Multiple Secret Leaders

Authors here

September 4, 2023

1 Data Independent Priority Queue

Here we introduce a data independent priority queue. We will assume that there are no items in the queue with equal priority. In practice, we can break ties by adding a unique identifier to each item.

Algorithm 1 MergeFill

```
1: Input: Head, \mathcal{P}_{\text{count}}
2: e_1, ..., e_{\gamma} = \mathtt{sort}(\mathtt{Head}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{count}}) \text{ where } \gamma = |\mathtt{Head}| + |\mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{count}}|
                                                                                                                                   3: \operatorname{Head} = \{e_1, ..., e_{\min(\sqrt{n}, \gamma)}\}
4: \mathcal{P}_{\text{count}} = \{e_{\sqrt{n}+1}, ..., e_{\gamma}\}
5: return Head', \mathcal{P}_{\text{count}}
```

Algorithm 2 Fill

```
1: Input: \mathcal{P}_{count}, Stash
2: e_1, ..., e_{\gamma} = \mathcal{P}_{\texttt{count}} \bigcup \texttt{Stash where } \gamma = |\mathcal{P}_{\texttt{count}}| + |\texttt{Stash}|
3: \mathcal{P}_{\texttt{count}} = \{e_1, ..., e_{\min(\sqrt{n}, \gamma)}\}
4: Stash = \{e_{\sqrt{n}+1},...,e_{\gamma}\}
5: return \mathcal{P}'_{\text{count}}, Stash
```

Invariants 1.1

Let $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ be the total number of insertions and extractions respectively. Note that if $i - j \leq \sqrt{n}$ and in the prior i+j operations, the size of DIPQ never exceeded \sqrt{n} , then the priority queue is trivially correct because all elements remain in the head which is itself a priority queue. Thus, we will assume that at some point in the sequence of insertions and extractions, we had that $|DIPQ| > \sqrt{n}$.

Before specifying our invariants, we will add some notation. Let $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{G})$ for "good") be the largest set of smallest priorities in the head. More formally, \mathcal{G} is the largest subset of Head such that $\forall e \in \mathsf{DIPQ}, e \notin \mathcal{G}, \ a < e, \forall a \in \mathcal{G}.$ Note that $|\mathcal{G}| \leq \sqrt{n}$. Further, let g be the smallest priority in DIPQ which is not in the head, Head. Note that $q \notin \mathcal{G}$.

We will show that the following invariants hold:

• g is not in the prior $\sqrt{n} - |\mathcal{G}|$ iterated over partitions. Formally, $g \notin \bigcup_{q \in [\mathtt{count} - \sqrt{n} + |\mathcal{G}|,\mathtt{count})} \mathcal{P}_q$

Proof. We proceed by joint induction on i, j where $i - j \leq n$. We will first prove the base case where $i-j=\sqrt{n}+1$ and DIPQ is less than or equal to \sqrt{n} for all prior operations. Note that the operation has to be an insertion in-order for i-j to increase. Thus, the head contains \sqrt{n} elements, all of which are smaller than the element evicted by PQ.ExtractLargest (line 10) in the insertion operation. We thus have that $|\mathcal{G}| = \sqrt{n}$ after the insertion. We can then see that the invariant holds trivially as count $-\sqrt{n} + |\mathcal{G}| = \text{count} - \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{n} = \text{count}$ and thus $\bigcup_{q \in [\text{count} - \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{n}, \text{count})} \mathcal{P}_q = \emptyset$.

Now, to prove the inductive case we need to show that after an operation, the new good set, \mathcal{G}' has size at least $|\mathcal{G}| - 1$ and that $g \notin \mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{count}+1}$. Then, as we have the inductive hypothesis that $g \notin \bigcup_{q \in [\mathtt{count} - \sqrt{n} + |\mathcal{G}|,\mathtt{count})} \mathcal{P}_q$, we can show that $g \notin \bigcup_{q \in [\mathtt{count} + 1 - \sqrt{n} + |\mathcal{G}| - 1,\mathtt{count} + 1)} \mathcal{P}_q$. We will proceed by assuming that the invariant hold for insertion count i and extraction count

j. We will show that they hold for i + 1, j and i, j + 1.

Algorithm 3 Data Independent Priority Queue (DIPQ)

```
1: function Init
 2:
          \mathtt{count} \leftarrow 0
          \texttt{Head} \leftarrow []
 3:
          \mathcal{P}_0, \cdots, \mathcal{P}_{\sqrt{n}-1} \leftarrow \emptyset
 4:
          \mathtt{Stash} \leftarrow \dot{\emptyset}
 6: function Insert(p, v)
          if |\text{Head}| < \sqrt{n} then
 8:
                Append (p, v) to Head
 9:
          else
10:
                i, (p', v') = \texttt{GetLargest}(\texttt{Head}) where i is the index of (p', v') in Head
                I = 1 if p' < p else 0
11:
                \mathtt{Stash}[i] = I \cdot (p, v) + (1 - I) \cdot (p', v')
12:
                \text{Head}[i] = I \cdot (p', v') + (1 - I) \cdot (p, v)
13:
          Call Order()
14:
15: function ExtractFront
          j,(p,v) = \texttt{GetSmallest}(\texttt{Stash}) where j is the index of (p',v') in Stash
16:
          k, (p', v') = \texttt{GetLargest}(\texttt{Head}) where k is the index of (a, b) in \texttt{Head}
17:
          I = 1 if p' < p else 0
18:
          \mathtt{Stash}[j] = (1 - I) \cdot (p', v') + I \cdot (p, v)
19:
                                                                                            \triangleright Conditionally swap (p, v) and (p', v')
          \text{Head}[k] = I \cdot (p', v') + (1 - I) \cdot (p, v)
                                                                                            \triangleright Conditionally swap (p', v') and (p, v)
20:
21:
          i, (p_r, v_r) = \texttt{GetSmallest}(\texttt{Head})
          Call Order()
22:
23:
          return (p_r, v_r)
24: function Order
          \mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{count}}, \mathtt{Stash} = \mathtt{Fill}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{count}}, \mathtt{Stash})
25:
          \mathtt{Head}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{count}} = \mathtt{MergeFill}(\mathtt{Head}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{count}})
26:
          count \leftarrow count + 1 \mod \sqrt{n}
27:
```

Case 1: i+1, j Let (p, v) be the inserted element. We will now show that the new good set, \mathcal{G}' has size at least $|\mathcal{G}|$. If p < a for some $a \in \mathcal{G}$, then $p \in \mathcal{G}'$. As at most one element is evicted from \mathcal{G} , we have that $|\mathcal{G}'| \geq |\mathcal{G}| - 1 + 1$. If p > a for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$, then the \mathcal{G}' does not lose any elements from \mathcal{G} and so $|\mathcal{G}'| \geq |\mathcal{G}|$.

Now, note that if $|\mathcal{G}'| = \sqrt{n}$, then the invariants hold trivially as $\bigcup_{j \in [\mathtt{count}+1-\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{n},\mathtt{count}+1)} \mathcal{P}_j = \emptyset$. So, we assume that $|\mathcal{G}'| < \sqrt{n}$. Note that because we call \mathtt{Order} at the end of insertion (line 14) and $\sqrt{n} > |\mathcal{G}'| \ge |\mathcal{G}|$, Head either had an empty slot or an element β such that $\beta > g$ prior to insertion. If p < g, then $g' \leftarrow p$ (where g' is the updated g) and (p,v) are moved into the head. Otherwise, after calling \mathtt{Order} , we have that g is moved into \mathtt{Head}' if $g \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{count}}$ by the functionality of MergeFill. So, we can then see that $g' \notin \mathcal{P}'_{\mathtt{count}}$. Finally, as $g' \le g$ and no element smaller than or equal to g is in $\bigcup_{q \in [\mathtt{count}-\sqrt{n}+|\mathcal{G}|,\mathtt{count})} \mathcal{P}_q$ by the inductive hypothesis, $g' \notin \bigcup_{g \in [\mathtt{count}+1-\sqrt{n}+|\mathcal{G}|,\mathtt{count}+1)} \mathcal{P}_q$.

Case 2: i, j + 1 Note that on an extraction from Head, $|\mathcal{G}|$ may decrease by at most 1. We can then see that the new good set \mathcal{G}' has size at least $|\mathcal{G}| - 1$. Again, for the case where the new good set, \mathcal{G}' , has size \sqrt{n} , the invariants hold trivially. So, we assume that $|\mathcal{G}'| < \sqrt{n}$.

We must now show that g is not in the updated current partition, $\mathcal{P}'_{\text{count}}$, after Order is called. We can show this because we call MergeFill on the head and the current partition, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{count}}$. I.e. if MergeFill introduced element g into the head, $g \notin \mathcal{P}'_{\text{count}}$ and thus the invariants hold. Otherwise, if MergeFill did not introduce g into the head, then $g \notin \mathcal{P}_{\text{count}}$ and so $g \notin \mathcal{P}'_{\text{count}}$ as elements in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{count}}$ can only increase after MergeFill. By the inductive hypothesis and the above, we can see that $g \notin \bigcup_{q \in [\text{count}+1-\sqrt{n}+|\mathcal{G}|-1,\text{count}+1)} \mathcal{P}_q$ via an identical line of reasoning as in case 1. Thus, we then have that invariant holds.

By induction, we have that the invariant holds for all i, j when the number of elements in the priority queue passed \sqrt{n} at some point in the sequence of operations.

1.2 Correctness Proof

ExtractFront Correctness

Assuming that the invariants hold in section 2.1, we will show that the algorithm is correct. Note that if the total number of elements in the queue never exceeded \sqrt{n} elements, correctness holds as elements are never moved out of the head which is itself a priority queue. Otherwise, we will show that $\mathcal{P}_{\text{count}}$ is always "close enough" to the next "good" element. Whenever ExtractFront is called, we have that $|\mathcal{G}|$ may decrease by 1. If $|\mathcal{G}|$ does decrease by 1, we still have that the partition containing g will be reached in at most $|\mathcal{G}|-1$ DIPQ operations. So, by the call of MergeFill in DIPQ.Order(), we will have that g is in the head or the stash after $|\mathcal{G}|-1$ calls. We can then guarantee that the smallest element in the head and stash are at least as small as g. If no insertions were called with element e where e < g, then after $|\mathcal{G}-1|$ operations, we will either have that our new \mathcal{G} set, \mathcal{G}' , will have size of at least $|\mathcal{G}|-1-(|\mathcal{G}|-1)+1=1$ as g will be moved into the head or g will be in the stash. Thus, calling ExtractFront will return the smallest element as we always have that $|\mathcal{G}|>0$ or, if $|\mathcal{G}|=0$, g, the smallest element, is in the stash. Otherwise, if e, such that e < g, is inserted within the $|\mathcal{G}|-1$ operations, then e will be in the head and be a part of the new \mathcal{G} set, \mathcal{G}' . Similarly, after $|\mathcal{G}|-1$ operations, we will have that $|\mathcal{G}'| \geq 1$ and thus the smallest element will be returned by ExtractFront.

Size of Stash

We will show that $|\mathtt{Stash}| \leq \sqrt{n}$. As, $|\mathtt{Stash}|$ and \mathcal{P}_{α} , for all $\alpha \in [\sqrt{n}]$, does not grow if $|\mathtt{Head}| < \sqrt{n}$, we will assume that $|\mathtt{Head}| = \sqrt{n}$. Note that we are guaranteed that the total number of elements in DIPQ is at most n and that the capacity of each partition is $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \sqrt{n}$. So, the total capacity of all partitions is n and, we have that there is always at least \sqrt{n} empty slots in the partitions. Thus, we have that no element which is added to the stash remains in the stash for more than \sqrt{n} calls to DIPQ.Order() as each call attempts to place an element from the stash into the current partition. We can also note that the stash can only grow by at most 1 element per call to Insert. Thus, assume towards contradiction that $|\mathtt{Stash}| > \sqrt{n}$. Then, we have that an element remained in the stash for more than \sqrt{n} calls to DIPQ.Order() which is a contradiction. We can then see that $|\mathtt{Stash}| \leq \sqrt{n}$.

Data Independence

We will now show that the priority queue is data independent. We can do this by simply noting that every operation is data independent.

1.3 Complexity

Assume that we have a data independent sorting algorithm which takes $O(f(\alpha))$ time where $f(\alpha)$ is some function of α , the number of sorted elements. Now, we can show that the time complexity of Insert and ExtractFront is $O(\sqrt{n} + f(\sqrt{n}))$. Note that Order makes a call to MergeFill which does a sort and that the rest of the operations take at most $O(\sqrt{n})$ time. So, Order takes $O(\sqrt{n} + f(\sqrt{n}))$ time. We can also see that in Insert and ExtractFront, all non-Order operations take at most $O(\sqrt{n})$ time. So, Insert and ExtractFront take $O(\sqrt{n} + f(\sqrt{n}))$ time.

2 Adopting to an Oblivious Priority Queue

Here we will adopt the above data structure into an oblivious one.

Algorithm 4 MergeFill

```
1: Input: Head, \mathcal{P}_{\texttt{count}}
2: e_1, ..., e_{\gamma} = \texttt{sort}(\texttt{Head}, \mathcal{P}_{\texttt{count}}) where \gamma = |\texttt{Head}| + |\mathcal{P}_{\texttt{count}}| \triangleright Via a data-independent sort 3: \texttt{Head} = \{e_1, ..., e_{\min(\sqrt{n}, \gamma)}\}
4: \mathcal{P}_{\texttt{count}} = \{e_{\sqrt{n}+1}, ..., e_{\gamma}\}
5: return Head', \mathcal{P}_{\texttt{count}}
```

Algorithm 5 Fill

```
1: Input: \mathcal{P}_{\text{count}}, Stash

2: e_1, ..., e_{\gamma} = \mathcal{P}_{\text{count}} \bigcup \text{Stash where } \gamma = |\mathcal{P}_{\text{count}}| + |\text{Stash}|

3: \mathcal{P}_{\text{count}} = \{e_1, ..., e_{\min(\sqrt{n}, \gamma)}\}

4: Stash = \{e_{\sqrt{n}+1}, ..., e_{\gamma}\}

5: return \mathcal{P}'_{\text{count}}, Stash
```

Algorithm 6 Data Independent Priority Queue (DIPQ)

```
1: function Init
 2:
           \mathtt{count} \leftarrow 0
           \text{Head} \leftarrow [\inf, ..., \inf] \text{ where } |\text{Head}| = \sqrt{n}
 3:
           \mathcal{P}_0, \cdots, \mathcal{P}_{\sqrt{n}-1} \leftarrow [\inf, ..., \inf] \text{ where } |\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}| = \sqrt{n}
 4:
           Stash \leftarrow [\inf, ..., \inf] \text{ where } |Stash| = \sqrt{n}
 5:
 6: function Operation(p, v, op)
           Append (p, v) to Head
           i, (p', v') = \text{GetLargest}(\text{Head}) where i is the index of (p', v') in Head
 8:
 9:
           I = 1 if p' < p else 0
           Stash[i] = I \cdot (p, v) + (1 - I) \cdot (p', v')
10:
           \text{Head}[i] = I \cdot (p', v') + (1 - I) \cdot (p, v)
11:
           i, (p_r, v_r) = \texttt{GetSmallest}(\texttt{Head})
12:
           Call Order()
13:
           return (p_r, v_r)
14:
15: function Order
           \mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{count}}, \mathtt{Stash} = \mathtt{Fill}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{count}}, \mathtt{Stash})
16:
           \texttt{Head}, \mathcal{P}_{\texttt{count}} = \texttt{MergeFill}(\texttt{Head}, \mathcal{P}_{\texttt{count}})
17:
           count \leftarrow count + 1 \mod \sqrt{n}
18:
```

2.1 Invariants

Let $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ be the total number of insertions and extractions respectively. Note that if $i - j \leq \sqrt{n}$ and in the prior i + j operations, the size of DIPQ never exceeded \sqrt{n} , then the priority queue is trivially correct because all elements remain in the head which is itself a priority queue. Thus,

we will assume that at some point in the sequence of insertions and extractions, we had that $|\mathtt{DIPQ}| > \sqrt{n}$.

Before specifying our invariants, we will add some notation. Let \mathcal{G} (\mathcal{G} for "good") be the largest set of smallest priorities in the head. More formally, \mathcal{G} is the largest subset of Head such that $\forall e \in \mathtt{DIPQ}, e \notin \mathcal{G}, \ a < e, \forall a \in \mathcal{G}.$ Note that $|\mathcal{G}| \leq \sqrt{n}$. Further, let g be the smallest priority in \mathtt{DIPQ} which is not in the head, Head. Note that $g \notin \mathcal{G}$.

We will show that the following invariants hold:

• g is not in the prior $\sqrt{n} - |\mathcal{G}|$ iterated over partitions. Formally, $g \notin \bigcup_{q \in [\mathtt{count} - \sqrt{n} + |\mathcal{G}|,\mathtt{count})} \mathcal{P}_q$

Proof. We proceed by joint induction on i,j where $i-j \leq n$. We will first prove the base case where $i-j=\sqrt{n}+1$ and $|\mathtt{DIPQ}|$ is less than or equal to \sqrt{n} for all prior operations. Note that the operation has to be an insertion in-order for i-j to increase. Thus, the head contains \sqrt{n} elements, all of which are smaller than the element evicted by \mathtt{PQ} . ExtractLargest (line 10) in the insertion operation. We thus have that $|\mathcal{G}| = \sqrt{n}$ after the insertion. We can then see that the invariant holds trivially as $\mathtt{count} - \sqrt{n} + |\mathcal{G}| = \mathtt{count} - \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{n} = \mathtt{count}$ and thus $\bigcup_{q \in [\mathtt{count} - \sqrt{n} + -\sqrt{n},\mathtt{count})} \mathcal{P}_q = \emptyset$.

Now, to prove the inductive case we need to show that after an operation, the new good set, \mathcal{G}' has size at least $|\mathcal{G}| - 1$ and that $g \notin \mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{count}+1}$. Then, as we have the inductive hypothesis that $g \notin \bigcup_{q \in [\mathtt{count}-\sqrt{n}+|\mathcal{G}|,\mathtt{count})} \mathcal{P}_q$, we can show that $g \notin \bigcup_{q \in [\mathtt{count}+1-\sqrt{n}+|\mathcal{G}|-1,\mathtt{count}+1)} \mathcal{P}_q$. We will proceed by assuming that the invariant hold for insertion count i and extraction count

We will proceed by assuming that the invariant hold for insertion count i and extraction count j. We will show that they hold for i + 1, j and i, j + 1.

Case 1: i + 1, j Let (p, v) be the inserted element. We will now show that the new good set, \mathcal{G}' has size at least $|\mathcal{G}|$. If p < a for some $a \in \mathcal{G}$, then $p \in \mathcal{G}'$. As at most one element is evicted from \mathcal{G} , we have that $|\mathcal{G}'| \geq |\mathcal{G}| - 1 + 1$. If p > a for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$, then the \mathcal{G}' does not lose any elements from \mathcal{G} and so $|\mathcal{G}'| \geq |\mathcal{G}|$.

Now, note that if $|\mathcal{G}'| = \sqrt{n}$, then the invariants hold trivially as $\bigcup_{j \in [\mathtt{count}+1-\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{n},\mathtt{count}+1)} \mathcal{P}_j = \emptyset$. So, we assume that $|\mathcal{G}'| < \sqrt{n}$. Note that because we call \mathtt{Order} at the end of insertion (line 14) and $\sqrt{n} > |\mathcal{G}'| \ge |\mathcal{G}|$, Head either had an empty slot or an element β such that $\beta > g$ prior to insertion. If p < g, then $g' \leftarrow p$ (where g' is the updated g) and (p,v) are moved into the head. Otherwise, after calling \mathtt{Order} , we have that g is moved into \mathtt{Head}' if $g \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{count}}$ by the functionality of $\mathtt{MergeFill}$. So, we can then see that $g' \notin \mathcal{P}'_{\mathtt{count}}$. Finally, as $g' \le g$ and no element smaller than or equal to g is in $\bigcup_{q \in [\mathtt{count}-\sqrt{n}+|\mathcal{G}|,\mathtt{count})} \mathcal{P}_q$ by the inductive hypothesis, $g' \notin \bigcup_{q \in [\mathtt{count}+1-\sqrt{n}+|\mathcal{G}|,\mathtt{count}+1)} \mathcal{P}_q$.

Case 2: i, j + 1 Note that on an extraction from Head, $|\mathcal{G}|$ may decrease by at most 1. We can then see that the new good set \mathcal{G}' has size at least $|\mathcal{G}| - 1$. Again, for the case where the new good set, \mathcal{G}' , has size \sqrt{n} , the invariants hold trivially. So, we assume that $|\mathcal{G}'| < \sqrt{n}$.

We must now show that g is not in the updated current partition, $\mathcal{P}'_{\text{count}}$, after Order is called. We can show this because we call MergeFill on the head and the current partition, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{count}}$. I.e. if MergeFill introduced element g into the head, $g \notin \mathcal{P}'_{\text{count}}$ and thus the invariants hold. Otherwise, if MergeFill did not introduce g into the head, then $g \notin \mathcal{P}_{\text{count}}$ and so $g \notin \mathcal{P}'_{\text{count}}$ as elements in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{count}}$ can only increase after MergeFill. By the inductive hypothesis and the above, we can see that $g \notin \bigcup_{q \in [\text{count}+1-\sqrt{n}+|\mathcal{G}|-1,\text{count}+1)} \mathcal{P}_q$ via an identical line of reasoning as in case 1. Thus, we then have that invariant holds.

By induction, we have that the invariant holds for all i, j when the number of elements in the priority queue passed \sqrt{n} at some point in the sequence of operations.

2.2 Correctness Proof

ExtractFront Correctness

Assuming that the invariants hold in section 2.1, we will show that the algorithm is correct. Note that if the total number of elements in the queue never exceeded \sqrt{n} elements, correctness holds as elements are never moved out of the head which is itself a priority queue. Otherwise, we will show that $\mathcal{P}_{\text{count}}$ is always "close enough" to the next "good" element. Whenever ExtractFront is called, we have that $|\mathcal{G}|$ may decrease by 1. If $|\mathcal{G}|$ does decrease by 1, we still have that the partition containing g will be reached in at most $|\mathcal{G}|-1$ DIPQ operations. So, by the call of MergeFill in DIPQ.Order(), we will have that g is in the head or the stash after $|\mathcal{G}|-1$ calls. We can then guarantee that the smallest element in the head and stash are at least as small as g. If no insertions were called with element e where e < g, then after $|\mathcal{G}-1|$ operations, we will either have that our new \mathcal{G} set, \mathcal{G}' , will have size of at least $|\mathcal{G}|-1-(|\mathcal{G}|-1)+1=1$ as g will be moved into the head or g will be in the stash. Thus, calling ExtractFront will return the smallest element as we always have that $|\mathcal{G}|>0$ or, if $|\mathcal{G}|=0$, g, the smallest element, is in the stash. Otherwise, if e, such that e < g, is inserted within the $|\mathcal{G}|-1$ operations, then e will be in the head and be a part of the new \mathcal{G} set, \mathcal{G}' . Similarly, after $|\mathcal{G}|-1$ operations, we will have that $|\mathcal{G}'|\geq 1$ and thus the smallest element will be returned by ExtractFront.

Size of Stash

We will show that $|\operatorname{Stash}| \leq \sqrt{n}$. As, $|\operatorname{Stash}|$ and \mathcal{P}_{α} , for all $\alpha \in [\sqrt{n}]$, does not grow if $|\operatorname{Head}| < \sqrt{n}$, we will assume that $|\operatorname{Head}| = \sqrt{n}$. Note that we are guaranteed that the total number of elements in DIPQ is at most n and that the capacity of each partition is $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \sqrt{n}$. So, the total capacity of all partitions is n and, we have that there is always at least \sqrt{n} empty slots in the partitions. Thus, we have that no element which is added to the stash remains in the stash for more than \sqrt{n} calls to DIPQ.Order() as each call attempts to place an element from the stash into the current partition. We can also note that the stash can only grow by at most 1 element per call to Insert. Thus, assume towards contradiction that $|\operatorname{Stash}| > \sqrt{n}$. Then, we have that an element remained in the stash for more than \sqrt{n} calls to DIPQ.Order() which is a contradiction. We can then see that $|\operatorname{Stash}| \leq \sqrt{n}$.

Data Independence

We will now show that the priority queue is data independent. We can do this by simply noting that every operation is data independent.

2.3 Complexity

Assume that we have a data independent sorting algorithm which takes $O(f(\alpha))$ time where $f(\alpha)$ is some function of α , the number of sorted elements. Now, we can show that the time complexity of Insert and ExtractFront is $O(\sqrt{n} + f(\sqrt{n}))$. Note that Order makes a call to MergeFill which does a sort and that the rest of the operations take at most $O(\sqrt{n})$ time. So, Order takes $O(\sqrt{n} + f(\sqrt{n}))$ time. We can also see that in Insert and ExtractFront, all non-Order operations take at most $O(\sqrt{n})$ time. So, Insert and ExtractFront take $O(\sqrt{n} + f(\sqrt{n}))$ time.

3 Building a Dequeue

Given black box access to a data independent (or oblivious) priority queue, we can build a data independent (resp. oblivious) double sided queue. With a double sided queue, we can build a stack and heap as well.

3.1 Data Independent (Oblivious) Dequeue

Assume that we have a data independent (resp. oblivious) priority queue, DIPQ. Then, in algorithm 3, we show how to build a data independent (resp. oblivious) double sided queue, Dequeue.

Algorithm 7 Data Independent Dequeue (Dequeue)

```
1: function Init
        DIPQ_{low} \leftarrow DIPQ.Init() where DIPQ_{low} is a min priority queue
 2:
        DIPQ_{high} \leftarrow DIPQ.Init() where DIPQ_{high} is a max priority queue
 3:
 4:
        counter \leftarrow 0
        \mathtt{size} \leftarrow 0
 5:
 6: function Insert(v)
 7:
        \mathtt{DIPQ}_{\mathtt{high}}.\mathtt{Insert}(\mathtt{counter},v)
        DIPQ_{low}.Insert(counter, v)
 8:
 9:
        counter = counter + 1
10:
        size = size + 1
11: function EXTRACTBACK
        if size = 0 then
12:
13:
             return \perp
         (p, v) \leftarrow \mathsf{DIPQ_{high}}.\mathsf{ExtractFront}()
14:
        counter = counter - 1
15:
16:
        size = size - 1
17:
        return v
18:
    function ExtractFront
        if size = 0 then
19:
             return \perp
20:
        (p',v') \leftarrow \mathtt{DIPQ_{low}.ExtractFront}()
21:
22:
        size = size - 1
        return v'
23:
```

3.2 Correctness

To show correctness, we must show that ExtractBack returns the "newest" element in the queue and ExtractFront returns the "oldest" element in the queue. First, assume that we have k element in Dequeue. Clearly, ExtractBack returns the element with the largest priority, which is always the last inserted element. ExtractFront will also return the element with the smallest priority, which is the first inserted element. We now just need to show that the ExtractFront and ExtractBack never return an element which was extracted before. Let p' be the insert index of an element extracted by ExtractBack (line 14). Note that if we ever have that $p' \geq p$, then we do not have correctness as ExtractFront is returning an element with count greater than what ExtractBack returned and thus the element

with insertion count p' has already been evicted by ExtractBack. If we always have that p' < p, then we have correctness as ExtractFront is never evicting an element which ExtractBack has already evicted.

Now, we will show that we always have p' < p. Let h be the highest priority element in $\mathtt{DIPQ_{high}}$ and ℓ by the lowest priority element in $\mathtt{DIPQ_{low}}$. Now, note that $h - \ell = |\mathtt{Dequeue}|$ as h is equal to counter as $\mathtt{ExtractBack}$ always decreases the counter by one and ℓ is equal to the number of calls to $\mathtt{ExtractFront}$ (which decreases the size of the queue by 1). Assume towards contradiction that $p' \geq p$, we then have that $\mathtt{size} = h - \ell = p' - p \leq 0$. This is a contradiction as if $\mathtt{size} \leq 0$, then $\mathtt{ExtractFront}$ and $\mathtt{ExtractBack}$ would have returned \perp .

References