Al Use Case Risk Assessment—This questionnaire is meant to assist staff in assessing the risk profile of an Al use case. The risk level will inform planning for the level of the risk mitigation efforts, estimated timeline for safety, quality and performance verification, and resources required.

safety, quality and performance verification, and resources required.							
WHAT & WHY?							
Describe the AI or ML use case being proposed, include information about what function will be enhanced or automated through AI, what improvements are expected, or what specific capability would be added?	 What is motivating your team to introduce AI or ML into this process? (Check all that apply) □ Directive from leadership □ To achieve a strategic or operational goal currently out of reach 						
Computer Vision, Object Detection and Segmentation: In an effort to create a collage tool leveraging the Library of Congress' digital material, the Faster R-CNN object detection model will be used to detect objects. The use of this model will eliminate the need for manual annotation of objects in items and allow images to be processed in bulk. Essentially, processing images for objects of interest can be done at scale for our tool. In addition, to emulate the 'cutting' process involved in physical collaging, the EfficientSAM model will be used to further process images. The use of these models will provide users with a digital collaging experience	 ☐ High volume of existing backlog of work or cases ☐ Improve overall quality of output or service ☐ Lower time of completion for an existing process (time saved) ☐ The enhancement could perform tasks that humans could not accomplish in a reasonable period of time (scale) ☑ Use of innovative approaches, want to try something new. 						
 3. Is the proposed enhancement in an area with an existing high degree of oversight, regulation, or litigation? □ A: Yes □ B: Could be ☑ C: I don't know □ D: Probably Not □ E: No 	LC Labs Junior Fellow Summer Project						
 4. How will the proposed AI capability or service ultimately function? □ A. Fully automatically with no human review or oversight ☑ B: Partially automated with limited review of all outputs □ C: I don't know □ D: Partially automated with regular review of a sample of outputs □ E: Partially automated with review of all outputs □ Other, describe: 	5. Human and Al tools both introduce error into workflows. What is the tolerance for error in this proposed use case? □ A: No tolerance □ B: Low tolerance for error □ C: I don't know □ D: Some tolerance □ E: High tolerance						
 6. Is the capability operational in other systems internally or externally? □ A: No ☑ B: Not that I know of □ C: I don't know □ D: Yes, at a different organization □ E: Yes, at my organization □ F: If yes, where and what is it? 	7. What is the status of planning for the use case? ☑ A: Would be nice to have ☐ B: Is a strategic investment or improvement ☐ C: I don't know ☐ D: Affects a critical function ☐ E: Affects a critical function that only this organization performs						
8. What would the consequence of not deploying the case or enhancement be? A: Use case or enhancement could not be delivered at all B: Use case or enhancement would not be timely and/or costs would be too high C: I don't know D: Use case or enhancement quality would not be as high E: Use case or enhancement would not improve or meet expectations	9. How do you currently assure high quality outcomes or do quality review for this capability (or related task)? ☐ A: None, outcomes from this workflow are not reviewed ☐ B: This is a new capability, so no review process exists yet ☐ C: I don't know ☐ D: We have expert staff review ☐ E: We have expert staff review and there are written policies and/or standards for the capability ☐ F: Other, describe:						

 $\hfill\Box$ F: Other, please specify

10. What is the cost or impact of mistakes or errors in this use case?	11. Are the impacts resulting from the AI capability in the use case
 □ A: High – mistakes would result in catastrophic failures of critical workflows □ B: Moderately high – mistakes would be very costly to resolve and fix 	reversible?
	☐ A: Cannot reverse
	☐ B: Difficult to reverse
	☐ C: I don't know
☐ C: I don't know	☑ D: Likely reversible
☑ D: Moderate – mistakes would cause some disruption	☐ E: Easily reversible
☐ E: Low — mistakes would have little impact	,

WHO?								
12.	12. Who are the stakeholders to consult, keep informed, and gather input and feedback from on the proposed AI use case?							
	The general public/users of the collage tool. In addition, LOC LC Labs teams.							
13.	If successfully implemented, could this enhancement have major impacts on staff, either in terms of their numbers or their roles? A: Yes, many staff will be impacted	14.	What is the current level of skill or experience relevant staff have in Al or ML? □ A: Complete beginner					
	☐ B: Yes, some staff will be impacted		□ B: Novice					
	☐ C: I don't know		☐ C: I don't know					
	☐ D: Yes, a few staff will be impacted		□ D: Moderately experienced					
	☑ E: No staff will be impacted		☐ E: Highly experienced					
	<u>'</u>							
15.	Will end users directly interact with the Al or ML enhancement? A: Yes	16.	Are end users or those impacted by the enhancement particularly vulnerable?					
	☐ B: Yes, with staff support		☑ A: Yes, end users are in multiple vulnerable groups					
	☐ C: I don't know		☐ B: Yes, end users are in at least one vulnerable group					
	☐ D: Not directly, staff will mediate access		☐ C: I don't know					
	☑ E: No		\square D: End users are not vulnerable now but could be					
			□ E: No					
17.	Will the proposed capability create or exacerbate barriers for persons with disabilities?	18.	Are there disclosure or permission-requesting requirements for using data that people are depicted in?					
	☐ A: Yes		☐ A: Yes					
	☐ C: I don't know		☐ C: I don't know					
	☑ D: No		☐ D: Not applicable					
	☐ E: No, it will help break down barriers		☑ E: No					
19.	Are people or groups who are potentially impacted by the proposed AI process represented in the training data set?		20. Will the AI enhancement impact the rights, wellbeing or dignity of individuals?					
	□ A: No		☐ A: Yes, negatively					
	☐ C: I don't know	☐ B: Yes, positively for some people						
	☐ D: Not applicable	☐ C: I don't know						
	☑ E: Yes		☐ D: Yes, positively for all people					
			☑ E: No					
	Data							
21.	Will the enhancement use data that contains personal information at any point in the automated system?	22.	Will the enhancement use copyrighted or otherwise restricted information at any point in the proposed AI system?					
	☐ A: Yes		□ A: Yes					
	☐ B: Yes, a limited amount		☐ B: Yes, a limited amount					
	☐ C: I don't know		☐ C: I don't know					
	☐ D: Yes, but it is removed	l	☐ D: Yes, but we have permission					
	☑ E: No		☑ E: No					

23. Will your organization be supplying data to train, validate or fine tune the automated system? These data usually consist of machine-readable digital content with the accompanying metadata or labels at the granularity, specificity, or standard you want the model to recognize. For example, e-book files with their corresponding MARC catalog records would train a model to create MARC records from e-books.
☑ A: No, we will use a pre trained system
☐ B: No, we will need to create training or validation data
☐ C: I don't know if we have training data for this use case
☐ D: Yes, but we still need to assemble it
☐ E: Yes, we have it identified and ready to transfer
☐ F: Other, describe:
24. All data contains some type of bias or unbalance. Do you have a process to test or review datasets against biases or other unbalanced or unexpected outcomes?
, , ,
unexpected outcomes?
unexpected outcomes? □ A: We are not aware of biases and do not review for imbalanced outcomes
unexpected outcomes? ☐ A: We are not aware of biases and do not review for imbalanced outcomes ☐ B: We are aware of biases and do not review for imbalanced outcomes
unexpected outcomes? ☐ A: We are not aware of biases and do not review for imbalanced outcomes ☐ B: We are aware of biases and do not review for imbalanced outcomes ☐ C: I don't know
unexpected outcomes? □ A: We are not aware of biases and do not review for imbalanced outcomes □ B: We are aware of biases and do not review for imbalanced outcomes □ C: I don't know ☑ D: We are aware of biases and have expert staff review for imbalanced outcomes

Assessment:

Count and add up your marked answers in the chart below:

Section	Α	В	С	D	Е	subtotals
What & Why	1	4	1	2	1	9
Who?	1	0	1	1	5	8
Data	1	0	0	1	2	4
Subtotals	3	4	2	4	8	21

Mostly As - Very high-risk use case. Plan for significant research, development and testing before implementation. Performance must meet the current state of the art for AI safety, trustworthiness, and quality. Quality benchmarks and controls need to be developed and thoroughly tested for even and consistent performance.

A lot of Bs - High risk use case. Experiment, test and pilot these solutions prior to implementation. Baseline quality metrics need to be established.

Primarily Cs - More information and detail are needed prior to moving forward.

A lot of Fs - Moderate risk use case. If you want to buy or acquire AI services for this risk level, verify published performance metrics are achievable with your data and use case.

Mostly Es - Low risk use case. It is likely appropriate to research and purchase tools or services available in the marketplace that meet quality standards.

A mix? Where do you have the most As and Bs? That will tell you where to focus risk mitigation efforts. Your Ds and Es will point to where the conditions for risk are lowering.

These scores are not final nor are they exact. They are meant to inform a first level assessment of risk, feasibility, and prioritization for proposed AI use cases. The discussion and reflection this questionnaire facilitate are more significant than the score. There are a range of risks and costs involved in the implementation of AI. A higher risk level generally signifies higher costs and resources required for implementation¹.

¹ Risk categories are inspired by the FADGI evaluation parameters. Many of the questions are based on the Algorithmic Impact Assessment (Canada): https://canada-ca.github.io/aia-eia-is/