

Infrastructure as Code

Table of Contents

IaC General	2
What is Infrastructure as Code (IaC)?	2
Why is IaC important in modern IT environments?	2
What are the benefits of implementing Infrastructure as Code?	3
How does Infrastructure as Code differ from traditional infrastructure management?	3
What are the key components of an Infrastructure as Code solution?	4
What are some popular tools/frameworks used for Infrastructure as Code?	4
How does IaC support DevOps practices?	5
How does Infrastructure as Code (IaC) improve collaboration in teams?	5
What challenges or considerations should be taken into account when adopting Infrastructure as Code?	6
How does Infrastructure as Code support disaster recovery and high availability?	6
How does IaC contribute to disaster recovery?	7
How do you ensure high availability when using Infrastructure as Code?	7
How do you handle multi-region deployments with IaC?	8
How do you handle resource scaling with IaC?	8
How can infrastructure changes be rolled back in an Infrastructure as Code environment?	9
What is idempotency in the context of IaC, and why is it important?	9
How do you perform rolling updates with Infrastructure as Code?	10
What is blue-green deployment, and how does it work with IaC?	10
IaC Security	11
How do you secure sensitive information in IaC?	11
How do you secure secrets and sensitive variables in Terraform?	11
How would you implement least privilege when defining IAM roles and policies in Terraform?	11
How do you implement least privilege in a cloud environment?	12
What are some best practices for state file management in Terraform?	12
How can policy-as-code tools like Open Policy Agent (OPA) or HashiCorp Sentinel help in IaC security?	12
Describe how you'd enforce security policies as code in an IaC workflow.	13
How do you ensure compliance with IaC?	13
What are common misconfigurations that lead to cloud breaches?	13
Explain the shared responsibility model in the context of cloud security.	14
What is the purpose of <code>terraform plan</code> in Terraform?	14
What's the difference between <code>terraform plan</code> and <code>terraform apply</code> in a secure CI/CD pipeline?	14

How do you review and approve Terraform changes in a secure way?	15
How do you embed security checks in a CI/CD pipeline that deploys Terraform code?	15
How do you integrate Terraform with security tools like Checkov, tfsec, or Sentinel?	16
How would you prevent accidental data exposure when using Terraform with cloud storage (like S3 buckets)?	16
How would you secure access to cloud management consoles?	16
What steps would you take to secure public-facing cloud resources?	17
A junior developer committed a plaintext AWS access key to GitHub — how would you detect and respond?	17
Your Terraform code creates a VPC with open security groups — how would you catch that before deployment?	18
You're onboarding a new cloud account — how would you use Terraform to establish baseline security?	18
Show a Terraform snippet to create an S3 bucket with proper encryption and block public access.	19
Walk through how you'd use a custom module to deploy secure EC2 instances with Terraform.	20

IaC General

What is Infrastructure as Code (IaC)?

Infrastructure as Code is the practice of managing and provisioning infrastructure through machine-readable definition files rather than manual configuration or interactive tools. Instead of logging into servers or clicking through cloud consoles, you write code—typically in declarative or procedural languages—that describes your desired infrastructure state.

Tools like Terraform, CloudFormation, or Ansible then read this code and create the actual infrastructure resources. The code is versioned, tested, and treated like application code, bringing software development practices to infrastructure management. It's essentially defining your servers, networks, databases, and all other infrastructure components as code that can be versioned, reviewed, and automatically deployed.

Why is IaC important in modern IT environments?

Modern environments demand speed, scale, and consistency that manual processes can't deliver. With IaC, we can spin up entire environments in minutes instead of days or weeks. As organizations adopt cloud services and microservices architectures, the number of infrastructure components explodes—managing hundreds or thousands of resources manually becomes impossible. IaC provides the automation needed to handle this complexity.

It also addresses the problem of environment drift and configuration inconsistencies that plague manual management. In DevOps cultures where developers and operations collaborate closely, IaC provides a common language and shared responsibility for infrastructure. For compliance and

security, having infrastructure defined as code creates an auditable trail of all changes and ensures configurations meet organizational standards.

What are the benefits of implementing Infrastructure as Code?

The benefits are substantial across multiple dimensions:

- **Speed**—provisioning infrastructure that took days now takes minutes, accelerating development and time to market.
- **Consistency**—the same code deploys identical environments every time, eliminating "works on my machine" problems between dev, staging, and production.
- **Version control** provides a complete history of infrastructure changes, enabling rollbacks and understanding of how things evolved.
- **Cost efficiency** improves because infrastructure can be easily torn down when not needed and precisely sized to requirements.
- **Documentation becomes implicit**—the code itself documents the infrastructure.
- **Testing** infrastructure before deployment catches issues early.
- **Disaster recovery** is simplified since environments can be recreated from code.
- **Collaboration** improves through code reviews and shared repositories.
- **Scalability** becomes manageable—replicating infrastructure across regions or creating new environments is just running the same code.

How does Infrastructure as Code differ from traditional infrastructure management?

Traditional infrastructure management is **imperative and manual**—someone follows a runbook, clicks through GUIs, or runs one-off scripts to configure each resource. Changes are made directly on live systems, often without comprehensive documentation, and knowledge lives in people's heads rather than in systems. There's no easy way to replicate environments or understand what changed when.

IaC flips this to a **declarative, automated approach**—you define what you want, and tools figure out how to achieve it. Changes are made through code updates that go through review and testing before reaching production. Infrastructure state is tracked and managed, so the system knows what exists and what needs to change. Everything is versioned, creating an audit trail and enabling collaboration.

Traditional approaches scale linearly with resources—more infrastructure means more manual work. IaC scales efficiently because automation handles the heavy lifting regardless of infrastructure size.

What are the key components of an Infrastructure as Code solution?

A complete IaC solution has several essential components:

- **Configuration files or code** that define the desired infrastructure state—these are written in domain-specific languages like HCL for Terraform or YAML for CloudFormation.
- A **state management system** tracks what infrastructure currently exists and what's been provisioned—this might be a state file in Terraform or AWS's internal tracking for CloudFormation.
- The **provisioning engine** reads the configuration, compares it with current state, and executes the necessary API calls to create, modify, or destroy resources to reach the desired state.
- **Version control systems** like Git store and track changes to the configuration code.
- A **CI/CD pipeline** automates testing, validation, and deployment of infrastructure changes.
- **Secret management systems** securely handle credentials and sensitive configuration values.
- **Policy-as-code tools** enforce security and compliance requirements.
- **Monitoring and logging** capture what's happening during provisioning and track the health of deployed infrastructure.

What are some popular tools/frameworks used for Infrastructure as Code?

The landscape has several strong options for different use cases:

- **Terraform** by HashiCorp is probably the most popular multi-cloud tool—it uses HCL and can manage resources across AWS, Azure, GCP, and hundreds of other providers through a plugin architecture.
- **AWS CloudFormation** is AWS-native and deeply integrated with AWS services, using JSON or YAML templates.
- **Ansible** uses YAML playbooks and is agentless, making it great for both provisioning and configuration.
- **Pulumi** lets you write infrastructure code in general-purpose languages like Python, TypeScript, or Go, which appeals to developers.
- **Azure Resource Manager templates and Bicep** are Microsoft's offerings for Azure.
- **Google Cloud Deployment Manager** handles GCP resources.
- **Kubernetes manifests and Helm charts** define containerized infrastructure.

For more specific use cases, tools like **Packer** create machine images, and **Crossplane** extends Kubernetes to manage cloud infrastructure. The choice often depends on your cloud provider, team skills, and specific requirements.

How does IaC support DevOps practices?

IaC is foundational to DevOps in several ways:

- It **breaks down silos** between development and operations by providing a shared language—both teams work with the same infrastructure code and repositories.
- It **enables the DevOps principle of automation** by eliminating manual infrastructure work, allowing teams to focus on higher-value activities.
- **Continuous integration and delivery extend to infrastructure**—infrastructure changes flow through the same automated pipelines with testing and validation.
- IaC supports the **"cattle not pets" mentality** where infrastructure is disposable and replaceable rather than carefully hand-maintained.
- It enables **self-service for developers** who can provision their own environments following approved templates, reducing bottlenecks.
- The **feedback loops** central to DevOps happen faster when infrastructure changes can be tested and deployed rapidly.
- **Version control and code reviews** bring collaborative practices to infrastructure management.

Ultimately, IaC makes infrastructure changes as routine and low-risk as application deployments, which is essential for the high deployment frequency that DevOps organizations target.

How does Infrastructure as Code (IaC) improve collaboration in teams?

IaC transforms infrastructure from tribal knowledge into shared, visible code. When infrastructure lives in version control, everyone can see what exists, what's changing, and why through commit messages and pull requests. Code reviews become a collaboration point where teammates share knowledge, catch mistakes, and ensure best practices.

Junior team members learn by reading infrastructure code rather than just observing senior engineers work. Cross-functional collaboration improves because developers, operations, security, and compliance teams all review and contribute to the same infrastructure codebase. Distributed teams can work asynchronously on infrastructure changes through pull requests rather than needing to coordinate live access to systems.

Shared modules and libraries emerge as teams standardize common patterns, reducing duplicated effort and spreading knowledge. When issues arise, the version history provides context about what changed and who to consult. Documentation happens naturally through code comments and README files alongside the infrastructure code. This visibility and shared responsibility creates a collaborative culture around infrastructure that wasn't possible with manual approaches.

What challenges or considerations should be taken into account when adopting Infrastructure as Code?

Adopting IaC comes with legitimate challenges:

- **Learning curve** — teams need to learn new tools, languages, and paradigms, which takes time and can slow initial productivity.
- **State management** becomes critical and complex, especially in team environments where multiple people might make changes.
- **Getting buy-in** from teams comfortable with manual processes requires demonstrating value and providing training.
- **Security** is a new concern—infrastructure code often contains sensitive information and access to it needs careful control.
- **Managing existing infrastructure** requires importing current resources into IaC management, which can be tedious.
- **Testing** infrastructure changes is more complex than testing application code since you're dealing with real cloud resources and costs.
- **Tool selection** is important but difficult with many options and evolving ecosystems.
- **Organizational processes** need updating—change management, approval workflows, and incident response all change when infrastructure is code.

Finally, the **initial investment** in setting up pipelines, developing modules, and establishing patterns requires time and resources before you see the benefits, which can be a hard sell to management.

How does Infrastructure as Code support disaster recovery and high availability?

IaC dramatically improves both disaster recovery and high availability capabilities:

- For **disaster recovery**, having infrastructure defined as code means you can recreate entire environments from scratch in different regions or even different cloud providers. Instead of maintaining detailed runbooks that may be outdated, you simply run the IaC code. Recovery time objectives improve from days or weeks to hours or minutes.
- You can regularly test disaster recovery by actually spinning up recovery environments rather than hoping your documentation is current.
- For **high availability**, IaC makes it practical to deploy across multiple availability zones or regions since replicating infrastructure is just running the same code with different parameters.
- Automated failover infrastructure can be defined and tested regularly. When outages occur, you can quickly scale resources or redirect traffic by updating configuration values and reapplying.
- The **consistency** IaC provides ensures your production and DR environments stay in sync rather than drifting apart.

- You can also implement **chaos engineering** practices more easily, deliberately destroying infrastructure to test resilience, knowing you can recreate it quickly.

How does IaC contribute to disaster recovery?

IaC is a game-changer for disaster recovery planning and execution:

- The infrastructure code itself serves as an **always-up-to-date blueprint** of your entire environment, eliminating the problem of outdated disaster recovery documentation.
- When disaster strikes, recovery becomes a matter of **executing tested automation** rather than following manual procedures under pressure.
- You can maintain **warm or hot standby environments** in different regions that are guaranteed to match production because they're built from the same code.
- **Regular DR testing becomes feasible**—you can spin up a complete recovery environment, validate it works, then tear it down to avoid ongoing costs. This frequent testing ensures your recovery procedures actually work when needed.
- Recovery point objectives improve because infrastructure configuration is **versioned alongside application code**, giving you precise points to recover to.
- The automation reduces **recovery time** from what might be days of manual rebuilding to hours or even minutes.
- You also gain **flexibility** in recovery options—if your primary cloud region fails, you can recover to a different region or even a different cloud provider if your IaC is multi-cloud compatible.

How do you ensure high availability when using Infrastructure as Code?

I design high availability directly into the IaC templates. This means defining resources across multiple availability zones or regions from the start—load balancers, auto-scaling groups, and multi-AZ database deployments are standard patterns in my infrastructure code.

I use IaC to implement **redundancy at every layer**: multiple application servers behind load balancers, read replicas for databases, and distributed storage systems. Health checks and automated recovery are configured in the code so failed resources are automatically replaced. I also use IaC to implement circuit breakers and graceful degradation patterns.

The infrastructure code includes monitoring and alerting configurations that trigger on availability issues. I **regularly test high availability** by using IaC to simulate failures—terminating instances, disrupting network connectivity, or triggering failovers—then verifying automated recovery works. I maintain separate but identical infrastructure stacks in different regions that can take over if needed.

The key is that HA isn't an afterthought but is **explicitly defined in the infrastructure code** and continuously validated through automated testing.

How do you handle multi-region deployments with IaC?

Multi-region deployments require thoughtful architecture in your IaC:

- I typically **structure the code with modules** that define region-agnostic infrastructure components, then call those modules multiple times with region-specific parameters.
- I use **variables for region-specific values** like AMI IDs, availability zones, and service endpoints.
- I implement a **global layer** that handles cross-region concerns like Route53 DNS, CloudFront distributions, or global databases, and region-specific layers that deploy the actual application infrastructure.
- **State management becomes more complex**—I use separate state files for each region to avoid locking issues and limit blast radius if something goes wrong.
- For **data residency requirements**, I ensure each region's infrastructure complies with local regulations.
- I also implement **strategies for traffic routing** between regions—active-active with global load balancing, or active-passive with failover.
- The **deployment pipeline** handles regions sequentially or in parallel depending on the change risk.
- I use **workspaces or directory structures** to organize multi-region configurations clearly.
- **Testing** includes validating that regions can independently fail and recover without affecting others.

How do you handle resource scaling with IaC?

Scaling with IaC works at two levels—vertical scaling of individual resources and horizontal scaling of resource counts.

- For **vertical scaling**, I update resource parameters in the code—like instance size or database capacity—and apply the changes. The IaC tool handles the modifications, though this often requires downtime.
- For **horizontal scaling**, I use `count` or `for_each` constructs in Terraform to create multiple instances of resources based on variables.
- **Auto-scaling is defined in the infrastructure code itself**—I create auto-scaling groups with minimum, maximum, and desired capacity, plus scaling policies based on metrics. This way, the infrastructure scales dynamically without manual intervention.
- For **planned scaling events**, I update the desired capacity values in code and apply. I also implement scheduled scaling where capacity changes based on time of day or day of week.

The key is that scaling decisions are **codified rather than made ad-hoc** through console clicks. I use IaC to set up the scaling infrastructure and policies, then let automated systems handle actual scaling operations based on load. For long-term capacity planning, historical data informs updates

to baseline capacity defined in code.

How can infrastructure changes be rolled back in an Infrastructure as Code environment?

Rollback approaches depend on the situation and tools:

- The **simplest method** is reverting the code change in version control and reapplying—Git revert or checkout the previous commit, then run `terraform apply` or equivalent. This works well for configuration changes.
- For more complex scenarios, I maintain **versioned releases** of infrastructure code with tagged commits that represent known-good states.
- **State file backups** are crucial—before major changes, I explicitly backup the state file so I can restore it if something goes catastrophically wrong.
- Some IaC tools support **plan files** that can be reapplied, providing an exact rollback path.
- For **blue-green deployments**, rollback is switching traffic back to the blue environment.
- I also implement **incremental changes** rather than big-bang updates, making rollbacks smaller in scope.
- **Testing in non-production environments** catches most issues before they need rolling back.

When rollback is needed, I treat it as an emergency change with expedited approvals but still go through the apply process rather than making manual changes. Post-rollback, I conduct root cause analysis to understand what went wrong and prevent recurrence.

What is idempotency in the context of IaC, and why is it important?

Idempotency means running the same IaC code multiple times produces the same result without causing unintended side effects. If I run `terraform apply` on unchanged code, it should recognize everything already matches the desired state and make no changes. If I run it again after a failed apply, it should pick up where it left off rather than creating duplicate resources.

This is crucial for several reasons:

- It makes IaC **reliable and predictable**—I can safely rerun operations without fear of creating chaos.
- It **enables automation**—scripts can safely reapply infrastructure code without complex logic to check what's already done.
- It supports **error recovery**—if a deployment fails partway through, rerunning it completes the remaining work without breaking what already succeeded.
- Idempotency also makes infrastructure **convergent**—regardless of starting state, applying the code moves toward the desired state.

Tools like Terraform are designed to be idempotent by maintaining state and calculating diffs. This

contrasts with imperative scripts where running twice might create duplicate resources or fail because resources already exist. Idempotency is what makes declarative IaC practical for production use.

How do you perform rolling updates with Infrastructure as Code?

Rolling updates allow changing infrastructure with zero or minimal downtime by updating resources incrementally:

- For **compute instances** in auto-scaling groups, I configure the update policy in IaC to replace instances in batches—maybe 25% at a time—with health checks ensuring new instances are healthy before proceeding. I use lifecycle policies to create new instances before destroying old ones.
- For **containers** in Kubernetes or ECS, I define rolling update strategies in the deployment manifest, controlling how many pods can be unavailable during updates.
- The process involves updating the infrastructure code with new AMI IDs, container versions, or configuration values, then applying it. The IaC tool works with the cloud provider's native rolling update mechanisms to gradually migrate.
- I set **appropriate wait times and health check thresholds** to catch issues early in the rollout. If problems occur, I can halt the update and rollback.
- For **databases and stateful components**, rolling updates are more complex—I might use read replicas or blue-green strategies instead.

Monitoring during rolling updates is critical to catch issues before they affect all resources. The key is defining the update strategy in code so it's consistent and tested.

What is blue-green deployment, and how does it work with IaC?

Blue-green deployment is a release strategy where you maintain two identical production environments—blue (currently live) and green (new version). You deploy changes to the green environment while blue continues serving traffic. After validating green works correctly, you switch traffic from blue to green, making green the new production. Blue stays running as a fast rollback option.

With IaC, this is highly practical:

- I define infrastructure code that can deploy complete environments, then use **parameters or workspaces** to maintain blue and green versions.
- Both environments are created from the same IaC code but may run different application versions.
- **Load balancer or DNS configuration**, also managed through IaC, controls which environment receives traffic.

- To deploy, I update the green environment's code with new application versions and apply it. I run tests against green while blue serves production traffic.
- When ready, I update the load balancer target or DNS record to point to green—this change is also made through IaC.
- If issues arise, **switching back to blue is just another IaC apply**.

After successful deployment, blue can be updated to match green, destroyed, or kept as disaster recovery. This strategy eliminates downtime and provides instant rollback capability.

IaC Security

How do you secure sensitive information in IaC?

I approach this through multiple layers. First, I never commit secrets directly to version control—instead, I use secret management systems like AWS Secrets Manager, HashiCorp Vault, or cloud-native KMS services. In the code itself, I reference these secrets by ID rather than value.

I also implement encryption at rest for any state files, use environment variables or CI/CD secret stores for credentials, and apply RBAC to limit who can access the IaC repositories. Additionally, I use tools like git-secrets or Gitleaks in pre-commit hooks to catch accidental secret commits before they reach the repository.

How do you secure secrets and sensitive variables in Terraform?

I use several methods depending on the environment. For sensitive values, I mark them with `sensitive = true` in variable definitions to prevent them from appearing in logs or console output. I store actual secret values in external secret managers like AWS Secrets Manager or Vault, then reference them using data sources.

For CI/CD pipelines, I inject secrets as environment variables prefixed with `TF_VAR_`. I also encrypt the Terraform state file since it stores resource details in plaintext—using S3 with server-side encryption and DynamoDB for state locking, or Terraform Cloud's encrypted state storage. Never hardcode secrets or use default values for sensitive variables.

How would you implement least privilege when defining IAM roles and policies in Terraform?

I start by defining the minimum permissions needed for each role to function, avoiding wildcard actions and resources wherever possible. I use condition statements to further restrict when and how permissions can be used—like limiting access to specific IP ranges or requiring MFA. I create custom policies rather than attaching AWS managed policies that are often too broad.

I also regularly use IAM Access Analyzer to identify unused permissions and refine policies. In Terraform, I organize roles by service or function, document why each permission is needed, and

implement periodic reviews through automated tools that flag overly permissive configurations before they're deployed.

How do you implement least privilege in a cloud environment?

Beyond IAM policies, I implement least privilege across multiple dimensions:

- I use **separate accounts or projects** for different environments and workloads, applying service control policies or organizational policies at the top level.
- **Network segmentation** with security groups and NACLs limits lateral movement.
- I enable **resource-based policies** to control access from specific sources.
- For compute resources, I use **instance profiles or workload identity** rather than long-lived credentials.
- I implement **just-in-time access** for administrative tasks, require MFA for privileged operations, and maintain detailed audit logs.

Regular access reviews and automated policy validation ensure drift doesn't occur over time.

What are some best practices for state file management in Terraform?

State files are critical and contain sensitive data, so I treat them like production secrets. I always use **remote state with encryption**—S3 with KMS encryption and versioning enabled, plus DynamoDB for state locking to prevent concurrent modifications.

I restrict access to the state backend using IAM policies that follow least privilege. I enable state file versioning for rollback capability and **never commit state files to version control**. For team environments, I implement proper RBAC on the remote backend and consider using Terraform Cloud or Enterprise for enhanced state management with built-in encryption, versioning, and access controls. Regular state backups to a separate location provide disaster recovery capability.

How can policy-as-code tools like Open Policy Agent (OPA) or HashiCorp Sentinel help in IaC security?

These tools act as guardrails that enforce security standards automatically. With OPA or Sentinel, I write policies that check for common misconfigurations before infrastructure is deployed—things like ensuring S3 buckets aren't public, requiring encryption at rest, or verifying security groups don't allow unrestricted ingress.

These policies run during **terraform plan** or in CI/CD pipelines, failing the deployment if violations are found. This **shifts security left** by catching issues at code review rather than in production. I can also create policies that enforce organizational standards like required tags, approved instance types, or mandatory backup configurations. The policies themselves are versioned and tested,

creating a compliance-as-code approach that's repeatable and auditable.

Describe how you'd enforce security policies as code in an IaC workflow.

I integrate policy enforcement at multiple stages:

- **Development phase:** IDE plugins that lint Terraform code against security policies in real-time.
- **Pre-commit hooks:** run tools like tfsec or Checkov locally before code reaches version control.
- **CI/CD pipeline:** dedicated security scanning stages that run after `terraform plan` but before human review—these use multiple tools for broader coverage.
- **Policy enforcement:** OPA or Sentinel policies for custom organizational rules. Failed policy checks block the pipeline and provide detailed reports on violations.
- **Exceptions:** documented override process that requires security team approval and is tracked in an audit log.

All policies are versioned alongside infrastructure code and reviewed regularly.

How do you ensure compliance with IaC?

Compliance starts with encoding requirements directly into Terraform modules and policies. I map compliance frameworks like SOC 2, HIPAA, or PCI-DSS to specific infrastructure controls, then implement those as reusable modules and policy checks. I use automated scanning tools that check against CIS benchmarks and other standards.

All infrastructure changes go through peer review with security-focused checklists. I maintain detailed documentation linking infrastructure code to specific compliance requirements. Terraform outputs and tags help with compliance reporting and resource tracking. I implement drift detection to catch out-of-band changes that could violate compliance. Regular compliance audits review both the code and deployed infrastructure, with findings fed back into policy improvements.

What are common misconfigurations that lead to cloud breaches?

The most frequent issues I see are:

- **Publicly accessible storage buckets** — S3 buckets with open ACLs or bucket policies allowing anonymous access.
- **Overly permissive security groups** allowing SSH or RDP from 0.0.0.0/0.
- **Disabled or insufficient logging** makes it hard to detect breaches.
- **Lack of encryption** for data at rest and in transit.
- **Overly broad IAM policies** with wildcard permissions or attached to users instead of roles.
- **Disabled MFA** on privileged accounts.

- **Exposed secrets** in code or logs.
- **Unpatched instances** with known vulnerabilities.
- **Lack of network segmentation** allowing lateral movement.

All these create attack vectors that threat actors actively exploit.

Explain the shared responsibility model in the context of cloud security.

The cloud provider and customer split security responsibilities:

- **The provider secures the infrastructure**—physical data centers, hypervisors, network hardware, and managed service components.
- **As the customer, I'm responsible for security in the cloud**—my data, applications, operating systems, network configurations, IAM policies, and encryption.

For managed services, the division shifts:

- With **EC2**, I manage everything from the OS up.
- With **RDS**, AWS handles OS patching but I manage database credentials and access controls.
- With **S3**, AWS secures the storage infrastructure but I configure bucket policies and encryption.

Understanding this boundary is critical—I can't assume the cloud provider secures things like security groups or IAM policies, those are squarely my responsibility.

What is the purpose of **terraform plan** in Terraform?

terraform plan creates an execution plan showing what changes Terraform will make to reach the desired state defined in configuration files. It compares the current state with the desired state and shows additions, modifications, and deletions without actually applying them.

From a security perspective, this is my primary review checkpoint. I examine the plan output for unexpected changes, resources being destroyed, or configuration changes that might introduce security issues. In automated workflows, the plan output is what security tools analyze and what human reviewers approve before deployment. It's essentially a preview that lets me catch errors or security issues before they impact production infrastructure.

What's the difference between **terraform plan** and **terraform apply** in a secure CI/CD pipeline?

In a secure pipeline, these represent different stages with different security controls:

- **terraform plan runs first** and generates a plan file that's saved as an artifact. This plan goes through security scanning—tools like tfsec, Checkov, or Sentinel analyze it for policy violations. Human reviewers examine the plan for unexpected changes or security concerns. Only after all

security gates pass does the plan get approved for application.

- **terraform apply** then **executes** the specific approved plan file using the **-auto-approve** flag with the saved plan.

This separation ensures what was reviewed is exactly what gets applied. I also implement additional controls like requiring multiple approvers for production changes or time-gating applications to specific deployment windows.

How do you review and approve Terraform changes in a secure way?

I implement a multi-stage approval process:

- **Code changes** start with peer review in pull requests, where developers check for functionality and obvious security issues using checklists.
- **Automated security scanning** runs on every PR, blocking merge if critical issues are found.
- **Plan review** in a staging or pre-production environment where security and operations teams review the actual changes that will occur.
- **Production approvals** require explicit approval from designated approvers—often requiring multiple approvals for high-risk changes.
- **Plan security**: The approved plan file is cryptographically signed or stored in a secure artifact repository.
- **Audit trail**: All approvals are logged with timestamps and approver identities.

For particularly sensitive changes, I schedule them during change windows with additional monitoring and rollback procedures in place.

How do you embed security checks in a CI/CD pipeline that deploys Terraform code?

I create dedicated security stages in the pipeline:

- **After code checkout**: static analysis with multiple tools—tfsec for Terraform-specific checks, Checkov for policy validation, and custom scripts for organization-specific rules. I use Trivy or similar tools to scan for vulnerabilities in any container images or dependencies.
- **After terraform plan**: parse the output and run additional checks on the proposed changes. Integrate with secret scanning tools to ensure no credentials are in the code.
- **Before apply**: manual approval gate for production deployments.
- **Post-deployment**: trigger compliance scans against the actual deployed resources and send results to security dashboards.

Failed security checks fail the pipeline with detailed reports. I also implement drift detection jobs that run periodically to catch out-of-band changes.

How do you integrate Terraform with security tools like Checkov, tfsec, or Sentinel?

- For **tfsec** and **Checkov**, I integrate them as pipeline stages that run against the Terraform code directory. They scan for misconfigurations and output results in various formats—I typically use JSON for parsing in automation and JUnit for CI/CD integration. Critical severity findings fail the pipeline.
- For **Sentinel with Terraform Cloud or Enterprise**, I write policies in the Sentinel language and attach them to workspaces, configuring which policies are advisory versus mandatory.
- **Locally**, developers can run these tools in pre-commit hooks for immediate feedback.

I maintain a central repository of security policies that's versioned and tested, with documentation explaining each rule and any approved exceptions. Results feed into security dashboards for tracking trends and identifying systemic issues across teams.

How would you prevent accidental data exposure when using Terraform with cloud storage (like S3 buckets)?

I create Terraform modules with secure defaults—block public access at both the bucket and account level, require encryption with KMS, enable versioning, and enforce bucket policies that deny unencrypted uploads. In my modules, I explicitly set `block_public_acls`, `block_public_policy`, `ignore_public_acls`, and `restrict_public_buckets` all to true.

I use bucket policies that require encryption in transit and restrict access to specific IAM roles or VPCs. I implement automated scanning using tools like Prowler or Scout Suite that detect publicly accessible buckets immediately after creation. In CI/CD, Checkov or tfsec rules fail deployments that would create public buckets. I also enable AWS Access Analyzer to continuously monitor for external access. Any bucket requiring public access goes through an exception process with security review and additional compensating controls.

How would you secure access to cloud management consoles?

I implement multiple layers of access control:

- **Enforce MFA** for all console access—no exceptions.
- **Single sign-on** with SAML integration to centralize authentication and enable conditional access policies.
- **Role-based access**: Access is granted through role assumption rather than long-lived credentials, with session durations limited to necessary time periods.
- **IP allowlisting** where feasible, restricting console access to corporate networks or VPN endpoints.

- **Step-up authentication** for highly privileged operations.
- **Comprehensive logging:** All console activities are logged to CloudTrail or equivalent and monitored for suspicious patterns.
- **Root account security:** Disable root account access keys and use the root account only for break-glass scenarios with alerts on any usage.

Regular access reviews ensure users only have necessary permissions, and I implement automatic session timeouts and account lockouts after failed login attempts.

What steps would you take to secure public-facing cloud resources?

I start with the principle that resources should be private by default, making things public only when absolutely necessary. For truly public resources like websites, I place them behind CDN services like CloudFront that provide DDoS protection and WAF integration. I implement strict security groups allowing only required ports and protocols.

For web applications, I use WAF rules to filter malicious traffic and protect against OWASP Top 10 vulnerabilities. I enable logging at every layer—load balancer logs, application logs, WAF logs. I implement TLS 1.2 or higher with strong cipher suites.

Regular vulnerability scanning and penetration testing identify issues before attackers do. I use rate limiting and throttling to prevent abuse. Network architecture includes multiple availability zones with auto-scaling for resilience. I also implement monitoring and alerting for anomalous traffic patterns and automated response playbooks for common attack scenarios.

A junior developer committed a plaintext AWS access key to GitHub — how would you detect and respond?

For **detection**, I rely on multiple layers:

- GitHub secret scanning should catch it immediately and notify us.
- git-secrets or Gitleaks in pre-commit hooks (though they apparently didn't run here).
- AWS GuardDuty would detect unusual API activity from the exposed key.

For **response**:

- **Immediately invalidate** the exposed credentials through IAM—delete or rotate the access key within minutes of detection.
- **Check CloudTrail logs** for any API calls made with those credentials to understand the blast radius.
- If unauthorized activity occurred, **treat it as a security incident**—contain affected resources, conduct forensics, and determine what data or systems were accessed.
- **Blameless postmortem** to understand why preventive controls failed and implement improvements—enforcing pre-commit hooks, adding CI/CD secret scanning, improving

developer training on secret management, and potentially implementing AWS credentials vending systems that eliminate long-lived keys.

Your Terraform code creates a VPC with open security groups — how would you catch that before deployment?

I catch this through multiple checkpoints:

- **During development:** The developer should run tfsec or Checkov locally, which flag security groups allowing 0.0.0.0/0 on sensitive ports.
- **In the pull request:** Automated CI checks run these same tools and comment findings directly on the PR, failing the build if critical issues exist.
- **Security review:** The security team reviews the PR with a focus on networking and access controls.
- **Plan review:** When `terraform plan` runs, the output shows the security group rules being created—human reviewers specifically look for overly permissive ingress rules.
- **Policy-as-code:** Tools like Sentinel can enforce rules preventing security groups with 0.0.0.0/0 on non-standard ports.
- **Secure modules:** I maintain Terraform modules for common patterns with secure defaults, so developers using those modules wouldn't create this issue in the first place.
- **Post-deployment:** Automated compliance scans would catch it as drift if it somehow made it through, triggering alerts and automated remediation.

You're onboarding a new cloud account — how would you use Terraform to establish baseline security?

I use Terraform to implement a security baseline as the first step in account setup. I'd start with a foundational module that includes:

- **Logging & Monitoring:** Enable CloudTrail with log file validation, AWS Config, GuardDuty, and Security Hub.
- **IAM Security:** Set up password policy with MFA requirements, initial IAM structure with SSO integration.
- **Network Visibility:** Establish VPC flow logs.
- **Data Protection:** Enable S3 block public access at the account level, configure KMS with key rotation.
- **Governance:** Set up billing alarms and tag policies.

All of this would be in versioned Terraform code that serves as the template for all new accounts, ensuring consistent security posture across the organization.

Show a Terraform snippet to create an S3 bucket with proper encryption and block public access.

```
resource "aws_s3_bucket" "secure_bucket" {
  bucket = "example-secure-bucket"

  tags = {
    Environment = "production"
    ManagedBy   = "terraform"
  }
}

resource "aws_s3_bucket_versioning" "secure_bucket" {
  bucket = aws_s3_bucket.secure_bucket.id

  versioning_configuration {
    status = "Enabled"
  }
}

resource "aws_s3_bucket_server_side_encryption_configuration" "secure_bucket" {
  bucket = aws_s3_bucket.secure_bucket.id

  rule {
    apply_server_side_encryption_by_default {
      sse_algorithm     = "aws:kms"
      kms_master_key_id = aws_kms_key.bucket_key.arn
    }
    bucket_key_enabled = true
  }
}

resource "aws_s3_bucket_public_access_block" "secure_bucket" {
  bucket = aws_s3_bucket.secure_bucket.id

  block_public_acls       = true
  block_public_policy     = true
  ignore_public_acls     = true
  restrict_public_buckets = true
}

resource "aws_s3_bucket_logging" "secure_bucket" {
  bucket = aws_s3_bucket.secure_bucket.id

  target_bucket = aws_s3_bucket.log_bucket.id
  target_prefix = "access-logs/"
}

resource "aws_kms_key" "bucket_key" {
```

```
description          = "KMS key for S3 bucket encryption"
deletion_window_in_days = 10
enable_key_rotation   = true
}
```

This demonstrates:

- Encryption with KMS
- Versioning for recovery
- Complete public access blocking
- Access logging for audit trails
- Key rotation for security best practices

Walk through how you'd use a custom module to deploy secure EC2 instances with Terraform.

I'd create a module at `modules/secure-ec2` that encapsulates security best practices. The module would require minimal inputs—instance type, AMI ID, subnet ID—while enforcing secure defaults.

Inside the module, I'd:

- Create the instance with an **IAM instance profile** (no hardcoded credentials)
- Associate it with a **security group** with least-privilege rules
- Enable **detailed monitoring**
- Encrypt the **root volume with KMS**
- Require instances to be launched in **private subnets**
- Use **Systems Manager for access** instead of SSH keys
- Include **user data** that installs security agents, configures logging, and applies OS-level hardening

The module would output the instance ID and private IP but not expose anything sensitive. To use it, teams would call the module with their specific variables, knowing security controls are built-in.

I'd version the module, maintain documentation with security justifications for each configuration, and require security team review for module changes. This way, secure EC2 deployment becomes the path of least resistance for developers.