

PROPERTIES $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

- x_0 IS INTERIOR POINT OF $A \Rightarrow x_0$ IS ACCUMULATION POINT OF A
- x_0 IS A BOUNDARY POINT OF A NOT ISOLATED $\Rightarrow x_0$ IS ACCUMULATION POINT OF A

NOW THAT WE HAVE PUT A LABEL ON THE POINT, WE CAN DEFINE THE SETS:

def. $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ IS SAID OPEN SET WHEN ALL THE POINTS OF A ARE INTERIOR POINTS ($\text{int} A = A$)

def. $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ IS SAID CLOSED SET WHEN IT CONTAINS ALL ITS BOUNDARY POINTS ($\partial A \subseteq A$)

REMARK A SET CAN BE NEITHER OPEN OR CLOSED.

ex.

$A = (-2, 5) \cup (8, +\infty)$ IS OPEN

$B = [3, +\infty)$ IS CLOSED ($\partial B = \{3\} \subseteq B$)

$C = (-\infty, 2) \cup [3, 5]$ IS NOT OPEN AND IS NOT CLOSED

IN FACT 3 IS NOT AN INTERIOR POINT BUT $3 \in C$
2 IS BOUNDARY POINT BUT $2 \notin C$

$D = [-2, 5] \cup \{10\}$ IS CLOSED

REMARK $N_r(x_0)$, $N(+\infty)$, $N(-\infty)$ ARE OPEN SETS.

THEOREM $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ IS OPEN $\Leftrightarrow A^c$ IS CLOSED

THEOREM $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ IS CLOSED $\Leftrightarrow A$ CONTAINS ALL ITS ACCUMULATION POINTS

REMARK IN GENERAL A SET THAT IS OPEN IS NOT CLOSED... BUT THERE ARE TWO EXCEPTIONS:

$\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ AND \emptyset ARE OPEN AND CLOSED AT THE SAME TIME.

CONSIDER $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$ ALL ITS POINTS ARE INTERIOR POINT, THEN \mathbb{R} IS OPEN.

THEREFORE $\emptyset = \mathbb{R}^c$ IS CLOSED.

THE BOUNDARY OF \mathbb{R} IS \emptyset AND $\emptyset \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ THEN \mathbb{R} CONTAINS ITS BOUNDARY, THEN \mathbb{R} IS CLOSED.

THEREFORE $\emptyset = \mathbb{R}^c$ IS OPEN.

EX. $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

IS MADE BY ISOLATED POINTS

$\partial \mathbb{Z} = \emptyset$ THEN \mathbb{Z} IS CLOSED IN \mathbb{R}

Ex.

$$A = \left\{ \frac{1}{m+1} , m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots \right\}$$

FOR SURE A IS NOT OPEN, FOR EXAMPLE THE POINT $\frac{1}{2}$ IS NOT INTERIOR.

IS A CLOSED? THE POINTS OF A ARE ALL ISOLATED, BUT THEY TEND TO GET "VERY CLOSE" TO ZERO. THE BOUNDARY OF A IS: $\partial A = A \cup \{0\}$ AND $\partial A \not\subseteq A$, THEN A IS NOT CLOSED.

THEOREM

- i) THE INTERSECTION OF A FINITE NUMBER OF OPEN SETS IS OPEN
- ii) THE UNION OF A FINITE / INFINITE NUMBER OF OPEN SETS IS OPEN
- iii) THE INTERSECTION OF A FINITE / INFINITE NUMBER OF CLOSED SETS IS CLOSED
- iv) THE UNION OF A FINITE NUMBER OF CLOSED SETS IS CLOSED.

REMARK WHY i) IS NOT VALID FOR AN INFINITE NUMBER OF OPEN SETS?

COUNTEREXAMPLE: $A_m = \left(-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}\right)$, $\bigcap_m A_m = \{0\}$ CLOSED

WHY \bar{w}) IS NOT VALID FOR AN INFINITE
NUMBER OF CLOSED SETS?

COUNTEREXAMPLE: $A_n = \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{n}\right]$, $\bigcup_n A_n = [0, 1)$
IS NOT CLOSED

THERE ARE SETS THAT WE WILL FIND VERY
IMPORTANT IN THE FUTURE:

def $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ IS SAID COMPACT SET WHEN
 A IS CLOSED AND BOUNDED.

ex. $A = [-10, 5]$ IS COMPACT

$B = [-10, 0] \cup [3, 5]$ IS COMPACT

$C = [-20, -10] \cup \{50\}$ IS COMPACT

$D = \{1\} \cup \{3\} \cup \{50\}$ IS COMPACT