate with four sensors, configured similar to figure 17. The zero of the time axis marks the arrival time at sensor 1, this was the first-hit in this example. The arrival time differences between channel 1 and channels 2, 3, and 4 can be read at the time axes of the waveform diagrams.


All points having a constant difference between their distances to two fixed points form a hyperbola. Figure 19 shows three hyperbolae, each representing all points with the calculated distance difference to two sensors. At the point of intersection of the three hyperbolae, the three distance differences are equivalent to the measured time differences. So, this is the required source position. As can be seen in this example, the arrival time at three sensors is required to find the point of intersection. If an AE event only arrives at two

sensors, there is only one couple of sensors and, thus, only one hyperbola, which is not sufficient for this method to calculate the planar location.

Hyperbola diagrams (as in figure 19) are mainly used to check the plausibility of certain selected location results.


Mostly, the calculation is based on an inverse method, which, in addition to the location results, provides a measure of reliability of the location calculation, if more than three sensors have been hit by the event.

Usually, the results of a location calculation are plotted in a point diagram without the hyperbolae, but including the positions of the sensors, as shown in figure 20. The data of figure 20 has been recorded during the compression of a glass foam plate 5 cm thick producing a huge number of events. Accordingly, it is quite difficult to find locations in the diagram with the highest location density, as we cannot see how many points are overlaying. Usually, the AE technology uses the so-called **clustering** in order to clearly mark off those areas of high location density.



*Figure 19: Hyperbola intersection with 3 hit sensors*

The data of figure 20 has been recorded during the compression of a glass foam plate 5 cm thick producing a huge number of events. Accordingly, it is quite difficult to find locations in the diagram with the highest location density, as we cannot see how many points are overlaying. Usually, the AE technology uses the so-called **clustering** in order to clearly mark off those areas of high location density.




*Figure 20: Location and clustering charts*

### 3.2 Clustering

Clustering is a mathematical method used to determine the point density within a certain area, marking those areas of high point density by coloured rectangles or circles. The left diagram of figure 20 shows three clusters, so, three areas of high point density where found. The clusters are marked by coloured circles, the colour indicating the number of elements within the cluster. In addition to the planar location, other location algorithms are required, such as the location on a sphere surface (spherical location calculation) providing a 3-dimensional rotating display of the sphere shown on the right of figure 20. Even here, clustering is required and possible. Spherical liquid gas and natural gas tanks are important test objects where the application of AE testing could prove its capabilities in many cases.

The display of results as shown in figure 21 is of special interest with respect to location clusters. The horizontal axis shows the cluster ID. The vertical axis on the left scales the amplitude points and the vertical axis on the right displays the number of events per cluster indicated by the stepped line. This diagram clearly shows the tester even during the test whether location clusters with high numbers of events and relevant amplitudes are forming. He can see immediately whether new, located defects are developing.



*Figure 21: Amplitude and number of event vs. the cluster ID*

Looking at the diagram above, cluster no. 11 contains approximately 105 events (see right scale) with amplitudes of 39 to 67 dB (see left scale).

Figure 22 shows a sample analysis screen as the tester would see it during the test or during post test analysis. Diagrams, that require an explanation, have been mentioned above. The small information boxes appear as soon as one of the points (a certain AE event) has been selected by a double click, which allows easy reference to any event within the various types of display.

- Sources emit signals in such quick succession, that there is not enough time for the signals in the structure to decay, therefore they do not represent a “new” hit.

One of the problems is, that from the AE signal one cannot know whether it was produced by a real defect and, if so, how large e.g. the crack growth was. One has to assimilate know-how by investigating the emission behaviour of materials by tensile tests in the laboratory. Doing so, one has to consider, that e.g. the propagating conditions for mechanical waves in real test objects and small samples are different. All these aspects may make the un-experienced feel uncertain about the AE technology. But the theoretical and practical knowledge of the AE behaviour of materials and structures increases steadily and will soon be taught at universities and made available to everyone in technical publications.




Figure 22: Sample screen displaying various diagrams and a listing

3.3 Location Errors

Compared to the early days of AE testing, nowadays an excellent location technique is available to the AE tester. However, there is still room for improvements to be developed. Today, the AE tester himself has to be aware of influences causing location errors and rate them in a correct way.

Some of the influences on the location accuracy are

- A different wave mode than the assumed one determines the arrival time
  - A wave takes a different propagation path than assumed by the algorithm
  - Multiple waves overlap at the sensor




Figure 23: Location error caused by the acquisition being triggered by different wave modes. Top:  $a_0$ , bottom  $s_0$

Figure 23 illustrates a typical cause for location errors. The upper waveform (channel 2) has exceeded the threshold (the 0.1 mV lines) for the first time by a wave mode ( $t = 0$ ), which produces the highest amplitude at 25  $\mu$ s. This wave mode propagates with about 3.2 m/ms. Channel 3 (lower waveform) has received a stronger signal (the sensor of channel 3 was a little closer to the source). Here, the threshold was first crossed ( $t = 0$ ) by a 'predecessor' signal. The predecessor is a faster wave mode propagating

with a speed of about 5 m/ms. The time of the first threshold crossing of the wave mode propagating with 3.2 m/ms at channel 3 is found at about 140 µs. So, the arrival time of the lower waveform is 140 µs too early, if the location algorithm uses a speed of 3.2 m/ms. Hence the distance difference between the source and the channels 2 and 3 is calculated by 0.5 m (0.14 ms \* 3.2 m/ms) too small, producing a considerable location error. As the example of these two waveforms shows, the determination of the arrival time by the first threshold crossing is quite problematic.

- We have to be especially aware of location errors if there is inhomogeneous wave propagation, which e.g. is the case when close to manholes, nozzles, etc. Materials with anisotropic wave propagation do not allow for precise location.

## 4. Visualization of Measurement Results

One of the main tasks of the AE system software is the clear and well-structured display of the AE data.

The display types of AE data are as follows:

- Numerical display
- Cumulative or differential diagrams, e.g. total number of events, total number of bursts, total energy vs. time or pressure
- Pressure vs. time
- Distribution of amplitudes or other parameters.
- Location diagrams including a picture of the test object and a cluster display (see section [Location Calculation and Clustering](#))
- Waveform diagrams in time or frequency domain (see section [Location Errors](#))

### 4.1 Numerical Display

The advantage of data listings is the fact that each row of a listing actually contains a complete set of full precision feature data of a single burst.

Usually, listings are used to print the results of calibration measurements as shown below:

| ID       | DET# | HOPNESS         | MSEC | CHAN | DTIX | X     | Y      | DSTX   | A      | CNTS   | EUL   | R       | TRAI     |         |
|----------|------|-----------------|------|------|------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|---------|----------|---------|
|          |      |                 |      |      |      | [cm]  | [cm]   | [cm]   | [dB]   |        |       |         |          |         |
|          |      |                 |      |      |      | [µs]  | [µs]   | [µs]   | [µs]   |        |       |         |          |         |
| La Label | 6:   | 15:-15:-4,-4,40 |      |      |      | -3,60 | 41,46  | 31,37  | 88,1   | 47112  | 20803 | 49,8    | 520322,0 |         |
| La Label | 552  | 15:-15:06       | 200  | 3713 |      | 1     |        | 110,87 | 86,6   | 50,94  | 24803 | 158,4   | 56115,2  |         |
| HT       | 553  | 15:-15:06       | 200  | 5242 |      | 152,9 |        | 157,47 | 82,1   | 51,34  | 27803 | 479,2   | 53043,2  |         |
| HT       | 554  | 15:-15:06       | 200  | 6138 |      | 4     | 242,5  | 31,61  | 88,1   | 49,95  | 18803 | 49,4    | 49740,8  |         |
| HT       | 579  | 15:-15:10       | 765  | 2106 |      | -3,58 | 41,41  | 110,96 | 84,8   | 50,75  | 22803 | 158,6   | 62889,2  |         |
| HT       | 582  | 15:-15:10       | 765  | 3632 |      | 2     | 152,6  | 17,24  | 80,2   | 50,22  | 24803 | 475,6   | 51481,6  |         |
| HT       | 621  | 15:-15:15       | 389, | 6823 |      | 4     | 241,6  | 31,28  | 89,3   | 4792   | 21803 | 50,4    | 52505,6  |         |
| HT       | 622  | 15:-15:15       | 389, | 6361 |      | 1     |        | 111,25 | 86,6   | 52,15  | 25803 | 158,6   | 57100,4  |         |
| HT       | 623  | 15:-15:15       | 389, | 6253 |      | 4     | 243,0  | 157,64 | 82,1   | 5287   | 28803 | 668,4   | 59494,4  |         |
| La Label | 7:   | 15:-15:-4,-4,50 |      |      |      |       |        | 41,92  | 89,3   | 51,192 | 25803 | 62,6    | 56504,4  |         |
| DE       | 713  | 15:-16:29       | 935, | 9553 |      | 1     | -3,60  | 51,77  | 114,31 | 87,4   | 50,59 | 30803   | 160,6    | 58444,8 |
| HT       | 715  | 15:-16:29       | 940, | 1925 |      | 2     | 139,2  | 147,48 | 85,9   | 50,64  | 35803 | 717,6   | 58934,4  |         |
| HT       | 738  | 15:-16:34       | 777, | 2212 |      | 4     | 203,0  | 41,20  | 86,3   | 49,48  | 17803 | 62,2    | 47872,0  |         |
| HT       | 739  | 15:-16:34       | 777, | 4606 |      | 2     | 139,4  | 113,49 | 85,1   | 49,07  | 20803 | 160,2   | 52684,8  |         |
| HT       | 740  | 15:-16:34       | 777, | 5267 |      | 4     | 149,06 | 48,06  | 49,94  | 23803  | 497,6 | 53171,2 | 91       |         |
| DE       | 762  | 15:-16:38       | 344, | 4068 |      | 1     |        | 41,38  | 87,0   | 47112  | 19803 | 62,6    | 49986,8  |         |
| HT       | 763  | 15:-16:38       | 344, | 4466 |      | 2     | 139,8  | 144,08 | 85,5   | 51,00  | 23803 | 160,1   | 55731,1  |         |
| HT       | 764  | 15:-16:38       | 344, | 4466 |      | 4     | 205,0  | 147,98 | 82,1   | 51,89  | 27803 | 715,8   | 56288,8  |         |
| La Label | 8:   | 15:-16:-4,-60   |      |      |      |       |        |        |        |        |       |         |          |         |

Each line presents the data of a single burst and the location results are assigned to those channels, which have been hit first. So, the column HHMSS MSEC contains the time for each burst by hour, minute, second, and millisecond with a resolution of 0.1 µs. The next column (to the right) displays the channel number, followed by the time difference in respect to the first hit channel of the event. Next the location results X and Y, the

distance between sensor and source **DstX**, then the parameters **A** (maximum amplitude), **CNTS** (number of threshold crossings), **E** (energy), **R** (rise-time), **D** (duration), and **TRAI** (transient recorder index) indicating the associated waveform.

The inserted **Label** lines contain comments inputted by the operator during the data acquisition. In the example above, the operator entered the coordinates for a pencil lead break with three breaks per position.




Figure 24: Screen shot of a numerical result listing

So, the **X** and **Y** values should be identical to the values in the **Label** line. Comparing the values we find location errors between 4 and 15 mm.

A real disadvantage of listings is the fact that only a limited amount of data can be viewed at a time. The screen provides a vertical and a horizontal scrollbar used to move the display window into any position within the listing, so any part of the listing can be viewed quickly. Additionally, a double click on any point of the point diagram automatically moves the listing window to the associated line and vice versa. This allows one to quickly correlate a line of the listing with the graphical data output, such as location results, etc.

#### 4.2 Cumulative and Differential Diagrams – a Sample Evaluation

Both diagrams below show the same AE data. Figure 25 displays the disproportionately strong increase of the total number of events vs. time. During the first 12,000 seconds, approximately 100 events were located, during the second 12,000 seconds approximately 500. The diagram also displays the linear pressure increase from 4 bar to 9 bar (see scale on the right).

In figure 26 pressure and event rate are plotted vs. time with a resolution of 60s (as specified in the legend by **60/bin**). Towards the end of the pressure increase, there is a time interval with a maximum of 10 located events per minute. The operator has to monitor such information, based on defined stop criteria (usually put in writing). This means he has to stop the pressure loading process in case a stop criterion is fulfilled, or even has to decrease the pressure, if the event rate keeps rising.




Figure 25: Cumulative diagram of the total number of events vs. time incl. time with intervals of 60 s (with superposed curve of linear increasing superimposed pressure curve)

On the screen, the curves for load and AE events have different colours and can easily be associated with the corresponding axes.

In contrary to the pressure, the event curve shows a disproportionately strong increase, which indicates growing defects within the structure.

In some cases, the pressure loading has to include periods of constant pressure (load hold). During these periods, the AE is expected to fade. Here figures 27 and 28 display data of an artificially damaged test object. The amplitudes occurring during the phases of comparatively low load and, especially, during the pressure-hold phases most certainly indicate a defect.

Once more it should be mentioned that "certainty" in the interpretation of AE-signals only develops through certain experience e.g. with the knowledge of the differences between a "good" sample and a defective one.

Various filters can be applied to the diagrams, that e.g. only the events of a single source (a single cluster or a group of clusters) are plotted. The result is used directly for the characterization of the corresponding source with respect to the applicable criteria.


- **Critically active**, if the hit-rate increases with load or exceeds a certain limit.

#### 4.3 Pressure vs. Time


The figures 25 – 28 all contain an additional line showing the pressure vs. time. As mentioned above, the common display of AE activity and pressure increase or pressure hold is an important characterization feature.

#### 4.4 Amplitude Distribution, a Sample Evaluation


Figures 29 and 30 show plots of amplitude distributions. The cumulative plot in Figure 29 shows how many hits have reached or exceeded the amplitude as specified on the horizontal axis. At e.g.  $A = 50$  dB, the curve indicates a little more than 200 hits (hits having the same meaning as **bursts**), i.e. there are about 210 bursts with a maximum amplitude of 50 dB or more. The lesser the slope of the amplitude distribution towards higher amplitudes, the more critical the test object has to be rated. A written procedure could e.g. contain stop criteria, according to which the pressure loading has to be stopped in case xx hits with the maximum amplitude of yy dB or higher have occurred. The diagram clearly shows if such a criterion has been exceeded.



**Figure 27:** Cumulative diagram of the total number of hits (activity) vs. time with superimposed pressure curve with periods of constant and decreasing pressure



**Figure 28:** Point diagram of the amplitude (intensity) vs. time with superimposed pressure curve showing periods of constant and decreasing pressure



**Figure 29:** Amplitude distribution, **Figure 30:** Amplitude distribution, cumulative down differential

The differential plot on the right (Figure 30) shows how many hits (bursts) have a certain maximum amplitude. For example, a measurement that has been disturbed by background noise shows a certain increase towards lower amplitudes, which is not the case in this example. Several maximums within the amplitude range indicate that the diagram contains data of

Typical source characteristics are:

- **Not relevant**, if e.g. the hit rate does not increase with load or the cause of the activity is known to be irrelevant.
- **To be tested using conventional NDT**, if the origin of the source cannot be found, or

different sources. If necessary, the operator can apply a filter to have the diagram display the data of one source only.

Distribution diagrams of the type shown above can also make sense with other results such as energy, number of threshold crossings, etc.

#### 4.5 Point Diagrams Showing Quantity vs. Time or Quantity vs. Quantity

The diagram in figure 31 displays a point (circle) for every event, indicating both its amplitude and time. In the diagram of figure 32, each point marks the amplitude and the duration of an event.

Point diagrams give a good overview of which single values have occurred.




Figure 31: Point diagram  
amplitude vs. time

Figure 32: Point diagram  
amplitude vs. duration

In the figures 31 and 32, each event is represented by a point. In both charts, single hits can only be identified in the extreme ranges without using additional tools, e.g. in each of both diagrams there is only one hit with an amplitude of slightly above 70 dB, so it must be the same hit in both charts. Often it is desirable to identify a single hit in various diagrams. This is one of the features of the software of Vallen-Systeme: A corresponding double click on a point in any diagram highlights this hit in all other point diagrams with a small information window.

#### 4.6 Location Charts Including an Image of the Test Object and a Cluster Chart

Location charts have been presented in Section **Location Calculation and Clustering** (see figure 20). They serve the visualization of the calculated

source locations in relation to the sensors and test object. The clustering helps to visualize and quantify accumulations of source locations. Some procedures use cluster results for the defect grading. Cluster results can serve as test stop criterion, e.g. if a cluster comprises more than a certain number of events. Then the test must be interrupted and the AE source more closely investigated.

#### 4.7 Diagrams of Waveforms vs. Time and Frequency

Diagrams of waveforms vs. time have been shown above. The following charts show a combination of time- and frequency-related charts. The diagram on the right displays the frequency spectrum of the signal section that has been framed in the chart on the left. The operator can easily move or resize the frame in order to find out, in which sections of the time-related diagram the frequency components change. The experienced AE-tester uses the frequency spectrum (right) as an indicator of the source mechanism (useful signal or noise) or the wave mode of the section inside the frame.




Figure 33: Waveforms in time- (left) and frequency (right) domain

This kind of frequency analysis is of lowest level. Present pattern recognition is going to be developed so far, that it will be able to extrapolate the depth of the AE source from the frequency-time-correlation of the AE-signals.

## 5. Characterization of AE Sources

Some of the characterization criteria have already been explained in connection with some of the charts above. Here is a summary of important characterization criteria:

### 5.1 The Kaiser-Effect

Dr. Joseph Kaiser's dissertation at the Institute for Metallurgy at the Technical University Munich was named: "Examination concerning the occurrence of sound at tensile tests". At this dissertation Dr. Kaiser indicated irreversible processes during plastic deformation under strain which can be detected by using a piezoelectric crystal. Kaiser discovered that the investigated material creates acoustic emission only when the maximum previous stress on the specimen is exceeded. Due to his dissertation and continuing research until he deceased in 1958, the Technical University Munich is known as the origin of the AE-Technology. The Munich Professor Hans Maria Tensi, then a young student, continued the work of Kaiser and submitted 1961 his own dissertation "Piezoelectric impulse measurements for the investigation of processes in metals during phase changes and mechanical stressing". In his presentations Tensi coined the term "Kaiser Effect".

Kaiser's investigations concerned AE from dislocations within the crystal. For the AE testing of large test objects other source mechanisms are of interest, e.g. cracking of corrosion products or of hydrogen embrittled layers, or of inclusions of metallic and non metallic phases, etc. One can see there too, that detectable AE is absent until the previous maximum applied load is exceeded.

If a testing load is applied to a test object e.g. one year after the previous AE test, the breaking of newly formed corrosion products would cause detectable AE before the previous maximum test load is exceeded.

Because AE-testing should not be repeated within one year, a sufficient number of sensors and AE channels, depending on the size of the test object, must be available. It makes no sense to repeat the pressurization with another sensor placement, or to perform trial pressurizations prior to the AE-test.

If a structure, after relief and during a second loading, emits AE before the maximum of the first loading is reached, thereby violating the Kaiser-Effect, this may indicate a defect. On the other hand, sources of interference have always to be taken into consideration. So, usually, a final assessment can be given after the pressure increase is stopped and the AE has been

monitored during the pressure-hold phase, during which the AE activity should calm down. Sources which cannot be definitely identified, are not acceptable within the pressure-hold phase. So, if there is unexplainable AE activity during the pressure-hold phase, the pressure must be decreased and the AE test aborted.

### 5.2 Clustering

Clustering always indicates a source, which repeatedly emits acoustic signals. Occasionally, these sources can be easily identified (e.g. abrasion marks, annexes, etc.). If the source cannot be identified, a real defect must be assumed. Therefore, paying attention to the activity and intensity of a cluster (figure 21) is important.

### 5.3 Intensity (Mean Values of Amplitudes or Energy)

Intensity parameters (amplitude, energy) increasing with external load indicate a defect.

### 5.4 Increase of Activity

A defect is also indicated by a disproportionate increase of activity under load (number of hits or located events).

### 5.5 High Amplitudes, also Single Signals (Big Bang, Forced Rupture)

Depending on the test object (e.g. liquefied pressurized gas vessels with heavily corroded joggle joints) even a few single signals of high amplitudes may refer to severe defects requiring an immediate pressure decrease. With these types of test objects it is very important to take into account the attenuation of the acoustic wave depending on the distance to the sensor.

### 5.6 Activity during Phases of Constant Pressure

This type of activity may be a sign of a beginning or continuous destruction process.

### 5.7 Amplitude Distribution

A flat decay of the cumulative amplitude distribution means, that a considerably higher number of high amplitudes have been detected, which may be caused by defects.

## 5.8 Indications of Sources of Interference

The existence of sources of interference during an AE test may be acceptable if the signals produced by these sources can be distinguished from potential defect signals.

Usually, the following situations are caused by sources of interference:

- Many channels being hit simultaneously (interference by electrical peaks)
- Many hits showing very short durations ( $< 5 \mu\text{s}$ ) and low amplitudes (threshold too close to the background noise level); increase threshold
- Hits of long durations and low amplitudes (abrasion noise or threshold close to noise level); increase threshold
- Activity not decreasing with increasing pressure
- Detection of non-ending hits on one or more channels, e.g. due to continuous AE from a leakage

## 6. Advantages and Limitations of AE Exemplified by the Water and Gas Pressure Tests

### 6.1 Advantages

Numerous test objects ranging from spherical natural or liquid gas tanks to petrochemical reactors have been tested successfully during the last years using the AE testing method. AE testing is done to complement the water pressure test (hydro test) and increasingly, also the gas pressure test (pneumatic test).

AE monitoring of a pneumatic test can be used to ensure a safe test. In addition to its use as a NDT method, AE testing can be used as an early-warning system for developing and/or growing defects. Thereby a test can be stopped before a critical situation occurs.

Using an AE controlled pneumatic test, the following drawbacks of the hydro test can be avoided:

- The pressure systems and their supports must be sufficiently dimensioned for the water loading (weight), which in some cases means a considerable over-dimensioning. So, often absurd solutions are required, such as replacing a simple support by a support ring etc.
- Long downtimes are required, caused by the removal of the working medium as well as the cleaning of the vessel before the water delivery. Also, releasing rest gases may be hazardous to the environment.
- The humidity remaining in the vessel after draining may cause various effects ranging from corrosion via hydrogen-induced-crack (HIC) formation to a complete system breakdown. The drying processes used to remove the humidity are, if at all feasible, quite expensive and, thus, applied rather seldom.
- The water used for pressurizing the object becomes polluted and must be thoroughly purified before being released into the environment.
- During the testing of chemical reactors, the catalysts become unusable. Exchanging them causes enormous extra expenses and environmental stress.
- The hydro test only provides the information **no blowing-up, no leakage, and no noticeable deformation**. It does not provide any

indication of whether a defect has been initiated or expanded during the test, which may cause a later failure of the vessel.

In addition to the disadvantages of the hydro test mentioned above, the pressurization using the storage medium (gas or liquid) provides other advantages:

- In many cases the pressure system can be tested under almost standard operating conditions, e.g. with low-temperature applications, the material will be tested in the appropriate temperature range. With warm reactors, the test temperature can be kept above the transition temperature between ductile and brittle behaviour.
- AE testing can also detect corrosion, so in most cases there is no reason for an internal inspection of the pressure system and it can be taken into operation again without being opened.
- More advantages compared to other NDT methods arise from the basic principles of AE testing:
  - It monitors the dynamic reaction of the test object upon the applied load passively and without intervention.
  - It often allows detecting sources over a distance of several meters to the sensor.
  - It allows 100% pressurized wall monitoring.
  - It allows real-time monitoring of the growth of known and unknown defects at a given load, even remotely by data transmission e.g. by using a modem/internet.

It can monitor a structure under operating conditions.

## 6.2 Limitations

Despite all the advantages of AE testing, we clearly have to point out, that it cannot be applied always and everywhere.

- Defects, which are neither growing nor moving do not produce AE and, therefore, cannot be detected.
- According to the Kaiser-Effect, only those defects are detected without exceeding the highest preceding load, which are already active at the actual load level and are endangering the component anyway. Only by increasing the load above the previous maximum load level can defects be found, which do not grow at standard load.

- Evaluation criteria do not exist in the form of commonly accessible data, i.e. the rating of AE-results is set firmly to the knowledge and experience of the service provider.

- AE testing is sensitive to process noise exceeding the detection threshold. In case the process noise cannot be stopped, the detection threshold has to be increased, which requires smaller distances between the sensors and, accordingly, more sensors and channels. Above a certain noise level, AE testing is no longer efficient.

The provider of AE testing has to collect the required information concerning the structure to be tested from the owner/operator during test preparation. For example, he has to know the geometry of the object (sphere, cylinder, etc.) and which material it is made of. Operating pressure and temperature as well as other loads, especially measure and the duration of the highest load during the last year also have to be known. Some of the AE testing organizations also ask for information on the manufacturing process, the yield point, tensile strength, toughness, or yield point at elevated temperature. Also, information is required concerning the pressure application, the possibilities of pressure reduction in the case of danger, the accessibility of the object with respect to sensor application, possible sources of interference, etc. The European standard EN13554 Non-Destructive Testing – AE - General Principles provides some assistance in setting up checklists. By systematically collecting detailed information the test institutes increase their know-how in order to refine the internally developed characterization criteria.

## 6.3 Spherical Liquid Gas Tank as an Example for the Test Procedure

The test procedure has to be put down in writing as part of a testing instruction and will include the following basic steps:

- Comprehensive visual check to find out whether all essential facts have been collected and no piece of information has been omitted.
- Measurement of the general acoustic signal attenuation and the background noise as a base for the determination of the maximum distance between the sensors. Usually, this is done by measuring the AE signals of a breaking pencil lead of defined thickness and hardness. This simulates rupture type signals in the test object. In case of paint or coating covering the surface, its acoustic damping behaviour has to be tested in order to decide, whether the coating

needs to be removed or the distances between the sensors are to be decreased, respectively. The determination of the maximum sensor distance is described in EN WI138076 and takes into account both the background noise as well as the material properties (amplitudes of the expected bursts at the source location).

- Installing the sensors according to a position plan, this adopts the previously determined maximum distances and additionally takes into consideration the complexity of the test object geometry.
- Check of the sensor sensitivity and the coupling quality, respectively, using pencil lead breaks and the automatic sensor-coupling test. This also includes a check and measurement of the sound velocity and damping between each sensor couple.
- Check of the location capabilities and influences of AE sources by the complex parts of the structure, e.g. close to nozzles etc.
- Establishing a safe line of communication between the control units of the pressurizing and the AE testing systems. Ensuring that in the case of critical results being displayed, the pressurizing can be stopped immediately, or in case of danger the pressure can be decreased.
- Carrying out the pressure test with previously determined constant increases of pressure and well defined pressure-hold, including data acquisition and on-line-monitoring.
- Having completed the pressure test, a second sensor-coupling test follows to make ensure, the coupling quality has not changed during the test.
- Evaluation of the test results including a characterization of the sources.
- If required, the location results may be verified by test locations using pencil lead breaks.
- Crosscheck of the located AE sources using conventional NDT techniques.

## 7. AE Testing Standards

A number of European Standards prove that the AE testing method is widely accepted today:

|               |  |
|---------------|--|
| EN1330-9:     | Terminology - Part 9: AE   |
| EN13554:      | AE Testing – General Principles  |
| EN13477-1,-2: | AE Testing – Equipment Characterization  |
| EN14584:      | AE Testing of Metallic Pressure Systems during the Acceptance Test                 |
| EN13445:      | Non-Fired Pressure Equipment – Part 5: Inspection and Test, Appendix E: AE Testing |

Besides these Standards of the European Standardization Committee (CEN) a number of other national and international committees have also published and are updating more than 100 other Standards for AE testing.

## **8. Conclusion**

An overview of the AE testing method was given without deepening the details. The main purpose is to present the progress of the equipment technology made over the recent years.

The high level of today's computer technology, measurement techniques, and software has generated an enormous increase of the range of applications, the reliability, and the significance of the AE testing method. Especially the real-time location calculation provides a large advantage, as in many cases it allows location and the elimination of sources of interference or is able to distinguish their signals from those generated by defects.

Mechanical load is applied to make weak spots and defects start emitting acoustic signals. A considerably low number of sensors in fixed positions are sufficient to cover 100% of a large test volume. And it is sufficient if the test object is accessible at the sensor positions. Distances between sensors with metallic test objects are usually within a range of 3 – 6 m, depending on the local conditions. Distances between sensors on other materials (plastic) may be considerably smaller (e.g. down to 20 – 50 cm). The AE testing detects defects, which are growing under load during the test but does not recognize defects that are not in motion.

Because of its capability to detect defects right at the moment of their growth, the AE testing method may also be used as a real-time monitoring and warning system to avoid a failure of the structure under test with possibly disastrous consequences. This capability also allows the use of a storage medium, e.g. liquid gas or natural gas, for pressurization. So, the removal of the storage medium and the filling with water as a medium for pressurization are no longer required. This drastically reduces the costs as well as harmful impacts on both, the equipment and the environment.

The AE testing method cannot be applied in each and every case. As explained, under good conditions the method provides considerable advantages, so why not take it into consideration much more frequently?


**The CASTELL-publishers introduce themselves:**



Year of foundation: 1999  
General manager: Dr. jur. Elke Herbsthofer

**Further publications (in German language) by Prof. Dr.-Ing. Volker Deutsch and co-authors**

**First publication:**  
**Technische Innovationen**  
A chronicle (in German language)  
Written by Prof. Dr.-Ing. V. Deutsch  
The developing History of Messrs.  
Karl Deutsch, Wuppertal  
117 pages, numerous pictures, € 22,-



with  
Dr. rer. nat. Michael Platte  
Dipl.-Ing. Manfred Vogt  
**Ultraschallprüfung**  
Grundlagen und industrielle Anwendungen  
372 pages, 303 pictures, € 84,95  
(available only via Messrs. Karl Deutsch)



Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Winfried Morgner  
Dipl.-Ing. Manfred Vogt  
**Magnetpulver-Rissprüfung**  
Grundlagen und Praxis  
261 pages, 209 pictures, € 52,-  
VDI-Publication, Düsseldorf  
(available only via Messrs. Karl Deutsch)



with  
Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Winfried Morgner  
Dipl.-Ing. Manfred Vogt  
**Zerstörungsfreies Schmuzeln**  
Mainly technical recollections  
128 pages, numerous photos, € 18,-  
DGZfP-Publishing, Berlin



with  
Dr. (USA) Wolfram A. Karl Deutsch  
Dr.-Ing. Volker Schuster  
Dr. rer. nat. Ralf Wagner  
**Zerstörungsfreie Prüfung**  
in der Schweißtechnik  
70 pages, 98 pictures, € 25,00  
DVS-publisher, Düsseldorf

Furthermore, numerous publications in magazines  
List of special prints available from Messrs. Karl Deutsch

All 13 booklets are available in boxed sets in English as well as German at special prices.

CASTELL-Verlag GmbH, Herberts Katerberg 52i, D-42113 Wuppertal  
Tel.: +49 202 272 12 45, Fax: +49 202 272 12 44, e-mail: castell@wtai.de

## Instruments, Sensors and Systems for Nondestructive Testing of Materials

Leifel Safety Subject to change without notice Printed in Germany 0104



## Material Testing for Quality Control

Ultrasonic, Magnetic Particle and Penetrant Testing  
Wall and Coating Thickness Gauges, Crack Depth Gauges



## KARL DEUTSCH

**1949**  
**50 YEARS**  
**1999**

The pool-independent KARL DEUTSCH company is, since its foundation in 1949, involved in development and fabrication of NDT instruments. Characterised by continuous

innovations and reliability of the products, the trade marks ECHOGRAPH, ECHOMETER, DEUTROFLUX, LEPTOSKOP and VITOMETER, FLUXA and KD-Check are well known world-wide. Applicational experience, theoretical knowledge and manufacturing know-how of more than 4 decades as well as the standard-conform quality management guarantee state-of-the-art instruments and accessories and a top position quality, reliability and economy also for the future.

## Milestones of KARL DEUTSCH

- 1948 Presentation of the first LEPTOSKOP prototype at the Hannover-Fair.
- 1949 KARL Deutscher founds his own business in Wuppertal (Germany) on May 13th.
- 1950 Initial laboratory tests on ultrasonic flaw detectors.
- 1951 ECHOGRAPH flaw detectors become commercially available.
- 1956 Introduction of the RMG crack-depth meter.
- 1960 Introduction of the first DEUTROFLUX instruments.
- 1961 DEUTROFLUX-UH instruments for magnetic particle testing.
- 1965 DEUTROFLUX-universal-instruments with DEUTRO-coil now use alternating field magnetization and the DEUTRO-coil. The new ECHOGRAPH generation is fully transistorized and a modular version is available for ultrasonic systems.
- 1966 In-house production of FLUXA testing.
- 1967 Construction of the first company building in Wuppertal at Otto-Hausmann-Ring 101.
- 1972 Introduction of the DEUTROMAT KUR: an automated crack testing system for continuous throughput of the test pieces. First installation of an ultrasonic VRE system for testing of seamless boiler tubes.
- 1973 Presentation of the world's first digital ultrasonic flaw detector ECHOTEST at the ECNDT in Warsaw.
- 1976 Development of the world's first computer-controlled ultrasonic system ECHOGRAPH 1160.
- 1978 Construction of the second company building at the Otto-Hausmann-Ring 201.
- 1979 The ultrasonic CS technique is first presented on the ECNDT in Mainz, Germany.
- 1981 Fully automated MP crack detection with the FLUXAMAT is shown at the ECNDT in Vienna. The ECHOGRAPH 1030, the world's first microprocessor-controlled ultrasonic flaw detector is demonstrated at the ECNDT in Moscow. The DEUTROFLUX UHW devices are now offered with an improved DEUTRO coil.
- 1984 Introduction of the ECHOMETER 1070, a microprocessor-controlled ultrasonic thickness gauge.
- 1985 Dr.-Ing. V. Deutscher gets a professor at the university of Dortmund.
- 1987 The ultrasonic system ECHOGRAPH 1150 is equipped with state-of-the-art data processing.
- 1988 Development of the new digital Coating Thickness Gauge LEPTOSKOP 2015.
- 1990 The new HRP ultrasonic system for pipes and rods with rotation-free handling is replacing the VRE devices.
- 1992 The user-friendly digital ECHOGRAPH 1080 is introduced and shortly after the compact version, the ECHOGRAPH 1085, is released.
- 1993 The new KD-CHECK for liquid penetrant testing is presented. The quality control at KARL DEUTSCH is approved by the RWTUV (federal authority for technical inspections) according to DIN ISO 9001.
- 1994 The new LEPTOSKOP is developed.
- 1995 New versions of the Sorting Instrument VITOMETER and of the RMG Crack Depth Meter. Foundation of KD-China.
- 1996 A new generation of the MP-devices DEUTROFLUX UWE and UW/S is available.
- 1997 The crack depth measurement with the RMG-instrument was extended to also measure oblique cracks.
- 1998 The extremely successful ECHOMETER series for wall thickness measurement now offers a data logger. The HRF-system, state-of-the-art for high-speed tube inspection, was extended to the detection of all flaw types (longitudinal and also transverse flaws). A wall thickness measurement is also possible. Extensive work has been carried out to adapt this system type to bar inspection – with great commercial success.
- 2000 Introducing the new Coating Thickness Gauge LEPTOSKOP 2041.
- 2001 The third generation: Dr. (USA) W. Deutscher is the new president of KARL Deutscher.
- 2004 The digital ultrasonic flaw detector ECHOGRAPH 1090 with a colour display is released.
- 2004 New additional building at KARL DEUTSCH, Otto-Hausmann-Ring 201.
- 2005 Further development of Pocket-LEPTOSKOP.
- 2005 Most innovations are patented and all devices are presented in journals or KARL DEUTSCH brochures. This information is readily available to everyone interested.
- 2005 KARL DEUTSCH, Prüf- und Messgerätebau GmbH + Co KG
- Home address: Otto-Hausmann-Ring 101, 42115 Wuppertal, Germany
- Tel.: +49 202 71 92-0, Fax: +49 202 71 49 32, e-mail: info@karldeutsch.de, internet: www@karldeutsch.de



**GERMAN  
SOCIETY FOR  
NON-DESTRUCTIVE  
TESTING**

D-12489 Berlin  
Max-Planck-Str. 6  
Tel.: +49 30 67807-0  
Fax: +49 30 67807-109  
E-Mail: mail@dgzfp.de  
Internet: http://www.dgzfp.de



**Vallen - Systeme GmbH**  
*The Acoustic Emission Company*

**Proven High-Speed Acoustic Emission System**

## Vallen AMSY-5

### Unprecedented Speed, Flexibility and Price/Value-Ratio

- **Top AE-Speed:** stores more than 30.000 AE-Hits/s, full data set, filtered, time sorted, sustained, on HDD.
- **Top TR-Speed:** stores more than 2.5 MByte/s waveform data, filtered, time sorted, sustained, on HDD.
- **Top PC-Flexibility:** use desktop, laptop, portable,... PC can be separated easily: ideal for reporting, maintenance, upgrade to latest PC technologie, etc.
- **Top Software:** acquisition- and analysis-software for Windows XP®/2000®. Analysis software reads data of AMS3, AMSY4 and AMSY-5 systems, familiar to many users worldwide.
- **Top Expandability:** up to 254 fully time synchronized channels may be used, up to 4 AMSY-5 Units connected to a single PCI-board (ASyC), up to 4 ASyCs per PC. Automatic hardware detection upon start. User defined channel mapping possible.
- **Top Economic:** thousands reliable AMSY4 AE-channels (worldwide) may be used easily in AMSY-5 systems - providing advantages of latest technology: minimal investment, proven reliability, proven measurement dynamic, proven digital feature extraction, proven waveform processing, mature software.



### The Vallen AMSY-5 is

#### *Best Choice for*

- field testing with any number of channels
- procedure development
- research and laboratory
- process monitoring

### AMSY-5 Systems

- M6 Master Unit: up to 6 AE-channels
- M16 Master Unit: up to 16 AE-channels (left)
- M37 Master Unit: up to 37 AE-channels
- E20 Extension Unit: up to 20 AE-channels

**AMSY-5 M16, with portable PC**

**Systems up to 254 AE-channels  
with reliable Online-Location!**

**Request detailed information today!**

Think AE - think Vallen ! user friendly, reliable, up-to-date

D-82057 Icking/München, www.vallen.de, info@vallen.de, Fax: +49-8178-9674-444, Tel: -400