**CCDSALG/GDDASGO Term 1, AY 2024 – 2025**

**Project 2 Documentation –Application of Hash Table Data Structure**

**DECLARATION OF INTELLECTUAL HONESTY / ORIGINAL WORK**

*We declare that the project that we are submitting is the product of our own work.  No part of our work was copied from any source, and that no part was shared with another person outside of our group.  We also declare that each member cooperated and contributed to the project as indicated in the table below.*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Section** | **Names and Signatures** | **Task 1** | **Task 2** | **Task 3** | **Task 4** | **Task 5** | **Task 6** |
| <S??> | <LastName1>, <FirstName1> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <S??> | <LastName2>, <FirstName2> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <S??> | <LastName3>, <FirstName3> |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fill-up the table above.  For the tasks, put an ‘X’ or check mark if you have performed the specified task. Please refer to the project specifications for the description of each task.  Don’t forget to affix your e-signature after your first name.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. FILE SUBMISSION CHECKLIST: put a check mark as specified in the 3rd column of the table below. Please make sure that you use the same file names and that you encoded the appropriate file contents.   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **FILE** | **DESCRIPTION** | **Put a check mark ✔ below to indicate that you submitted a required file** | | **hash.h** | header file for hash function, etc. |  | | **hash.c** | C source file for hash function, etc. |  | | **main.c** | main module |  | | **INPUT1.TXT** to **INPUT5.TXT** | 5 sample input files (with increasing values of *n*) |  | | **OUTPUT1.TXT** to **OUTPUT5.TXT** | 5 sample corresponding output files |  | | **GROUPNUMBER.PDF** | The PDF file of this document |  | |
| 2. Indicate how to compile your source files, and how to RUN your exe files from the COMMAND LINE.  Examples are shown below highlighted in yellow.  Replace them accordingly.  Make sure that all your group members test what you typed below because I will follow them verbatim.  I will initially test your solution using a sample input text file that you submitted.  Thereafter, I will run it again using my own test data:   * How to compile from the command line    C:\MCO> gcc -Wall main.c -o main.exe   * How to run from command line   C:\MCO>main    Next, answer the following questions:   1. Is there a compilation (syntax error) in your codes? (YES or NO). \_\_\_\_   WARNING: the project will automatically be graded with a score of **0** if there is syntax error in any of the submitted source code files. Please make sure that your submission does not have a syntax error.   1. Is there any compilation warning in your codes? (YES or NO) \_\_\_\_\_   WARNING: there will be a 1 point deduction for every unique compiler warning. Please make sure that your submission does not have a compiler warning. |
| 3. Please indicate if you created your own original hash function or if you used a hash function from some reference material: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  If you created your own original hash function: affix your signature on the given space  We honestly swear that we (the group members) created our own original hash function for MCO2 which is described as follows:  *<provide a concise description or code of the hash function here>*  Name and Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Name and Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Name and Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  If the hash function is from some reference material:  The hash function is described in \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  (indicate the source or copy/paste a URL) |
| 4. Specify what collision resolution technique you implemented in your MCO2 (i.e., it is linear probing, quadratic probing or double hashing)? \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| 5.  Disclose **IN DETAIL** what is/are NOT working correctly in your solution.  Please be honest about this.  NON-DISCLOSURE will result in severe point deduction. Explain briefly the reason why your group was not able to make it work.  For example:  The following are NOT working (buggy):  a.  b.  We were not able to make them work because:  a.  b. |
| 6. Based on the exhaustive testing that you did, fill-up the Table below. Test for at least 5 different values of *n*, starting with *n = 2^6 = 64* points.Set the values of *n* such that they are expressed using 2 as exponent. Your last test case should have *n = 2^14 = 16384 strings.*  **Table: Statistics For the 5 Test Cases**   |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Test Case # | n (input size) | # of keys/strings stored in the hash table | # of keys/strings stored in their home addresses | # of keys/ strings NOT stored in their home addresses | Average number of string comparisons | | 1 | 2^5 = 64 |  |  |  |  | | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | | 5 | 2^14 = 16384 |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |   NOTE: Make sure that you fill-up the table properly. It contributes 3 out of 15 points for the Documentation. |
| 7. Create a line graph (for example using Excel) based on the Comparison Table that you filled-up above. The x-axis should be the values of *n* and the y axis should be the *average number of string comparisons*. Copy/paste an image of the graph below.  <replace this line with an image of your graph>  NOTE: Make sure that you provide a graph based on your comparison table data above. It contributes 3 out of 15 points for the Documentation. |
| 8. Analysis – answer the following questions:  a. Based on the statistics above, is your Search() operation using a hash table slower or faster, on average, compared with linear search on an array? Do not simply answer with “slower” or “faster”. You need to provide some explanation to support your answer.  b. Based on the statistics above, is your Search() operation using a hash table slower or faster, on average, compared with binary search on an array? Do not simply answer with “slower” or “faster”. You need to provide some explanation to support your answer.  NOTE: Make sure that you provide cohesive answers to the questions above. This part contributes 3 out of 15 points for the Documentation. |
| 9. Fill-up the table below. Refer to the rubric in the project specs. It is suggested that you do an individual self-assessment first. Thereafter, compute the average evaluation for your group, and encode it below.   |  |  | | --- | --- | | REQUIREMENT | AVE. OF SELF-ASSESSMENT | | 1. Hash function | \_\_\_      (max. 30 points) | | 2. Collision resolution function | \_\_\_      (max. 10 points) | | 3. Search function | \_\_\_      (max. 15 points) | | 4. Main module | \_\_\_ (max. 15 points) | | 5. Input and output text files | \_\_\_ (max. 10 points) | | 5. Documentation | \_\_\_      (max. 15 points) | | 6. Compliance with Instructions | \_\_\_      (max.   5 points) | | TOTAL SCORE | \_\_\_ over 100. |   *NOTE: The evaluation that the instructor will give is not necessarily going to be the same as what you indicated above.  The self-assessment serves primarily as a guide.* |

***サルバド-ル・フロランテ***