Lewis Collum Journal: final

Updated: May 5, 2019

7.1

23

$$H: \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$$

 $H(x,y) = (x+1,2-y) \forall (x,y) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$

one-to-one

Proof. Let $(a,b), (c,d) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ such that H(a,b) = H(c,d). [We must show (a,b) = (c,d).]

By definition of H,

$$(a+1, 2-b) = (c+1, 2-d).$$

This implies,

$$a+1=c+1$$
 and $2-b=2-d$.

By basic algebra,

$$a = c$$
 and $b = d$

Therefore,

$$(a,b) = (c,d)$$

onto

Proof. Let $(x_1, y_1) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$. [We must show $\exists (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ s.t. $H(x_2, y_2) = (x_1, y_1)$.] Suppose

$$(x_2, y_2) = (x_1 - 1, 2 - y_1).$$

Since the adding and subtracting real numbers with real numbers results in real numbers,

$$(x_2, y_2) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$$

Then,

$$H(x_2, y_2) = (x_1 - 1 + 1, 2 - (2 - y_1)).$$

This implies,

$$H(x_2, y_2) = (x_1, y_1).$$

31

 $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}, \, g: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ and both f and g are onto.

For f or g to be onto,

$$\forall y \in \mathbf{R}, \ \exists x \in \mathbf{R} \ s.t. \ f(x) = y.$$

Counterexample:

Let f(x) = x and let g(x) = -x for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$. f(x) + g(x) = 0 for all values of x, and so, f + g is not onto.

45

$$H^{-1}(x,y) = (x-1,2-y)$$

7.3

3

$$G \circ F = x^{3} - 1$$
$$F \circ G = (x - 1)^{3}$$
$$G \circ F \neq F \circ G$$

Lewis Collum Journal: final

Updated: May 5, 2019

$$(K \circ H)(0) = 6(0) \bmod 4 = 0$$

$$F \circ F^{-1} = 3\left(\frac{y-2}{3}\right) + 2 = y \ \forall y \in \mathbf{R} = I_R(y)$$