

Math 114 Problem Set 4

Lev Kruglyak

October 16, 2022

Problem 17. Suppose f is defined on \mathbb{R}^2 as follows: $f(x, y) = a_n$ if $n \leq x < n+1$ and $n \leq y < n+1$, ($n \geq 0$); $f(x, y) = -a_n$ if $n \leq x < n+1$ and $n+1 \leq y < n+2$, ($n \geq 0$); while $f(x, y) = 0$ elsewhere. Here $a_n = \sum_{k \leq n} b_k$, with $\{b_k\}$ a positive sequence such that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k = s < \infty$.

- (a) Verify that each slice f_y and f_x is integrable. Also for all x , $\int f_x(y) dy = 0$, and hence $\iint f(x, y) dy dx = 0$.
- (b) However, $\int f_y(x) dx = a_0$ if $0 \leq y < 1$, and $\int f_y(x) dx = a_n - a_{n-1}$ if $n \leq y < n+1$ with $n \geq 1$. Hence $y \mapsto \int f_y(x) dx$ is integrable on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$\iint f(x, y) dx dy = s.$$

- (c) Note that $\iint |f(x, y)| dx dy = \infty$.

- (a) First note that for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_y(x)| dx = |a_n| + |a_{n-1}| \quad \text{where } n \leq y < n+1.$$

Similarly, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_x(y)| dy = 0$$

since the a_n and $-a_n$ terms cancel out. This implies that

$$\iint f(x, y) dy dx = 0.$$

- (b) Recall that in (a) we proved that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_y(x) dx = \begin{cases} a_0 & 0 \leq y < 1, \\ a_n - a_{n-1} & n \leq y < n+1, n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$\iint f(x, y) dx dy = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n - a_{n-1}) = s.$$

- (c) Again using (a), we have

$$\iint |f(x, y)| dx dy \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| + |a_{n-1}| \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s = \infty.$$

Problem 22. Prove that if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\widehat{f}(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \zeta} dx,$$

then $\widehat{f}(\zeta) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\zeta| \rightarrow \infty$. (This is the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma.)

Note that

$$\widehat{f}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x) - f(x - \zeta')) e^{-2\pi i x \zeta} dx \quad \text{where} \quad \zeta' = \frac{\zeta}{2|\zeta|^2}.$$

Then since $\zeta = \zeta'/2|\zeta'|^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|\zeta| \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{f}(\zeta) &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{|\zeta| \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \zeta} - f(x - \zeta') e^{-2\pi i x \zeta}) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{|\zeta'| \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \zeta} - f(x - \zeta') e^{-2\pi i x \zeta}) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{|\zeta'| \rightarrow 0} \|f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \zeta}, f(x - \zeta') e^{-2\pi i x \zeta}\|_1 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows because $f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \zeta}$ is integrable.

Problem 24. Consider the convolution

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - t) g(t) dt.$$

- (a) Show that $f * g$ is uniformly continuous when f is integrable and g bounded.
- (b) If in addition g is integrable, prove that $(f * g)(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

(a) Let $B > 0$ be a bound for g , i.e. $|g| < B$. To prove uniform continuity, let $\epsilon > 0$. Recall that since f is integrable, we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \|f(x - t) - f(y - t)\|_1 = 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $|x - y| < \delta$ implies $\|f(x - t) - f(y - t)\|_1 < \epsilon$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)(x) - (f * g)(y)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - t) g(t) dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y - t) g(t) dt \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x - t) - f(y - t)| |g(t)| dt \\ &\leq B \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x - t) - f(y - t)| dt = B \|f(x - t) - f(y - t)\|_1 \leq B\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

We can readjust this by setting $\epsilon = \epsilon/B$, this gives us uniform continuity.

(b) We'll begin by proving $f * g$ is integrable. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(f * g)(x)| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - t) g(t) dt \right| dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x - t) g(t)| dx dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(t)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x - t)| dx dt = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(t)| dt \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| dx \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f * g$ is integrable, we can use the argument from the previous problem set to see that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (f * g)(x) = 0$. (Note that the proof can be modified to work for \mathbb{R}^d by replacing intervals with balls.)

Problem 25. Show that for each $\epsilon > 0$ the function $F(\zeta) = \frac{1}{(1+|\zeta|^2)^\epsilon}$ is the Fourier transform of an L^1 function.

Let $K_\delta(x) = e^{-\pi|x|^2/\delta}\delta^{-d/2}$, and for any $\epsilon > 0$ consider the function

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty K_\delta(x) e^{-\pi\delta}\delta^{\epsilon-1} d\delta.$$

Notice that by Fubini's theorem, we get

$$\widehat{f}(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \zeta} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty K_\delta(x) e^{-\pi\delta}\delta^{\epsilon-1} d\delta e^{-2\pi i x \zeta} dx = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_\delta(x) e^{-2\pi i x \zeta} dx e^{-\pi\delta}\delta^{\epsilon-1} d\delta.$$

But the “elementary calculation” in Stein shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_\delta(x) e^{-2\pi i x \zeta} dx = \delta^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\pi|x|^2/\delta} e^{-2\pi i x \zeta} dx = e^{-\pi\delta|\zeta|^2}.$$

Then combining this with the previous calculation, we get

$$\widehat{f}(\zeta) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\pi\delta(1+|\zeta|^2)} \delta^{\epsilon-1} d\delta.$$

Now let $v = \pi(1 + |\zeta|^2)\delta$ so $dv = \pi(1 + |\zeta|^2)d\delta$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\pi\delta(1+|\zeta|^2)} \delta^{\epsilon-1} d\delta = \frac{1}{\pi(1 + |\zeta|^2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-v} \left(\frac{1}{\pi(1 + |\zeta|^2)} \right)^{\epsilon-1} \delta^{\epsilon-1} dv = \pi^{-\epsilon} \Gamma(\epsilon) \frac{1}{(1 + |\zeta|^2)^\epsilon} < \infty.$$

Now let $G(x) = \pi^\epsilon/\Gamma(\epsilon)f(x)$. Then $\widehat{G}(\zeta) = F(\zeta)$. To prove that $G \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |G(x)| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |G(x)| \cdot |e^{-2\pi i x \zeta}| dx < \infty$$

since $\widehat{G}(\zeta)$ is finite.

Problem 4 (Rudin). Suppose f is a complex measurable function on X , μ is a positive measure on X , and

$$\varphi(p) = \int_X |f|^p d\mu = \|f\|_p^p \quad (0 < p < \infty).$$

Let $E = \{p : \varphi(p) < \infty\}$. Assume $\|f\|_\infty > 0$.

- (a) If $r < p < s$, $r \in E$, and $s \in E$, prove that $p \in E$.
- (b) Prove that $\log \varphi$ is convex in the interior of E and that φ is continuous on E .
- (c) ~~By (a), E is connected. Is E necessarily open? Closed? Can E consist of a single point? Can E be any connected subset of $(0, \infty)$?~~
- (d) If $r < p < s$, prove that $\|f\|_p \leq \max(\|f\|_r, \|f\|_s)$. Show that this implies the inclusion $L^r(\mu) \cap L^s(\mu) \subset L^p(\mu)$.
- (e) Prove that if $f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $q \geq 1$ then $\|f\|_p \rightarrow \|f\|_\infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

(a) Recall the inequality for any $r < p < s$ as above: $|y|^r + |y|^s \geq |y|^p$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$\int_X |f|^p d\mu \leq \int_X |f|^r + |f|^s d\mu = \int_X |f|^r d\mu + \int_X |f|^s d\mu < \infty,$$

so $p \in E$ as desired.

(b) Let $x \in E$ be an interior point. This means that there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subset E$. Suppose $r, s \in B_\epsilon(x)$. We want to show that $(1-t)\log \varphi(r) + t\log \varphi(s) \geq \log \varphi((1-t)r + ts)$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$. This is equivalent to proving $\varphi(r)^{1-t}\varphi(s)^t \geq \varphi((1-t)r + ts)$ which in turn means

$$\left(\int_X |f|^r d\mu \right)^{1-t} \left(\int_X |f|^s d\mu \right)^t \geq \int_X |f|^{(1-t)r+ts} d\mu.$$

By Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\|f^{(1-t)r} \cdot f^{ts}\|_1 = \int_X |f|^{(1-t)r+ts} d\mu \leq \left(\int_X |f|^r d\mu \right)^{1-t} \left(\int_X |f|^s d\mu \right)^t = \|f^{(1-t)r}\|_{1/(1-t)} \|f^{ts}\|_{1/t}$$

which is what we wanted. To prove continuity, first notice that φ is continuous on $\text{Int}(E)$ since it is log convex. To prove continuity on the whole of E , let $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset E$ be a strictly increasing or decreasing sequence converging to some $v \in \mathbb{R}$. We'll show that $v \in E$ as well. By the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f|^{v_n} d\mu = \int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f|^{v_n} d\mu = \int_X |f|^v d\mu = \varphi(v).$$

This suffices to prove continuity on the closure $\overline{\text{Int}(E)} = E$.

(d) Recall the inequality for any function f and $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\frac{(1-t)f(r) + tf(s)}{(1-t)r + ts} \leq \max\left(\frac{f(r)}{r}, \frac{f(s)}{s}\right) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Then for any $p \in (r, s)$, we can write $p = (1-t)r + ts$ for a $t \in [0, 1]$. By log convexity of φ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log \varphi(p)}{p} &= \frac{\log \varphi((1-t)r + ts)}{(1-t)r + ts} \leq \frac{(1-t)\log \varphi(r) + t\log \varphi(s)}{(1-t)r + ts} \leq \max\left(\frac{\log \varphi(r)}{r}, \frac{\log \varphi(s)}{s}\right) \\ &\implies \log \|f\|_p \leq \max(\log \|f\|_r, \log \|f\|_s) \implies \|f\|_p \leq \max(\|f\|_r, \|f\|_s). \end{aligned}$$

This is what we were trying to prove. Note that if $\|f\|_r < \infty$ and $\|f\|_s < \infty$ we get $\|f\|_p < \infty$ so we have $L^r(\mu) \cap L^s(\mu) \subset L^p(\mu)$.

(e) Recall that for any $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the L^∞ norm as

$$\|f\|_\infty = \inf\{B \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(x) \geq B\}) > 0\}.$$

Now we claim that $\|f\|_p \rightarrow \|f\|_\infty$ on a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of finite measure. For any $\|f\|_\infty \geq \delta > 0$, let

$$S_\delta = \{x \in X : |f(x)| \geq \|f\|_\infty - \delta\}.$$

Then we have

$$\|f|_X\|_p = \left(\int_X f^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \geq \left(\int_{S_\delta} (\|f\|_\infty - \delta)^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} = (\|f\|_\infty - \delta) \mu(S_\delta)^{1/p}.$$

This in turn implies that $\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f|_X\|_p \geq \|f|_X\|_\infty - \delta$. Now since $|f(x)| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ for a.e. x , we get for any $p > q$ that

$$\|f|_X\|_p \leq \left(\int_X |f(x)|^{p-q} |f(x)|^q d\mu \right)^{1/p} \leq \|f|_X\|_\infty^{1-p/q} \|f|_X\|_q^{q/p}.$$

This gives the reverse inequality so we have $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f|_X\|_p = \|f|_X\|_\infty$. Since \mathbb{R}^d is σ -finite, we can let X increase to get $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p = \|f\|_\infty$.