## Math 132 Problem Set 2

Lev Kruglyak

**Due:** February 10, 2023

**Problem 1.** Using the preimage theorem, show that the Stiefel manifold from the previous problem set is a smooth manifold of dimension 2n-3. Can you generalize your argument to the Stiefel manifold of orthonormal k-frames in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

As before, we'll work with the full generality of the previous problem. Let  $\mathbb{R}^{nk}$  be the space of all k-frames in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and consider the map  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{nk} \to \mathbb{R}^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$  be the map given by  $\phi_q(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = \langle v_q, v_q \rangle$  for  $1 \le q \le k$  and when q > k,  $\phi_q(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = \langle v_{a(q)}, v_{b(q)} \rangle$  for some parametrization  $1 \le a(q) < b(q) \le k$ . Now by construction, the Stiefel manifold  $S_{n,k}$  is exactly the preimage of the point  $y = (1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$ . So if this is a regular value, the preimage theorem would state that  $S_{n,k}$  is a smooth manifold of dimension  $nk - \binom{k+1}{2}$  as desired.

To show that this point is a regular value, we must show that for any  $x \in \phi^{-1}(y)$  the tanget space map  $d\phi_x: T_x\mathbb{R}^{nk} \to T_y\mathbb{R}^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$  is surjective. So let  $(v_1, \ldots, v_k) \in \phi^{-1}(y)$  be some orthonormal k-frame in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we have

$$\frac{\partial \phi_q}{\partial v_i} = \begin{cases} 2v_i & 1 \le q \le k, q = i, \\ v_{b(q)} & k < q, a(q) = i. \end{cases}$$

Note that is somewhat of an abuse of notation, since  $v_i$  is a k-vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{nk}$ , however  $v_i$  could be replaced by  $v_{i,j}$  to denote the j-th component of  $v_i$  and the formula would work the same. Since these vectors are orthonormal, it follows that the rows of the  $d\phi_{v_1,\dots,v_k}$  matrix are linearly independent and so the map  $d\phi_{v_1,\dots,v_k}$  is surjective as desired.

**Problem 2.** Let  $A=(a_{ij})$  be a symmetric  $n\times n$  matrix, and define  $f_A:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_A(v) = v^T \cdot A \cdot v = \sum a_{ij} v_i v_j$$

in which we are interpreting a vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  as a column vector, with  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate  $v_i$ . Set

$$S_A = \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^n : f_A(v) = 1 \}.$$

Show that if det  $A \neq 0$ , then S is a smooth manifold of dimension (n-1). Describe the tangent space to S at a point v.

Calculating the derivative of this function:

$$f_A(v) = \sum_{0 \le i,j < n} a_{ij} v_i v_j \implies \frac{\partial f_A}{\partial v_k} = \sum_{0 \le i,j < n} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_k} a_{ij} v_i v_j = \sum_{0 \le j < n} (a_{kj} v_j + a_{jk} v_j)$$
$$= \sum_{0 \le j < n} 2a_{kj} v_j = 2v^T A$$

This means that our derivative map between tangent spaces can be expressed as:

$$(df_A)_v: T_v\mathbb{R}^n \to T_{f_A(v)}\mathbb{R},$$
  
 $x \mapsto 2v^T A \cdot x.$ 

This is a submersion for any  $v \in f_A^{-1}(1)$ , since  $2v^TA$  must be nonzero, so by the preimage theorem  $S_A = f_A^{-1}(1)$  is a manifold of dimension n-1. The tangent space at a point  $v \in S_A$  is kernel of  $(df_A)_v$  and so consists of the vectors x such that  $2v^TAx = \langle 2v^TA, x \rangle = 0$ . This is exactly the orthogonal complement of  $2v^TA$  so we have

$$T_v S_A = (2v^T A)^{\perp} \subset T_v \mathbb{R}^n.$$

## **Problem 3.** GP, Problem 18 of Chapter 1, Section 1

We will be making quite a lot of use of this.

**a.** An extremely useful function  $f: \mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^1$  is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/x^2} & x > 0, \\ 0 & x \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Prove that f is smooth.

Let's first work with a modified f:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/x^2} & x \neq 0, \\ 0 & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows from the chain rule and power rule that on  $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ , the derivative  $\partial^n f(x)/\partial x^n$  exists and takes the form q(x)f(x) for some polynomial  $q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x,x^{-1}]$ . Since such polynomials are smooth everywhere except possibly 0, it follows that f(x) is smooth everywhere except possibly at 0. To show that f(x) is smooth at 0, we'll inductively show that  $\lim_{x_0\to 0} (\partial^n f(x)/\partial x^n)(x_0) = 0$ .

First of all, for f(x) itself has limit by basic calculus:

$$\lim_{x \to 0} f(x) = \lim_{x \to 0} e^{-1/x^2} = \lim_{x \to -\infty} e^x = 0$$
since 
$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{-1}{x^2} = -\infty.$$

Now more generally, for any n, the derivative  $\partial^n f(x)/\partial x^n$  is bounded above (from the right) side by  $e^{-1/x}$  and from below by  $e^{-1/x^2}$  so by the squeeze theorem, we get

$$\lim_{x_0 \to 0^+} \partial^n f(x) / \partial x^n(x_0) = 0.$$

We can do a similar thing on the left side by bounding it above by  $e^{1/x}$  so the limit must be equal to 0 and so all the derivatives are continuous. Lastly, we check that this "limit completed" derivative is indeed the derivative, but this is easy to see since the n-th derivative at 0 is always zero by induction.

Now since the n-th derivative at zero is zero, we can replace the negative side of this function by 0 and it will still be smooth. Thus we get our smooth function:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/x^2} & x > 0, \\ 0 & x \le 0. \end{cases}$$

**b.** Suppose that a < b are real numbers. Show that g(x) = f(x-a)f(b-x) is a smooth function, positive on (a,b) and zero elsewhere. It follows that

$$h(x) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{x} g(x) dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx} \text{ is smooth } \implies h(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < a, \\ 1 & x > b, \\ 0 < h(x) < 1 & x \in (a, b). \end{cases}$$

In fact h is monotone increasing on (a, b).

As a product of smooth functions, clearly g(x) = f(x-a)f(b-x) is a smooth function. Furthermore, since f(x-a) = 0 iff x > a and f(b-x) = 0 iff x < b, it follows that g(x) is zero on  $\mathbb{R} - (a,b)$  and positive on (a,b). There isn't really much else to show here, the rest follows as stated in the problem.

**c.** Now construct a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  that equals 1 on the ball of radius a, is zero outside the ball of radius b and is strictly between 0 and 1 at points x with a < |x| < b. Such a function is called a *bump* function, and will play a very important role in our later work.

Let  $B_{a,b}: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined as  $B_{a,b}(x) = 1 - h(\|x\|)$ , which clearly satisfies all the properties we want it to by the previous problem. The only thing we have to check is that it is a smooth function. Recall that  $\|-\|: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is smooth everywhere except for zero. However the function 1 - h(-) is constant in a neighborhood around 0, so the composition  $1 - h(\|-\|)$  must be smooth at zero. This completes the proof.

**Problem 4.** Suppose that y is a regular value of  $f: X \to Y$ , where X is compact and has the same dimension as Y. Show that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a finite set  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ . Prove that there exists a neighborhood U of  $y \in Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is a disjoint union  $V_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup V_N$ , where  $V_i$  is an open neighborhood of  $x_i$ , and f maps each  $V_i$  diffeomorphically onto U.

By the preimage theorem,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a submanifold of X of dimension 0. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is an infinite set of points. Since X is compact, this means that  $f^{-1}(y)$  has a limit point, which violates the manifold condition of being locally diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^0$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a finite set, say composed of  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ .

Now since y is a regular value,  $df_x: T_xX \to T_yY$  is a submersion for any  $x \in f^{-1}(X)$ . Since  $T_xX$  and  $T_yY$  have the same dimensions, it must be an isomorphism. Thus by the inverse function theorem, we have local diffeomorphisms  $\psi_i: \mathcal{V}_{x_i} \to \mathcal{U}_{x_i}$ . We can shrink the sets  $\mathcal{V}_{x_i}$  so that they are all disjoint. Now let  $U = Y - f(X - \bigcup_i \mathcal{V}_{x_i})$ . Since  $X - \bigcup_i \mathcal{V}_{x_i}$  is compact, its image is compact and hence closed so U is open as desired. Letting  $V_i = \mathcal{V}_{x_i} \cap f^{-1}(U)$  gives us the required properties.

**Problem 5.** Prove that the set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices of rank 1 is a three-dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^4 = M(2)$ .

The rank of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix can either be 0, 1, or 2. It is only rank 0 if the matrix is the zero matrix, otherwise it is rank 1 if the determinant is 0. So consider the smooth function  $\det: M(2) - \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\det(a, b, c, d) = ad - bc$ , it's easy to check that  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$  is a regular value of det, indeed every real number is a regular value since the derivative map is nonzero and hence surjective for any  $(a, b, c, d) \in M(2) - \{0\}$ . Thus  $\det^{-1}(0)$ , the set we are investigating, is a smooth manifold of dimension 4 - 1 = 3.