

INSTRUCTIONS 2nd CASE DISCUSSION-COMPARE 2 THEORIES

[DISCUSSION OUTLINE](#)

[POSTING TO DISCUSSIONS, the TOPICS.](#)

[TEAM LEAD:](#)

YOU WILL NOW FIND A CASE THEORY COMPARISON DISCUSSION OUTLINE, (pasted below). Your team will compare the relevance of 2 ethical theories to apply to a case.

You will find new cases to choose from for this week._

Use the format I pasted below to discuss this case, and

APPLY ANY 2 of the ETHICAL THEORIES TO THIS CASE

Rights, or Utilitarianism, or Kant, or Justice, or Rawls, or Virtues, or Caring

Each ethical theory has an ARGUMENT OUTLINE that you **must** use. The ARGUMENT OUTLINE for each theory is located at the bottom of my NOTES for that ethical theory. Follow the steps! and you should have an extra paragraph (almost a page) for the contrast of the 2 theories. **Best to have theories that differ in the final ethical decision, or at least different enough to have good contrast.**

DISCUSSION OUTLINE

EVERTHING IN THE OUTLINE BELOW IS EXPECTED IN YOUR TEAM

DISCUSSION RESULTS

INTRO PARAGRAPH(copy/paste, fill in the blanks, then delete underlines)

In this paper we will examine the case of NAME OF ORGANIZATION and ACTION or NEGLECT of ORGANIZATION. We will show that this ACTION or NEGLECT violates [or demonstrates] the ethics of state the 1ST ETHICAL THEORY you will argue for; and we will also show that it violates [or demonstrates] the ethics of state the 2nd ETHICAL THEORY you will argue for. First, details of the case will be described. Then we will give a

precise definition of the 1ST ethical theory, state the 1ST ETHICAL THEORY you will argue for. Next we will show how the details of this case relate to this FIRST ethical theory being discussed. Then we will give a precise definition of the 2ND ethical theory, state the 2ND ETHICAL THEORY you will argue for. Next we will show how the details of this case relate to this 2ND ethical theory being discussed. Next we will compare the relationship between these 2 ethical theories and the way these theories relate to the case at hand. Finally, suggestions will be made for further remedy or future guidelines such that the most appropriate ethical conduct may be followed or applied in this case or in similar cases.

CASE DETAILS

- Chronology of events of case
- Principal people involved
- Those most responsible
- Results
- Those affected by results

2 ETHICAL THEORIES DEFINED & ARGUED

FIRST ETHICAL THEORY

Use ARGUMENT OUTLINE for first theory you have chosen.

SECOND ETHICAL THEORY

Use ARGUMENT OUTLINE for second theory you have chosen.

CONTRAST the Analyses of the 2 Ethical Theories

Which theory fits best, and Why?

POLICIES & PROCEDURES

How could events have been avoided?

Future conduct/guidelines for any company/organization?

NEW TECHNOLOGY THAT WOULD FIX THE PROBLEM (TECH FIX)

POSTING TO DISCUSSIONS, the TOPICS.

I will set up 4 discussion topics that you each must post to. The topics are time sensitive to keep your team on track to complete the assignment. Each topic has points assigned. If you do not post by the time specified, you lose those points. Here are the topics, their points, & what you should post to each:

1) CHOOSE CASE and CHOOSE ROLES – 0.5 pts

- Choose Case: On the homepage, to the right, you will find cases I have linked to for the assignment. Check out the links, decide which you are most interested in, then post under CHOOSE CASE/ROLES, letting your team know which case you are most interested in and why. It is probably best for each of you to suggest your top 2, and designate which one is your favorite. Your Team Lead will add up the votes to determine which case your team is choosing. **Team Lead**, do not post the team final choice on the night the choices are due. You must post it instead the next day in the next topic once I open the next topic.
- Choose Roles: Here your team will decide who does what parts of the outline. Divide the work like this:
 - **INTRO (copy/pastes) Team lead**
 - **CASE DETAILS (1 person)**
 - **ARGUMENT 1 (1 person)**
 - **ARGUMENT 2 (1 person)**
 - **COMPARE THE 2 THEORIES (1 person)**
 - **POLICIES & PROCEDURES (1 person)**
 - **TECH FIX (1 person)**

If your team is short of members team lead picks up any slack.

NOTE: Whoever decides to be team lead should be decided right away, so they can count up votes for which case.

2. CHOOSE THEORIES – 1.5 pts.

You should say which theory/theories you think should be used for your team's chosen case. Give sentences saying why. Each of you should also say what you think the ARGUMENT conclusion should be and why, you can also make suggestions for parts of the ARGUMENT OUTLINE and for the TECH FIX. Giving your opinion counts. Not giving your opinion will mean you lose points. Help each other. I will try to give feedback by the next night.

3) CONTRIBUTE PARTS of OUTLINE –3 pts.

Here you post your part of the Case Analysis outline. **You must contribute your part by the due date**, giving your team a chance for last edits. I will try to give feedback by the next night, so you can edit if needed.

- CASE DETAILS should be about 1 page -Be sure to follow the outline. Can have bullets, etc..

- ARGUMENT1 should be **at least 1 page**, longer is much better must follow ARGUMENT OUTLINE for the theory your team chose (found at very end of the NOTES for that theory.). (Full sentences & paragraphs, no bullets).
- ARGUMENT2 should be **at least 1 page**, longer is much better must follow ARGUMENT OUTLINE for the theory your team chose (found at very end of the NOTES for that theory.). (Full sentences & paragraphs, no bullets).
- CONTRAST should be **about 1 page**, longer is much better. (Full sentences & paragraphs, no bullets).
- POLICIES & PROCEDURES should be **about half a page**--be sure to follow the outline: how could these events have been avoided, etc? (Full sentences & paragraphs, no bullets).
- TECH FIX--length varies from short fourth page, to much longer no outline given to you for Tech Fix--be original, A neat new tech fix for an ethical problem would make a great Startup. (Full sentences & paragraphs, no bullets).

4).TEAM RESULT –5 pts.

After your team has revised as needed and carefully edited for grammar, etc., the Team Lead will post it in the TEAM RESULTS topic. The Team Result will be worth up to 5 points. You will not lose points if you do not post to the RESULTS topic. Only Team Lead has to post to RESULTS. But if your team chooses to edit in RESULTS, etc., that's fine.

TEAM LEAD:

Only one member of your team, the Team Lead will upload your team results in Teams Results topic. **Team Lead: Please copy/paste Results into a post.** If you cannot copy/paste, only then should you submit a file instead. If you are having difficulty with copy/paste, try copying, then simultaneously hitting control key and v.

Team Leader, be sure to include your team name at the top of your post

The header of your team paper, **left hand margin**, should list (without line spacing) Please also add a list of who contributed which parts of the outline, like this:

Team 1

Title of the Case your team chose

- INTRO John Will (**Team Lead**)
- CASE DETAILS Lazaro Man
- ARGUMENT 1 May Yee
- ARGUMENT 2 Thomas Smith
- CONTRAST Sonia Cruz
- POLICIES Eric Young
- TECH FIX William Do

Please, Please. Team Lead, copy/paste the result instead of appending a file.

