



Topics Covered

- Logic and Arguments
- Premises and Conclusions
- Correct and Incorrect
 Arguments
 - False Premises
 - Obfuscation

- Statements
- **L**ogical Operators
- Propositions
- Symbolism
- Symbols and Computer Code

Arguments

- Arguments are the focal point of logic
- They're the way we structure our analytical thinking
- They have a formal structure
- They consist of reasons in support of a claim



Structure of an argument

- Premises
 - The evidence used in the argument
- Conclusion
 - The statement proven by the premises
- ☐ Logical Relation
 - What connects the premises to the conclusion



- Premise 1: All vegetables are plants
- Premise 2: This tomato is a vegetable
- Conclusion: This tomato must be a plant



- Premise 1: All vegetables are plants
- Premise 2: This tomato is a vegetable
- ☐ Conclusion: This tomato must be a plant
- □ Notes:
 - There is a direct relation between the premises
 - The conclusion is a result of that relation



- ☐ Premise 1: Stephen King is an author of horror stories
- Premise 2: Most horror stories include a thunderstorm.
- Conclusion: Therefore, it will rain tomorrow morning



An Incorrect Argument

- Premise 1: Stephen King is an author of horror stories
- Premise 2: Most horror stories include a thunderstorm.
- Conclusion: Therefore, it will rain tomorrow morning

□ Notes:

- There is a direct relation between the premises, though it is qualified ("most", not "all").
- Even without the qualifier, the premises cannot support the conclusion. Horror stories are fictions; tomorrow is not.



Premise 1: The pavement is black.

Premise 2: Obsidian is black.

Conclusion: The pavement is made from obsidian.



Another Incorrect Argument

- Premise 1: The pavement is black.
- Premise 2: Obsidian is black.
- Conclusion: The pavement is made from obsidian.

Notes:

- There is a direct relation between the premises.
- The relationship is coincidental.
- The conclusions is incorrect because it fails to consider the many other materials with which to create black pavement.



Statements

- Statements are one example of a premise.
- Statements must be declarative.
- Statements express a truth or probable truth:
 - What time is it?

not a statement

Close the door!

- not a statement
- All humans are homo sapiens a statement
- Coffee often tastes bitter a statement
- Statements can be combined with logical operators:
 - Ann is home **OR** Bob is home.
 - I made capuccino this morning **AND** I got to work on time.



Premise: It rained yesterday AND rain causes roses to bloom.

Conclusion: The roses are blooming today.



- Missing Detail
 - Premise: It rained yesterday AND rain causes the roses to bloom.
 - Conclusion: The roses are blooming today.
- Problem: The premise is missing a detail: how long does it take for the rain to cause the roses to bloom?



Premise: The epistemic position of the defense side of the litigation lacks justificatory veracity.



- Obfuscation
 - Premise: The epistemic position of the defense side of the litigation lacks justificatory veracity.
- The wording of the premise makes it hard to understand, misleading.



Propositions

- A Proposition is the meaning behind the statement
- Statements can be reworded while still meaning the same thing
- For instance:
 - The epistemic position of the defense side of the litigation lacks justificatory veracity
 - The defendant failed to make their case
- Propositions can remain the same even when changing languages
 - The moon has craters
 - La luna tiene cráteres
- The important thing is to make sure people understand your propositions



Truth Value

- ☐ In logic, a proposition can only be true or false
- Some propositions can be true or false depending on circumstances, while others are always true or always false
- ☐ For instance, compare these:
 - New York City is located in New York State
 - It's raining in Chicago



Introducing Symbolism

- In mathematics, we can use symbols in equations and formulas
- We can replace those symbols with a variety of numbers and the equations still work
- For example, we could create an addition formula using symbols:

$$x + 1 = y$$



Example of Symbolism

- Original Argument:
 - All humans are rational.
 - All rational things are conscious
 - Therefore, all humans are conscious
- Symbolized Argument:
 - Let H stand for human, R stand for rational, and C stand for conscious and write our argument as:
 - All H are R
 - All R are C
 - Therefore All H are C



Linking Symbolism to Computer Code

Original Argument:

Let X represent an integer and Y represent another integer.

Any two integers can be added together to generate a third integer called the Sum.

Therefore, X and Y can be added together to return a sum.

Equivalent Code in C#:

```
int Sum(int X, int Y)
{
   return X + Y;
}
```



Questions?







Topics Covered

- Logic and Arguments
- Premises and Conclusions
- Correct and Incorrect
 Arguments
 - False Premises
 - Obfuscation

- Statements
- **L**ogical Operators
- Propositions
- Symbolism
- Symbols and Computer Code