## Homework 12

**Problem 1.** Let G be a pseudorandom generator of stretch  $\ell$  such that  $\ell(n) \geq 2n$ .

- (a) Define G' as  $G'(s) = G(s0^{|s|})$ . Is G' necessarily a pseudorandom generator?
- (b) Define G'' as  $G''(s) = G(s_1 \cdots s_{n/2})$  for  $s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ . Is G'' necessarily a pseudorandom generator?

**Solution.** (a) G' is not necessarily a pseudorandom generator. Consider the following counterexample. Let  $G_0$  be a pseudorandom generator of stretch  $\ell(n) \geq 2n$ . Define

$$G_1(s) = \begin{cases} 0^{\ell(n)}, & \text{if } \forall i \ge n/2, s_i = 0, \\ G_0(s), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $G_1(s)$  and  $G_0(s)$  are only different by a portion of  $\frac{2^{n/2}}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2^{n/2}}$ , which is negligible in n. So any polynomial-time distinguisher  $\mathcal{A}$  can only distinguish  $G_1$  and  $G_0$  with negligible probability. Therefore,  $G_1$  is also a pseudorandom generator.

However, if let G be  $G_1$ , then  $G'(s) \equiv 0^{\ell(n)}$ , which is not a pseudorandom generator.

(b) G'' is necessarily a pseudorandom generator. Its stretch is

$$\ell''(n) = |G''(s)| = |G(s_1 \cdots s_{n/2})| = \ell(n/2) \ge n.$$

Since that G is a pseudorandom generator of stretch  $\ell$ , we have

$$\left| \Pr_{s \in \{0,1\}^n} \left( \mathcal{A}(G''(s)) = 1 \right) - \Pr_{r \in \{0,1\}^{\ell''(n)}} \left( \mathcal{A}(r) = 1 \right) \right| \\
= \left| \Pr_{s \in \{0,1\}^n} \left( \mathcal{A}(G(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n/2})) = 1 \right) - \Pr_{r \in \{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}} \left( \mathcal{A}(r) = 1 \right) \right| \\
= \left| \Pr_{s'' \in \{0,1\}^{n/2}} \left( \mathcal{A}(G(s'')) = 1 \right) - \Pr_{r \in \{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}} \left( \mathcal{A}(r) = 1 \right) \right| \\
\leq \operatorname{negl}(n).$$

By definition, G'' is a pseudorandom generator.

**Problem 2.** A keyed family of functions  $F_k$  is a pseudorandom random permutation (PRP) if (a)  $F_k(\cdot)$  and  $F_k^{-1}(\cdot)$  are efficiently computable given the key k and (b) for any polynomial-time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\left| \Pr \left( \mathcal{A}^{F_k(\cdot), F_k^{-1}(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1 \right) - \Pr \left( \mathcal{A}^{f_n(\cdot), f_n^{-1}(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1 \right) \right| \le \operatorname{negl}(n).$$

Homework 12 熊泽恩

Consider the following encryption scheme

- 1. Sample key k uniformly at random.
- 2. On input plaintext  $x \in \{0,1\}^{n/2}$ , algorithm  $\operatorname{Enc}_k$  samples randomness  $r \in \{0,1\}^{n/2}$  and outputs ciphertext  $F_k(r||x)$ .

Solve the following problems assuming that  $F_k$  is a PRP.

- (a) Show how the decryption  $Dec_k$  works.
- (b) Prove that the encryption scheme is CPA-secure.

**Solution.** (a) The decryption  $Dec_k$  works as follows:

- 1. On input ciphertext  $y \in \{0,1\}^n$ , compute  $r||x = F_k^{-1}(y)$ .
- 2. Return x.
- (b) Proof by contradiction. Suppose the encryption scheme  $\Pi=(Enc,Dec)$  is not CPA-secure. Then there exists a polynomial-time adversary  $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi}$  such that

$$\Pr(\mathcal{A}_{\Pi} \text{ succ}) \ge \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}.$$
 (1)

Consider the scheme  $\widetilde{\Pi} = (\widetilde{\operatorname{Enc}}, \widetilde{\operatorname{Dec}})$  as the random permutation encryption scheme. Let  $r_c$  be the randomness used in the actual encryption, namely,  $y = F_k(r_c || x)$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  makes q(n) queries to  $\operatorname{Enc}_k(\cdot)$  using randomness  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{q(n)}$ .

- 1. Case 1(Repeat). This is the case where  $r_c \in \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{q(n)}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{\Pi}}$  can obtain  $r_c$ , so it can successfully distinguish  $\widetilde{\Pi}$  from a random permutation. However, the chance of this case is at most  $\frac{q(n)}{2^{n/2}}$ .
- 2. Case 2(No Repeat). Then this is equivalent to the case where adversary doesn't know  $r_c$ , which is the same as a perfect OTP. Therefore,

$$\Pr(\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{\Pi}} | \text{succ} | \text{no repeat}) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Concluding the two cases above, we have

$$\Pr(\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{\Pi}} \text{ succ}) = \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{\Pi}} \text{ succ } \wedge \text{ repeat}) + \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{\Pi}} \text{ succ } \wedge \text{ no repeat})$$

$$\leq \Pr(\text{repeat}) + \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{\Pi} \text{ succ}} | \text{ no repeat})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{q(n)}{2^n}.$$
(2)

Homework 12 熊泽恩

Combining (1) and (2), we have

$$\left| \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{\Pi} \text{ succ}) - \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{\Pi}} \text{ succ}) \right| \ge \frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}.$$
 (3)

We will design a distinguisher  $\mathcal{D}$  to show that (3) is impossible. Distinguisher  $\mathcal{D}$  has oracle access to  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}^{-1}$ , with input  $1^n$ .

- 1. Run  $\mathcal{A}(1^n)$  and whenever  $\mathcal{A}$  queries  $\mathrm{Enc}_k(\cdot)$  with randomness r, answer the query in the following way:
  - (a) Choose  $r \in \{0,1\}^{n/2}$  uniformly at random.
  - (b) Query  $\mathcal{O}(r||x)$  and obtain response s'.
  - (c) Return s' to A.
- 2. When  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs  $x_0, x_1$ , choose  $b \in \{0, 1\}$  uniformly at random and then:
  - (a) Choose  $r \in \{0,1\}^{n/2}$  uniformly at random.
  - (b) Query  $\mathcal{O}(r||x_b)$  and obtain response s'.
  - (c) Return s' to  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- 3. Continue answering any queries of  $\mathcal{A}$  as before. When  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs b', output 1 if b'=b and 0 otherwise.

Therefore,  $\mathcal{D}$  simulates the experiment with  $\Pi$  and  $\widetilde{\Pi}$ . If  $\mathcal{O} = F_k(\cdot)$ , then the view of  $\mathcal{A}$  is identical to the view of  $\mathcal{A}$  in the experiment with  $\Pi$ . If  $\mathcal{O} = f_n(\cdot)$ , then the view of  $\mathcal{A}$  is identical to the view of  $\mathcal{A}$  in the experiment with  $\widetilde{\Pi}$ .

Hence,

$$\begin{split} & \left| \Pr(\mathcal{D}^{f_n(\cdot), f_n^{-1}(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1) - \Pr(\mathcal{D}^{F_k(\cdot), F_k^{-1}(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1) \right| \\ &= \left| \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{\Pi}} \text{ succ}) - \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{\Pi} \text{ succ}) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}, \end{split}$$

which contradicts to the assumption that  $F_k$  is a PRP. So the encryption scheme is CPA-secure.