Math 55b Problem Set 7

Lev Kruglyak

March 25, 2022

I collaborated with AJ LaMotta on this problem set.

Problem 1. Suppose that $\sum a_n$ is a *divergent* series of real positive numbers $a_n > 0$, and denote by $s_n = a_1 + \cdots + a_n$ its partial sums.

- (a) Show that $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+a_n}$ diverges.
- (b) What can you say about $\sum \frac{a_n}{1 + na_n}$ and $\sum \frac{a_n}{1 + n^2a_n}$?
- (c) Prove that $\frac{a_{N+1}}{s_{N+1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{N+k}}{s_{N+k}} \ge 1 \frac{s_N}{s_{N+k}}$, and deduce that $\sum \frac{a_n}{s_n}$ diverges.
- (d) Prove that $\frac{a_n}{s_n^2} \le \frac{1}{s_{n-1}} \frac{1}{s_n}$, and deduce that $\sum \frac{a_n}{s_n^2}$ converges.
- (a) We have two cases to consider. First, consider the case when $a_n \to 0$. Note that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{a_n}{1 + a_n} \right) / a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{1 + a_n} = 1$$

so by the ratio test $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+a_n}$ diverges. Next if $a_n \not\to 0$, there must be an infinite number of $a_n > \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. So an infinite number of a_n satisfy $\frac{a_n}{1+a_n} > \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} > 0$ which necessarily means that $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+a_n}$ diverges.

(b) Let's start with $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+na_n}$. First note that if $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$, we have

$$\sum \frac{a_n}{1 + na_n} = \sum \frac{1}{2n} = 2\sum \frac{1}{n} \to \infty$$

so in some cases the sum can diverge. On the other hand if we let $a_n = 1$ whenever n is square and $a_n = 0$ otherwise, the sum $\sum a_n$ clearly diverges because there are an infinite number of squares, yet

$$\sum \frac{a_n}{1 + na_n} = \sum_{n \text{ square}} \frac{1}{1 + n} = \sum \frac{1}{1 + n^2} \le \sum \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

so the series converges. For the second series, we have

$$\sum \frac{a_n}{1 + n^2 a_n} \le \sum \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

1

so the series will always converge, irrespective of the choice of a_n .

(c) Notice that for any $N, k \geq 1$ we have

$$\frac{a_{N+1}}{S_{N+1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{N+k}}{s_{N+k}} \ge \frac{a_{N+1} + \dots + a_{N+k}}{s_{N+k}} = \frac{S_{N+k} - S_N}{S_{N+k}} = 1 - \frac{S_N}{S_{N+k}}.$$

We claim that $\sum \frac{a_n}{s_n}$ diverges. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\sum \frac{a_n}{s_n} = L$ for some L > 0. Then for any $N \ge 0$, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{a_n}{s_n} = s_N + \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=N+1}^{k} \frac{a_n}{s_n} \ge s_N + 1 - \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=N+1}^{k} \frac{s_N}{s_{N+k}} = s_N + 1.$$

Here $\frac{s_N}{s_{N+k}} \to 0$ because $s_n \to \infty$ since $\sum a_n$ diverges. However as $N \to \infty$, $s_N + 1$ also goes to infinity and since this is a lower bound for $\sum \frac{a_n}{s_n}$, this series must also diverge.

(d) Note that for any n > 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{s_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{s_n} = \frac{s_n - s_{n-1}}{s_n s_{n-1}} = \frac{a_n}{s_{n-1} s_n} \ge \frac{a_n}{s_n^2}.$$

So we have the upper bound

$$\sum \frac{a_n}{s_n^2} \le \frac{a_1}{s_1^2} + \sum_{n=2} \left(\frac{1}{s_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{s_n} \right) = \frac{a_1}{s_1^2} + \frac{1}{s_1}.$$

This is of course a finite quantity so the series converges.

Problem 2.

- (a) For what values of x does the series $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + n^2 x^2}$ converge? On what intervals does it converge uniformly? On what intervals does it fail to converge uniformly?
- (b) Is f continuous wherever the series converges? Is f bounded?
- (a) For any $k \ge 1$ let $f_j(x)$ be the partial sum function, i.e.

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{1 + n^2 x^2}.$$

Now let $\epsilon > 0$. We have

$$|f(x) - f_k(x)| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + n^2 x^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{1 + n^2 x^2} \right| = \left| \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + x^2 n^2} \right| \le \frac{1}{x^2} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ converges, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is some $K(\epsilon)$ such that whenever $k \geq K(\epsilon)$, we have $\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \epsilon$. Thus for any $x \neq 0$, we know that $|f(x) - f_k(x)| < \epsilon$ as long as $k \geq K(\epsilon/x^2)$. Thus the interval of convergence for the series f(x) is $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. To see where this function is uniformly convergent, we simply need to find all intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ for which $\frac{1}{x^2}$ is bounded above, i.e. intervals like $[a, \infty)$ and $(-\infty, -a]$ for a > 0. On every other interval, f(x) does not uniformly converge.

(b) Yes, it is continuous on the points where it is defined. To see this, let $x \neq 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then for any $y \neq 0$, we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 + n^2 x^2} + \frac{1}{1 + n^2 y^2} \right) \right| \le \left| \left(\frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \right| = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right|.$$

Since $g(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ is continuous everywhere except for x = 0, we can find some $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|x - y| < \delta$ we have $\left| \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2} \right| < \epsilon \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2}$. This would imply that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$, completing the proof that f is continuous on $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$.

Next, we claim that f(x) is unbounded. Note that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + n^2 x^2} \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n^2 x^2} = \frac{1}{2x^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{12x^2}.$$

Since $\frac{\pi^2}{12x^2}$ is unbounded, and smaller than f(x), it follows that f(x) is unbounded as well.

Problem 3. Let $f(t) = a_d t^d + a_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$ be a polynomial of degree d with integer coefficients, and suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies f(x) = 0. Prove that there exists a constant c > 0 such that for any rational number $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $p/q \neq \alpha$,

$$\left|x - \frac{p}{q}\right| \ge \frac{c}{q^d}.$$

Use this to show that $\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 10^{-n!}$ is transcendental (i.e. not the solution of any polynomial equation with integer coefficients).

First assume that $\left|x-\frac{p}{q}\right| \leq 1$, we'll address the other case subsequently. Since f(t) is a polynomial, it is analytic infinitely differentiable and so we can apply Taylor's theorem to get an expansion at x:

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(x)(x-t)^k = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(x)(x-t)^k.$$

Plugging in $\frac{p}{q}$, we get

$$\begin{split} \left| f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(x) \left(x - \frac{p}{q}\right)^k \right| \\ &\leq \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^d \left| \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(x) \right| \cdot \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right|^{k-1} &\leq \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^d \left| \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(x) \right| \cdot 1^{k-1} \\ &\leq \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| \cdot d \cdot \max_{1 \leq k \leq d} \left| \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(x) \right|. \end{split}$$

Note that

$$f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = a_d \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^d + a_{d-1} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) + \dots + a_0 = \frac{a_d p^d + a_{d-1} p^{d-1} q + \dots + a_0 q^d}{q^d}.$$

Let's write $N(p,q) = a_d p^d + a_{d-1} p^{d-1} q + \cdots + a_0 q^d$ so that $f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \frac{N(p,q)}{q^d}$. Similarly let $M(x) = \max_{1 \le k \le d} \left|\frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(x)\right|$. Note that M(x) > 0 since any polynomial has at least one nonvanishing derivative at any given point. Then

$$\left| f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \right| = \left| \frac{N(p,q)}{q^d} \right| \le \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| \cdot d \cdot M(x).$$

Rearranging terms around in the inequality, we get

$$\left|x - \frac{p}{q}\right| \ge \frac{|N(p, q)/dM(x)|}{q^d} \ge \frac{1/dM(x)}{q^d}.$$

So letting c = 1/dM(x) works. Note that c > 0. Now if $\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| > 1$, write $\frac{p}{q} = n + \frac{p'}{q}$ for some $p', n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left| x - \frac{p'}{q} \right| \le 1$ with $x - \frac{p'}{q}$ and n having the same sign. Then

$$\left|x - \frac{p}{q}\right| = \left|x - \frac{p'}{q} - n\right| = \left|x - \frac{p'}{q}\right| + |n| \ge \left|\frac{c}{q^d}\right|.$$

Now let $\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 10^{-n!}$ as in the problem. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that α is not transcendental, say that it is the root of an integral polynomial. For every $k \geq 0$, let $\alpha_k = \sum_{n=0}^k 10^{-n!}$. Note that α_k can be expressed as a rational number with denominator $10^{k!}$. Then by the preceding argument, there is some constant c with

$$\frac{c}{10^{d \cdot k!}} \le |\alpha - \alpha_k| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 10^{-n!} - \sum_{n=0}^{k} 10^{-n!} \right| = \left| \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} 10^{-n!} \right| \le 10^{-(k+1)!+1}.$$

This implies that $c \leq 10^{d \cdot k! - (k+1)! + 1}$ for all $k \geq 0$. This of course implies that c = 0 since $10^{d \cdot k! - (k+1)! + 1} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. But this is a contradiction since c > 0 so α must be transcendental.

Problem 4. Suppose $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable, $|f''(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\lim_{x \to +\infty} f(x) = 0$ (i.e., $\forall \epsilon > 0 \; \exists M$ such that $x > M \Rightarrow |f(x)| < \epsilon$). Show that $\lim_{x \to +\infty} f'(x) = 0$.

Problem 5. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$\begin{cases} f(p/q) = 1/q & \text{if } p/q \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ is an irreducible fraction, } p,q \in \mathbb{Z}, \, q > 0 \\ f(x) = 0 & \text{if } x \not \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

- (a) At which points of \mathbb{R} is f continuous?
- (b) At which points of \mathbb{R} is f differentiable?
- (c) Show that f is the pointwise limit of a sequence of continuous functions.
- (d) Show that f is Riemann integrable, and that $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$.
- (e) (optional, extra credit) Consider the function $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined similarly but with $g(p/q) = 1/q^3$ (and still g(x) = 0 for $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$). Find examples of points at which g is differentiable, and of points at which g is continuous but not differentiable. (Hint: use Problem 3.)

(a) We claim that f is continuous precisely at the irrational numbers. Firstly, let $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ be rational, and suppose for the sake of contradiction that f is rational at $\frac{p}{q}$. This means that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - \frac{p}{q}| < \delta$ implies that $|f(x) - f(\frac{p}{q})| < \epsilon$. However contained in any interval $(\frac{p}{q} - \delta, \frac{p}{q} + \delta)$ are an infinite number of irrational numbers x, so $|f(x) - f(\frac{p}{q})| = \frac{1}{q}$ which may not be less than ϵ .

On the other hand suppose x is irrational. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$, choose the largest value of q so that $\frac{1}{q} < \epsilon$. Then let $\delta = \min_{q' \le q, p \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - \frac{p}{q'}|$. Thus any rational number $\frac{p}{q'} \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$ will have $q' \ge q$ so $|f(x) - f(\frac{p}{q'})| = \frac{1}{q'} < \epsilon$. We can disregard irrational numbers in this interval since f(y) = 0 and so $|f(x) - f(y)| = 0 < \epsilon$.

(b) Since a function can only be differentiable at points where it is continuous, it suffices to only check its differentiability at irrational numbers. We claim it is not differentiable. Let $x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ be irrational. If the derivative exists at x, it would have to be zero, since we can find another irrational number y arbitrarily close to x with f(x) - f(y) = 0. So let $\epsilon > 0$ and suppose there is a rational y with

$$\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|} = \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|} < \epsilon.$$

We don't care about the case when y is irrational since then the ratio would simply be zero. Say $y = \frac{p}{q}$, then

$$\epsilon > \frac{|f(\frac{p}{q})|}{|x - \frac{p}{q}|} = \frac{\frac{1}{q}}{|x - \frac{p}{q}|} \ge \frac{\frac{1}{q}}{\min_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - \frac{p}{q}|} = \frac{\frac{1}{q}}{\frac{1}{2q}} = 2.$$

This is a contradiction, since ϵ need not be greater than 2. So f isn't differentiable at x.

(c) Recall that the rationals are countable, so we can order them $\{\frac{p_i}{q_i}\}_{i\geq 0}$. Now let's construct functions f(x,i), with $0\leq f(x,i)\leq 1$ for all x,i, and defined recursively as follows. First, f(x,0) is defined as a function which takes on values $f(\frac{p_0}{q_0},0)=\frac{1}{q_0}$, and any other values between 0 and < 1 elsewhere. Next, for any i, define f(x,i) as some function with $f(\frac{p_i}{q_i},i)=\frac{1}{q_i}$, and f(x,i)=1 whenever x is in some chosen open neighborhood of $\{\frac{p_k}{q_k}\}_{k\leq i}$ which doesn't contain $\frac{p_i}{q_i}$. Then clearly since $f(x,i)\in [0,1]$ we have a well defined infinite product

$$f(x) = \prod_{i \ge 0} f(x, i).$$

Clearly $f(\frac{p_i}{q_i}) = \frac{1}{q_i}$, and by choosing the values of f(x, i) appropriately we can get f(x) = 0 for irrational x. (Indeed it is a product of infinitely many values < 1) All of these functions are continuous so we are done.

(d) Since $\inf_{x\in[a,b]} f(x) = 0$ for any interval $[a,b] \subset [0,1]$, the lower Riemann integral $I_-(f) = \sup_{[a,b]} \inf_{x\in[a,b]} f(x) = 0$. Similarly, we claim that $\inf_{[a,b]} \sup_{x\in[a,b]} f(x) = 0$. Consider an interval [a,b] where every rational number $\frac{p}{q} \in [a,b]$ has q > Q for some Q > 2. (This can be done because there are a finite number of rational numbers to avoid) Then $\sup_{x\in[a,b]} f(x) = \frac{1}{Q}$. Since $\frac{1}{Q}$ can be made arbitrarily small, it follows that the upper Riemann integral is also zero. Thus $I_-(f) = I_+(f) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$.

Problem 6. Let p, q be positive real numbers such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

- (a) Show that if f, g are integrable, $f, g \ge 0$, and $\int_a^b f^p dx = \int_a^b g^q dx = 1$, then $\int_a^b fg dx \le 1$.
- (b) Use this to deduce Hölder's inequality for integrable functions:

$$\left| \int_a^b fg \, dx \right| \le \left(\int_a^b |f|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_a^b |g|^q \, dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

(c) (optional, extra credit) The L^p norm of $f \in C^0([a,b])$ is defined to be $||f||_p = \left(\int_a^b |f|^p dx\right)^{1/p}$. The triangle inequality for the L^p norm is known as Minkowski's inequality:

$$||f+g||_p \le ||f||_p + ||g||_p.$$

Prove Minkowski's inequality for p > 1 by observing that $|f + g|^p \le |f| |f + g|^{p-1} + |g| |f + g|^{p-1}$, and using Hölder's inequality to bound the integral of the right hand side.

First we'll prove a useful inequality.

Claim. Let p, q be positive integers satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then for any nonnegative u, v, we have

$$uv \le \frac{u^p}{p} + \frac{v^q}{q}.$$

Proof. We can assume that $u, v \neq 0$ since the case when one or both are zero is trivial. Then

$$uv = e^{\log uv} = e^{\log u + \log v} = e^{\frac{1}{p}p\log u + \frac{1}{q}q\log v} = e^{\frac{1}{p}\log u^p + \frac{1}{q}\log v^q}.$$

However since e^x is a strictly convex function and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, by Jensen's inequality we have

$$e^{\frac{1}{p}\log u^p + \frac{1}{q}\log v^q} \le \frac{1}{p}e^{\log u^p} + \frac{1}{q}e^{\log v^q} = \frac{u^p}{p} + \frac{v^q}{q}.$$

So this proves the inequality.

(a) Applying this inequality to the given integrals, we get

$$\int_{a}^{b} fg \, dx \le \frac{1}{p} \int_{a}^{b} |f|^{p} \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{a}^{b} |g|^{q} \, dx = 1,$$

which is the desired inequality.

(b) Using the notation of the L^p norm, let $f' = |f|/||f||_p$ and $g' = |g|/||g||_q$. (We'll address the cases when $||f||_p$ and/or $||g||_q$ are zero later. Then f', g' satisfy the conditions of (a), so we get

$$\int_{a}^{b} f'g'dx = \frac{1}{\|f\|_{p} \|g\|_{q}} \int_{a}^{b} |f||g|dx \le 1$$
$$\int_{a}^{b} |f||g|dx \le \left(\int_{a}^{b} |f|^{p}dx\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{a}^{b} |g|^{q}dx\right)^{1/q}.$$

which is the desired inequality. Now suppose that one of $||f||_p$ or $||g||_q$ is zero, say without loss of generality that $||g||_q = 0$. Let c > 0. Then by the claim, $c|f| \le \frac{1}{p} |f|^p + \frac{1}{q} c^q$ so

$$c \int_{a}^{b} |g| dx \le \frac{1}{q} \int_{q}^{b} |g|^{p} dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{a}^{b} c^{q} dx = \frac{c^{q} (b - a)}{q}$$
$$\int_{a}^{b} |g| dx \le \frac{c^{q-1} (b - a)}{q}.$$

Thus, $\int_a^b |g| dx = 0$ since we can make $\frac{c^{q-1}(b-a)}{q}$ arbitrarily small. This means that $\int_a^b |f| |g| dx \le (\max_{[a,b]} |f|) \int_a^b |g| dx = 0$ so

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f g dx \right| \le \int_{a}^{b} |f| |g| dx = 0 = \left(\int_{a}^{b} |f|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{a}^{b} |g|^{q} dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

This completes the proof.

Problem 7. Prove that the series given by the sum of the inverses of the primes, $\sum 1/p$, is divergent. (This shows that the primes are not too sparse as a subset of the positive integers).

Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then by unique factorization of integers, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k} \le \prod_{p \text{ prime}}^{N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots \right) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}}^{N} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p}}.$$

Next, we claim that for any $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $1-x \ge 4^{-x}$. This is easy to see, note that $1-x=4^{-x}$ has only two solutions at x=0 and $x=\frac{1}{2}$. Since 1-x and 4^{-x} are both continuous, by the intermediate value theorem it suffices to check the inequality only at a single $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Note that $1-\frac{1}{4}=\frac{3}{4}$ and $4^{-\frac{1}{4}}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$. Then $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}<\frac{3}{4}$ because $\frac{1}{2}<\frac{9}{16}$. So $1-x\ge 4^{-x}$ on this interval. Equivalently, $\frac{1}{1-x}\le 4^x$. Since $0\le \frac{1}{p}\le \frac{1}{2}$ for any prime p, we can apply this inequality to the product, getting:

$$\prod_{p \text{ prime}}^{N} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \le \prod_{p \text{ prime}}^{N} 4^{\frac{1}{p}} = 4^{\left(\sum_{p \text{ prime } \frac{1}{p}}^{N}\right)}.$$

Rearranging terms and using the order preserving properties of the logarithm, we get

$$\sum_{p \text{ prime}}^{N} \frac{1}{p} \ge \frac{1}{\log 4} \log \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k} \right).$$

Thus since $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k}$ diverges as $N \to \infty$, so does $\sum_{p \text{ prime } p}^{N} \frac{1}{p}$.

¹Credit to AJ LaMotta for providing a hint which motivates this argument.

Problem 8. This problem gives a weaker form of Stirling's formula, which asserts that

$$n! \sim n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n}$$
.

Let $\{x\} = \lfloor x \rfloor$. Define

$$f(x) = (1 - \{x\}) \log \lfloor x \rfloor + \{x\} \log(\lfloor x \rfloor + 1),$$

and

$$g(x) = \frac{x}{|x + \frac{1}{2}|} - 1 + \log\left[x + \frac{1}{2}\right].$$

Describe or sketch the graphs of f and g, and show that $f(x) \leq \log x \leq g(x)$ for all $x \geq 1$. Then show that for n a positive integer,

$$\int_{1}^{n} f(x) dx = \log(n!) - \frac{1}{2} \log n \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{1}^{n} g(x) dx < \log(n!) - \frac{1}{2} \log n + \frac{1}{8}.$$

Conclude, by integrating $\log x$ over [1, n], that

$$\frac{7}{8} < \log(n!) - \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log n + n < 1$$

for all integers $n \geq 2$, and hence that

$$e^{7/8} n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{n} < n! < e n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{n}.$$

Notice that f(x) is a "polygonal" approximation of $\log x$, with $f(n) = \log n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and being piecewise linear for all $x \in [n, n+1]$. Clearly $f(x) \leq \log x$ because $\log x$ is a concave function. g(x) similarly satisfies $g(n) = \log n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, but takes on the values of the tangent line to $\log x$ at n on the interval $\left[n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Just as with $f(x), g(x) \geq \log x$ because $\log x$ is a concave function. Now we calculate the integrals.

$$\int_{1}^{n} f(x)dx = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{0}^{1} f(x+k)dx = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{0}^{1} (1-x)\log k + x\log(k+1)dx$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\log k \int_{0}^{1} (1-x)dx + \log(k+1) \int_{0}^{1} x \, dx\right)$$
$$= \log(n!) - \frac{1}{2}\log n.$$

Similarly for g(x),

$$\int_{1}^{n} g(x) dx = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{x}{n} - 1 + \log n \, dx\right) + \int_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{n} \frac{x}{n} - 1 + \log n \, dx$$
$$= \log(n!) - \frac{1}{2} \log n + \frac{1}{8}.$$

Now integrating on both sides of the inequality,

$$\log(n!) - \frac{1}{2}\log n \le \int_{1}^{n}\log x \, dx \le \log(n!) - \frac{1}{2}\log n + \frac{1}{8}$$
$$\log(n!) - \frac{1}{2}\log n \le n\log n - n + 1 \le \log(n!) - \frac{1}{2}\log n + \frac{1}{8}$$
$$\frac{7}{8} < \log(n!) - \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\log n + n < 1.$$

Then applying e^x to both sides, we get

$$e^{7/8} < \frac{n!e^{-n}}{n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} < e$$

$$e^{7/8}n^ne^{-n}\sqrt{n} < n! < en^ne^{-n}\sqrt{n}.$$

This is the desired inequality.