

# Contents

- ▶ Bias-Variance Decomposition of MSE
- ▶ Fisher Information

## Bias-Variance Decomposition, Corollary

Recall the BVD:

**Theorem(Bias-Variance Decomposition of the MSE):** If  $\hat{\theta}$  is an Estimator for  $\theta$ , then

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \left( Bias(\hat{\theta}, \theta) \right)^2 + Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}).$$

**Corollary:** If  $\hat{\theta}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2$  are both *Unbiased* Estimators for the unknown Parameter  $\theta$ , then  $\hat{\theta}_1$  is preferable to  $\hat{\theta}_2$  if and only if

$$Var(\hat{\theta}_1) \leq Var(\hat{\theta}_2), \quad \text{for all } \theta,$$

with a strict inequality for at least one value of  $\theta$ .

**Idea:** If we consider Unbiased Estimators only, then we prefer that one, which has the least variability. Unbiased means that the values of our Estimators are centered around the true value of the Parameter. Variance of the Estimator is measuring how concentrated are the values of our Estimator around the true value of the Parameter. If variability, Variance is small, it will give better results.

## B-V Decomposition, Again

Recall again the B-V D:

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \left( Bias(\hat{\theta}, \theta) \right)^2 + Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}).$$

We have talked before that it would be nice to be able to minimize  $MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$ , but, unfortunately, this is impossible, in general. So Statisticians consider the following restricted Problem:

**Find an Unbiased Estimator with the Minimal Variance.**

Well, in general, there will be a lot of Unbiased Estimators for the same Parameter. Say, if  $\hat{\theta}_0$  and  $\hat{\theta}_1$  are Unbiased Estimators of  $\theta$ , then for any  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , the Estimator

$$\hat{\theta}_{\alpha} = \alpha \cdot \hat{\theta}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \cdot \hat{\theta}_0$$

will be an Unbiased Estimator too.

## MVUE

So the idea is to restrict our attention to only Unbiased Estimators.  
In that case, since  $Bias(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = 0$ ,

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}).$$

Then we need to find the Estimator with the smallest Variance, i.e., with the highest precision.

And we give the following

**Definition:** Estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is called the **MVUE (Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator)** for  $\theta$ , if

- ▶  $\hat{\theta}$  is Unbiased Estimator for  $\theta$ ;
- ▶  $\hat{\theta}$  has the smallest Variance among all *Unbiased* Estimators of  $\theta$ , i.e., for any Unbiased Estimator  $\tilde{\theta}$ ,

$$Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) \leq Var_{\theta}(\tilde{\theta}), \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

## Consistency

Another important and desirable property of an Estimator is the Consistency: this is about its behaviour when we increase the number of Datapoints:

**Definition:** A point estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  of the parameter  $\theta$  is called

- ▶ **consistent**, if  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \theta$  for any  $\theta \in \Theta$ ;
- ▶ **strongly consistent**, if  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta$  for any  $\theta \in \Theta$ ;
- ▶ **weakly or Mean Square consistent**, if  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{q.m.} \theta$  for any  $\theta \in \Theta$ , i.e., if

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_n, \theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}((\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2) \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

## Example

**Example:** Consider a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p),$$

where  $p$  is our unknown Parameter to be estimated.

We consider the following Estimator for  $p$ :

$$\hat{p} = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n + 1}.$$

Then:

- ▶  $\hat{p}$  is a Biased Estimator for  $p$ ;
- ▶  $\hat{p}$  is Consistent Estimator for  $p$ .

**Proof:** OTB

## Some Properties

### Proposition:

- ▶ If the estimator is strongly consistent, then it is consistent;
- ▶ If the estimator is weakly consistent, then it is consistent;
- ▶ If  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is an *Unbiased Estimator* for  $\theta$  and

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is consistent;

- ▶ If  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is an *Asymptotically Unbiased Estimator* for  $\theta$  and

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is consistent.

## Example

**Example:** Assume  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  are IID from a Distribution with the Mean  $\mu$ , Variance  $\sigma^2$  and finite 4-th order Moment  $\mathbb{E}(X_1^4)$ . Let us denote this as

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{F}_{\mu, \sigma^2}$$

and let our aim be to estimate  $\sigma^2$  based on  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ .

Let's consider the following Estimator for  $\sigma^2$ , the Sample Variance with the denominator  $n$ :

$$\widehat{\sigma^2} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - \bar{X}_n)^2}{n}$$

Then

- ▶  $\widehat{\sigma^2}$  is Biased;
- ▶  $\widehat{\sigma^2}$  is Consistent.

**Proof:** OTB. Use the relation  $\widehat{\sigma^2} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k)^2}{n} - \left( \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n X_k}{n} \right)^2$ .



# Choosing Good Estimators

We have talked about different properties of Estimators:

- ▶ Unbiasedness
- ▶ Consistency

**Unbiasedness** is good if we can do resamplings: to estimate our parameter, we can calculate estimates for many samples and average the obtained values - that average will be close to the real value of the parameter;

**Consistency** is good when we have a large sample: we can just calculate the estimate for that sample, and the obtained value will be close to the real value of the parameter with high probability.

Of course, it is better to have both: an Unbiased and Consistent Estimator 😊

And also, the universal measure for goodness is: *an Estimator is good if it has a small MSE.*

## Question

**Question:** Is sampling 200 times with a sample size 10 the same as sampling once with a size 2000? And, in general, isn't it the same to sample many times with a small sample size, as to take a large dataset once?

**Answer:** No, in general. This is because, say,

- ▶ we can do a lot of resamplings even when our dataset is not big enough, but one large sample will not be available
- ▶ when taking a large sample, we will take each individual just once. But if we are doing resamplings, we can have the same individual in different samples.

## MVUE

Let us go back to MVUEs. So we restrict our attention to only Unbiased Estimators, and we want to find among them the one with the minimal MSE or, which is the same, the one with minimal Variance.

To find the one with the minimal Variance, we can use the Cramer-Rao inequality. But before stating that inequality, we need the notion of the Fisher Information.

## Fisher Information

Assume we have a parametric family of distributions  $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and  $f(x|\theta)$  is the PMF of  $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ .

**Definition:** The following quantity is called **the Fisher Information** of the parametric family  $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ :

$$I(\theta) = -\mathbb{E} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln f(X|\theta) \right) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right)^2 \right],$$

where  $X \sim \mathcal{F}_\theta$ .

**Example:** Calculate the Fisher Information for the  $Bernoulli(p)$  family

**Solution:** OTB

**Example:** Calculate the Fisher Information for the  $Exp(\lambda)$  family

**Solution:** OTB