Rubric Detail

Select Grid View or List View to change the rubric's layout. More Help

---

Name: **Final Report and Presentation**

Exit

Grid View | **List View**

☑ Show Descriptions ☑ Show Feedback

Report: Review of Progress Against Milestones   **(14.40%)**

○ ✓+ 16 (16.00%) points

✔ ✓ 14.4 (14.40%) points

Report discusses the progress that has been made, and provides context for any missed deadlines.

○ ✓- 12 (12.00%) points

○ **X** 8 (8.00%) points

○ **Missing** 0 (0.00%) points

Feedback:
There's a good description here of the progress that was made, with quite a bit of reflection on the milestones and what changes were necessary as surprises popped up about OIT and AWS connections / requirements.

Report: System Documentation   **(16.00%)**

✔ ✓+ 16 (16.00%) points

○ ✓ 14.4 (14.40%) points

Report provides adequate and informative technical detail on the parts of the project that are being delivered to the client. Adequate detail should be present not only on how to operate the system but how it functions.

○ ✓- 12 (12.00%) points

◯ **X** 8 (8.00%) points

◯ **Missing** 0 (0.00%) points

Feedback:
Well, it's pretty clear that something was not communicated well here, because this is effectively absent from your report. What I did find as a separate part of your deliverable is one of the most clearly organized system design documents that I could have hoped for. Excellent work, and a great way to communicate that information to the client. Just be careful and make sure to include this kind of thing in final reports, also!

Presentation: Live Demo **(15.30%)**

◯ ✓+ 17 (17.00%) points

✔ ✓ 15.3 (15.30%) points

Team provides an effective live demonstration of the system.

◯ ✓- 12.75 (12.75%) points

◯ **X** 8.5 (8.50%) points

◯ **Missing** 0 (0.00%) points

Feedback:
Live demonstration went fairly well, without major issues. It's clear that many of the components are working as they should, even if we're not quite to a deployed state yet.

Presentation: Review of Progress Against Milestones **(15.30%)**

◯ ✓+ 17 (17.00%) points

✔ ✓ 15.3 (15.30%) points

Team provided an effective demonstration of progress and responded well to questions.

◯ ✓- 12.75 (12.75%) points

◯ **X** 8.5 (8.50%) points

◯ **Missing** 0 (0.00%) points

Feedback:
The team used time well, though I have to say the banter to stretch out the very few slides tended

to be more distracting then I think your team realized. Still, you hit the timing right on the nose and you responded fairly well to most questions.

Client Evaluation of Deliverable   **(15.30%)**

○ ✓+ 17 (17.00%) points

✔ ✓ 15.3 (15.30%) points

   The client believes that you delivered what you agreed upon.

○ ✓- 12.75 (12.75%) points

○ X 8.5 (8.50%) points

○ **Missing** 0 (0.00%) points

Feedback:
Your client definitely think that this is a promising proof of concept, even if a proof of concept alone was not quite what was expected when the requirements were drawn up. He likes your progress enough to try to use it, and to keep working with any of you who might be willing to help get this deployed, which is always a great sign!

Prof Evaluation of Deliverable   **(15.30%)**

○ ✓+ 17 (17.00%) points

✔ ✓ 15.3 (15.30%) points

   The professor believes that you delivered what you agreed upon.

○ ✓- 12.75 (12.75%) points

○ X 8.5 (8.50%) points

○ **Missing** 0 (0.00%) points

Feedback:
As was apparent during Q&A, I'm still a bit concerned on just how much time your team has spent trying to crack this connectivity issue, though I'm sure I don't need to tell you. Your group was primarily working to create a library checkout system with some of the nouns changed, which I admit is a huge oversimplification, but I really thought this was in scope to be done by the end of

the semester. There's no question that your group put in the time, but it remains that the system is just not quite done yet.

Raw Total: 91.60 (of 100)

Name:**Final Report and Presentation**

Exit