
36106 Data, Algorithms and Meaning

Assessment Criteria

Spring 2018

Assessment Summary:

Deliverable	Description	Type	Weight	Due
Regression and Classification modelling	Part A: Regression Modelling	Individual	15%	5 th September
	Part B:Classification model for real world dataset		15%	18 th September
Building and interpreting a classification model	Part A: Building a classification model	Group	25%	7 th October
	Part B: Management presentation	Individual	15%	14 th October
Analysis and interpretation of unstructured data	Part A: Text analysis of document corpus	Individual	25%	28 th October
	Part B: Reflective post		5%	
Total			100%	

Additional Information:

- Assessments should be submitted via Canvas.
- Group assignments should have one submission per group, with group members clearly identified on the cover sheet.
- Late submissions are liable to be penalised unless an extension has been negotiated prior to the submission date.

Assessment task 1: Regression and classification modelling

Part A: Data Prep and Regression Modelling

Weight: 15%

Length: 500-1000 words (report)

Due: Sep 5th, 11:59 pm

Individual assignment

Task:

Please see Assessment Brief (to be handed out in Block Session 1)

Assessment Criteria:

SLO	CILO	Assessment Criteria	Weight
2,3,4	2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Quality of data exploration (visual + summary stats)Justification of features selected and model usedQuality of code and accuracy of results	30
	1.2		20
	3.2		40
1	1.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Appropriate use of CRISP DM framework	10
Sub Total			100
Total (%)			/15

Part B: Classification model for a real world dataset

Weight: 15%

Length: 500-1000 words (report)

Due: Sep 18th; 11:59 pm

Individual assignment

Task:

Please see Assessment Brief (to be handed out in Block Session 1)

Assessment Criteria:

Assessment Criteria			
SLO	CILO	Assessment Criteria	Weight
2,3,4	2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Quality of data exploration (visual + summary stats)Justification of features selected and model usedQuality of code and accuracy of results	20
	1.2		20
	3.2		40
1,5	1.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Appropriate use of CRISP DM frameworkDiscussion of ethics/privacy issues + mitigation	10
	5.2		10
Sub Total			100
Total (%)			/15

Assessment task 2: Building and interpreting a classification model

Part A: Building a classification model

Weight: 25%

Length: 1500-2000 words

(report) Due: Oct 7th, 11:59 pm

Group assignment, group assessed

Task:

Please see Assessment Brief (to be handed out in Block Session 3)

Assessment Criteria:

Assessment Criteria.			
SLO	CILO	Assessment Criteria	Weight
3,4	1.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Justification of technique selected.Quality of code and visualisations.	20
	2.2		30
3,5	2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Accuracy of results with evidence supporting claims.Evidence of collaborative work	40
	3.3		10
Sub Total			100
Total (%)			/25

Part B: Management presentation

Weight: 15%

Length: Powerpoint presentation, 10 slides max.

Due: Oct 14th, 11:59 pm

Individual

Task:

Please see Assessment Brief (to be handed out in Block Session 3)

Assessment Criteria:

Assessment Criteria			
SLO	CILO	Assessment Criteria	Weight
1,5,2	4.1,	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Clarity of problem statement, approach taken and results obtained (50%)	50
	5.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Critical evaluation of assumptions and potential ethical issues (these must be stated explicitly) (20%)	20
	5.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Context appropriate communication style (keep the audience in mind!) (30%)	30
Sub Total			100
Total (%)			/15

Assessment task 3: Analysis and interpretation of unstructured data

Part A: Text analysis of a document corpus

Weight: 25%

Length: 1500 words

(report) Due: Oct 28th;

11:59 pm Individual
assignment

Task:

Please see Assessment Brief (to be handed out in Block Session 4)

Assessment Criteria:

SLO	CILO	Assessment Criteria	Weight
1,4	1.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Appropriateness of techniques usedQuality of code	20
	2.4		30
2,3	2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Quality of presentation and visualizationsQuality of findings and recommendations	20
	3.2		20
2	4.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Clarity and quality of written report	10
Sub Total			100
Total (%)			/25

Part B: Reflections on the use of text analysis in the workplace (blog post) Weight: 5%

Length: 1000 words

Due: Oct 28th 11:59 pm

Individual assignment

Task:

Please see Assessment Brief (to be handed out in Block Session 4)

Assessment Criteria:

SLO	CILO	Assessment Criteria	Weight
5	5.1	Depth of reflection – interpretation of trends/events, quality of arguments, insights.	60
2	4.2	Presentation – Narrative, quality of writing, coherence	40
Sub Total			100
Total (%)			/5

Appendix: SLOs and CILOs

Subject Learning Objectives (SLO):

Code	Description	Short description
1	Apply and industry standard analytics methodology.	
2	Interpret, synthesise and communicate insights in a context-appropriate manner.	
3	Articulate strengths, weaknesses and assumptions of algorithms.	
4	Execute and interpret machine learning algorithms.	
5	Demonstrate a critical, ethical perspective on decisions made from algorithmic predictions	

CIC Graduate Attributes (GAs) & Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

Graduate Attributes	Course Intended Learning Outcomes
1. Sociotechnical systems thinking	1.1 Understanding relationships & processes within systems
	1.2 Exploring and testing models and describing behaviours of complex systems
	1.3 Making predictions and informing data discovery
	1.4 Making the invisible visible
2. Creative, analytical and rigorous sense making	2.1 Critiquing trends and theoretical frameworks
	2.2 Exploring, interpreting and visualising data
	2.3 Understanding uncertainty, ambiguity and complexity
	2.4 Designing & managing data investigations
3. Create value in problem solving and inquiry	3.1 Developing strategies for innovation
	3.2 Examining and articulating data value
	3.3 Working together
4. Persuasive and robust communication	4.1 Developing communication skills
	4.2 Engaging audiences
	4.3 Informing decision making
5. Ethical citizenship and leadership	5.1 Becoming a reflective data practitioner
	5.2 Embracing ethical responsibilities
	5.3 Leading data science