

Green Paper 10 — Notes Toward Planetary Guardianship

Green Papers: Notes Toward Planetary Guardianship

Author: Lars A. Engberg · Status: Working paper (v0.1). Revised over time. · January 2026

[Back to index](#)

Abstract

These notes gather the series into a short frame: planetary guardianship is an ethic of capacity. It blends boundaries, care, repair, attention, civic regulation, and perceptual infrastructures toward practices that sustain life across ecological time.

The paper is not a manifesto but an opening: a set of protocols and lines of practical inquiry — how to govern limits, cultivate repair, design attention, and embed beauty as a stabilizer of stewardship.

It concludes with a sketch of next steps: protocol development, localized practice, distributed stewardship, and practice-based research into viability and care.

1. Ethics as capacity

Ethics here is not only principle but practice: the ability of people and institutions to act responsibly under constraint. Capacity includes knowledge, resources, rhythms, and habits that make responsible action possible.

2. Regulation and viability

Regulation should be read as enabling viability: rules that protect thresholds, support repair, and distribute burdens. Viability is the primary aim; regulation is the tool to sustain viable configurations of life.

3. Attention, commons, and local stewardship

Attention is a scarce public good. The commons and local stewardship practices allocate attention in visible, consequential ways. Supporting local institutions is a way of distributing sensing and response capacities that national systems cannot hold alone.

4. Repair and perceptual infrastructures

Repair practices and perceptual infrastructures (beauty, rituals) sustain the emotional and motivational soil for stewardship. They are not soft extras but necessary supports for durable governance.

5. Protocols and practice

1. Develop protocol templates for boundary-respecting decision cycles (assessment → delay → review → response).
2. Fund standing repair capacities: civic mediation, ritual facilitation, and material repair teams.
3. Design civic nervous systems that separate rhythmically distinct channels for fast and slow signals.
4. Embed beauty and perceptual care in public maintenance budgets and civic design standards.
5. Center differential responsibilities and reparative mechanisms to address uneven burdens.

6. A calm opening

Planetary guardianship is neither centralised command nor purely volunteerism; it is a practiced architecture of shared responsibility. The work ahead is empirical and craft-based: building protocols, testing practices, and learning through localized experimentation that scales by translation rather than replication.

Closing questions

- Which protocol elements are portable between contexts, and which must be locally remade?
- How to fund standing repair and attention infrastructures in ways that endure?
- What institutional forms best hold long horizons inside short electoral cycles?
- How to weave beauty, ritual, and repair into ordinary civic budgets and practices?
- Where to begin practice-based research into guardianship that combines craft and policy?

References (working constellation)

- Meadows, D. — Systems thinking and planetary limits.
- Ostrom, E. — Governing the commons and institutional design.
- Tronto, J. — Care ethics and political practice.
- Rockström, J. & Steffen, W. — Planetary boundaries research.
- Habermas, J. — Deliberation and public legitimacy.