

Problem Set 1

due date: 2023/4/14, PM 12:00

Pls send an attached email to jqian@ecust.edu.cn including both your .ipynb file and the corresponding .html or .pdf file. The homework topics below doesn't need to be included.

1. In the class, you have been told the second forward-difference approximations given by

$$f'(x) = \frac{4f(x + \frac{h}{2}) - f(x + h) - 3f(x)}{h} + \frac{h^2}{12}f'''(x) + \dots$$

Show it by combining the Taylor series for $f(x + h)$ and $f(x + h/2)$.

2. The second central-difference approximation can be written as

$$f'(x) = \frac{27f(x + \frac{h}{2}) + f(x - \frac{3h}{2}) - 27f(x - \frac{h}{2}) - f(x + \frac{3h}{2})}{24h} + \frac{3}{640}h^4f^{(5)}(x) + \dots$$

Show it by subtracting two pairs of Taylor series: $f(x + h/2)$ and $f(x - h/2)$, on the one hand, $f(x + 3h/2)$ and $f(x - 3h/2)$ on the other.

3. This problem deals with the error assessment of the first central-difference approximation of the second derivative $f''(x)$.

(a) Start with the error analysis, including both approximation and roundoff error. Derive expressions for the optimal h_{opt} and \mathcal{E}_{opt} .

(b) Then, produce numerical estimates for h_{opt} and \mathcal{E}_{opt} .

4. You will find a file named `velocities.txt` which contains two columns of numbers, the first representing the time t in seconds and the second the velocities in meters per second of a particle. Write a program to do the following

(a) Load the data from the file and use the trapezoidal to calculate the distance $x(t)$. Hint: use `np.loadtxt` to read the data.

(b) Plot the distance $x(t)$ and $v(t)$ as a function of t on the same figure.

5. Create a user-defined function $f(x)$ that return the value $1 + 0.5 \tanh(2x)$, then use the central difference to calculate the derivative $f'(x)$ in the range $-2 \leq x \leq 2$. Plot a graph your numerical results and the analytic solution on the same figure. Hint: It may be good to plot the exact answer as lines and the numerical one as dots.

6. Produce a table of x_i and $e^{\sin(2x_i)}$ values, where x_i goes from 0 to 1.6 in steps of 0.08.

(a) Plot the forward-difference and central-difference results (for the first derivative) given these values. (Hint: if you cannot produce a result for a specific x , don't.) Then, introduce a curve for the analytical derivative.

(b) Use Richardson extrapolation for the forward difference for points on a grid and add an extra set of points to the plot. You can use the following expression

$$R_{fd} = 2D_{fd}(h) - D_{fd}(2h) + \mathcal{O}(h^2).$$

7. Consider the integral

$$E(x) = \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt.$$

(a) Write a program to calculate $E(x)$ for values of $x \in [0, 3]$ in steps of 0.1. Choose for yourself which method you will use for performing the integral and a suitable number of slices.

(b) When you are convinced your program is working, extend it further to make a graph of $E(x)$ as a function of x .

8. The Gamma function is defined by the integral $\Gamma(a) = \int_0^\infty x^{a-1} e^{-x} dx$.

(a) Write a program to make a graph of the value of the integrand as a function of $x \in [0, 5]$, with three separate curves for $a = 2, 3, 4$, respectively.

(b) Show analytically that the maximum falls at $x = a - 1$.

(c) Most of the area under the integrand curve falls near the maximum. It indicates that, to calculate the Gamma function accurately, we need to do a good job of this part of the integral. One can change the variable to change the range of the integral from $[0, \infty]$ to a finite range.

9. Use a build-in random number generator to perform the 10-dimension Monte Carlo integral

$$I = \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 \cdots \int_0^1 dx_{10} (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{10})^2$$

(a) Conduct 16 trials and take the average as your answer, and then check against the exact answer, $155/6$.

(b) Try sample sizes of $N = 10, 20, \dots, 10000$. Plot the relative error vs. $1/\sqrt{N}$ and see if linear behavior occurs.

(c) Show that for a dimension $D \simeq 3 - 4$, the error of the multidimensional Monte-Carlo integral is approximately equal to that of conventional methods, and for larger D , the Monte-Carlo method is more accurate.