

Exercise 10: NP-Completeness and Optimization

1. Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, an *independent set* is a subset U of vertices in V such that there is no edge between any two vertices in U . In the *Maximum Independent Set* problem, we aim at finding the maximum independent set in the given graph.
 - (a) Give the decision version of the Maximum Independent Set, INDEPENDENTSET
 - (b) Show that the decision version of the Maximum Independent Set is NP-complete.

2. Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, a *vertex cover* is a subset C of vertices in V such that for any edge $(u, v) \in E$, $\{u, v\} \cap C \geq 1$. In the *Minimum Vertex Cover* problem, we aim at finding the minimum vertex cover in the given graph.
 - (a) Give the decision version of the Minimum Vertex Cover, VC
 - (b) Show that the decision version of the Minimum Vertex Cover, VC, is NP-complete.

3. Consider the maximum weighted vertex cover problem: given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and each vertex $v \in V$ has weight $w(v)$, find a vertex cover with minimum weight.

Answer the following questions:

- (a) Give the decision version of the minimum vertex cover problem, WEIGHTEDVC.
- (b) Prove that WEIGHTEDVC is NP-hard.

4. We have n items, each with positive integral *weight* w_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) and positive integral *value* c_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) and an integer b . The question is to find a subset of the items with total weight at most b and maximal value.

In this question you may use the fact that the following problems are NP-complete: PARTITION, SUBSETSUM, MACHINEMINIMIZATION, CLIQUE, INDEPENDENTSET, VERTEXCOVER. Answer the following questions:

- (a) Give the decision version of the knapsack problem, KNAPSACK.
- (b) Prove that KNAPSACK problem is NP-complete.

5. Consider the *machine minimization* problem as follows. There are n jobs J_1, J_2, \dots, J_n . Each job J_i has *processing time* p_i and *feasible interval* $I_i = [r_i, d_i]$ where J_i should be assigned. There are unlimited number of machines. Each machine can execute at most one job at a time. A *feasible* schedule is an assignment of every job J_i to a machine M_j at certain time t_i such that $[t_i, t_i + p_i] \subseteq [r_i, d_i]$, and there is no other jobs J_k assigned to the same machine such that $[t_k, t_k + p_k] \cap [t_i, t_i + p_i] \neq \emptyset$.

The decision version of the machine minimization problem is

$\text{MACHINEMINIMIZATION} = \{ \langle S, P, L, k \rangle \mid S = \{J_1, J_2, \dots, J_n\}, P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}, \text{ and}$

$L = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n\}. S \text{ is a set of jobs where each job } J_i \text{ has processing time } p_i$

$\text{and feasible interval } I_i. \text{ The jobs in } S \text{ can be feasibly scheduled on at most } k \text{ machines.} \}$

Next, we prove that $\text{MACHINEMINIMIZATION}$ is NP-hard by polynomial-time reduction from PARTITION . $\text{MACHINEMINIMIZATION}$ is NP-hard.