

Math 230a Problem Set 5

Lev Kruglyak

Due: October 9, 2024

Collaborators: *AJ LaMotta, Ignasi Vicente*

Problem 1. Let n be a positive integer, V be an n -dimensional vector space, A an affine space over V , and $U \subset A$ an open subset.

(a). Let $n = 2$. Suppose $\theta^1, \theta^2 \in \Omega^1(U)$ are 1-forms so that for each $p \in U$ the values θ_p^1, θ_p^2 form a basis of V^* . Prove that there exists a unique $\Theta \in \Omega^1(U)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^1 + \Theta \wedge \theta^2 &= 0, \\ d\theta^2 - \Theta \wedge \theta^1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose first that there were two such forms, Θ, Θ' satisfying the equations. Let $\delta = \Theta - \Theta'$. By taking the differences of the two equations for Θ and Θ' , we would get

$$\delta \wedge \theta^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \wedge \theta^1 = 0.$$

However since θ^1, θ^2 form a basis, we can write $\delta = f\theta^1 + g\theta^2$ for some functions $f, g \in \Omega^0(U)$. However $0 = \delta \wedge \theta^2 = f\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2$ and $0 = \delta \wedge \theta^1 = -g\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2$ so $f = g = 0$ and hence $\delta = 0$. This proves uniqueness.

To prove existence, let's express a hypothetical solution Θ as $\Theta = f\theta^1 + g\theta^2$. Then the conditions for it to be a solution are

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^1 &= -f\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \\ d\theta^2 &= -g\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \end{aligned}$$

However, since θ^1, θ^2 form a basis, $\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2$ is a generator for $\Omega^2(U)$. So functions $-f$ and $-g$ exist by virtue of $d\theta^1$ and $d\theta^2$ being 2-forms.

(b). Repeat for arbitrary n . Hence $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n$ is a moving coframe on U and we seek unique 1-forms $\Theta_j^i \in \Omega^1(U)$ such that for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^i + \Theta_j^i \wedge \theta^j &= 0, \\ \Theta_j^i + \Theta_i^j &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

As before, let's begin by proving uniqueness. Suppose Θ_j^i and $\bar{\Theta}_j^i$ are two sets of 1-forms which satisfy the equations. Letting $\delta_j^i = \Theta_j^i - \bar{\Theta}_j^i$, note that as before we get

$$\delta_j^i \wedge \theta^j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_j^i + \delta_i^j = 0.$$

If we write $\delta_j^i = \alpha_{j,k}^i \theta^k$ for some $\alpha_{j,k}^i \in \Omega^0(U)$, then by the first equation we get $0 = \delta_j^i \wedge \theta^j = \alpha_{j,k}^i \theta^k \wedge \theta^j$ which means that $\alpha_{j,k}^i = -\alpha_{k,j}^i = 0$ for all $k \neq j$. This implies that $\delta_j^i = \alpha_{j,j}^i \theta^j$. However, by the second equation, we can derive that $\alpha_{j,j}^i = 0$ and so $\delta_j^i = 0$. Thus, Θ_j^i are unique.

To show existence, let's write $\Theta_j^i = \alpha_{j,k}^i \theta^k$ for functions $\alpha_{j,k}^i \in \Omega^0(U)$. Plugging this into the required equations, we get

$$d\theta^i = -\alpha_{j,k}^i \theta^k \wedge \theta^j \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{j,k}^i \theta^k = -\alpha_{i,k}^j \theta^k.$$

Note that $\theta^k \wedge \theta^j$ form a basis of $\Omega^2(U)$ for $k < j$. Thus, we can let $\alpha_{j,k}^i$ be the coefficient of $\theta^j \wedge \theta^k$ in $d\theta^i$ for $k < j$, and extend for $k \geq j$ by setting $\alpha_{j,j}^i = 0$ and $\alpha_{j,k}^i = -\alpha_{k,j}^i$. This clearly satisfies the equations so $\Theta = \alpha_{j,k}^i \theta^k$ is a solution.

Problem 2. Let X be a smooth manifold and $\Theta \in \Omega^1(X)$ a nowhere vanishing 1-form. Let $H \in TX$ be the kernel of Θ , which is a codimension one distribution on X . Use Θ to construct a trivialization of the quotient bundle $TX/H \rightarrow X$. Compute the Frobenius tensor of H in terms of Θ .

At any point $p \in X$, note that $H_p = \ker \Theta_p$ so Θ induces an isomorphism $\bar{\Theta}_p : T_p X / H_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. This is continuous, across $p \in X$, and so we get a diffeomorphism $\bar{\Theta} \times \pi : TX/H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times X$ where $\pi : TX/H \rightarrow X$ is the bundle map. This is exactly a trivialization of TX/H .

Now recall that the Frobenius tensor of H is a bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_H : \Gamma(H) \times \Gamma(H) &\longrightarrow \Gamma(TX/H) \\ (\xi, \eta) &\longmapsto [\xi, \eta] \mod H. \end{aligned}$$

If we associate TX/H with $\mathbb{R} \times X$ by our trivialization, the Frobenius tensor becomes

$$\phi_H(\xi, \eta) = \Theta([\xi, \eta]).$$

Problem 3. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and suppose $(x, y) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{x,y}^2$ is an immersion into the standard Euclidean plane. Compute the curvature of this immersion as a function of $t \in I$.

Let's first come up with an adapted frame e_1, e_2 and corresponding forms e^1, e^2 in x, y coordinates. Picking a canonical coorientation of the curve, we can choose

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{v} \left(\dot{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \dot{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad e_2 = \frac{1}{v} \left(-\dot{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \dot{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

where $v = \sqrt{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2}$. By solving the system of equations $\langle \theta^i, e_j \rangle = \delta_j^i$, we get the forms

$$e^1 = \frac{1}{v} (\dot{x} dx + \dot{y} dy) \quad \text{and} \quad e^2 = \frac{1}{v} (-\dot{y} dx + \dot{x} dy).$$

To get the form Θ_1^2 , we use the form $\Theta_1^2 = \langle e^2, \dot{e}_1 \rangle$. Using standard differentiation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e^2, \dot{e}_1 \rangle &= v^{-3} (-\dot{y}(\ddot{x}v - \dot{x}\dot{v}) + \dot{x}(\ddot{y}v - \dot{y}\dot{v})) dt \\ &= v^{-2} (\dot{x}\ddot{y} - \dot{y}\ddot{x}). \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\Theta_1^2 = v^{-3} (\dot{x}\ddot{y} - \dot{y}\ddot{x}) \theta^1$ so the curvature is

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{\dot{x}\ddot{y} - \dot{y}\ddot{x}}{(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Problem 4. Compute the curvature of the following curves in the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{A}_{x,y}^2$.

(a). The parabola $y = kx^2$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Using the previous formula, we get

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{2k}{(1 + 4k^2t^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Thus if $k = 0$ the curvature is zero which makes sense. Also, curvature is maximized at $t = 0$ which makes sense since that's the tightest curving point on the parabola.

(b). The ellipse $x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 = 1$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$.

We can parametrize the ellipse as $x(t) = a \cos(t)$ and $y(t) = b \sin(t)$. We then can compute

$$\dot{x}(t) = -a \sin(t), \quad \ddot{x}(t) = -a \cos(t), \quad \dot{y}(t) = b \cos(t), \quad \ddot{y}(t) = -b \sin(t).$$

Plugging this into the curvature formula and simplifying gives us

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{ab}{(a^2 \sin^2(t) + b^2 \cos^2(t))^{3/2}}.$$

(c). The cycloid that is the image of $(t - \sin(t), 1 - \cos(t))$.

Computing derivatives, we get:

$$\dot{x}(t) = 1 - \cos(t), \quad \ddot{x}(t) = \sin(t), \quad \dot{y}(t) = \sin(t), \quad \ddot{y}(t) = \cos(t).$$

Using the curvature formula and simplifying gives us

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{\cos(t) - 1}{(2(1 - \cos(t)))^{3/2}}.$$