	ict of California
3	str
Ś	$\bar{\Box}$
3	thern
	Š
	a
5	芸
	ö

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean corporation; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Defendants. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean corporation; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Counterclaim-Plaintiffs. ٧. APPLE INC., a California corporation, Counterclaim-Defendant.

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK AMENDED VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

FINDINGS ON APPLE'S CLAIMS

APPLE'S UTILITY AND DESIGN PATENT CLAIMS AGAINST SAMSUNG

1. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed Claim 19 of the '381 Patent?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

. Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Etd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	4		À
Continuum (JX 1016)	Υ		У
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	У		У
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	У		Х
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	Y		У
Fascinate (JX 1013)	У		Y
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	À		
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	У		Y
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	Υ		
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	У		Y
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	Y	_	У
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	Y		
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	Ý	<u></u>	À
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	Ϋ́	Y	
Gem (JX 1020)	Ý		У
Indulge (JX 1026)	Ÿ		У
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Y		У
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	ΑΥ		<u>'</u>
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	Α		Y
Replenish (JX 1024)	У		У
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y		Y

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

2. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed Claim 8 of the '915 Patent?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics	Samsung Electronics	Samsung Telecommunications
	Co., Ltd.	America, Inc.	America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	<u> </u>		À
Continuum (JX 1016)	7		Y
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	Υ		У
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	Y		У
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	У		Y
Fascinate (JX 1013)	У		Y
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	7		
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	Ÿ		Y
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	*		
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	7		Υ
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	7		Y
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	Ψ,		
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	Y		Y
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	4		Y
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	Ý	Y	
Gem (JX 1020)	У		Y
Indulge (JX 1026)	Y		À
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Y		У
Intercept (JX 1009)	N		N
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y		Y
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	Ý		Y
Replenish (JX 1024)	N		N
Transform (JX 1014)	Y		У
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Υ		Υ

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

3. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed Claim 50 of the '163 Patent?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	7		N
Continuum (JX 1016)	7		N
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	7		У
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	Y		У
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	y		Y
Fascinate (JX 1013)	7		У
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	Υ		
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	У		Y
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	У		
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	У		<u> </u>
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	Ý		Y
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	ΥΥ		
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	Ý	_	<u> </u>
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	Υ		Y
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	4	4	
Gem (JX 1020)	N		N
Indulge (JX 1026)	N		<u> </u>
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Υ		<u> </u>
Intercept (JX 1009)	M		N
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	4		Y
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	N		N
Replenish (JX 1024)	Y		
Transform (JX 1014)	N N		N
Vibrant (JX 1010)	M		N

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

would induce STA or SEA to infringe the '381, '915, or '163 Patents?

For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence

that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) took action that it knew or should have known

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK VERDICT FORM

Accused Samsung Product	'381 Patent (Claim 19)	'915 Patent (Claim 8)	'163 Patent (Claim 50)
Captivate (JX 1011)	4	У	И
Continuum (JX 1016)	Y	Υ	2
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	У	Υ	У
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	У	Y	Y
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	У	Y	×
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Ý	7	7
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	У	4	y
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	γ	7	Ý
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	У	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)		Y	4
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	Y	Υ	7
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	Y	γ	У
Gem (JX 1020)	У	У	7
Indulge (JX 1026)	Y	Y/	7
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	7	A Y	Y_
Intercept (JX 1009)		N/X ->	N
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Υ	" У	ΥΥ
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	ý	7	7
Replenish (JX 1024)	Y	И	У
Transform (JX 1014)		Y	N
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	, ×	N

5. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed the <u>D'677 Patent?</u>

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunica tions America, LLC
Fascinate (JX 1013)	· Y	Y
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	N	
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	У	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Ý	У
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	У	У
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	, А	
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	У	Υ
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	Y	У
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	Y	У
Galaxy S Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	Y	<u> </u>
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Y	У
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	У	У
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	Υ

6. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed the <u>D'087 Patent</u>?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunica tions America; LLC
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	4	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	7	<i>y</i>
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	N	N
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	N	
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	N	N
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	N	N
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	N	N
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	4

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	Y	Y
Continuum (JX 1016)	У	Y
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	Ý	Υ
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	Υ.	7
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Y	Y
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	У	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	У	γ
Galaxy S Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	Y	Ÿ
Gem (JX 1020)	Y	\ \ \ \
Indulge (JX 1026)	γ	У
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	У	У
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y	Y
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	У

8. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed the <u>D'889 Patent?</u>

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America; LLC
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	N	2	
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)	Z		7

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

If you did not answer "Yes" to any of Questions 1 through 8, please skip to Question 11, and do not answer Questions 9 and 10.

9. If you found that Samsung Electronics America (SEA) or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) infringed in any of Questions 1 through 8, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) took action that it knew or should have known would induce SEA or STA to infringe the <u>D'677</u>, <u>D'087</u>, <u>D'305</u>, and/or <u>D'889 Patents</u>?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	D'677 Patent	D'087 Patent	D'305 Patent	D'889 Patent
Captivate (JX 1011)			, Y	
Continuum (JX 1016)			ý	
Droid Charge (JX 1025)			У	
Epic 4G (JX 1012)			Y	
Fascinate (JX 1013)	У		Y	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	У	Y	Y	
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	Υ	N		
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	У			
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	Y	N		
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	Y	N		
Galaxy S Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	Y		4	
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)				7
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)				N
Gem (JX 1020)			¥	
Indulge (JX 1026)			Υ	
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	У	N	Y	
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Ý_		Υ	
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Ý	Y	У	

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

Apple Utility and Design Patents	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
'381 Patent (Claim 19)	Ÿ	У	Y
'915 Patent (Claim 8)	γ	У	Y
'163 Patent (Claim 50)	γ	Ý	У
D'677 Patent	У		У
D'087 Patent	N		N
D'305 Patent	Υ		Υ
D'889 Patent	7	N	N

11. Has Samsung proven by clear and convincing evidence that Apple's asserted utility and/or design patent claims are invalid?

'381 Patent (Claim 19)	Yes	(for Samsung)	No (for Apple)
'915 Patent (Claim 8)	Yes	(for Samsung)	No (for Apple)
'163 Patent (Claim 50)	Yes	(for Samsung)	No (for Apple)
D'677 Patent	Yes	(for Samsung)	No (for Apple)
D'087 Patent	Yes	(for Samsung)	No (for Apple)
D'305 Patent	Yes	(for Samsung)	No / (for Apple)
D'889 Patent	Yes	(for Samsung)	No (for Apple)

APPLE'S TRADE DRESS CLAIMS AGAINST SAMSUNG

Protectability

12. Has Samsung proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple's <u>registered</u> iPhone trade dress '983 is not protectable?

Yes (not protectable – for Samsung) _____ No (protectable – for Apple) _____

13. Has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple's <u>unregistered</u> trade dresses are protectable?

(Please answer with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Apple Trade Dresses I	rotectable
Unregistered iPhone 3G Trade Dress	У
Unregistered Combination iPhone Trade Dress	N
Unregistered iPad/iPad 2 Trade Dress	N

Trade Dress Dilution

14. Has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple's trade dresses are famous?

(Please answer with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Apple Trade Dresses	Famous
Registered iPhone Trade Dress	Y
Unregistered iPhone 3G Trade Dress	Y
Unregistered Combination iPhone Trade Dress	N
Unregistered iPad/iPad 2 Trade Dress	N

If you did not find the <u>registered</u> iPhone trade dress protectable and famous, please skip to Question 16, and do not answer Question 15.

15. If you found the registered iPhone trade dress protectable and famous, for each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has diluted the registered iPhone trade dress?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	N	N
Continuum (JX 1016)	V	N
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	7	N
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	14	N
Fascinate (JX 1013)	7	Y
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	N	N
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	À	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y	4
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	И	W.
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	N	
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	N	M
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	N	N
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	N	N
Galaxy S II Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	Υ	Y
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	N	N
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	У	Υ
Vibrant (JX 1010)	≥ Y	У

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

16. If you found the unregistered iPhone 3G trade dress protectable and famous, for each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has diluted the unregistered iPhone 3G trade dress?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

-Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunica tions America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	N	N
Continuum (JX 1016)	N	N
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	И	N
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	N	N
Fascinate (JX 1013)	ΥΥ	Y
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	N	И
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	YY	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	N_	N
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	N	e in the Allege Color Sec
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	N	N
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	N	N
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	N	N
Galaxy S II Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	Y	Υ
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	N	N
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	4	4
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

If you did not find the unregistered Combination iPhone trade dress protectable and famous, please skip to Question 18, and do not answer Question 17.

17. If you found the unregistered Combination iPhone trade dress protectable and famous, for each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has diluted the unregistered Combination iPhone trade dress?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd:	Samsung Telecommunica tions America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)		
Continuum (JX 1016)		
Droid Charge (JX 1025)		
Epic 4G (JX 1012)		
Fascinate (JX 1013)		
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)		
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)		
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)		
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)		
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)		
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)		:
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)		
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)		
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)		
Galaxy S II Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)		
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)		
Mesmerize (JX 1015)		
Vibrant (JX 1010)		

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

If you did not find the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress protectable and famous, please skip to Question 19, and do not answer Question 18.

18. If you found the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress protectable and famous, for each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has diluted the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronies Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)		
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)		

If you did not answer "Yes" to any of Questions 15 through 18, please skip to Question 20, and do not answer Question 19.

19. If you answered "Yes" to any of Questions 15 through 18, and thus found that any Samsung entity has diluted any Apple trade dress(es), has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Samsung entity's dilution was willful?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Asserted Trade Dress	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Registered iPhone Trade Dress	Y		Y
Unregistered iPhone 3 Trade Dress	Y		Y
Unregistered Combination iPhone Trade Dress	N		N
Unregistered iPad/iPad 2 Trade Dress	N	N	N

Trade Dress Infringement

If you did not find the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress protectable, please skip to Question 22, and do not answer Questions 20 and 21.

20. If you found the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress protectable, for each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Asserted Trade Dress	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)			
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)			

If you did not answer "Yes" to any of Question 20, please skip to Question 22, and do not answer Question 21.

21. If you answered "Yes" to any of Question 20, and thus found that any Samsung entity has infringed Apple's unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Samsung entity's infringement was willful?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Asserted Trade Dress	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Unregistered iPad/iPad 2 Trade Dress		

DAMAGES TO APPLE FROM SAMSUNG (IF APPLICABLE)

22. What is the total dollar amount that Apple is entitled to receive from Samsung on the claims on which you have ruled in favor of Apple?

\$ 1,051,855,000.00 \$1,049,393,293.00 Ht 3/29/12

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

23. For the total dollar amount in your answer to Question 22, please provide the dollar breakdown by product.

Accused Samsung Product	Amount	
Captivate (JX 1011)	80,840,162	
Continuum (JX 1016)	16,399,117	
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	50,672,869	
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	130,180,894	
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	1, 081, 820	
Fascinate (JX 1013)	143,539,179	
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	0	
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	57,867,383	
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	0-40-494,356	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019) 73, 344, 66	8 100,326,988	
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	40,494,352	
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	0	-
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	83,791,708	
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	150,326,988	
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	32, 273, 558	
Galaxy S Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	22,002,146	
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	1,966,691	//
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	833,076	#
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)	0 219,694	
Gem (JX 1020)	4,075,585	
Indulge (JX 1026)	16,011,184	
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	44, 792, 974	UA P
Intercept (JX 1009)	0 2,242,013 1	7
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	53,123,612	
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	1,828,297	
Replenish (JX 1024)	3,350,256	
Transform (JX 1014)	953, 660	
Vibrant (JX 1010)	89,673,957	

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

SAMSUNG'S UTILITY PATENT CLAIMS AGAINST APPLE

24. For each of the following products, has Samsung proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple has infringed the indicated Samsung utility patent claims?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Samsung), or with an "N" for "no" (for Apple). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Acused	'516 Patent	atent	941.P	941 Patent	'711' Patent	.893 Patent	.460 Patent	'460 Patent
Product	Claim 15	Claim 16	Claim 10	Claim 15	Claim 9	Claim. 10	Claim 1 Literal Infringement	Claim 1 Doctrine of Equivalents
iPhone 3G (JX 1053)					Z		Z	
iPhone 3GS (JX 1054 and JX 1076)					Z	Z	Z	ヹ
iPhone 4 (JX1055 and JX 1056)	Z	Z	Z	Z	2	Z	I	Z
iPad 2 3G (JX 1050 and JX 1051)	Z	Z	Z	Z		Z		Z
iPod Touch 4 th Gen. (JX 1057 and JX 1077)					Z	Z	Z	2

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

1	25.	If in response thas Samsung pwillful?	o Question A	ear and convincing	i Apple has ig evidence	that Apple's infringer	nent wa
2		1617 Date					
3		'516 Patent	Vec	_ (for Samsung)	No	(for Apple)	
		Claim 16:		(for Samsung)	No	(for Apple)	
4		Ciaini 10.		(201 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1			
5		'941 Patent					
	1	Claim 10:	Yes	_ (for Samsung)	No	_ (for Apple)	
6		Claim 15:	Yes	(for Samsung)	No	_ (for Apple)	
7					•		
		<u>'711 Patent</u>		(0.0		(Con Amala)	
8		Claim 9:	Yes	_ (for Samsung)	No	_ (for Apple)	
_		1002 D. (
9		'893 Patent Claim 10:	Vec	(for Samsung)	No	(for Apple)	
10		Claim IV.	165	(10; 54;1134;15)	110	_ (ro. 1.pp)	
		'460 Patent					
11		Claim 1:	Yes	_ (for Samsung)	No	_ (for Apple)	
12				_ \			
12							
13	26.	Has Apple pro	ven by clean	r and convincing	evidence th	at Samsung's asserted	lutility
1 4		patent claims a	are invalid?		•		
14		1516 Dataset					
15		'516 Patent	Vec	(for Apple)	No 1/	(for Samsung)	
		Claim 15: Claim 16:	Yes	(for Apple)	No V	(for Samsung)	
16		Claim 10.	100	(101 x 1PP 10)		_ `	
17		'941 Patent					
1,	[]	Claim 10:	Yes	(for Apple)	No 🗸	(for Samsung)	
18		Claim 15:	Yes	(for Apple) (for Apple)	No 📝	_ (for Samsung)	
10							
19		'711 Patent				(0.0	
20		Claim 9:	Yes	(for Apple)	No _L	_ (for Samsung)	
21		'893 Patent	3.7	(for Annla)	No. i /	(for Samsung)	
22		Claim 10:	Y es	(for Apple)	NO ₍	(101 Samsung)	
44		2460 Detect					•
23		<u>'460 Patent</u> Claim 1:	Vec	(for Apple)	No 1	(for Samsung)	-
		Claim 1.	1 63	(IOI Apple)	110	(101 04440	
24							
25							
- J							
26			•				
27							
27					•		
28							

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

1	DAMAGES TO SAMSUNG FROM APPLE (IF APPLICABLE)									
2	27. What is the total dollar amount that Samsung is entitled to receive from Apple for Samsung's utility patent infringement claims on the '516 and '941 patents?									
3										
4	\$ <u> </u>									
5	28. What is the total dollar amount that Samsung is entitled to receive from Apple for									
6	Samsung's utility patent infringement claims on the '711, '893, and '460 patents?									
	\$ <u></u>									
7										
8 9	29. For the total dollar amounts in your answers to Questions 27 and 28, please provide the breakdown by product.									
10	Accused Samsung Product Amount									
11	iPhone 3G (JX 1053)									
11	iPhone 3GS (JX 1054 and JX 1076)									
12	iPhone 4 (JX1055 and JX 1056) iPad 2 3G (JX 1050 and JX 1051)									
13	iPod Touch 4 th Gen. (JX 1057 and JX 1077)									
14	11 od 1 odch 4 Gen. (3X 1037 and 3X 1077)									
4	N Control of the Cont									
15	BREACH OF CONTRACT CLAIMS AND ANTITRUST									
16	30. Has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung breached its									
17	contractual obligations by failing to timely disclose its intellectual property rights ("IPR") during the creation of the UMTS standard or by failing to license its "declared essential" patents on fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory ("FRAND") terms?									
18	V (C. A. V.) (C. C. C. V. V.)									
19	Yes (for Apple) No (for Samsung)									
20	31. Has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung has violated Section									
21	2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act by monopolizing one or more technology markets related to the UMTS standard?									
22	Yes (for Apple) No (for Samsung)									
23	(tol rippie)									
24	32. If you answered "Yes" to Question 30 or Question 31, what is the dollar amount that Apple is entitled to receive from Samsung for Samsung's antitrust violation and/or									
25	breach of contract?									
26	\$ <u>0</u>									
27										
28										

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK VERDICT FORM

PATENT	EXHAUST	ION

33.	Has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung is barred by paten
	exhaustion from enforcing the following Samsung patents against Apple?

'516 Patent

Yes ____ (for Apple)

No _____ (for Samsung)

'941 Patent

Yes _____ (for Apple)

No _____ (for Samsung)

Have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

Signed: Leller R. De

Date:

PRESIDING JUROR

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK