

Homework 2

Problem 1. Find λ terms representing the logical or and not functions.

Solution. $\text{or} \equiv \lambda xy.xty$, for

$$\text{or } tt \rightarrow_{\beta} ttt \rightarrow_{\beta} t,$$

$$\text{or } tf \rightarrow_{\beta} ttf \rightarrow_{\beta} t,$$

$$\text{or } ft \rightarrow_{\beta} ftt \rightarrow_{\beta} t,$$

$$\text{or } ff \rightarrow_{\beta} ftf \rightarrow_{\beta} f.$$

$\text{not} \equiv \lambda x.xft$, for

$$\text{not } t \rightarrow_{\beta} tft \rightarrow_{\beta} f,$$

$$\text{not } f \rightarrow_{\beta} fft \rightarrow_{\beta} t.$$

Problem 2. Prove that

(a) $\text{add } \overline{m} \overline{n} \rightarrow_{\beta} \overline{m + n}$.

(b) $\text{mult } \overline{m} \overline{n} \rightarrow_{\beta} \overline{m \cdot n}$.

Solution. (a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add } \overline{m} \overline{n} &\equiv (\lambda nmfx.nf(mfx))\overline{m} \overline{n} \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda fx.\overline{m}f(\overline{n}fx) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda fx.\overline{m}f(f^n x) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda fx.f^m(f^n x) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda fx.f^{m+n}x \\ &\equiv \overline{m + n}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mult } \overline{m} \overline{n} &\equiv (\lambda nmfn.n(mf))\overline{m} \overline{n} \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda f.\overline{m}(\overline{n}f) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda f.\overline{m}(\lambda y.f^n y)^m \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda fx.(\lambda y.f^n y)^m x \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda fx.f^{m \cdot n}x \\ &\equiv \overline{m \cdot n}. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3. Compute the β -normal forms of the following terms. Are they strongly normalizable?

(a) $(\lambda xy.yx)((\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx))(\lambda xy.y)$.

(b) $(\lambda xy.yx)(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k})(\lambda x.xx)$.

Solution. (a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda xy.yx)((\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx))(\lambda xy.y) &\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda xy.y)((\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda y.y. \end{aligned}$$

But it is not strongly normalizable, since the term $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$ has no β -normal form.

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda xy.yx)(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k})(\lambda x.xx) &\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.xx)(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} (\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k})(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \mathbf{k}. \end{aligned}$$

And it is strongly normalizable, since any means of reduction will eventually lead to the β -normal form \mathbf{k} .

Problem 4. Find a representation of the following functions on integers

(a) $f(n) = \begin{cases} \text{true} & n \text{ is even,} \\ \text{false} & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

(b) $\exp(n, m) = n^m$.

(c) $\text{pred}(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ n - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (\text{Hard})$

Solution. (a) Let \mathbf{xor} be the logical exclusive or function,

$$\mathbf{xor} \equiv \lambda xy.x(\mathbf{not} y)y.$$

Then we have a recursive definition of f as follows,

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } n = 0, \\ f(n - 1) \mathbf{xor} \text{true} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So, we have

$$f := (\lambda g. \lambda n. \mathbf{ite}(\mathbf{iszero} \ n) \ \mathbf{f} \\ (\mathbf{xor} \ \mathbf{t} \ (g(\mathbf{pred} \ n)))) \ f$$

By using the Y combinator, we have

$$f := \mathbf{y}(\lambda g. \lambda n. \mathbf{ite}(\mathbf{iszero} \ n) \ \mathbf{t} \\ (\mathbf{xor} \ \mathbf{t} \ (g(\mathbf{pred} \ n)))).$$

(b) A recursive definition of the exponential function is as follows,

$$\exp(n, m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ n \cdot \exp(n, m - 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So, we have

$$\mathbf{exp} := (\lambda f. \lambda n m. \mathbf{ite}(\mathbf{iszero} \ m) \ \bar{1} \\ (\mathbf{mult} \ n \ (f \ n \ (\mathbf{pred} \ m)))) \ \mathbf{exp}$$

By using the Y combinator, we have

$$\mathbf{exp} := \mathbf{y}(\lambda f. \lambda n m. \mathbf{ite}(\mathbf{iszero} \ m) \ \bar{1} \\ (\mathbf{mult} \ n \ (f \ n \ (\mathbf{pred} \ m)))))$$

(c) The predecessor function is defined as follows,

$$\mathbf{pred} := \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. n(\lambda g. \lambda h. h(gf))(\lambda u. x)(\lambda u. u).$$

We can verify that by the following computation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{pred} \ \bar{m} &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda f. \lambda x. \bar{m}(\lambda g. \lambda h. h(gf))(\lambda u. x)(\lambda u. u) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda f. \lambda x. (\lambda g. \lambda h. h(gf))^m(\lambda u. x)(\lambda u. u) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda f. \lambda x. (\lambda g. \lambda h. h(gf))^{m-1}(\lambda h. h((\lambda u. x) f))(\lambda u. u) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda f. \lambda x. (\lambda g. \lambda h. h(gf))^{m-1}(\lambda h. hx)(\lambda u. u) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda f. \lambda x. (\lambda g. \lambda h. h(gf))^{m-2}(\lambda h. h((\lambda h'. h'x) f))(\lambda u. u) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda f. \lambda x. (\lambda g. \lambda h. h(gf))^{m-2}(\lambda h. hf x)(\lambda u. u) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \dots \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda f. \lambda x. (\lambda h. hf^{m-1} x)(\lambda u. u) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda f. \lambda x. f^{m-1} x \\ &\equiv \overline{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 5. Suppose two binary relations \rightarrow_1 and \rightarrow_2 *commute*, that is, $s \rightarrow_1 t_1$ and $s \rightarrow_2 t_2$ implies that there exists t such that $t_1 \rightarrow_2 t$ and $t_2 \rightarrow_1 t$. Let \rightarrow_{12} be the union of \rightarrow_1 and \rightarrow_2 . Prove that if \rightarrow_1 and \rightarrow_2 satisfy the diamond property, then so is \rightarrow_{12} .

Solution. Let's define an auxilliary function $\mathbf{edge}(s, t)$ to indicate the particular type of relation that leads from s to t , as follows,

$$\mathbf{edge}(s, t) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \rightarrow_1 t, \\ 2 & \text{if } s \rightarrow_2 t. \end{cases}$$

Consider the fundamental example that some states s, u, v satisfy $s \rightarrow_{12} u$ and $s \rightarrow_{12} v$.

1. If $\mathbf{edge}(s, u) = 1$ and $\mathbf{edge}(s, v) = 1$, then because of the diamond property of \rightarrow_1 , we can find a state t such that $u \rightarrow_1 t$ and $v \rightarrow_1 t$.
2. If $\mathbf{edge}(s, u) = 2$ and $\mathbf{edge}(s, v) = 2$, then because of the diamond property of \rightarrow_2 , we can find a state t such that $u \rightarrow_2 t$ and $v \rightarrow_2 t$.
3. If $\mathbf{edge}(s, u) = 1$ and $\mathbf{edge}(s, v) = 2$, then by the commutativity of \rightarrow_1 and \rightarrow_2 , we can find a state t such that $u \rightarrow_2 t$ and $v \rightarrow_1 t$.
4. If $\mathbf{edge}(s, u) = 2$ and $\mathbf{edge}(s, v) = 1$, then by the commutativity of \rightarrow_1 and \rightarrow_2 , we can find a state t such that $u \rightarrow_1 t$ and $v \rightarrow_2 t$.

So a state t such that $u \rightarrow_{12} t$ and $v \rightarrow_{12} t$ always exists, which implies that \rightarrow_{12} satisfies the diamond property. As a result, \rightarrow_{12} also satisfies the diamond property.

Problem 6. (Optional) Write an algorithm computing the factorial function in Python without using explicit recursion. Sample codes are provided in `lambda.py`. Note that the use of parenthesis in Python for function application is different from the mathematical way. For example, the term xyz used in classes as an abbreviation for $((xy)z)$ should be written as $x(y)(z)$ in Python in order to be consistent with the Python function call convention.