## TD: Logical Aspects of Artificial Intelligence Introduction to DLs & Properties (21/09/2022)

\*\* Exercises related to the previous session \*\*

**Exercise 1.** Let  $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{T} \cup \{A \sqsubseteq C\}, \mathcal{A})$  be a knowledge base such that A is a concept name and B is a concept name that does not occur in  $\mathcal{K}$  (B is "new"). Show that  $\mathcal{K}$  is consistent iff  $\mathcal{K}' = (\mathcal{T} \cup \{A \equiv B \sqcap C\}, \mathcal{A})$  is consistent.

**Exercise 2.** Let  $\mathcal{T}^* = \{A_1 \equiv C_1, \dots, A_m \equiv C_m\}$  be an  $\mathcal{ALC}$  TBox satisfying the following properties.

- Every  $A_i$  is a concept name, and  $A_i \equiv C_i$  is an abbreviation for  $A_i \sqsubseteq C_i$  and  $C_i \sqsubseteq A_i$ .
- For all  $i, j \in [1, m]$ , if  $A_j$  occurs in  $C_i$ , then j > i.
- If  $i \neq j \in [1, m]$ , then  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  are syntactically distinct.

Such a TBox  $\mathcal{T}^*$  is called **acyclic**.

- 1. Briefly define an acyclic graph from  $\mathcal{T}^*$ , which would justify the terminology " $\mathcal{T}^*$  is acyclic".
- 2. Given an interpretation  $\mathcal{I}$ , show that there exists an interpretation  $\mathcal{J}$  such that  $\mathcal{J} \models \mathcal{T}^*$ , the interpretations of the role names and concept names different from  $\{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$  are identical in  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$ .
- 3. Design an algorithm that takes as input a knowledge base  $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$  with acyclic  $\mathcal{T}$  and returns an ABox  $\mathcal{A}'$  such that  $\mathcal{K}$  is consistent iff  $(\emptyset, \mathcal{A}')$  is consistent, and  $\mathcal{A}'$  contains no  $A_i$ 's. The proof for the soundness of the algorithm is not requested.
- 4. Explain why your algorithm terminates and analyse its computational complexity.

**Exercise 3**. (Exponential-size interpretations) Define a family of concepts  $(C_n)_{n\geq 1}$  such that each  $C_n$  is of polynomial size in n (for a fixed polynomial),  $C_n$  is satisfiable, and the interpretations satisfying  $C_n$  have at least  $2^n$  individuals in its domains.

**Exercise 4**. (Infinite models) Let  $\mathcal{ALCIN}$  be the extension of  $\mathcal{ALC}$  with unqualified number restrictions and inverse roles. Let  $C = \neg A \sqcap \exists r.A$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \{A \sqsubseteq \exists r.A, \top \sqsubseteq (\leq 1 \ r^-)\}$ . Show that for all interpretations  $\mathcal{I} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{I})$  such that  $C^{\mathcal{I}} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{T}, \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$  is infinite.

\*\* Exercises related to today session\*\*

**Exercise 5**. Let us consider the translation map  $\mathfrak{t}$  into first-order logic. Let  $\mathcal{I} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{I})$  be an interpretation.

- 1. Let C be a complex concept in  $\mathcal{ALC}$ . Show that for all  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{a} \in C^{\mathcal{I}}$  iff  $\mathcal{I}, \rho[\mathfrak{x} \leftarrow \mathfrak{a}] \models \mathfrak{t}(C, \mathfrak{x})$  where  $\rho$  is a first-order assignment.
- 2. Show that  $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{K}$  iff  $\mathcal{I} \models \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{K})$ .

**Exercise 6**. (Model-checking in PTIME) Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an interpretation with finite domain and C be an  $\mathcal{ALC}$  concept. Recapitulate the main arguments to show that the algorithm seen in the lecture to compute  $C^{\mathcal{I}}$  indeed runs in polynomial time.

**Exercise 7**. Let X be a finite set of  $\mathcal{ALC}$  concepts closed under subconcepts and  $\mathcal{K}$  (resp. C) be a knowledge base (resp. a concept) such that  $\mathsf{sub}(\mathcal{K}) \cup \mathsf{sub}(C) \subseteq X$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}})$  be an interpretation such that

- $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{K}$  and  $C^{\mathcal{I}} \neq \emptyset$ ,
- for all role names r occurring in X,  $r^{\mathcal{I}}$  is reflexive and transitive.

For all  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ , we write  $\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathfrak{a}'$  iff for all concepts  $D \in X$ , we have  $\mathfrak{a} \in D^{\mathcal{I}}$  iff  $\mathfrak{a}' \in D^{\mathcal{I}}$ . As  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation, equivalence classes of  $\sim$  are written  $[\mathfrak{a}]$  to denote the class of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Let us define the interpretation  $\mathcal{J} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}})$ :

- $\bullet \ \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ [\mathfrak{a}] \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \}.$
- $A^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ [\mathfrak{a}] \mid \text{ there is } \mathfrak{a}' \in [\mathfrak{a}] \text{ such that } \mathfrak{a}' \in A^{\mathcal{I}} \} \text{ for all } A \in X.$
- $A^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset$  for all concept names  $A \notin X$  (arbitrary value).
- $r^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{([\mathfrak{a}], [\mathfrak{b}]) \mid \text{ there are } \mathfrak{a}' \in [\mathfrak{a}], \mathfrak{b}' \in [\mathfrak{b}] \text{ such that for all } \forall r.D \in X, \mathfrak{a}' \in (\forall r.D)^{\mathcal{I}} \text{ implies } \mathfrak{b}' \in (\forall r.D)^{\mathcal{I}} \} \text{ for all role names } r \text{ occurring in } X.$
- $r^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset$  for all role names r not occurring in X (arbitrary value).
- $a^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\mathfrak{a}]$  with  $a^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathfrak{a}$ , for all individual names a.
- 1. Show that for all role names r occurring in X,  $r^{\mathcal{J}}$  is reflexive and transitive.
- 2. Show that  $(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}) \in r^{\mathcal{I}}$  implies  $([\mathfrak{a}],[\mathfrak{b}]) \in r^{\mathcal{J}}$ , for all role names r occurring in X.
- 3. Assuming that the concept constructors occurring in X are among  $\forall r$  for some r,  $\sqcap$  and  $\neg$ , show that for all  $D \in X$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{a} \in D^{\mathcal{I}}$  iff  $[\mathfrak{a}] \in D^{\mathcal{I}}$ . (This restriction on the concept constructors allows us to reduce the number of cases in the induction step).
- 4. Conclude that there is a finite interpretation  $\mathcal{I}^*$  such that  $\mathcal{I}^* \models \mathcal{K}$  and  $(C)^{\mathcal{I}^*} \neq \emptyset$  and for all role names r occurring in X,  $(r)^{\mathcal{I}^*}$  is reflexive and transitive.