

APPENDIX A  
PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

The equilibrium problem representing the sequential heat and electricity market clearing in (7)-(8) can be expressed in a compact manner as:

$$\mathbf{x}^H \in \text{sol. of } \begin{cases} \min_{\mathbf{x}^H \geq 0} & c^{H\top} \mathbf{x}^H \\ \text{s.t.} & A^H \mathbf{x}^H + B^H \mathbf{z} \geq b^H \end{cases} \quad (13a)$$

$$\mathbf{x}^E \in \text{sol. of } \begin{cases} \min_{\mathbf{x}^E \geq 0} & c^{E\top} \mathbf{x}^E \\ \text{s.t.} & A^E \mathbf{x}^E + B^E \mathbf{x}^H \geq b^E \end{cases} \quad (13b)$$

Similarly, the proposed lexicographic optimization problem (9) is formulated in a compact manner as (11d).

This lexicographic optimization problem can be solved in two steps:

- 1) Find the optimal heat dispatch cost such that:

$$\Theta^{H*} = \min_{\mathbf{x}^H, \mathbf{x}^E \geq 0} c^{H\top} \mathbf{x}^H \quad (14a)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad (7b) - (7g) \quad (14b)$$

$$(8b) - (8i) \quad (14c)$$

- 2) Find an optimal heat and electricity dispatch such that:

$$\{x^{H*}, x^{E*}\} \in \underset{\mathbf{x}^H, \mathbf{x}^E \geq 0}{\text{argmin}} c^{E\top} \mathbf{x}^E \quad (15a)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad (7b) - (7g) \quad (15b)$$

$$(8b) - (8i) \quad (15c)$$

$$c^{H\top} \mathbf{x}^H \leq \Theta^{H*} \quad (15d)$$

Due to Constraints (15b) and (15d), for any optimal solution  $\{x^{H*}, x^{E*}\}$  to (14)-(15),  $x^{H*}$  is also an optimal solution to (13a), and  $x^{E*}$  is an optimal solution to (13b) with  $\mathbf{x}^H$  fixed to  $x^{H*}$ .

APPENDIX B  
PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2

We consider the following approximation of the lexicographic optimization problem (11d), with  $\gamma \in ]0, 1[$ :

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}^H, \mathbf{x}^E \geq 0} \gamma c^{H\top} \mathbf{x}^H + (1 - \gamma) c^{E\top} \mathbf{x}^E \quad (16a)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad A^H \mathbf{x}^H + B^H \mathbf{z} \geq b^H \quad (16b)$$

$$A^E \mathbf{x}^E + B^E \mathbf{x}^H \geq b^E, \quad (16c)$$

where  $\mathbf{y}^E$  is obtained as the dual variable associated with constraint (16c) [18]. As a result, problem (10) can be approximated by the following linear bilevel optimization problem:

$$\min_{\substack{\mathbf{z} \in \{0,1\}^N, \mathbf{x}^H, \mathbf{x}^E \geq 0 \\ \mathbf{y}^H, \mathbf{y}^E \geq 0}} \gamma c^{0\top} \mathbf{z} + \gamma c^{H\top} \mathbf{x}^H + (1 - \gamma) c^{E\top} \mathbf{x}^E \quad (17a)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{Z}^{\text{UC}} \quad (17b)$$

$$A^{\text{bid}} \mathbf{z} + \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma)} B^{\text{bid}} \mathbf{y}^E \geq b^{\text{bid}} \quad (17c)$$

$$\{\mathbf{x}^H, \mathbf{y}^E\} \in \text{primal and dual sol. of (16).} \quad (17d)$$

Besides, by strong duality of the lower-level problem (17d), problem (17) is equivalent to (12).

It remains to show that problem (12) is an asymptotic approximation to problem (11), i.e., as  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$  the solutions to problem (12) become optimal solutions to problem (11). By introducing the auxiliary variables  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^H = \frac{\mathbf{y}^H}{\gamma}$ , and  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^E = \frac{\mathbf{y}^E}{1 - \gamma}$ , problem (12) is equivalent to:

$$\min_{\substack{\mathbf{z} \in \{0,1\}^N, \mathbf{x}^H \geq 0 \\ \mathbf{x}^E \geq 0, \mathbf{y}^H, \mathbf{y}^E \geq 0}} \gamma c^{0\top} \mathbf{z} + \gamma c^{H\top} \mathbf{x}^H + (1 - \gamma) c^{E\top} \mathbf{x}^E \quad (18a)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{Z}^{\text{UC}} \quad (18b)$$

$$A^{\text{bid}} \mathbf{z} + B^{\text{bid}} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^E \geq b^{\text{bid}} \quad (18c)$$

$$A^H \mathbf{x}^H + B^H \mathbf{z} \geq b^H \quad (18d)$$

$$A^E \mathbf{x}^E + B^E \mathbf{x}^H \geq b^E \quad (18e)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{H\top} A^H + \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{\gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{E\top} B^E \leq c^{H\top} \quad (18f)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{E\top} A^E \leq c^{E\top} \quad (18g)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{H\top} (b^H - B^H \mathbf{z}) - c^{H\top} \mathbf{x}^H \\ \geq \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{\gamma} (c^{E\top} \mathbf{x}^E - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{E\top} b^E). \end{aligned} \quad (18h)$$

For the value of the unit commitment variable  $\mathbf{z}$  fixed to  $\mathbf{z}^*$ , let us denote  $\Theta(\mathbf{z}^*)$  the optimal objective value to (11), and  $\tilde{\Theta}(\mathbf{z}^*)$  and  $\{x^{H*}, x^{E*}, y^{H*}, y^{E*}\}$  the optimal objective and solutions to (18). As  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ , (18f) and (18h) become

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{H\top} A^H \leq c^{H\top} \quad (19a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{H\top} (b^H - B^H \mathbf{z}) \geq c^{H\top} \mathbf{x}^H. \quad (19b)$$

Constraint (18d) guarantees that  $x^{H*}$  is feasible to problem (14) with  $\mathbf{z}$  fixed to  $\mathbf{z}^*$ . Additionally, (19a) guarantees that  $y^{H*}$  becomes feasible to the dual of problem (14) with  $\mathbf{z}$  fixed to  $\mathbf{z}^*$  when  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ . Moreover, (19b) guarantees that  $x^{H*}$  and  $y^{H*}$ , together, satisfy the strong duality equation of problem (14) with  $\mathbf{z}$  fixed to  $\mathbf{z}^*$  when  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ . Therefore,  $x^{H*}$  and  $y^{H*}$  approximate a primal and dual optimal solution to problem (14) with  $\mathbf{z}$  fixed to  $\mathbf{z}^*$  when  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ . This implies that  $x^{H*}$  and  $y^{H*}$  become feasible solutions to (11) when  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ .

Moreover, the combination of (18f)  $\times x^{H*}$  and (18h) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{H\top} (b^H - B^H \mathbf{z} - A^H x^{H*}) \\ \geq \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{\gamma} (c^{E\top} \mathbf{x}^E - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{E\top} (b^E - B^E x^{H*})). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

It follows from (20) and (18d) that, for any gamma  $\gamma \in ]0, 1[$ :

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{E\top} (b^E - B^E x^{H*}) \geq c^{E\top} \mathbf{x}^E. \quad (21)$$

Constraints (18e) and (18g) guarantee that  $x^{E*}$  and  $y^{E*}$  are feasible primal and dual solutions to problem (15) with  $\mathbf{x}^H$  fixed to  $x^{H*}$ . Additionally, (21) guarantees that  $x^{E*}$  and  $y^{E*}$ , together, satisfy the strong duality equation of problem (15). Therefore,  $x^{E*}$  and  $y^{E*}$  are the primal and dual optimal solutions to problem (15) with  $\mathbf{x}^H$  fixed to  $x^{H*}$  for any  $\gamma \in ]0, 1[$ .

In summary,  $x^{H*}$  is a feasible solution to (14), which converges towards an optimal solution when  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ , and  $y^{E*}$  is an optimal dual solution of the lower-level problem for

any  $\gamma \in ]0, 1[$ . Hence, problem (12) always provides a feasible solution to problem (11), which converges towards the optimal solution when  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ .