

# Note of Entanglement

---

**Lianghong Mo<sup>1</sup>**

*<sup>1</sup>School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China*

*E-mail:* [molh3@mail2.sysu.edu.cn](mailto:molh3@mail2.sysu.edu.cn)

ABSTRACT: Abstract...

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Entanglement Entropy of Quantum Spin Chain</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	XY,XX,TFIM	1
1.2	Algebra about Pauli operator	2
1.2.1	Commutation Relation of Spin operator	2
1.3	Jordan-Wigner transformation	2
1.3.1	Mapping between the algebra	3
1.3.2	mapping between states	3
1.4	Fermionic Representation of the spin model	4
1.4.1	Periodic Boundary Condition	4
1.4.2	Majorana Representation	4
1.5	Correlation matrix and Entanglement Entropy	5
1.6	Appendix	6
1.6.1	Provemnt	6
1.6.2	Canonical Transformation between XY model and QIC model	6

---

## 1 Entanglement Entropy of Quantum Spin Chain

### 1.1 XY,XX,TFIM

**XY model:**

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^L [J_x \sigma_x^i \sigma_x^{i+1} + J_y \sigma_y^i \sigma_y^{i+1} + g \sigma_z^i] \quad (1.1)$$

where  $J_x = J(\frac{1+\gamma}{2})$ ,  $J_y = J(\frac{1-\gamma}{2})$

$\gamma = 0$ —**XX model:**

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^L [J(\sigma_x^i \sigma_x^{i+1} + \sigma_y^i \sigma_y^{i+1}) + g \sigma_z^i] \quad (1.2)$$

$\gamma = 1$ —**TFIM:**

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^L [J \sigma_z^i \sigma_z^{i+1} + g \sigma_x^i] \quad (1.3)$$

## 1.2 Algebra about Pauli operator

Here we introduce the spin lowering and raising operator  $\sigma_{\pm}$  [?] ]

$$\sigma_{\pm}^j = \frac{\sigma_x^j \pm i\sigma_y^j}{2} \quad (1.4)$$

based on which we have

$$\sigma_x^j = \sigma_+ + \sigma_-, \sigma_y^j = \frac{\sigma_+ - \sigma_-}{i}, \sigma_z^j = 2\sigma_+^j \sigma_-^j - 1 \quad (1.5)$$

Reexpress the Hamiltonian 1.53 using  $\sigma_{\pm}$

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^L \{ J(\sigma_+^i \sigma_-^{i+1} + \sigma_-^i \sigma_+^{i+1}) + J\gamma(\sigma_+^i \sigma_+^{i+1} + \sigma_-^i \sigma_-^{i+1}) + 2g\sigma_+^i \sigma_-^i - gL \} \quad (1.6)$$

Here I will neglect the constant term  $-gL$  and I will discuss about this term later.

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^L \{ J(\sigma_+^i \sigma_-^{i+1} + \sigma_-^i \sigma_+^{i+1}) + J\gamma(\sigma_+^i \sigma_+^{i+1} + \sigma_-^i \sigma_-^{i+1}) + 2g\sigma_+^i \sigma_-^i \} \quad (1.7)$$

### 1.2.1 Commutation Relation of Spin operator

Bosonic :

$$[a_i, a_j] = 0$$

Fermionic:

$$\{c_i, c_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij} \quad (1.8)$$

Pauli:

$$[\sigma_-^i, \sigma_+^i] = 0, i \neq j \quad (1.9)$$

$$\{\sigma_-^i, \sigma_-^i\} = 1, i = j \quad (1.10)$$

Some conclusions:

$$(\sigma_z^i)^2 = 1, (\sigma_{\pm})^2 = 0 \quad (1.11)$$

## 1.3 Jordan-Wigner transformation

There is a way to map Pauli operators into Fermionic operators, called the Jordan wigner transformation.

$$c_i \equiv \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{i-1} (-\sigma_z^n) \right] \sigma_-^i \quad (1.12)$$

In terms of the tensor structure of Pauli operators, this would read as

$$c_i = (-\sigma_z) \otimes (-\sigma_z) \dots \otimes (-\sigma_z) \otimes \sigma_- \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \dots \otimes 1 \quad (1.13)$$

The bunch of  $(-\sigma_z)$ 's is called **Jordan-Wigner string**.

**The idea is that, to convert a Pauli operator  $\sigma_-^i$  into a fermionic operator  $c_i$ , we must append to it a string of operators  $\prod_{n=1}^{i-1} (-\sigma_z^n)$ . The conjugate is**

$$c_i^\dagger = \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{i-1} (-\sigma_z^n) \right] \sigma_+^i \quad (1.14)$$

### 1.3.1 Mapping between the algebra

Based on Eq.1.12 and Eq.1.14, we have

$$c_i c_i^\dagger = \sigma_-^i \sigma_+^i \quad (1.15)$$

$$c_i^\dagger c_i = \sigma_+^i \sigma_-^i \quad (1.16)$$

$$c_{i+1}^\dagger c_i = \sigma_+^{i+1} \sigma_-^i \quad (1.17)$$

$$c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} = \sigma_-^{i+1} \sigma_-^i \quad (1.18)$$

$$c_{i+1}^\dagger c_i^\dagger = -\sigma_+^{i+1} \sigma_+^i, c_i^\dagger c_{i+1}^\dagger = \sigma_+^{i+1} \sigma_+^i \quad (1.19)$$

$$c_{i+1} c_i = \sigma_-^i \sigma_-^{i+1} \quad (1.20)$$

Before we move on, we have to notice that here are some useful results we will use later

$$-\sigma_z^i = e^{i\pi \sigma_+^i \sigma_-^i} \quad (1.21)$$

$$(1.22)$$

$$c_i = \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{i-1} e^{i\pi \sigma_+^n \sigma_-^n} \right] \sigma_-^i \quad (1.23)$$

### 1.3.2 mapping between states

$$\sigma_z^i = 2\sigma_+^i \sigma_-^i - 1 = 2c_i^\dagger c_i - 1 \quad (1.24)$$

The eigenvalues  $n_i = 0, 1$  of  $c_i^\dagger c_i$  are therefore related to the  $\sigma_i$  according to

$$n_i = \frac{1 + \sigma_i}{2} \quad (1.25)$$

The Pauli basis is therefore equivalent to the Fock basis of the  $n_j$ :

$$|n_1, n_2, \dots\rangle = |\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_L\rangle \quad (1.26)$$

## 1.4 Fermionic Representation of the spin model

Therefore, the hamiltonian 1.7 becomes

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^L \{J(c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + c_{i+1}^\dagger c_i) + J\gamma(c_i^\dagger c_{i+1}^\dagger + c_{i+1} c_i) + 2gc_i^\dagger c_i\} \quad (1.27)$$

If  $\gamma = 0$ , then this Hamiltonian becomes *exactly* the TBD Hamiltonian.

### 1.4.1 Periodic Boundary Condition

$$H_{PBC} = -J(\sigma_+^L \sigma_-^1 + \sigma_-^L \sigma_+^1) - J\gamma(\sigma_+^L \sigma_+^1 + \sigma_-^L \sigma_-^1) \quad (1.28)$$

It can be verified that

$$c_L^\dagger c_1 = \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{L-1} (-\sigma_z^n) \right] \sigma_+^L \sigma_-^1 = - \left[ \prod_{n=1}^L (-\sigma_z^n) \right] \sigma_+^L \sigma_-^1 \quad (1.29)$$

Inverse the relation

$$\sigma_+^L \sigma_-^1 = - \left[ \prod_{n=1}^L e^{i\pi c_n^\dagger c_n} \right] c_L^\dagger c_1 = -(-1)^{\hat{\mathcal{N}}} c_L^\dagger c_1 \quad (1.30)$$

Similarly,

$$\sigma_-^L \sigma_+^1 = -(-1)^{\hat{\mathcal{N}}} c_1^\dagger c_L \quad (1.31)$$

$$\sigma_+^L \sigma_+^1 = -(-1)^{\hat{\mathcal{N}}} c_L^\dagger c_1^\dagger \quad (1.32)$$

$$\sigma_-^L \sigma_-^1 = -(-1)^{\hat{\mathcal{N}}} c_1 c_L \quad (1.33)$$

$$(1.34)$$

**PBC Hamiltonian:**

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \{J(c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + c_{i+1}^\dagger c_i) + J\gamma(c_i^\dagger c_{i+1}^\dagger + c_{i+1} c_i)\} - \sum_{i=1}^L 2gc_i^\dagger c_i \quad (1.35)$$

$$+ (-1)^{\hat{\mathcal{N}}} \{J(c_L^\dagger c_1 + c_1^\dagger c_L) + J\gamma(c_L^\dagger c_1^\dagger + c_1 c_L)\} \quad (1.36)$$

**OBC Hamiltonian:**

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \{J(c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + c_{i+1}^\dagger c_i) + J\gamma(c_i^\dagger c_{i+1}^\dagger + c_{i+1} c_i)\} - \sum_{i=1}^L 2gc_i^\dagger c_i \quad (1.37)$$

### 1.4.2 Majorana Representation

The majorana operators  $\psi_{i,1}$  and  $\psi_{i,2}$  associated to each site  $i$  are defined by

$$\psi_{i,1} \equiv \frac{c_i + c_i^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}, \psi_{i,2} \equiv \frac{c_i - c_i^\dagger}{i\sqrt{2}} \quad (1.38)$$

Equivalently,

$$c_i = \frac{\psi_{i,1} + i\psi_{i,2}}{\sqrt{2}}, c_i^\dagger = \frac{\psi_{i,1} - i\psi_{i,2}}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (1.39)$$

The majorana operator satisfy the following anti-commutation relation

$$\{\psi_{i,\alpha}, \psi_{j,\alpha'}\} = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{\alpha,\alpha'} \quad (1.40)$$

$$c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + c_{i+1}^\dagger c_i = \frac{i}{2} [(\psi_{i,1}\psi_{i+1,2} - \psi_{i+1,2}\psi_{i,1}) + (\psi_{i+1,1}\psi_{i,2} - \psi_{i,2}\psi_{i+1,1})] \quad (1.41)$$

$$c_i^\dagger c_{i+1}^\dagger + c_{i+1} c_i = \frac{i}{2} [(\psi_{i+1,1}\psi_{i,2} - \psi_{i,2}\psi_{i+1,1}) + (\psi_{i+1,2}\psi_{i,1} - \psi_{i,1}\psi_{i+1,2})] \quad (1.42)$$

$$c_i^\dagger c_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1,2} \psi_{i,\alpha} \psi_{i,\alpha} + \frac{i}{2} (\psi_{i,1}\psi_{i,2} - \psi_{i,2}\psi_{i,1}) \quad (1.43)$$

$$c_L^\dagger c_1 + c_1^\dagger c_L = \frac{i}{2} [(\psi_{1,1}\psi_{L,2} - \psi_{L,2}\psi_{1,1}) + (\psi_{L,1}\psi_{1,2} - \psi_{1,2}\psi_{L,1})] \quad (1.44)$$

$$c_L^\dagger c_1^\dagger + c_1 c_L = \frac{i}{2} [(\psi_{L,1}\psi_{1,2} - \psi_{1,2}\psi_{L,1}) + (\psi_{L,2}\psi_{1,1} - \psi_{1,1}\psi_{L,2})] \quad (1.45)$$

By inserting above relation into Eq.1.36 and Eq.1.37, the Hamiltonian in the majorana formalism can be written as

$$H = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j}^L \sum_{\alpha,\alpha'=1}^2 \psi_{i,\alpha} A_{i,\alpha;j,\alpha'} \psi_{j,\alpha'} \quad (1.46)$$

## 1.5 Correlation matrix and Entanglement Entropy

Entanglement entropy of a subregion A is

$$S(A) = -\text{tr}(f(M^A)) \quad (1.47)$$

where

$$f(M^A) = -M^A \log M^A \quad (1.48)$$

Here the  $2L \times 2L$  Hermitian matrix  $M^A$  is the correlation matrix  $\langle \psi_{i,\alpha} \psi_{j,\alpha'} \rangle$ . It can be diagonalized as

$$M^A = U \left( \otimes_{k=1}^L \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1+\nu_k}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1-\nu_k}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right) U^\dagger \quad (1.49)$$

which implies that

$$S(A) = \sum_k -\left( \frac{1+\nu_k}{2} \log \frac{1+\nu_k}{2} + \frac{1-\nu_k}{2} \log \frac{1-\nu_k}{2} \right) \quad (1.50)$$

## 1.6 Appendix

### 1.6.1 Provement

1.

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sigma_+^j \sigma_-^j - 1 &= 2 \cdot \frac{\sigma_x^j + i\sigma_y^j}{2} \frac{\sigma_x^j - i\sigma_y^j}{2} - 1 \\ &= 2i\sigma_y^j \sigma_x^j \\ &= \sigma_z \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\sigma_-^L \sigma_+^1 = \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{L-1} e^{i\pi c_n^\dagger c_n} \right] c_L c_1^\dagger \quad (1.51)$$

$$= -(-1)^{\hat{N}} c_1^\dagger c_L \quad (1.52)$$

### 1.6.2 Canonical Transformation between XY model and QIC model

Here we will focus on XY model and Quantum Ising model, of which the Hamiltonian are as follows[? ]

$$\mathcal{H}_{XY} = \sum_{i=1}^L (J_i^x S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + J_i^y S_i^y S_{i+1}^y), S_{L+1}^{x,y} = S_1^{x,y} \quad (1.53)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_I = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^L \lambda_i \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i h_i \sigma_i^z, \sigma_{L+1}^{x,z} = \sigma_1^{x,z} \quad (1.54)$$

These two Hamiltonians can be mapped into each other through a canonical transformation.

Define two set of Pauli operator

$$\sigma_i^x = \prod_{j=1}^{2i-1} (2S_j^x), \sigma_i^z = 4S_{2i-1}^y S_{2i}^y \quad (1.55)$$

$$\tau_i^x = \prod_{j=1}^{2i-1} (2S_j^y), \sigma_i^z = 4S_{2i-1}^x S_{2i}^x \quad (1.56)$$

The inverse transformation is

$$2S_{2i-1}^x = \sigma_i^x \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \tau_j^z, 2S_{2i}^x = \sigma_i^x \prod_{j=1}^i \tau_j^z \quad (1.57)$$

$$2S_{2i-1}^y = \tau_i^x \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \sigma_j^z, 2S_{2i}^y = \sigma_i^x \prod_{j=1}^i \tau_j^z \quad (1.58)$$

$$(1.59)$$

In terms of these Pauli operators the Hamiltonian operator of the XY chain with  $L$  spins can be written as the sum of two decoupled quantum Ising chains with  $L/2$  sites:

$$\mathcal{H}_{XY} = \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{H}_I(\sigma) + H_I(\tau)] \quad (1.60)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_I(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L/2} J_{2i}^x \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L/2} J_{2i-1}^y \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z \quad (1.61)$$

$$H_I(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L/2} J_{2i}^y \tau_i^x \tau_{i+1}^x - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L/2} J_{2i-1}^x \tau_i^z \tau_{i+1}^z \quad (1.62)$$

Even though  $[\mathcal{H}_I(\sigma), \mathcal{H}_I(\tau)] = 0$ , the two hamiltonian are still independent due to the two set of pauli matrix do not commute with each other.

## References