

Homework 4

Problem 1. Give a model for the sentence

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{1t} = & \forall x [R_1(x, x)] \\ & \wedge \forall x, y [R_1(x, y) \leftrightarrow R_1(y, x)] \\ & \wedge \forall x, y, z [(R_1(x, y) \wedge R_1(y, z)) \rightarrow R_1(x, z)] \\ & \wedge \forall x, y [R_1(x, y) \rightarrow \neg R_2(x, y)] \\ & \wedge \forall x, y [\neg R_1(x, y) \rightarrow (R_2(x, y) \oplus R_2(y, x))] \\ & \wedge \forall x, y, z [(R_2(x, y) \wedge R_2(y, z)) \rightarrow R_2(x, z)] \\ & \wedge \forall x \exists y [R_2(x, y)].\end{aligned}$$

Solution. Taking the universe as \mathbb{Z} . Relation $R_1(x, y)$ as $x = y$, relation $R_2(x, y)$ as $x < y$.

Problem 2. Prove that the Halting problem with empty input

$$\text{HALT}_\varepsilon = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ halts on empty input.}\}$$

is undecidable.

Solution. Assume there is a Turing machine H that decides HALT_ε , we construct a Turing machine D as follows:

On input w ,

1. Obtain its own code $\langle D \rangle$ by recursion theorem.
2. Run $H(\langle D \rangle)$. If H accepts, it enters a loop forever state; if H rejects, it halts.

It can be seen that if D halts on ε , it will loop forever, and if it loops on ε , it will halt, a contradiction.

The statement can also be proved by reducing the problem to HALT .

Problem 3. Show that any infinite subset of MIN_{TM} is not Turing-recognizable where MIN_{TM} is a language defined in the class.

Solution. The solution is similar to the proof in class, just note that for any infinite subset we can always find some D such that $|\langle D \rangle| > |\langle C \rangle|$.

Problem 4.

- (a) Prove a special case of the S-m-n theorem, the Currying technique for Turing machines. That is, show that there is a computable function $S_1^1 : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ mapping the description of Turing machine T and input x to the description of a Turing machine S such that (1) S on input y computes the same output as T on input $\langle x, y \rangle$ if T halts; and (2) S loops on input y if T loops on input $\langle x, y \rangle$.
- (b) Prove Kleene's recursion theorem by item (a) and Roger's fixed-point theorem.

Solution. (a) First construct the described turing machine S : it first print x on the tape, then simulate T on input $\langle x, y \rangle$. We can construct a turing machine R that prints the description of S .

(b) For any computable function $T : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$, we define the function $s_T(x) = S_1^1(\langle T \rangle, x)$. It corresponds to a turing machine $S_x(y)$ that works as $T(x, y)$ for all y . We apply the roger fix point theorem to s_T , which says there is a turing machine R such that $\forall y, R(y) = s_T(\langle R \rangle) = T(\langle R \rangle, y)$. This is the Kleene's recursion theorem.