

Z5102175

Zeshi Wu

COMP9311 Ass2q23

Q2

(1)  $F_m = \{AB \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A, D \rightarrow G, CD \rightarrow E\}$

**Explain:**

According to the algorithm 16.2 on book

$F' = \{AB \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow D, ABC \rightarrow D, ABC \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow A, E \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A, D \rightarrow G, ACD \rightarrow B, ACD \rightarrow E\}$

**$AB \rightarrow C$ ,**

$A^+ = \{A\}$ , thus  $A \rightarrow C$  is not inferred by  $F'$

$B^+ = \{B\}$ , thus  $B \rightarrow C$  is not inferred by  $F'$

Thus,  $AB \rightarrow C$  cannot be replaced

**$AB \rightarrow D$**

Similarly  $AB \rightarrow D$  cannot be replaced

**$ABC \rightarrow D$ ,**

$AB^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ ,  $AB \rightarrow D$  is inferred by  $F'$ ; thus  $ABC \rightarrow D$  can be replaced by  $AB \rightarrow D$ ,

**$ABC \rightarrow E$ ,**

$AB^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ ,  $AB \rightarrow E$  is inferred by  $F'$ ; thus  $ABC \rightarrow E$  can be replaced by  $AB \rightarrow E$ ,

**$ACD \rightarrow B$ ,**

$CD^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ ,  $CD \rightarrow B$  is inferred by  $F'$ ; thus  $ACD \rightarrow B$  can be replaced by  $CD \rightarrow B$ ,

**$ACD \rightarrow E$ ,**

$CD^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ ,  $CD \rightarrow E$  is inferred by  $F'$ ; thus  $ACD \rightarrow E$  can be replaced by  $CD \rightarrow E$ ,

$\therefore F'' = \{AB \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow D, AB \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow A, E \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A, D \rightarrow G, CD \rightarrow B, CD \rightarrow E\}$

$\therefore AB \rightarrow E \rightarrow D$ ,  $\therefore$  remove  $AB \rightarrow D$

$\therefore E \rightarrow D \rightarrow A$ ,  $\therefore$  remove  $E \rightarrow A$

$\therefore CD \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ ,  $\therefore$  remove  $CD \rightarrow B$

$\therefore F_m = \{AB \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A, D \rightarrow G, CD \rightarrow E\}$

(2)  $R_1(\underline{A}, \underline{B}, C, E)$ ,  $R_2(\underline{E}, B, D)$ ,  $R_3(\underline{D}, A, G)$ ,  $R_4(\underline{C}, \underline{D}, E)$ ,  $R_5(E, H)$  which are 3NF, meanwhile the decomposition is dependency-preserving and lossless-join

**Explain:**

According to the algorithm 16.6 on book

Design X:  $R_1(\underline{A}, \underline{B}, C, E)$ ,  $R_2(\underline{E}, B, D)$ ,  $R_3(\underline{D}, A, G)$ ,  $R_4(\underline{C}, \underline{D}, E)$  according to  $F_m$

$\therefore$  X relation schema does not contain H of R

$\therefore$  create  $R_5\{E, H\}$

$R_1(\underline{A}, \underline{B}, C, E)$ ,  $R_2(\underline{E}, B, D)$ ,  $R_3(\underline{D}, A, G)$ ,  $R_4(\underline{C}, \underline{D}, E)$ ,  $R_5(E, H)$

None of which can be considered as redundant,

all FDs in original set F are preserved and lossless-join,

**Q3**

(1)The database could roll back Trans3 using old data value and redo the changes made by Trans2.

(2)undo Trans3 and redo Trans 2

(3)Yes

(4)It does not exist. For Transaction1 it just use X, so it cannot have deadlock. For T2 and T3, both R2(Y) and R2(X) are started before R3(X) and R3(Y). it cannot become a deadlock.