

# Math 230a Problem Set 8

Lev Kruglyak

Due: October 30, 2024

Collaborators: *AJ LaMotta, Ignasi Vicente*

## Problem 3.

(a). Prove that the action of a compact Lie group  $G$  on a smooth manifold is proper.

We need to show that the action of  $G$  on a smooth manifold  $M$  by left multiplication is proper, i.e. the map

$$\Phi : G \times M \rightarrow M \times M, \quad \Phi(g, x) = (gx, x)$$

is proper. Suppose  $K \subset M \times M$  is compact. Then the projection  $\pi_M(K)$  onto  $M$  must be compact, and  $\Phi^{-1}(K) \subset G \times \pi_M(K)$ . This is a closed subset of a compact space and so must be compact.

(b). Let  $G$  be a Lie group and suppose  $H$  is a closed Lie subgroup. Prove that the action of  $H$  on  $G$  by left multiplication is proper. What if  $H \subset G$  is not closed?

We need to show that the action of  $H$  on  $G$  by left multiplication is proper, i.e. the map

$$\Phi : H \times G \rightarrow G \times G, \quad \Phi(h, g) = (hg, g)$$

This map  $\Phi$  is a composition of the inclusion  $H \times G \rightarrow G \times G$  with the homeomorphism  $G \times G \rightarrow G \times G$  given by  $(g, g') \mapsto (gg', g')$ . The latter map is proper because it is a homeomorphism, and the former map is proper because the inclusion of a closed subspace is proper. Thus the action is proper.

## Problem 4. Principal bundles and homotopy theory.

(a). Let  $Q \rightarrow [0, 1] \times M$  be a principal bundle. Choose a connection on  $Q$ . Use parallel transport to construct an isomorphism  $Q|_{\{0\} \times M} \rightarrow Q|_{\{1\} \times M}$ .

For each point  $p \in M$ , the parallel transport along the vertical path  $\gamma(t) = (t, p)$  in  $[0, 1] \times M$  which yields a map from the fiber of  $Q$  over  $(0, p)$  to that over  $(1, p)$ . This gives us the desired isomorphism  $Q|_{\{0\} \times M} \rightarrow Q|_{\{1\} \times M}$ .

(b). Let  $f_t : M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth homotopy and  $P \rightarrow N$  a principal bundle. Prove that  $f_0^*P \cong f_1^*P$ .

Such a homotopy is a smooth map  $f : [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow N$ . Pulling back the principal bundle  $P \rightarrow N$  by  $f$  then gives us a principal bundle  $f^*P \rightarrow [0, 1] \times M$ . We can apply the results of the previous problem to get an isomorphism  $f^*P|_{\{0\} \times M} \cong f^*P|_{\{1\} \times M}$ . However, note that  $f^*P|_{\{t\} \times M} = f_t^*P$  so we have our desired isomorphism  $f_0^*P \cong f_1^*P$ .

(c). Prove that a principal bundle over a contractible manifold is trivializable.

Let  $M$  be a contractible manifold, say by some map  $f : [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow M$  with  $f_0 = \text{id}_M$  and  $f_1 = c_p$  for some chosen point  $p \in M$ . For any principal bundle  $P \rightarrow M$ , we thus have  $P \cong \text{id}_M^* P \cong c_p^* P$  by the previous problem. However, the pullback of any bundle by a constant map is trivial, so this isomorphism gives a trivialization of  $P$ .

(d). Classify up to isomorphism principal  $U_1$ -bundles on  $S^n$  for all  $n$ .

First, let's construct the classifying space for  $U_1$ . Recall that the data of a principal  $U_1$ -bundle  $P \rightarrow X$  is equivalent to the data of a complex line bundle  $f : \bar{P} \rightarrow X$ . This means that it suffices to classify complex line bundles on  $S^n$ . Let's pick some embedding of  $X$  into affine complex space  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$  and simultaneous linear embedding of  $\bar{P}$  into the tangent space  $T\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^k = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$  for  $k$  large enough. This gives us a map  $B(f) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{k-1}$  which sends the fiber at a point  $x \in X$  to the complex line  $\bar{P}_x$  embedded in  $T_x \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^k = \mathbb{C}^k$ .

Now, there is a tautological complex line bundle  $\xi_k : E_k \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{k-1}$  where

$$E_k = \{(x, \ell) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{CP}^{k-1} : x \in \ell\}$$

with the map  $\xi_k$  given by obvious projection onto the  $\mathbb{CP}^{k-1}$  component. For any map  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{k-1}$  we can pull back this tautological complex line bundle  $\xi_k$  to get a complex line bundle on  $X$ . Conversely, given a line bundle  $f : E \rightarrow X$ , it can be shown that  $B(f)^* \xi_k \cong f$ . To avoid dependence on  $k$ , we can pass to the limit and consider maps  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{\infty}$ . Assuming  $X$  is a finite CW complex, any map  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{\infty}$  is homotopic to a map  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^k$  for large enough  $k$  so this works both ways. We know that homotopic maps correspond to isomorphic bundles, and we can also show that isomorphic bundles correspond to homotopic maps.

We thus get an isomorphism:

$$\text{Bun}_{U_1}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} [X, \mathbb{CP}^{\infty}]$$

For the case of spheres, classifying principal  $U_1$ -bundles over  $S^n$  up to isomorphism thus becomes equivalent to computing  $[S^n, \mathbb{CP}^{\infty}]$ . Recall that  $\mathbb{CP}^{\infty}$  is the Eilenberg-MacLane space  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ , and so represents the cohomology theory  $H^2(-; \mathbb{Z})$ . Thus, we have

$$\text{Bun}_{U_1}(X) \cong H^2(S^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We should give explicit  $U_1$ -bundles on  $S^2$  corresponding to every integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , since this is the only non-trivial case. Let  $S(TS^2)$  be the sphere bundle of the tangent bundle  $TS^2$  – this corresponds to  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, any integer  $n$  can be obtained by pulling back this bundle by a map  $S^2 \rightarrow S^2$  of degree  $n$ . For example, the trivial bundle is obtained by pulling back the constant map.

## Problem 6.

(a). Let  $P$  be a Riemannian manifold equipped with a free action of a Lie group  $H$  by isometries. Assume that the quotient map  $\pi : P \rightarrow X$  is a principal  $H$ -bundle. Use the metric to construct a connection on  $\pi$ .

Let's consider the distribution

$$DH = (\ker d\pi)^{\perp}$$

where  $d\pi$  is the differential of the bundle, and the orthogonal complement is taken with the Riemannian structure on  $P$ . This distribution must be horizontal since at any point, we have  $T_p P = (\ker d\pi)_p \oplus D_p$ , and

we know that  $\pi$  is a submersion so  $d\pi_p$  must be surjective. Thus,  $D_p$  must be mapped isomorphically onto the tangent space at a basepoint, i.e.  $T_{\pi(p)}X$ .

To see that this horizontal distribution is  $H$ -equivariant, suppose  $h \in H$  is some group element and  $\rho(h) : P \rightarrow P$  is the corresponding isometry. This is a morphism of bundles, so  $d\rho(h)$  preserves  $\ker d\pi$ . Since it is further an isometry, it must respect orthogonal complements as well and so preserves  $D$ .

Being an  $H$ -equivariant horizontal distribution,  $D$  gives rise to a connection on  $\pi$ .

**(b).** Let  $G$  be a Lie group and let  $H$  be a closed Lie subgroup. Define the notion of a *bi-invariant Riemannian metric* on  $G$ . Give examples of a Lie group and a bi-invariant metric on it. Give an example of a Lie group which does not admit a bi-invariant metric.

A bi-invariant Riemannian metric is a metric for which the left and right multiplication maps are isometries. For a simple example, take any abelian Lie group and pick a Haar measure. A counterexample would be  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ , on which the left and right Haar measures are distinct.

**(c).** Assuming a bi-invariant metric exists and is chosen, use it to construct a connection on  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ . Compute the curvature of this connection.

If  $G$  has a bi-invariant Riemannian structure, then  $H$  acts on  $G$  by isometries so we can apply the first problem to get a connection on  $\pi$ . Since the curvature  $\Omega$  of this connection is the negative of the Frobenius tensor of the horizontal distribution. This is exactly

$$\Omega_e(\xi, \eta) = -[\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \Omega \in \Omega^2(G; \mathfrak{h})$$

where the subscript  $\mathfrak{h}$  denotes projection to the subspace  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ .

**(d).** Apply to the Hopf bundle  $U_1 \rightarrow S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ . What about the Hopf bundle  $\mathrm{Sp}_1 \rightarrow S^7 \rightarrow S^4$ ? The connection you construct on the latter is the basic *instanton* (self-dual connection).

The first Hopf bundle can be expressed as the quotient map  $U_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}_2 / U_1$ . Recall that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}_2$  can be explicitly given as the vector space

$$\mathfrak{su}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} ia & -\bar{z} \\ z & -ia \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}_1$  of  $U_1$  embeds into  $\mathfrak{su}_2$  by diagonal matrices. If we give a basis for  $\mathfrak{su}_2$  by

$$u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_3 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix},$$

then the commutator relations are  $[u_3, u_1] = 2u_2, [u_1, u_2] = 2u_3, [u_2, u_3] = 2u_1$ . The embedding  $\mathfrak{su}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}_2$  then becomes the inclusion of  $u_3$  into  $u_1, u_2, u_3$ . By the previous problem, the curvature 2-form is

$$\Omega_e(\xi, \eta) = -[\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{su}_1} \implies \Omega_e = -2u_3 du_1 \wedge du_2.$$

**Problem 7.** Let  $G$  be a Lie group and let  $\pi : P \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle. A *gauge transformation* of  $\pi$  is a diffeomorphism  $\varphi : P \rightarrow P$  that is  $G$ -equivariant and covers the identity map of  $X$ .

**(a).** Construct a function  $\psi : P \rightarrow G$  that satisfies  $\varphi(p) = p \cdot \psi(p)$  for all  $p \in P$ . How does  $\psi$  transform under the  $G$ -action on  $P$ ?

For any  $p \in P$ , let  $\psi(p)$  be the unique element of  $G$  such that  $\varphi(p) = p \cdot \psi(p)$ . We know that such an element exists and is unique because of the transitivity and freeness of the  $G$ -action on the fibers of  $P$ .

Now suppose  $h \in G$ . By  $G$ -equivariance of  $\varphi$ , we get

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(p \cdot h) = (p \cdot h) \cdot \psi(p \cdot h) \\ \varphi(p) \cdot h = p \cdot \psi(p) \cdot h \end{cases} \implies \psi(p \cdot h) = h^{-1} \cdot \psi(p) \cdot h.$$

In other words,  $\psi$  transforms by conjugation.

**(b).** Express  $\psi$  as a section of a fiber bundle associated to  $\pi$ . What kind of fiber bundle is it?

**(c).** Do gauge transformation always exist?

**(d).** Are there any simplifications if  $G$  is abelian? If  $G$  is discrete?

**(e).** Let  $\text{Aut}(P)$  denote the group of  $G$ -equivariant diffeomorphisms of  $P$ , and let  $\text{Aut}(\pi)$  denote the group of gauge transformations. Construct an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(P) \longrightarrow \text{Diff}(X)$$

where  $\text{Diff}(X)$  is the group of diffeomorphisms of  $X$ . Is the last map surjective? Give a proof or counterexample to verify your answer.