Class: (602) Introduction to Machine Learning

Professor: Dr. Ozgur Ozturk

Author for 'Homework 1 Section': Mr. Levan Sulimanov

Homework 1 section

After running the cells, add code to this notebook to achieve the following:

1) Split the data for training and testing, to use 80 percent as training data.

use 21 as your randomization seed (so you achieve same results for us to grade).

https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.model_selection.train_test_split.html (https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.model_selection.train_test_split.html)

```
random_state = 21
train_size = .8
```

In the following steps use training set to fit the model, and test set to evaluate it.

```
In [256]: from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
import operator
from itertools import combinations
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsRegressor
```

```
In [258]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, train_size=0.8, random
```

```
In [259]: print("X_train.shape:", X_train.shape)
    print("X_test.shape:", X_test.shape)
    print("y_train.shape:", y_train.shape)
    print("y_test.shape:", y_test.shape)

X_train.shape: (1168, 221)
    X_test.shape: (292, 221)

y_train.shape: (1168,)
    y_test.shape: (292,)
```

2) Analyze which feature alone would give the best prediction, list the scores and RMSE errors achieved by the top 10 predictors by score.

```
In [260]: print("Number of features:", len(housing ml.columns))
          Number of features: 221
In [261]: X_train[:, 0].reshape(-1, 1).shape
Out[261]: (1168, 1)
In [262]: | feature_lst = list(housing_ml.columns)
          feature dict = {}
          for f in range(0, len(feature_lst)):
              # training on single feature
              single_feat_train_data = X_train[:, f].reshape(-1, 1)
              single feat test data = X test[:, f].reshape(-1, 1)
              curr_linear_model = LinearRegression()
              curr linear model.fit(single feat train data, y train)
              curr_y_pred = curr_linear_model.predict(single_feat_test_data)
              curr score = curr linear model.score(single feat test data, y test)
              curr_rmse = mean_squared_error(y_test, curr_y_pred, squared=False)
              # print("{} Feature's Score: {}".format(feature_lst[f], curr_score))
              # print("{} RMSE: {}".format(feature Lst[f], curr rmse))
              # print("")
              col indx = f
              feature dict[f] = [feature lst[f], curr score, curr rmse, col indx]
In [263]: | sorted_by_score_top_10 = sorted(feature_dict.values(), key=operator.itemgetter(1)
```

3) Select all possible 2 pairs of these top 10 predictors, and train 45 linear models, list the scores and RMSE errors achieved by the top 10 predictors by score.

```
In [266]: # get all pair combinations from this list:
                        best pairs = list(combinations(get col indx, 2))
                        print("Best pairs:", best pairs)
                        print("")
                        print("Number of best pairs:", len(best pairs))
                        Best pairs: [(4, 36), (4, 16), (4, 44), (4, 12), (4, 13), (4, 25), (4, 26), (4,
                        38), (4, 47), (36, 16), (36, 44), (36, 12), (36, 13), (36, 25), (36, 26), (36,
                        38), (36, 47), (16, 44), (16, 12), (16, 13), (16, 25), (16, 26), (16, 38), (16,
                        47), (44, 12), (44, 13), (44, 25), (44, 26), (44, 38), (44, 47), (12, 13), (12,
                        25), (12, 26), (12, 38), (12, 47), (13, 25), (13, 26), (13, 38), (13, 47), (25,
                        26), (25, 38), (25, 47), (26, 38), (26, 47), (38, 47)]
                        Number of best pairs: 45
In [267]: X train[:, (4,36)].shape
Out[267]: (1168, 2)
In [268]: housing ml.columns[[0,2]]
Out[268]: Index(['Id', 'LotFrontage'], dtype='object')
In [269]: | feature lst = housing ml.columns
                        feature dict best pairs = {}
                        for curr pair in best pairs:
                                 pair = list(curr pair)
                                 pair_feat_train_data = X_train[:, pair]
                                 pair feat test data = X test[:, pair]
                                 curr pair feat linear model = LinearRegression()
                                 curr pair feat linear model.fit(pair feat train data, y train)
                                 curr pair feat y pred = curr pair feat linear model.predict(pair feat test da
                                 curr_pair_feat_score = curr_pair_feat_linear_model.score(pair_feat_test_data)
                                 curr_pair_feat_rmse = mean_squared_error(y_test, curr_pair_feat_y_pred, squared_error
                                 col indx = " and ".join(list(feature lst[pair]))
                                 feature_dict_best_pairs[col_indx] = [col_indx, curr_pair_feat_score, curr_pair_feat
```

```
In [270]: sorted by score pair 45 top 10 = sorted(feature dict best pairs.values(), key=op€
          for best in sorted by score pair 45 top 10:
              print("{} [with indx={}] Feature's RMSE = {} with Score = {}".format(best[0],
          OverallQual and 1stFlrSF [with indx=[4, 13]] Feature's RMSE = 43764.69 with Sco
          re = 0.72
          OverallQual and TotalBsmtSF [with indx=[4, 12]] Feature's RMSE = 44577.66 with
          Score = 0.71
          OverallQual_and_GrLivArea [with indx=[4, 16]] Feature's RMSE = 45673.47 with Sc
          ore = 0.69
          OverallQual and GarageArea [with indx=[4, 26]] Feature's RMSE = 46468.13 with S
          core = 0.68
          OverallQual and KitchenQual Coded [with indx=[4, 44]] Feature's RMSE = 47070.07
          with Score = 0.67
          OverallQual_and_GarageCars [with indx=[4, 25]] Feature's RMSE = 47124.91 with S
          core = 0.67
          OverallQual and ExterQual Coded [with indx=[4, 36]] Feature's RMSE = 47433.82 w
          ith Score = 0.67
          OverallQual_and_BsmtQual_Coded [with indx=[4, 38]] Feature's RMSE = 48237.75 wi
          th Score = 0.66
          OverallQual_and_GarageFinish_Coded [with indx=[4, 47]] Feature's RMSE = 48571.2
          7 with Score = 0.65
          ExterQual Coded and 1stFlrSF [with indx=[36, 13]] Feature's RMSE = 49640.64 wit
          h Score = 0.64
```

4) Train a single model using all features. Calculate RMSE and score. Observe how much of the prediction power was in the 2 pairs, vs all features.

5) Use the 5NN and 10NN regressor with all features, and list the RMSE and score for these 2 models

https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.neighbors.KNeighborsRegressor.html (https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.neighbors.KNeighborsRegressor.html)

```
In [272]: # 5NN regressor
          knn 5 model = KNeighborsRegressor(n neighbors=5)
          knn_5_model.fit(X_train, y_train)
          y_pred_knn_5 = knn_5_model.predict(X_test)
          knn_5_score = knn_5_model.score(X_test, y_test)
          knn_5_rmse = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_knn_5, squared=False)
          print("KNN 5's Score:", knn_5_score)
          print("KNN 5's RMSE:", knn_5_rmse)
          # 10NN regressor
          knn 10 model = KNeighborsRegressor(n neighbors=10)
          knn_10_model.fit(X_train, y_train)
          y_pred_knn_10 = knn_10_model.predict(X_test)
          knn 10 score = knn 10 model.score(X test, y test)
          knn_10_rmse = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_knn_10, squared=False)
          print("KNN 5's Score:", knn 10 score)
          print("KNN 5's RMSE:", knn_10_rmse)
          KNN 5's Score: 0.6015421069563245
          KNN 5's RMSE: 51966.07983368139
          KNN 5's Score: 0.5949812006956909
          KNN 5's RMSE: 52392.16317256475
```

observe if the results are better than linear regression?

```
In [273]: # Answer: No they are worse by around ~20%
```

Which regressor is better for inference?

Top 3 models for inference:

- 1. Linear Regression trained on all features
- 2. Linear Regression with 2 selected features [OverallQual, 1stFlrSF]
- 3. Linear Regression with 2 selected features [OverallQual, TotalBsmtSF]

Thus, Linear Regression is better for the current analysis. However, as we know, many parameters can be tweaked, so if more options were done further, we may get alternative answers. E.g. KNN with higher number of neighbors + PCA analysis on best features could produce better results than just training linear regression model on all features.



