

# Math 55b Midterm

Lev Kruglyak

February 17, 2022

“I affirm my awareness of the standards of the Harvard College Honor Code. While completing this exam, I have not consulted any external sources other than class notes and the textbook (Munkres). I have not discussed the problems or solutions of this exam with anyone, and will not discuss them until after the due date.”

Signed: Lev Kruglyak

**Problem 1 (14 points).** Determine whether the following statements are true or false. If true, give a proof. If false, give a counterexample.

- (a) If  $A_i \subset X_i$  are closed subsets for all  $i \in I$ , then  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  is a closed subset of  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$  with the product topology.
- (b) If  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in X$  are limit points of a subset  $A \subset X$ , and if the sequence  $x_n$  converges to a limit  $x \in X$ , then  $x$  is a limit point of  $A$ .
- (c) If a subspace  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is connected, then its closure  $\bar{A} \subset X$  is connected.
- (d) If  $X$  is Hausdorff, and  $A \subset X$  is compact, then its boundary  $\partial A = \bar{A} - \text{int}(A)$  is compact.
- (e)  $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}_\ell$  with the lower limit topology (generated by the basis  $\{[a, b), a < b\}$ ) is compact.
- (f) The addition map  $f : \mathbb{R}_\ell \times \mathbb{R}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\ell$  defined by  $f(x, y) = x + y$  is continuous (equipping  $\mathbb{R}_\ell$  with the lower limit topology and  $\mathbb{R}_\ell \times \mathbb{R}_\ell$  with the product topology).
- (g) The set of all uniformly continuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (i.e., such that  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall p, q \in \mathbb{R}, |p - q| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(p) - f(q)| < \epsilon$ ) is a closed subset of the space of all functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  equipped with the uniform topology.

(a) This is true. For brevity, let  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  and for any  $x \in X$ , let  $x_i \in X_i$  be the projection of  $x$  to its  $i$ -th component. Note that

$$\prod_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} \{x \in X \mid x_i \in A_i\} = \bigcap_{i \in I} \pi_i^{-1}(A_i)$$

where  $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$  is the projection map onto the  $i$ -th component. However the product topology is the coarsest topology for which the projection maps are continuous, so  $\pi_i^{-1}(A_i)$  is closed in  $X$ . Thus  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \pi_i^{-1}(A_i)$  is closed in  $X$ , since it is an intersection of infinitely many closed sets.

(b) This is true. Suppose  $U \ni x$  is an open neighborhood. Since  $x$  is a limit of the sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ ,  $U$  must contain some  $x_n$ . Yet since  $x_n$  is a limit point of  $A$ ,  $U$  must intersect  $A$  nontrivially. This implies that  $x$  is a limit point of  $A$ , because  $U$  was an arbitrary open neighborhood.

(c) This is true. To make life easier for us, we'll use an equivalent formulation of connectedness:

**Claim.** A space  $X$  is connected if and only if every continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is constant, where  $\{0, 1\}$  has the discrete topology.

**Proof.** If  $X$  is connected then  $f(X)$  is connected in  $\{0, 1\}$  so  $f(X) = \{0\}$  or  $\{1\}$ . Conversely, if every continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is constant then  $X$  must be connected, because otherwise we could construct a continuous map which maps different connected components of  $X$  to 0 and 1.  $\square$

Now since  $A \subset X$  is connected, an easy extension of the above claim implies every continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  must be constant when restricted to  $A$ . Say without loss of generality that  $f(A) = 0$ . Then  $A \subset f^{-1}(\{0\})$  and thus  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$  is a closed set containing  $A$ . This means that  $\overline{A} \subset f^{-1}(\{0\})$  so  $f(\overline{A}) = 0$ . Since  $f$  was an arbitrary continuous function, it follows that  $\overline{A}$  is connected.

(d) This is true. Since  $X$  is Hausdorff and  $A$  is a compact subset,  $A$  is closed so  $\overline{A} = A$ . Now suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $\partial A$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} \cup \{\text{int}(A)\}$  is an open cover of  $A$  so it must contain a finite subcover  $\mathcal{V}$ . Removing  $\text{int}(A)$  from  $\mathcal{V}$  if needed, we get an open subcover of  $\partial A$ .

(e) This is false. Consider the open cover

$$[0, 1] \subset \left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right) \cup \bigcup_{0 < x < \frac{1}{2}} [0, x).$$

This cannot have a finite subcover because every element in the cover contains a point which isn't in any of the other elements.

(f) This is true. Let  $[b, a)$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}_\ell$ . Then we claim that

$$f^{-1}([b, a)) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left[t, t + \frac{a-b}{2}\right) \times \left[b-t, b-t + \frac{a-b}{2}\right).$$

The  $\supset$  direction follows because for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $(x, y) \in [t, t + \frac{a-b}{2}) \times [b-t, b-t + \frac{a-b}{2})$  and simply by adding inequalities together we get

$$\begin{cases} t \leq x < t + \frac{a-b}{2} \\ b-t \leq y < b-t + \frac{a-b}{2} \end{cases} \implies b \leq x+y < a$$

so  $(x, y) \in f^{-1}([b, a))$ . Conversely for any  $(x, y) \in f^{-1}([b, a))$ , let  $t = \frac{x-y+b}{2}$ . Since  $b \leq x+y < a$ , we have  $x \geq b-y$  and  $x < a-y$  so  $\frac{x-y+b}{2} \leq x < \frac{x-y+a}{2}$ . This is the same as saying  $x \in [t, t + \frac{a-b}{2})$ . Similarly, we get  $y \in [b-t, b-t + \frac{a-b}{2})$ . So  $(x, y) \in [t, t + \frac{a-b}{2}) \times [b-t, b-t + \frac{a-b}{2})$ . So  $f^{-1}([b, a))$  is an arbitrary union of open sets in  $\mathbb{R}_\ell \times \mathbb{R}_\ell$  and hence is open. This means that  $f$  is continuous.

(g) This is false. Suppose the set of uniformly continuous functions was closed. This would mean that any convergent sequence of uniformly continuous functions must converge to a function which is also uniformly continuous. This is clearly false, consider the non-uniformly continuous function  $f(x) = e^x \sin(1/e^x)$ . This is the limit of the sequence of uniformly continuous functions

$$f_n = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x > -n \\ f(-n) & \text{if } x \leq -n \end{cases}.$$

This gives the desired contradiction.

**Problem 2 (6 points).** Let  $X, Y$  be topological spaces. The graph of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is the subset  $G_f = \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in X\}$  of  $X \times Y$ .

- (a) Show that if  $Y$  is Hausdorff and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous then its graph  $G_f$  is a closed subset of  $X \times Y$  (with the product topology).
- (b) Show that if  $Y$  is compact and the graph  $G_f$  is closed in  $X \times Y$  then  $f$  is continuous.
- (c) Give an example showing that the result of (b) need not hold if  $Y$  is not compact.

(a) Let  $(x, y) \in X \times Y - G_f$ , so  $y \neq f(x)$ . Since  $Y$  is Hausdorff, there are open sets in  $Y$  such that  $U \ni y$ ,  $V \ni f(x)$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Now consider the open set  $f^{-1}(V) \times U \ni (x, y)$ . We claim that  $f^{-1}(V) \times U \cap G_f = \emptyset$ . Indeed, if  $(t, f(t)) \in f^{-1}(V) \times U$  then  $f(t) \in V$  and  $f(t) \in U$ , which would be a contradiction since  $V$  and  $U$  are disjoint. So we have found an open neighborhood of  $(x, y)$  which does not intersect the graph. Since  $(x, y)$  was arbitrary point not on the graph, the graph is closed.

(b) Let  $V \subset Y$  be an open set, and pick an arbitrary  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ . Clearly  $\{x\} \times (Y - V)$  does not intersect  $G_f$  since the graph is closed, for every  $(x, y) \in \{x\} \times (Y - V)$  there is some open set  $U_y \ni (x, y)$  disjoint from  $G_f$ . So  $\bigcup_{y \in Y - V} U_y$  is an open cover of  $\{x\} \times (Y - V)$  disjoint from  $G_f$ . Note that  $Y - V$  is compact since it is a closed subset of a compact space. Thus we can apply the tube lemma to find a tube of the form  $U \times (Y - V) \subset \bigcup_{y \in Y - V} U_y$ , where  $U \subset X$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$ . Note that  $U \times (Y - V)$  must be disjoint from  $G_f$ . We now claim that  $U \subset f^{-1}(V)$ . Indeed, for any  $t \in U$ ,  $f(t)$  must be in  $V$  because otherwise  $(t, f(t)) \in U \times (Y - V)$  which would contradict the fact that  $U \times (Y - V)$  is disjoint from  $G_f$ . So  $U$  is an open neighborhood of  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ . Since  $x$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open and so  $f$  is continuous.

(c) Let  $X, Y = \mathbb{R}$  and define  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Clearly,  $f$  is not continuous at  $x = 0$ , since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} f(x) = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = \infty$  yet  $f(0) = 0$ . The graph of  $f$  however is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  since it is a union of two curves and the origin point, which are both closed in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .