ORGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC. and MULTIMEDIA PATENT TRUST,

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim-defendant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

GATEWAY, INC. and GATEWAY COUNTRY STORES LLC, GATEWAY COMPANIES, INC., GATEWAY MANUFACTURING LLC and COWABUNGA ENTERPRISES. INC..

Defendants and Counter-claimants,

and 13

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Intervenor and Counter-claimant,

17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

18

Plaintiff and Counter-defendant,

19 ν.

LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC..

Defendant and Counter-claimant,

22

23

20

21

LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC. And MULTIMEDIA PATENT TRUST,

24 Plaintiffs.

25 v.


26 DELL, INC.,

27 Defendant.

28

Case No. 02-CV-2060 B (CAB) consolidated with Case No. 03-CV-0699 B (CAB) and Case No. 03-CV-1108 B (CAB)

### SPECIAL VERDICT FORM



# 

# INFRINGEMENT

#### I. The '080 patent:

Has Lucent proved by a preponderance of evidence that Windows Media Player 10 literally infringes the following claims of the '080 patent? (A "Yes" answer is for Lucent and a "No" answer is for Microsoft.)

	Ţ				l -	
	Claim 1		Claim 3		Claim 4	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
A. Inducing Infringement in the United States	<b>/</b>		<b>V</b>		<b>✓</b>	
B. Contributing to Infringement in the United States	<b>\</b>	144	$\checkmark$		<b>✓</b>	
C. Inducing Infringement Outside the United States by Supplying Components	V		$\sqrt{}$		<b>✓</b>	
D. Contributing to Infringement Outside the United States by Supplying Components	<b>\</b>		<b>/</b>		<b>/</b>	

Please answer "Yes" or "No" for each of A, B, C and D as it pertains to each of the Claims 1, 3 and 4.

"No" answer is for Microsoft.)

Has Lucent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Windows Media Player 11

literally infringes the following claims of the '080 patent? (A "Yes" answer is for Lucent and a

	<u></u>		ı		П	
	Claim 1		Claim 3		Claim 4	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
A. Inducing Infringement in the United States	/		<b>V</b>		<b>✓</b>	
B. Contributing to Infringement in the United States	<b>/</b>		<b>/</b>		/	
C. Inducing Infringement Outside the United States by Supplying Components	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	
D. Contributing to Infringement Outside the United States by Supplying Components	V		<b>\</b>		<b>/</b>	

Please answer "Yes" or "No" for each of A, B, C and D as it pertains to each of the Claims 1, 3 and 4.

of equivalents? (A "Yes" answer is for Lucent and a "No" answer is for Microsoft.)

Has Lucent proved by a preponderance of evidence that Windows Media Player with the

Cyberlink plug-in infringes the following claims of the '080 patent literally or under the doctrine

	Claim 1		Claim 3		Claim 4	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
A. Inducing Infringement in the United States	<b>/</b>		$\checkmark$		<b>√</b>	
B. Contributing to Infringement in the United States	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		<b>√</b>	
C. Inducing Infringement Outside the United States by Supplying Components	<b>\</b>		<b>/</b>		<b>/</b>	
D. Contributing to Infringement Outside the United States by Supplying Components	/		<b>/</b>		<b>✓</b>	

Please answer "Yes" or "No" for each of A, B, C and D as it pertains to each of the Claims 1, 3 and 4.

Has Lucent proved by a preponderance of evidence that **Windows Media Player versions 6.1 through 9** literally infringe the following claim of the '080 patent? (A "Yes" answer is for Lucent and a "No" answer is for Microsoft.)

YES	1 - 3 7 6
	NO
<b>√</b>	
<b>✓</b>	
<b>√</b>	
$\checkmark$	
_	<b>√</b>

## II. <u>SPECIAL QUESTION</u>:

Has Microsoft proven by a preponderance of the evidence that work was performed on or after April 1989 which was incorporated into any of the claims of the '938 patent? Please answer yes or no.

### III. The '457 Patent:

Has Lucent proved by a preponderance of evidence that **Windows Media Player 10** literally infringes the following claims of the '457 patent? (A "Yes" answer is for Lucent and a 'No" answer is for Microsoft.)

	Claim 1		Claim 5		Claim 10	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
A. Inducing Infringement in the United States	<b>/</b>		<b>✓</b>		$\checkmark$	
B. Contributing to Infringement in the United States	<b>✓</b>		<b>V</b>		<b>\</b>	
C. Inducing Infringement Outside the United States by Supplying Components	<b>√</b>		V		<b>✓</b>	
D. Contributing to Infringement Outside the United States by Supplying Components	<b>V</b>		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	

Please answer "Yes" or "No" for each of A, B, C and D as it pertains to each of the Claims 1, 5 and 10.

Has Lucent proved by a preponderance of evidence that Windows Media Player with
Cyberlink plug-in infringes the following claims of the '457 patent literally or under the doctrine
of equivalents? (A "Yes" answer is for Lucent and a "No" answer is for Microsoft.)

	Claim 1		Claim 5		Claim 10	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
A. Inducing Infringement in the United States	<b>V</b>		<b>✓</b>		V	
B. Contributing to Infringement in the United States	<b>√</b>	4	<b>/</b>		<b>V</b>	
C. Inducing Infringement Outside the United States by Supplying Components	<b>√</b>		<b>/</b>		<b>√</b>	
D. Contributing to Infringement Outside the United States by Supplying Components	$\sqrt{}$		<b>/</b>		<b>/</b>	

Please answer "Yes" or "No" for each of A, B, C and D as it pertains to each of the Claims 1, 5 and 10.

(If the above amount was based upon a running royalty rather than a lump 

8

'080 patent: Amount: \$ 769,028,351

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	Case 3:02-cv-02060-B-CAB Document 1187 Filed 02/22/2007 Page 9 of 9
1	(If the above amount was based upon a running royalty rather than a lump
2	sum, what percentage or per unit rate did you use?
3	
4	VI. <u>WILLFULNESS</u> If you found infringement of at least one valid claim of either the '457 or the '080 patent,
5	answer the question below for the appropriate patent.
6	Has Lucent proved that any valid claim of either patent was willfully infringed by
7	Microsoft? (A "Yes" answer is for Lucent and a "No" answer is for Microsoft.)
8	
9	'457 patent: Yes No
10	'080 patent: Yes No
11	
12	
13	
14	Please date and sign the Verdict Form and return it to the Court.
15	Dated: 2/22/07
16	Parall Fara
17	Foreperson
18	
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	9 Case No. 02-CV-2060 B (CAB)