

# Math 231a Problem Set 10

Lev Kruglyak

December 7, 2022

## Problem 1. Cohomology of projective space.

The goal of this problem is to compute  $H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2)$  and  $H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{Z})$  as rings.

- a.** In this problem you will prove that  $H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^{n+1})$ , where  $|x| = 1$ . Using induction on  $n$ , prove that this will follow if the cup product map

$$H^i(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2) \times H^j(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\smile} H^n(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2)$$

is nonzero for all  $i, j \geq 0$  satisfying  $i + j = n$ .

Recall that  $H^i(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . For all such  $i$ , let  $\sigma_i \in H^i(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2)$  be the generator. To construct an isomorphism  $\zeta : H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^{n+1})$ , let  $\zeta(\sigma_i) = x^i$  and extending linearly. Since  $\smile$  is unital, this definition makes sense at  $\sigma_0$  since  $\zeta(\sigma_i \smile \sigma_0) = \zeta(\sigma_i) = \zeta(\sigma_i) \cdot \zeta(\sigma_0)$ . Now since the cup product map is nonzero as described, we know that  $\sigma_1 \smile \sigma_{k-1} = \sigma_k$ . This is actually true for all  $k \leq n$  by induction and the canonical inclusion  $\mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$ . Since all the higher cohomology groups are zero, we get the aforementioned ring structure since  $\sigma_i \smile \sigma_j = \sigma_{i+j \bmod n+1}$ .

- b.** Given  $i, j \geq 0$  such that  $i + j = n$ , regard  $\mathbb{RP}^i \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$  as the  $[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{RP}^n$  with  $x_{i+1} = \cdots = x_n = 0$ . Similarly, regard  $\mathbb{RP}^j \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$  as the  $[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{RP}^n$  with  $x_0 = \cdots = x_{i-1} = 0$ . Then  $\mathbb{RP}^i \cap \mathbb{RP}^j = \{p\}$ . Finally, regard  $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$  as elements of the form  $[x_0 : \cdots : x_{i-1} : 1 : x_{i+1} : \cdots : x_n]$ .

Then there is a diagram of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2) \times H^j(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\smile} & H^n(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^i(\mathbb{RP}^n, \mathbb{RP}^n - \mathbb{RP}^j; \mathbb{F}_2) \times H^j(\mathbb{RP}^n, \mathbb{RP}^n - \mathbb{RP}^i; \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\smile} & H^n(\mathbb{RP}^n, \mathbb{RP}^n - \{p\}; \mathbb{F}_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^i(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - \mathbb{R}^j; \mathbb{F}_2) \times H^j(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - \mathbb{R}^i; \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\smile} & H^n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - \{p\}; \mathbb{F}_2) \end{array}$$

Prove that the vertical maps are isomorphisms.

First let's prove a relevant lemma:

**Claim.** For any  $i \leq n$ , there is a deformation retraction  $\mathbb{RP}^n - \mathbb{RP}^i$  to  $\mathbb{RP}^{n-i-1}$  in  $\mathbb{RP}^n$ .

**Proof.** Consider the map  $r : \mathbb{RP}^n - \mathbb{RP}^i \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^{n-i-1}$  given by sending  $[x_0 : \cdots : x_{i-1} : \cdots : x_n]$  to  $[x_{i-1} : \cdots : x_n]$ . This is clearly well defined and continuous since these coordinates can't all be zero or

else the input would be in  $\mathbb{RP}^i$ . The homotopy inverse can be given by the simple inclusion  $i$  sending  $[x_{i-1} : \cdots : x_n]$  to  $[0 : \cdots : 0 : x_{i-1} : \cdots : x_n]$ .

To prove that this is a deformation retraction, we must show that  $r \circ i$  is homotopic to the identity  $\text{id}_{\mathbb{RP}^n - \mathbb{RP}^i}$ . This is easily done by the homotopy  $H : (\mathbb{RP}^n - \mathbb{RP}^i) \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^n - \mathbb{RP}^i$  with  $H(x, t) = [tx_0 : \cdots : tx_{i-1} : x_i : \cdots : x_n]$ .  $\square$

Now let's begin with the rightmost column. Recall by the lemma that  $\mathbb{RP}^n - \{p\}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}$  so  $H^n(\mathbb{RP}^n, \mathbb{RP}^n - \{p\}; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong H^n(\mathbb{RP}^n, \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{F}_2)$  which in turn is isomorphic to  $H^n(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2)$  by cellular homology. The bottom map is an isomorphism by the excision theorem on pairs.

For the leftmost column, notice that by the lemma we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^i(\mathbb{RP}^n) & \longleftarrow & H^i(\mathbb{RP}^n, \mathbb{RP}^{i-1}) & \longleftarrow & H^i(\mathbb{RP}^n, \mathbb{RP}^n - \mathbb{RP}^j) & \longleftarrow & H^i(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - \mathbb{R}^j) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^i(\mathbb{RP}^i) & \longleftarrow & H^i(\mathbb{RP}^i, \mathbb{RP}^{i-1}) & \longleftarrow & H^i(\mathbb{RP}^i, \mathbb{RP}^i - \{p\}) & \longleftarrow & H^i(\mathbb{R}^i, \mathbb{R}^i - \{p\}) \end{array}$$

where the  $\mathbb{F}_2$  coefficients are omitted for brevity. All commutative squares involved clearly consist of isomorphisms, either by cellular homology or the lemma, or excision. Since we can do the same thing swapping  $i$  and  $j$ , this proves that the leftmost vertical maps are isomorphisms.

**c.** Prove that the bottom product is nonzero using the relative Künneth formula and universal coefficients theorem. Conclude that  $H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^{n+1})$ .

By Theorem 3.18 in Hatcher (equivalent to relative Künneth formula from the previous pset), we see that there is a ring isomorphism

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - \mathbb{R}^j; \mathbb{F}_2) \otimes_R H^j(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n - \mathbb{R}^i; \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, (\mathbb{R}^n - \mathbb{R}^j) \times \mathbb{R}^i \cup \mathbb{R}^j \times (\mathbb{R}^n - \mathbb{R}^i); \mathbb{F}_2).$$

However it follows by elementary topology that the pair  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, (\mathbb{R}^n - \mathbb{R}^j) \times \mathbb{R}^i \cup \mathbb{R}^j \times (\mathbb{R}^n - \mathbb{R}^i))$  is homotopy equivalent to  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - \{p\})$ . This proves part a of this problem.

To see why the ring structure is  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^{n+1})$ , notice that there is a single nonzero element in each  $H^i(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2)$  for  $i \leq n$ , and  $\sigma_1^{\smile i} = \sigma_1^{\smile i \bmod n+1}$  if we borrow notation from a. Thus the entire ring is generated over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  by a single element  $\sigma_1$  of order  $n+1$ , which we can call  $x$ .

**d.** Using the map  $H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2)$  induced by mod 2 reduction  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ , compute  $H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{Z})$  as a ring.

Recall that the integral cohomology of real projective space is given by:

$$H^i(\mathbb{RP}^{2k+1}; \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = 0, n, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & i \text{ even}, i \leq 2k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad H^i(\mathbb{RP}^{2k}; \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & i \text{ even}, i \leq 2k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

We can see that there is an induced map  $H^i(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{F}_2)$ , and by naturality of the cup product, we get the cohomology rings

$$\begin{aligned} H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^{2k}; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(2x, x^{k+1}), & |x| &= 2, \\ H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^{2k+1}; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(2x, x^{k+1}, y^2, xy), & |x| &= 2, |y| = 2k+1. \end{aligned}$$

In the odd case, this extra generator comes from the nontrivial cochain in  $H^{2k+1}(\mathbb{RP}^{2k+1}; \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Problem 2.** An *algebra* structure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear product map  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , which we denote  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ . It is a *division algebra* structure if, for any fixed  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the maps  $x \mapsto ax$  and  $x \mapsto bx$  are bijections. Note that we do not assume that the product is commutative, unital, or even associative.

In this problem, you will use Problem 1 to prove the following theorem of Hopf: if  $\mathbb{R}^n$  admits the structure of a division algebra, then  $n$  must be a power of 2.

**a.** Prove that if  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is equipped with the structure of a division algebra, then the product  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  induces a map  $\mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}$ .

For any nonzero  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $[v] \in \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}$  be the projection. Let  $\cdot$  be the product map on the division algebra structure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Finally, consider the map  $\tilde{\times} : \mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}$  given by  $([v_1], [v_2]) \mapsto [v_1 \cdot v_2]$ . This is clearly the canonical map obtained by passing to the quotients, and it's well defined because none of the  $v_i$  are zero.

**b.** Prove that the induced map  $H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{F}_2)$  may be identified with the ring map  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^n, x_2^n)$  given by  $x \mapsto x_1 + x_2$ .

Recall that the Künneth theorem gives us an isomorphism

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \otimes H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{F}_2)$$

Using the identification of  $H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{F}_2)$  with  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^n)$ , we get a canonical identification of  $H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{F}_2)$  with  $\mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^n, x_2^n)$ . Now let  $\tilde{\times}^\bullet$  be the induced ring homomorphism from  $H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{F}_2)$ . Such a homomorphism is determined by where  $x$  maps to, so we only need to see what happens at the first degree cohomology level.

Recall that  $H^1(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $H^1(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2$ . By the nondegeneracy conditions of the bilinear product, the induced map of  $\tilde{\times}$  on  $H^1$  must be  $1 \mapsto (1, 1)$ , i.e. it can't be zero in either coordinate. This is because we can consider the induced inverse map in each coordinate. Thus the ring map is given by  $x \mapsto x_1 + x_2$ .

**c.** Prove that such a ring homomorphism can only exist when  $n$  is a power of 2.

This map is a homomorphism if and only if  $(x_1 + x_2)^n \equiv x_1 + x_2 \pmod{2}$ , which in turn is equivalent to  $2 \mid \binom{n}{k}$  for all  $0 < k < n$ . By Lucas' theorem,  $\nu_2\left(\binom{n}{k}\right) = s(k) + s(n-k) - s(n)$  where  $s(\ell)$  is the sum of digits in the binary expansion of  $\ell$ . Thus our condition can be rephrased as:

$$s(k) + s(n-k) \geq 1 + s(n) \quad \forall 0 < k < n.$$

This can be proven using induction to only hold when  $n$  is a power of 2.

**Problem 3.** Let  $X$  denote a space. Prove that if  $X = U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n$  for contractible open sets  $U_i \subset X$ , then the cup product of  $n$  positive dimensional classes in  $H^\bullet(X; R)$  is zero for any ring of coefficients  $R$ . As an example, conclude that the cup product of any two positive dimensional classes in  $H^\bullet(SY; R)$  is zero, where  $SY$  is the suspension.

Recall that the relative cup product gives us a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{p_1}(X, U_1; R) \times \cdots \times H^{p_n}(X, U_n; R) & \xrightarrow{\smile} & H^p(X, U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n; R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{p_1}(X; R) \times \cdots \times H^{p_n}(X; R) & \xrightarrow{\smile} & H^p(X; R) \end{array}$$

where  $p = p_1 + \cdots + p_n$ . Notice that since  $U_i$  are contractible, we have isomorphisms  $H^{p_i}(X, U_i; R) \cong H^{p_i}(X; R)$  by excision. Similarly,  $U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n = X$ , so  $H^p(X, U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n; R) \cong 0$ . Thus the bottom map in the diagram is zero, meaning the  $n$ -fold cup product of any cochains is zero.

In the case of a suspension  $SX$ , we can choose contractible open sets  $C_+$  and  $C_-$  to be the images of  $X \times [0, 0.5 + \epsilon]/\sim$  and  $X \times [0.5 - \epsilon, 1]/\sim$  for some small  $\epsilon$ . Then by the problem, it follows that the cup product of any two positive dimensional classes is zero.