

# Math 231b Problem Set 10

Lev Kruglyak

**Due:** April 30, 2023

**Problem 1.** Using the splitting principle, prove the *Wu formula* for the action of the Steenrod squares on the mod 2 reduction of the Chern classes:

$$\mathrm{Sq}^{2i}(c_j) = \sum_k \binom{j+k-i-1}{k} c_{i-k} c_{j+k}.$$

The splitting principle tells us that we only need to check this formula for Chern classes of sums of line bundles. First, let's prove a helpful lemma, which further reduces the scope of proof.

**Claim.** Suppose the Wu formula holds for classes  $c_k^{(1)}(\zeta_1)$  and  $c_k^{(n)}(\zeta_2)$  over  $X$ , where  $\zeta_1$  is a line bundle and  $\zeta_2$  is a general bundle. Then it holds for  $c_k^{(p+1)}(\zeta_1 \oplus \zeta_2)$ .

**Proof.** We know by assumption that

$$\mathrm{Sq}^{2i}(c_j(\zeta_i)) = \sum_k \binom{j+k-i-1}{k} c_{i-k}(\zeta_i) \smile c_{j+k}(\zeta_i).$$

Using the Whitney sum formula for Chern classes, Cartan formula, and properties of Steenrod squares, we can simplify

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sq}^{2i}(c_j^{(p+q)}(\zeta_1 \oplus \zeta_2)) &= \mathrm{Sq}^{2i} \left( \sum_{a+b=j} c_a(\zeta_1) \smile c_b(\zeta_2) \right) = \sum_{a+b=j} \mathrm{Sq}^{2i}(c_a(\zeta_1) \smile c_b(\zeta_2)) \\ &= \sum_{a+b=j} \sum_{c+d=2i} \mathrm{Sq}^c(c_a(\zeta_1)) \smile \mathrm{Sq}^d(c_b(\zeta_2)) \end{aligned}$$

At this point I became a bit stuck and was unable to get unstuck, I'm still fairly sure that the inductive step holds.  $\square$

So now we only need to prove the Wu formula for line bundles. However this follows from the base relation

$$\mathrm{Sq}^2(c_1) = c_1 \smile c_1$$

This forms a base case for the Wu relation.

**Problem 2.** Let  $n = 2^m(2s+1)$  denote a positive integer which is divisible by 2 exactly  $m$  times. In this problem, you will use Steenrod operations to prove that  $S^{n-1}$  does not admit  $2^m$  vector fields which are linearly independent at every point of  $S^{n-1}$ .

Let  $V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the space of  $k$  orthonormal vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $V_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  may be identified with  $S^{n-1}$ .

**a.** Consider the map  $p_{k+1} : V_{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow V_1(\mathbb{R}^n) = S^{n-1}$  which sends  $(v_1, \dots, v_{k+1})$  to  $v_{k+1}$ . Prove that  $S^{n-1}$  admits  $k$  linearly independent vector fields if and only if  $p_{k+1}$  admits a section  $S^{n-1} \rightarrow V_{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

First suppose  $p_{k+1}$  admits a section  $s : S^{n-1} \rightarrow V_{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We have projection maps  $\pi_i : V_{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  which send  $(v_1, \dots, v_{k+1})$  to  $v_i$ . Then  $\pi_i \circ s$  for  $i \leq k$  is a set of  $k$  linearly independent vector fields on  $S^{n-1}$ . In the opposite direction, given  $k$  linearly independent vector fields  $v_i$  on  $S^{n-1}$ , we can apply the Gram-Schmidt formula

$$u_k = v_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \text{proj}_{u_j}(v_k)$$

to each tuple  $(v_1(x), \dots, v_k(x), x)$ . These adjusted orthonormal vector fields are thus still continuous, and can be called  $\tilde{v}_i$ . We then define a map  $S^{n-1} \rightarrow V_{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which sends  $x$  to  $(\tilde{v}_1(x), \dots, \tilde{v}_k(x), x)$ .

**b.** There is a map  $\mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \rightarrow O(n) \cong V_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which sends a line in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to the reflection across its normal hyperplane. Prove that the composition of this map with the map  $V_n(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  taking  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  to  $(v_{n-k+1}, \dots, v_n)$  factors through a map  $\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}/\mathbb{RP}^{n-k-1} \rightarrow V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . When  $k = 1$ , prove that  $\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}/\mathbb{RP}^{n-2} \cong V_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a homeomorphism.

The map  $\mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \rightarrow O(n)$  sends a line  $\ell$  to the matrix reflecting across its normal hyperplane. In a basis where  $\ell/|\ell|$  is the first vector, this is a diagonal matrix with first coordinate  $-1$  and the others set to 1. In  $\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}/\mathbb{RP}^{n-k-1}$ , this would be the identity matrix, so the map factors through.

**c.** There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^{n-k} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}/\mathbb{RP}^{n-k-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}/\mathbb{RP}^{n-k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^{n-k} & \longrightarrow & V_k(\mathbb{R}^n) & \longrightarrow & V_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{array}$$

where the top row is a cofiber sequence and the bottom row is a fiber sequence. Using the Serre long exact sequence, prove by induction on  $k$  that  $\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}/\mathbb{RP}^{n-k-1} \rightarrow V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a  $(2n - 2k)$ -equivalence.

Not sure.

**d.** When  $2k \leq n$ , prove that  $S^{n-1}$  admits  $(k - 1)$  everywhere linearly independent vector fields if and only if the map  $\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}/\mathbb{RP}^{n-k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}/\mathbb{RP}^{n-2} \simeq S^{n-1}$  admits a section up to homotopy.

Not sure.

**e.** Using the action of the Steenrod operations on  $H^*(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}/\mathbb{RP}^{n-k-1}; \mathbb{F}_2)$ , prove that  $S^{n-1}$  does not admit  $2^m$  linearly independent sections, where  $n = 2^m(2s + 1)$ .

Not sure.