Team 1

Comments:

Good job on presenting the Holiday Retirement business! The UMD background looks quite professional and formal, and so do the red-theme slides. What impressed me most is circled flow graph, it really combines 3 Phase together into a complete project, following the time sequence. Compared to the framework slide, however, the model slide contains too much content to make me sleepy. But overall, your presentation is impressive!

Other good aspects:

* Clear interpretation of modeling! 3 members took and explained one of objective functions, decision variables and constraints. It’s a good way to demonstrate the key part together.
* Good story telling, along with convincing details like numbers.

Things I don’t want to write in public but can be improved:

* Introducing SLRO too late, implementation and benefits shouldn’t come together, from my point of view.
* The first part didn’t present the company’s key challenge clearly.
* On the second part, Gauri only introduced what are the three phases, without reflection about why phases are divided like this. I mean, those 3 phases are actually 3 time period of this project, she uses flow graph but put everything together with no partition.
* Pronunciation of Gauri needed to improve, Zezhong’s was excellent.
* **They didn’t mention Prorize, but actually the project is conducted by Prorize!**
* No statistical graph.

Team 7

Comments:

Excellent story telling from Julia with impressive intonation changes, and extremely fluent, sounds like real participant in the project, and the same as Ray! Nice slide design as well. Colorful! Just a small suggestion: key words, instead of sentences, can make it more clearly to read, and also express things more precisely. Excellent job for your team, pretty impressive!

Other good aspects:

* They put an abstract slide at the beginning, making the whole presentation more clearly and easier to track, I like it!
* Good story telling and tone for the whole group, fluent!
* They put many graphs in their slides to visualize the result, it’s quite straightforward and convincing.

Things I don’t want to write in public but can be improved:

* It’s better to add introduction for group members at the beginning, and also intros about next part to link the whole presentation up.
* Ray talked too many parts (5+ mins), why not give him some extra credit😂, they may need to re-consider the division of work.
* **They didn’t mention the 3 phases.**
* The formulas in the slides were not clear. They’d better write it in latex or math mode, if they really want to write them down, instead of paste screenshots.
* They introduced in the first-person perspective, like they were Prorize. From my point of view, we’d use “they” because we are actually telling a story.