**Abstract** (~200 words)

**Introduction**

Human brain development is characterized by precisely-timed changes to gene expression across the lifespan, particularly at the prenatal to postnatal transition (Colantuoni et al., 2011; Jaffe et al., 2015; Kang et al., 2011). These transcriptomic changes are tightly regulated at every stage in the RNA life cycle, from first being transcribed from chromatin-bound DNA, to potentially undergoing splicing and post-transcriptional modifications, being exported to the cytosol, being targeted to a specific cytosolic region, and undergoing translation to create proteins that drive developmental processes and fulfill the molecular needs of the cell.

One level of regulation used is the compartmentalization of the whole transcriptome by the nuclear membrane. Separating nuclear and cytosolic RNA establishes unique RNA profiles in both compartments due in part to the temporal requirements of transcription. The nucleus has long been known as the site of transcription, and most splicing of pre-mRNA to mature mRNA also occurs co-transcriptionally in the nucleus (Djebali et al., 2012; Tilgner et al., 2012). This leads immature pre-mRNA and longer genes that take more time to be exported to be overrepresented in the nucleus compared to cytosol (Pandya-Jones et al., 2013; Solnestam et al., 2012; Zaghlool et al., 2013). Recent studies have also highlighted the role of the nuclear membrane as a transcriptional noise buffering mechanism, filtering stochastic bursts of gene expression from the cytosol by retaining mature mRNA transcripts in the nucleus (Bahar Halpern et al., 2015; Battich, Stoeger, & Pelkmans, 2015). In addition to buffering transcriptional bursts, nuclear retention can regulate the timing of activity of a transcript in the cytoplasm (Prasanth et al., 2005). The nuclear compartment also acts as a quality control gate, keeping misprocessed transcripts from contaminating the cytosol by sequestering them in the nucleus or targeting them for degradation. Recent studies have suggested that this gate may be disrupted in neurodegenerative disorders, including Alzheimer’s Disease, fronto-temporal dementia and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (refs).

The mechanisms by which import and export decisions are made across the nuclear membrane are myriad and an area of active research. No one mechanism applies to all transcripts differentially localized by compartment. For instance, RNA editing of *CTN-RNA* transcript in mouse leads to nuclear retention until needed in the cytosol under conditions of physiologic stress, although global characterization of editing patterns shows RNA editing not to be broadly necessary for nuclear retention (Chen, 2013; Prasanth et al., 2005). Alternative splicing, particularly intron retention, has been shown to signal regulation across RNA fraction as a mechanism to suppress lowly expressed transcripts (Boutz, Bhutkar, & Sharp, 2015; Braunschweig et al., 2014). This suppression can occur via nuclear retention by nuclear surveillance machinery and degradation by exosomes, or via nonsense mediated decay (NMD) in the cytosol. Alternatively, retained introns have also been implicated in providing ID sequence that targets transcripts to dendrites in neurons (Buckley et al., 2011). Alternative cleavage and polyadenylation (APA), often associated with retained introns toward the 3’ end of a transcript, is another mechanism affecting subcellular localization in that nuclear-enriched transcripts often use distal APA sites compared to cytosol; these longer 3’UTRs are predicted to contain more miRNA binding sites, potentially leading to greater miRNA-mediated degradation in the cytoplasm (Neve, Burger, Li, Hoque, & Patel, 2016). Many of these mechanisms are facilitated by RNA binding proteins (RBPs), such as PTB1, TDP-43, NOVA, DICER, and ADAR2 (Ameur et al., 2011; Anantharaman et al., 2017; Neve et al., 2016; Yap, Lim, Khandelia, Friedman, & Makeyev, 2012).

Interestingly, many of these RNA trafficking mechanisms are particularly prevalent in brain, and have been shown to play a role in development. For instance, intron retention is most prevalent in neural and immune cell lineages (Braunschweig et al., 2014), and serves to down-regulate genes involved in other lineage fates during granulopoiesis and neural differentiation (Wong et al., 2013; Yap et al., 2012). RNA editing has also been shown to be developmentally regulated in human brain, with a subset of editing sites associated with neuronal maturation (Hwang et al., 2016). Given this accumulation of evidence, subcellular RNA localization may therefore play an underappreciated role in the etiology of developmental brain disorders. Although nuclear and cytosolic transcriptomes have been assessed using in vitro models, subcellular fractions have not yet been characterized in cortical brain tissue.

Characterizing the nuclear and cytosolic fractions in human brain has additional relevance given the increasingly frequent use of nuclear RNA in single cell and cell population-based studies of human brain (Lacar et al., 2017; Lake et al., 2016). Because frozen post-mortem brain tissue is extremely difficult to dissociate to a single cell suspension, most protocols instead isolate nuclei, which are more robust to degradation (Grindberg et al., 2016). In transcriptomic studies, this limits analysis to the nuclear RNA fraction. Understanding the differences in composition between compartments over human brain development will help inform future studies using nuclear RNA without a comparable cytosolic fraction.

To address these questions in human brain tissue, we characterized the nuclear and cytosolic transcriptome in developing and mature prefrontal cortex using two RNA sequencing library preparation methods and examined distributions of gene sets associated with neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders. We show that [ … ]

**Results** (One subsection per point/figure, ~2800 words)

As described in Supplementary Figure 1, we sequenced nuclear and cytosolic RNA isolated from three prenatal and three adult human brains. Because total RNA from a given sample is dominated by rRNA, different strategies can be employed to improve the signal of other RNA species in the sequencing data (Cui et al., 2010; Li et al., 2014; Sultan et al., 2014). “PolyA” library preparation selects polyadenylated transcripts via a pull-down step, while “Ribozero” library preparation relies on a rRNA depletion step. These strategies when compared result in a preference for mature mRNA and unpolyadenylated transcripts (e.g., ncRNA or pre-mRNA), respectively, as seen in Figure 1A. Together, these library methods capture the transcriptomic diversity in these subcellular compartments in developing human brain. One adult nuclear “Ribozero” sample failed quality control and was discarded. Demographic and sequencing information can be found in Supplementary Table 1. Two prenatal cytosolic “PolyA” sample had higher read depth and were downsampled to a comparable sequence depth. In total 43,610 measured ensembl genes were expressed across the samples.

The quality of fractionation was confirmed by determining that genes known to localize either to the nucleus (i.e., *MALAT1*) or cytosol (i.e., *ACTB*, *FMR1*) were significantly enriched in the appropriate compartment overall (FDR<0.01; Figure 1B), although prenatal samples showed less enrichment than adult (FDR=1.15e-6 and FDR=9.55e-9 for ACTB and MALAT1 in adult, versus 0.17 and 0.44 in prenatal, respectively).

*The nuclear and cytosolic transcriptome in human brain*

We first defined the RNA content differences between subcellular fractions and replicated many characteristics that have previously been described (Bahar Halpern et al., 2015; Bhatt et al., 2012; Djebali et al., 2012; Reddy et al., 2016; Solnestam et al., 2012; Tilgner et al., 2012; Zaghlool et al., 2013). In particular, pre-mRNA is a dominant RNA species in the nucleus compared to the cytosol. The proportion of reads aligning to introns was greater in the nucleus than the cytosol, particularly in ribozero samples, indicating a greater proportion of immature, unpolyadenylated transcripts (Figure 1C). Likewise, the proportion of reads spanning splice junctions was lower in the nuclear fraction than in cytosolic, another indication of incompletely spliced pre-mRNA in that compartment (Figure 1D). Genes that are significantly more abundant in the nucleus were overall longer than genes significantly more abundant in the cytoplasm, perhaps due to the longer temporal requirement for transcription and passive diffusion through the nuclear pore into the cytoplasm (Figure 1E).

Despite the prevalence of pre-mRNA compared to mature mRNA in the nucleus versus cytoplasm, expression patterns were overall similar between fractions. Principal component analysis revealed that sample age and library type were the largest contributors to transcriptomic variation in the data, explaining 53% and 35% of the variance and representing the first and second principal components, respectively (Figure 1F). Assessing developmental changes in both fractions identified similar numbers of differentially expressed genes; most genes with FDR < 0.05 and log2 fold change (LFC) > 1 overlapped, and most expression trajectories followed the same direction (Supplemental Figure 2). That the nuclear transcriptome can broadly stand in for the total transcriptome has implications for cell type-specific studies in human post-mortem brain that rely on fluorescence-activated nuclear sorting.

*Differences between subcellular fraction expression patterns by age*

Despite the above similarities in fraction profiles, developing prenatal cortex and mature adult cortex exhibit unique RNA localization patterns across the nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions. Specifically, subcellular compartment transcriptomes in prenatal brain seem to be more similar to one another than in adult tissue (Figure 2A). This is particularly true in polyA samples but is also the case in Ribozero samples (Supplemental Figure 3A). We identified 1,892-1,894 genes differentially expressed by fraction in adult cortex, but only 30-40 genes differentially expressed in prenatal cortex (abs(log2 fold change (LFC)) ≥ 1; FDR ≤ 0.05). Interestingly, most differentially localized genes in prenatal samples were retained in the nucleus. Although few genes are significantly regulated by fraction in prenatal brain, most genes are expressed in the same direction between fractions (i.e., greater in nuclear RNA or greater in cytosolic RNA) in both developmental stages (Figure 2B). RNA transcripts preferentially localizing to the cytoplasm are mostly protein-coding, while noncoding RNA species are preferentially retained in the nucleus, particularly in Ribozero samples (Figure 2C). Annotation of genes expressed in the nucleus reflects the diversity of transcription within this compartment, including pseudogenes, long and short non-coding RNA species—such as lincRNA, antisense RNA, miRNA, and snoRNA—as well as a perhaps surprisingly large proportion of mRNA.

In addition to many non-coding RNA species, there still are found many protein-coding genes that are more abundant in the nucleus at both developmental stages. The higher expression of many mRNA transcripts in the nucleus may be influenced by contamination with immature pre-mRNA sequence. To reduce the 5’ bias of incompletely transcribed RNA, we measured expression differences limited to the last exon of each gene. Prenatal brain tissue still showed less variation in the nuclear and cytosolic transcriptomes in both polyA and Ribozero samples (Supplemental Figure 3B & 3C). These results suggest that as the brain matures, nuclear retention of RNA becomes a more utilized regulatory strategy in cells of the brain.

We next examined the relationship between gene expression changes by age in groups of genes differentially expressed by fraction (Figure 2D). Most sets do not show a relationship between expression across age and localization; however, in cytosolic RNA, in genes retained in adult cortex but exported in prenatal cortex (FDR < 0.05), all are greater expressed in prenatal cortex. In nuclear RNA, not all are significantly differentially expressed by age, but the same pattern occurs. On the other hand, in genes retained in prenatal cortex but exported in adult cortex (FDR < 0.05), in cytosolic RNA, all are greater expressed in adult. In nuclear RNA, none are significantly DE by age although there was a trend toward enrichment in adult cortex. (see .csv of values)

*Gene set compartmentalization analyses*

Gene ontology of genes significantly regulated by fraction emphasizes their potential compartment-specific roles; for instance, cytosol-enriched genes are associated with GO terms involving the cytoplasm and mitochondria (Supplemental Figure 4A). These GO term associations were also found when using only the last exon coverage. Overall, fewer GO terms were enriched in the nuclear genes, perhaps reflecting greater heterogeneity in this gene set (Supplemental Figure 4B).

We next performed Disease Ontology (DO) Semantic and Enrichment analysis on the sets of genes differentially expressed by fraction and age (abs(LFC) ≥ 1; FDR ≤ 0.05). We found no enrichment for gene sets involved in known developmental disorders such as schizophrenia [elaborate]. A complete table of gene set results in terms of compartmentalization is available in Table 1. However, several other disease terms were enriched in these sets, as shown in Figure 3A. One of the genes that was nuclear-enriched in prenatal but not adult samples is *NPAP1*, a gene imprinted in Prader-Willi syndrome that encodes a protein associated with the nuclear pore complex (Neumann et al., 2012). *NPAP1* is significantly enriched in the nucleus particularly in Ribozero samples, but only in prenatal cortex (Figure 3B).

Interestingly, genes with a significant interaction between subcellular localization and age were enriched for involvement in Alzheimer’s disease and tauopathies (FDR < 0.002; Figure 3A). As the subcellular compartments are globally more similar in prenatal than adult samples, many of these genes are more highly expressed in adult than prenatal, with greater expression in adult cytosol compared to nucleus. *APOE* is an example of this expression pattern (Figure 3C). Several Alzheimer’s genes, however, exhibit other patterns of interaction between fraction and age (Figure 3D). For instance, expression of *ELK1—*a transcription factor that regulates early action gene expression and is implicated in regulating chromatin remodeling, SRE-dependent transcription, and neuronal differentiation—is increased in adult nucleus. ELK1 protein abundance is tightly regulated by subcellular compartment, as Elk-1 overexpression in the cytosol can lead to cell death (Besnard, Galan-Rodriguez, Vanhoutte, & Caboche, 2011). *CASP3*, a caspase that cleaves Tau protein and contributes to the toxic neurofibrillary tangles associated with Alzheimer’s Disease (Jarero-Basulto et al., 2013), is overall relatively more expressed in prenatal brain, but in adult brain it is elevated in nucleus compared to cytosol. *MOK*, part of the MAP kinase superfamily (Miyata, Akashi, & Nishida, 1999), and *MTHFR*, an enzyme involved with regulation of cellular folate homeostasis (Peng et al., 2015), share a similar pattern of higher expression in prenatal overall, and higher expression in adult nucleus compared to cytosol.

Without a fold change cutoff, genes that are more abundant in the cytosol in both adult and prenatal samples are enriched for genes associated with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and motor neuron disease (FDR < 0.03).

*Alternative splicing patterns across subcellular fraction and age*

Because alternative splicing—particularly intron retention—has been implicated as a mechanism of localization of transcripts within the cell and can play a role in regulating developmental gene expression, we characterized alternative splicing across the polyA samples including skipped exons (SE), skipping of two exons (S2E), retained introns (RI), mutually exclusive exons (MXE), proximal and distal alternative 5’ splice site use (A5SS.P and A5SS.D), proximal and distal alternative 3’ splice site use (A3SS.P and A3SS.D), alternative first exon use (AFE) and alternative last exon use (ALE). Across all samples, skipped exons and retained introns represented the greatest fraction of unique splice variants identified (22.7% and 28.1%, respectively; Supplementary Figure 5A). More unique splice variants were identified in nuclear than cytosolic RNA, and a greater number in prenatal than adult samples (Supplementary Figure 5B). This is in agreement with prenatal cortex showing a higher proportion of splice junctions than adult cortex (Figure 1D). Testing differential splice variant use by fraction shows that intron retention is overrepresented in differentially spliced variants in both adult (FDR<0.05; p < 2.2e-16, fisher exact test) and prenatal samples (FDR<0.05; p = 6.616e-09, fisher exact test). As in gene-level expression, far fewer splicing variants were significantly differentially expressed by fraction in prenatal compared to adult (Supplementary Figure 5C). Comparing splice variant expression by age in both nuclear and cytosolic RNA shows the proportion of differentially spliced variant types to be more commensurate with the total proportion of each type (Supplementary Figure 5C).

The direction of splice variant expression by fraction and age was influenced by variant type (Supplementary Figure 5D). For instance, significantly differentially expressed intron retention events were more likely to occur in the nucleus (p < 2.2e-16, fisher exact test) while skipped exons and distal 3’ and 5’ splice sites were more likely to be expressed in the cytosol (p < 1.4e-8, fisher exact test). Comparing splicing patterns by age showed that exon skipping, intron retention, and distal 5’ and 3’ splice site use differing significantly by age in the cytosol were more abundant in prenatal cortex than adult cortex (p < 0.036, fisher exact test). This relationship was also true in nuclear RNA for all but intron retention, which was more abundant in adult than prenatal nuclear RNA (p < 5.1e-4, fisher exact test).

To delve more deeply into intron retention (IR) patterns by fraction and age, we used a program called IRFinder. Introns were filtered very conservatively: introns were removed if they overlapped a known exon, if they overlapped or were near a known antisense transcript, if intron coverage was low or non-uniform, and if splicing at that junction was low. After filtering, 13,763-50,164 introns remained per sample, representing 4,254-7,862 unique genes (Supplementary Figure 5E). 3,889 introns were shared between all four groups. Across samples, 37.70- 64.67% introns are constitutively spliced; 34.42-56.11% have an IR ratio (i.e., intronic reads divided by total intron and flanking exon reads) of greater than zero but less than five percent. Overall, introns in nuclear samples had greater IR ratios than cytosolic samples (t = 59.93, p < 2.2e-16; Figure 4A). Interestingly, although adult samples overall had greater intron retention than prenatal samples (t = 4.8165, p = 7.3e-07), this differed by fraction: intron retention was greater in adult samples in nuclear RNA (t = 11.717, p < 2.2e-16), but greater in prenatal samples in cytosolic RNA (t = -15.03, p < 2.2e-16).

We next looked into the relationship between gene-level RNA localization and intron retention by assigning the maximum IR ratio for each gene with at least one intron passing filtering thresholds. Genes with significantly higher expression in nuclear RNA in both adult and prenatal samples (FDR≤0.05) had overall higher IR ratios than genes enriched in the cytosol (t = 31.185, p < 2.2e-16; Figure 4B). The corollary was also true in that genes containing introns with greater than 50% retention were more likely to be significantly enriched in nuclear RNA than cytosolic RNA (FDR≤0.05; p = 2.59e-09, fisher exact test; Figure 4C). In terms of developmental expression trajectories, globally there is no clear relationship between intron retention and being significantly differentially expressed by age in either cytosolic or nuclear RNA (Supplementary Figure 6A). However, in cytosolic RNA, genes with greater expression in prenatal cortex had overall higher proportion of intron retention (t = 9.5913, p < 2.2e-16) than genes enriched in adult cortex; in nuclear RNA, genes with greater expression in adults have greater proportion of intron retention (t = -5.0201, p = 2.6e-07). In genes with a significant interaction between age and fraction, there was a trend toward greater expression of genes with higher IR (IR ratio > 0.5) in prenatal cortex in cytosolic RNA (p = 0.134, fisher exact test), and a less pronounced trend toward greater adult expression of high IR genes in nuclear RNA (p = 0.182, fisher exact test; Figure 4D).

We then measured differential retention of individual introns by fraction and age, further filtering the introns to a list of 1,407 measured by fraction and 1,145 measured by age. Introns that were differentially retained by fraction or age shared certain characteristics: they tended to be single rather than clustered within a gene (p<0.05, fisher exact test), and were significantly shorter than the full list of measured introns (t = -5.1573, p < 3.3e-07). No difference was found in mean per-base sequence conservation between groups of introns. Intron retention influenced overall gene level expression patterns; for instance, in both adult and prenatal cortex, introns retained by fraction were more likely to be in genes whose expression also localized by fraction (FDR<0.05; p < 0.01, fisher exact test). Particularly in prenatal cortex, genes containing introns differentially retained by fraction are more likely to be higher expressed in nuclear RNA (p = 0.003621, fisher exact test), although overall they were not more likely to have a higher IR ratio in nuclear than cytosolic RNA than non-significantly retained introns. By age, in the cytosol, differential intron retention was more likely to occur in genes whose expression also differed by age (p = 0.03199, fisher exact test); there was a trend for this to be the case in nuclear RNA as well (p = 0.0935, fisher exact test). Adult-enriched introns in both nuclear and cytosolic RNA have greater expression in prenatal cortex (t = 5.2669, p = 2.089e-05; t = 6.9574, p = 1.356e-10).

Individual intron retention patterns were also distinct between fraction and age. Comparing intron retention across cellular fractions, nuclear-enriched introns are closer to the 3’ end of a transcript than cytosol-enriched introns in both adult and prenatal cortex (t = 4.5057, p < 0.0004). Age-associated introns, on the other hand, showed no enrichment for position in a transcript.

Individual intron analysis by age revealed both shared and contrasting developmental changes in IR patterns between the nuclear and cytosolic transcriptomes. In nuclear RNA, significantly differentially retained introns by age are more likely to be present in adult than prenatal cortex (p = 0. 003416, fisher exact test). The same trend was true in the cytosol: although prenatal cortex had a greater number of introns passing filtering than adult, there was a trend toward a greater proportion of significantly differentially retained introns being more abundant in adult cortex (p = 0.1214, fisher exact test). Genes with significantly differentially retained introns by age in nuclear RNA were more likely to be evenly expressed by age than genes without age-associated introns, which are enriched for greater expression in in prenatal cortex (p = 0.0002336, fisher exact test). In cytosolic RNA, on the other hand, genes with significantly differentially retained introns by age trended toward greater expression in prenatal than adult than genes without age-associated introns (p = 0.08126, fisher exact test). The opposite pattern existed concerning introns with high IR ratios (ratio >= 0.5): significantly differentially retained introns by age in nuclear RNA were more likely to have a high IR ratio in adult cortex than non-significantly regulated introns (p = 0.003416, fisher exact test), while there was a trend that those in cytosolic RNA are more likely to have even representation of high IR ratio across prenatal and adult cortex than non-significantly regulated introns, which are more likely to be higher in prenatal (p = 0.1214, fisher exact test).

We next examined the relationship between retention patterns of individual introns across fraction and age to better understand the interaction of these factors. We found greater than background overlap of combined lists of significantly differentially retained introns by fraction and age (p = 2.972e-05, fisher exact test); this relationship was stronger when limiting to introns measured in both settings (p = 4.229e-13, fisher exact test). In all introns measured, introns differentially retained by fraction in prenatal and by age in cytosol were less likely than background to overlap (p = 0.01107, fisher exact test). Differentially retained introns by fraction are not likely to be so in both adult and prenatal cortex (p = 0.001206, fisher exact test). When looking at only shared introns, many groups showed greater than expected overlap of significantly differentially retained introns, including between those in cytosol and nucleus, prenatal and nucleus, adult and nucleus, and adult and cytosol (p < 0.001, fisher exact test). In terms of which direction the overlapping significantly differentially retained introns were, most fraction comparisons were more retained in the nucleus, although 2 of 13 introns regulated by fraction in adult and age in cytosol were enriched in the cytosol. The 13 were greater in prenatal cortex, while the 2 cytosolic introns were greater in adult (p = 0.009524, fisher exact test).

In terms of ontology, cytosol-enriched intron-containing genes were associated with vesicles, endocytosis and phagocytosis, and protein tyrosine kinase activity in adult cortex, and kidney and eye development and cell adhesion molecule binding in prenatal samples (FDR < 0.05); genes with nuclear-retained introns in prenatal are associated with regulation of filopodium assembly (FDR < 0.03).

Because of the potential to act as a localizing barcode, we next looked into prevalence of repetitive elements in the retained introns. Most differentially retained introns did not contain a repetitive element, although the most abundant form present were short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs; Figure 4E-F). In introns regulated by age, increasing introns by age (i.e., adult-enriched) have more repetitive elements in nuclear RNA, while decreasing introns have more in cytosol (Figure 4F). Overall there isn’t a relationship between containing a repeat and if an intron was significantly differentially retained by fraction, although in adult samples, a greater proportion than expected by chance did contain a repeat (p = 0.04651, fisher exact test). By age, differentially retained introns are more likely to contain repeats than introns not associated with age (p = 8.236e-07, fisher exact test). This is true in both cytosolic (p = 0.01061) and nuclear RNA (p = 2.84e-05), and is particularly driven by adult-retained introns (p-value = 1.199e-06), although there is a trend in prenatal retained introns (p-value = 0.09126). Prenatal introns are less enriched for repeats than adult introns (p = 0.03196).

We next looked into particular types of repetitive elements to see if one was driving the association. The distribution of L1 or L2 wasn’t related to whether an intron was differentially retained by fraction or age. There didn’t appear to be a relationship between ALUs and whether an intron was retained or not by fraction, but by age, ALUs were underrepresented in introns significantly regulated by age (p-value = 0.004456). This was driven largely by effects in cytosolic rather than nuclear RNA (p-value = 0.04077); also, mostly prenatal introns rather than adult introns (p-value = 0.002533). In repeat-containing introns, the proportion of simple repeats was higher in introns significantly retained by fraction (p = 0.006974). This effect was greater in fraction-regulated introns in adult samples (p-value = 0.01385), and primarily in nuclear-retained introns (p = 0.006696). In repeat-containing introns, the proportion of simple repeats is greater in significantly retained introns by age (p = 5.329e-05); this is true in both cytosolic and nuclear RNA (p < 0.05). Primarily this is in introns that are retained in adults (p = 0.0001488).

*RNA Editing by Fraction and Age*

We also profiled RNA editing across subcellular fractions in prenatal and adult cortex. 3,064-5,840 editing sites were identified per sample, finding 25,051 unique sites across the dataset. Of these, 75.5% were A-to-I edited sites, the most common editing pattern (Appears as A:G or T:C in our sequencing data; Figure 5A). Of the A-to-I edited sites, 1,025 were shared by all four groups (Figure 5B). Read coverage was fairly even over all samples at edited sites, with median coverage of 11-12 reads per site across samples (Supplementary Figure 7A). In line with previous reports, annotating the A-to-I editing sites showed that 26.5-40.7% fell within intronic sequence and 39.7-53.2% within 3’UTR sequence by group (Figure 5C). For all following analyses, we focused on the A-to-I sites.

To assess the relationship between subcellular localization and age in RNA editing, we first assessed editing rate changes across fraction and age in the 1,025 sites shared among the four groups. As shown in Supplementary Figure 7B, the distribution of unadjusted p-values suggests that age but not fraction influenced editing rates. After adjusting for false discovery rate, 27 sites were associated with age, while only 3 were associated with fraction and 2 with interaction between age and fraction.

However, most editing sites were found in only one group. Figure 5D shows the number of A-I editing sites unique to each group and the percentage of all A-I editing sites in each group that it represents. Where the editing site was located affected the group in which the site was found; for instance, editing sites in introns were more likely to be found in nuclear RNA in both adult and prenatal samples, while 3’UTR editing sites were relatively enriched for the cytosol (p < 2.2e-16, fisher exact test). CDS and 5’UTR editing sites were not associated with RNA fraction. Sites falling outside annotated genes (i.e., “Other”) were more likely to be cytosolic, particularly in adult cortex (p = 0.003324, fisher exact test). By age, in both cytosolic and nuclear RNA, a higher proportion of intronic and 5’UTR editing sites were unique to prenatal than adult cortex (p = 1.421e-11; p = 0.01212), while 3’UTR editing sites were more abundant in adult than prenatal (p = 7.539e-15). CDS and intergenic editing sites were not enriched for age.

* Are cytosolic-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Fraction? Retained or exported DEG and presence or absence of cytosolic-specific editing site
  + both ages: 0.02011, more are exported than expected
  + adult only: 5.862e-05, more retained than expected
  + prenatal only: p-value = 0.0429, more likely exported
* Number of sites within retained or exported DEG and cytosolic-specific or non-specific status
  + Both ages: 0.257
  + Adult only: 0.6555
  + Prenatal only: 0.4256
* Are nuclear-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Fraction? Retained or exported DEG and presence or absence of nuclear-specific editing site
  + Both ages: 0.0001323 more are retained
  + Adult only: p-value < 2.2e-16 more are retained
  + Prenatal only: 6.311e-07 more are retained
* Number of sites within retained or exported DEG and nuclear-specific or non-specific status
  + Both ages: p-value < 2.2e-16
  + Adult only: p-value < 2.2e-16
  + Prenatal only: p-value < 2.2e-16 (all are more retained in nuc)
* Are adult-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Fraction?
  + Both ages: 0.04576, more likely to be retained
  + Adult only: p-value < 2.2e-16, more likely to be retained
  + Prenatal only: 0.001182, more likely to be retained
* Number of sites within retained or exported DEG and adult-specific or non-specific status
  + Both ages: 0.1038
  + Adult only: 0.775
  + Prenatal only: 0.005474, more are retained
* Are prenatal-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Fraction?
  + Both ages: 0.8838
  + Adult only: 2.018e-14, more likely to be retained
  + Prenatal only: 0.5052
* Number of sites within retained or exported DEG and prenatal-specific or non-specific status
  + Both ages: 1.037e-05, more likely to be retained if unique to prenatal
  + Adult only: 5.91e-05, more likely to be retained if unique to prenatal
  + Prenatal only: 1.036e-06, more likely to be retained if unique to prenatal
* Are cytosol-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Age?
  + Cytosol only: p-value < 2.2e-16, higher in prenatal
  + Nucleus only: p-value = 4.254e-13, higher in prenatal
* Number of sites within increasing/decreasing DEG and cytosol -specific or non-specific status
  + Cytosol only: 0.03254,
  + Nucleus only: 0.002069, both greater in prenatal
* Are nucleus-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Age?
  + Cytosol only: p-value < 2.2e-16, more in prenatal
  + Nucleus only: 0.0001743, depleted from adult
* Number of sites within increasing/decreasing DEG and nuclear-specific or non-specific status
  + Cytosol only: p-value = 1.445e-09, adult enriched
  + Nucleus only: p-value < 2.2e-16, increased in adults
* Are adult-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Age?
  + Cytosol only: 0.001126,
  + Nucleus only: 1.853e-12, not clear
* Number of sites within increasing/decreasing DEG and adult-specific or non-specific status
  + Cytosol only: p-value < 2.2e-16
  + Nucleus only: p-value < 2.2e-16, both predominantly adult
* Are prenatal-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Age?
  + Cytosol only: p-value < 2.2e-16
  + Nucleus only: p-value < 2.2e-16, both predominantly prenatal
* Number of sites within increasing/decreasing DEG and prenatal-specific or non-specific status
  + Cytosol only: p-value < 2.2e-16
  + Nucleus only: p-value < 2.2e-16, much more prenatal

We next examined the gene ontology terms associated with each set of unique editing sites as a whole and split by genomic annotation.

Gene Ontology enrichments

* Unsplit by annotation:
  + **KEGG**: editing found in adult only and nucleus only are enriched for glutamatergic synapses (FDR < 4.192453e-02 in adults; FDR < 3.758076e-02 in nucleus) and RNA transport (FDR < 4.192453e-02 in adults; FDR < 3.758076e-02 in nucleus).
    - In prenatal, sites in nucleus but not cytosol are enriched for RNA transport (FDR < 3.646322e-03).
    - In prenatal, cytosol but not nucleus is enriched for ribosomes and RNA polymerase (FDR = 2.988386e-02).
  + **BP**: Ribonucleoprotein complex biogenesis and ncRNA processing were significant in ACnotAN, less so in ANnotAC, cytosolOnly, PCnotAC, PCnotPN, prenatalOnly (FDR < 0.05)
    - In adults, cytosol but is involved in nucleoside metabolic processes, but nucleus is involved in ncRNA and snRNA transcription, and Golgi vesicle transport (FDR < 0.05).
  + **MF**: mostly only adult enriched terms, cadherin binding, protein binding involved in cell adhesion (ACnotPC, adultOnly, ANnotPN, nucleusOnly)
  + **CC**: adultOnly and nucleusOnly associated with nuclear membrane and nuclear envelope
    - Synaptic membrane, postsynaptic membrane, excitatory synapse, and postsynapse associated with ACnotPC, adultOnly, ANnotPN, although weaker ANnotACfor synaptic membrane. AdultOnly associated with other neuronal terms.
  + **DO**: PCnotAC and prenatalOnly associated with intellectual disability, PCnotAC associated weakly with specific developmental disorder.
* Split by annotation: KEGG
  + CytosolOnly: only CDS is enriched for terms, and many involve immune responses and infection. Same with adultOnly, ACnotAN (although that also has mRNA surveillance pathway in 5’UTR), ACnotPC.
  + In PCnotPN and PNnotPC, 3’UTR is enriched for ribosome and RNA transport.
* Split by annotation: BP
  + In cytosolOnly, 3’UTR is associated with ncRNA processing, rRNA processing, ribosome biogenesis, rRNA metabolic process, and ribonucleoprotein complex biogenesis.
  + In nucleusOnly, introns are associated with localization within membrane, RNA processing and splicing, and regulation of long-term neuronal synaptic plasticity.
  + prenatalOnly is also associated with RNA splicing in introns.
  + Although adultOnly didn’t have any enriched terms for any annotation, ANnotAC introns were associated with localization within a membrane, and 3’UTR with rRNA and snRNA process. ACnotAN 3’UTR was associated with ncRNA processing and 5’UTR with mRNA polyadenylation.
  + PCnotAC was associated with ncRNA and rRNA processing in 3’UTR.
  + PCnotPN was associated with ribonucleoprotein complex biogenesis in introns, and ncRNA processing in 3’UTR.
* Split by annotation: CC
  + In cytosolOnly, spliceosomal complexand ER membrane in CDS, mitochondrial terms and ribosomes in 3’UTR.
  + In nuclearOnly, nuclear membrane and envelope, excitatory synapse and other neuronal terms, and synaptic terms, as well as some mitotic terms (microtubule, spindle, centrosome)
  + In adultOnly, CDS is mostly ER terms, introns are ribosomes with some neuronal synapse terms, nuclear membrane, spliceosomal tri-snRNP complex
  + ANnotAC introns are mitochondria, ribosomes, neuronal terms, 5’UTR is chromosomal regions. ACnotAN is mostly CDS terms for ER membranes, 3’UTR is RNA pol complex, membranes.
  + ANnotPN, introns are neuronal terms and ribosomes, ACnotPC is mostly CDS, synaptic membrane, ER membrane, other ER and neuronal terms.
  + PCnotPN is 3’UTR, ribosome and mitochondrial terms, PNnotPC is similar in 3’UTR and one CDS term of rough ER membrane.
* Split by annotation: DO
  + nuclearOnly, introns and lateral sclerosis (FDR = 0.021). AdultOnly is CDS, multiple sclerosis, demyelinating disease, mitochondrial myopathy, mitochondrial metabolism disease, movement disease.
  + ACnotAN, several infectious diseases in 5’UTR.
  + ANnotPN, same as adult only in CDS.
  + PNnotAN and PCnotAC, PCnotAC, same as prenatalOnly in CDS.
  + ACnotPC, same as adultOnly in CDS.
  + PCnotPN has several cancer terms in CDS.
* Are cytosolic-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Fraction? Retained or exported DEG and presence or absence of cytosolic-specific editing site
  + both ages: 0.02011, more are exported than expected
  + adult only: 5.862e-05, more retained than expected
  + prenatal only: p-value = 0.0429, more likely exported
* Number of sites within retained or exported DEG and cytosolic-specific or non-specific status
  + Both ages: 0.257
  + Adult only: 0.6555
  + Prenatal only: 0.4256
* Are nuclear-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Fraction? Retained or exported DEG and presence or absence of nuclear-specific editing site
  + Both ages: 0.0001323 more are retained
  + Adult only: p-value < 2.2e-16 more are retained
  + Prenatal only: 6.311e-07 more are retained
* Number of sites within retained or exported DEG and nuclear-specific or non-specific status
  + Both ages: p-value < 2.2e-16
  + Adult only: p-value < 2.2e-16
  + Prenatal only: p-value < 2.2e-16 (all are more retained in nuc)
* Are adult-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Fraction?
  + Both ages: 0.04576, more likely to be retained
  + Adult only: p-value < 2.2e-16, more likely to be retained
  + Prenatal only: 0.001182, more likely to be retained
* Number of sites within retained or exported DEG and adult-specific or non-specific status
  + Both ages: 0.1038
  + Adult only: 0.775
  + Prenatal only: 0.005474, more are retained
* Are prenatal-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Fraction?
  + Both ages: 0.8838
  + Adult only: 2.018e-14, more likely to be retained
  + Prenatal only: 0.5052
* Number of sites within retained or exported DEG and prenatal-specific or non-specific status
  + Both ages: 1.037e-05, more likely to be retained if unique to prenatal
  + Adult only: 5.91e-05, more likely to be retained if unique to prenatal
  + Prenatal only: 1.036e-06, more likely to be retained if unique to prenatal
* Are cytosol-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Age?
  + Cytosol only: p-value < 2.2e-16, higher in prenatal
  + Nucleus only: p-value = 4.254e-13, higher in prenatal
* Number of sites within increasing/decreasing DEG and cytosol -specific or non-specific status
  + Cytosol only: 0.03254,
  + Nucleus only: 0.002069, both greater in prenatal
* Are nucleus-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Age?
  + Cytosol only: p-value < 2.2e-16, more in prenatal
  + Nucleus only: 0.0001743, depleted from adult
* Number of sites within increasing/decreasing DEG and nuclear-specific or non-specific status
  + Cytosol only: p-value = 1.445e-09, adult enriched
  + Nucleus only: p-value < 2.2e-16, increased in adults
* Are adult-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Age?
  + Cytosol only: 0.001126,
  + Nucleus only: 1.853e-12, not clear
* Number of sites within increasing/decreasing DEG and adult-specific or non-specific status
  + Cytosol only: p-value < 2.2e-16
  + Nucleus only: p-value < 2.2e-16, both predominantly adult
* Are prenatal-specific editing sites enriched for DEG Age?
  + Cytosol only: p-value < 2.2e-16
  + Nucleus only: p-value < 2.2e-16, both predominantly prenatal
* Number of sites within increasing/decreasing DEG and prenatal-specific or non-specific status
  + Cytosol only: p-value < 2.2e-16
  + Nucleus only: p-value < 2.2e-16, much more prenatal

*RNA Binding Proteins by Fraction and Age*

*Nuclear retention of RNA in different cell types*

**Discussion** (~1000 words)

* Talk about disease, ALS, APOE intron retention
* Talk about Ameur 2011 whole cell differences, Jaffe whole cell difference
* Differences between prenatal and adult brain cells:
  + Proliferation (cell cycle), potency (differentiation), cell type, connectivity
* Profiling in vivo tissue rather than in vitro: pitfalls, but also benefits
* Factors affecting transcript localization across cytosol and nucleus:
  + Intron retention
  + 3’ UTR length
  + Transcript length
  + RNA secondary structure
  + Transcript isoform (junctions)
  + RNA-editing
  + miRNA degradation
  + NPC dynamics (density, composition)
  + Sequence motifs and predicted RNA-binding protein motifs
  + Temporal dimension: what is being transcribed (1st) vs. exported (2nd)

**Extended Methods**

*Post-Mortem Brain Samples*

Three prenatal and three adult human postmortem brains were selected from the collection of the Lieber Institute for Brain Development for use in this study. Brains in this collection were acquired, dissected, and characterized as described previously (Jaffe et al., 2015; Lipska et al., 2006). Briefly, post-mortem human brain was obtained by autopsy primarily from the Offices of the Chief Medical Examiner of the District of Columbia and the Commonwealth of Virginia, Northern District after informed consent from legal next of kin (protocol 90-M-0142 approved by the NIMH/NIH Institutional Review Board). Brain tissue was stored and dissected at the Clinical Center, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland and at the Lieber Institute for Brain Development in Baltimore, Maryland. Brain material was donated and transferred to the Lieber Institute under an approved Material Transfer Agreement. Clinical characterization, diagnoses, toxicological analysis, and macro- and microscopic neuropathological examinations were performed on all samples using a standardized protocol approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Maryland at Baltimore and the State of Maryland. Subjects with evidence of macro- or microscopic neuropathology, drug use, alcohol abuse or psychiatric illness were excluded. Demographic information on the six brains is included in Supplementary Table 1.

*Cytosolic and Nuclear RNA Purification and Sequencing*

A diagram of the study design is included in Supplementary Figure 1. Homogenate gray matter from the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) approximating BA46/9 in adults and the corresponding region of PFC in prenatal samples were used for RNA extraction. To purify cytosolic from nuclear RNA, we used the Norgen Biotek Corp. Cytoplasmic and Nuclear RNA Purification Kit (Cat # 21000, 37400) following the manufacturer’s protocol including the optional DNase I treatment. RNA-sequencing libraries were prepared from each RNA fraction using PolyA-selection (“PolyA”; Illumina TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prep Kit, Cat #RS-122-2201) and rRNA-depletion (“Ribozero”; Illumina Ribo-Zero Gold Kit (Human/Mouse/Rat), Cat # MRZG126) protocols to enrich for mRNA species. The resulting 24 libraries were then sequenced on one lane of an Illumina HiSeq 2000; the Illumina Real Time Analysis (RTA) module performed image analysis and base calling, and ran the BCL converter (CASAVA v1.8.2), generating FASTQ files containing the sequencing reads.

*Data Processing and Quality Control*

All samples including ENCODE samples were aligned and processed using the Lieber RNA-seq pipeline. Etc…

**References**

Ameur, A., Zaghlool, A., Halvardson, J., Wetterbom, A., Gyllensten, U., Cavelier, L., & Feuk, L. (2011). Total RNA sequencing reveals nascent transcription and widespread co-transcriptional splicing in the human brain. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, *18*(12), 1435–1440. http://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2143

Anantharaman, A., Tripathi, V., Khan, A., Yoon, J.-H., Singh, D. K., Gholamalamdari, O., … Prasanth, K. V. (2017). ADAR2 regulates RNA stability by modifying access of decay-promoting RNA-binding proteins. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *45*(7), gkw1304. http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1304

Bahar Halpern, K., Caspi, I., Lemze, D., Levy, M., Landen, S., Elinav, E., … Itzkovitz, S. (2015). Nuclear Retention of mRNA in Mammalian Tissues. *Cell Reports*, *13*(12), 2653–2662. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.11.036

Battich, N., Stoeger, T., & Pelkmans, L. (2015). Control of Transcript Variability in Single Mammalian Cells. *Cell*, *163*(7), 1596–1610. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.018

Besnard, A., Galan-Rodriguez, B., Vanhoutte, P., & Caboche, J. (2011). Elk-1 a transcription factor with multiple facets in the brain. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *5*, 35.

Bhatt, D. M., Pandya-Jones, A., Tong, A. J., Barozzi, I., Lissner, M. M., Natoli, G., … Smale, S. T. (2012). Transcript dynamics of proinflammatory genes revealed by sequence analysis of subcellular RNA fractions. *Cell*, *150*(2), 279–290. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.043

Boutz, P. L., Bhutkar, A., & Sharp, P. A. (2015). Detained introns are a novel, widespread class of post-transcriptionally spliced introns. *Genes and Development*, *29*(1), 63–80. http://doi.org/10.1101/gad.247361.114

Braunschweig, U., Barbosa-Morais, N. L., Pan, Q., Nachman, E. N., Alipanahi, B., Gonatopoulos-Pournatzis, T., … Blencowe, B. J. (2014). Widespread intron retention in mammals functionally tunes transcriptomes. *Genome Research*, 1774–1786. http://doi.org/10.1101/gr.177790.114

Buckley, P. T., Lee, M. T., Sul, J. Y., Miyashiro, K. Y., Bell, T. J., Fisher, S. A., … Eberwine, J. (2011). Cytoplasmic Intron Sequence-Retaining Transcripts Can Be Dendritically Targeted via ID Element Retrotransposons. *Neuron*, *69*(5), 877–884. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.02.028

Chen, L. (2013). Characterization and comparison of human nuclear and cytosolic editomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(29), E2741–E2747. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218884110

Colantuoni, C., Lipska, B. K., Ye, T., Hyde, T. M., Tao, R., Leek, J. T., … Kleinman, J. E. (2011). Temporal dynamics and genetic control of transcription in the human prefrontal cortex. *Nature*, *478*(7370), 519–23. http://doi.org/10.1038/nature10524

Cui, P., Lin, Q., Ding, F., Xin, C., Gong, W., Zhang, L., … Yu, J. (2010). A comparison between ribo-minus RNA-sequencing and polyA-selected RNA-sequencing. *Genomics*, *96*(5), 259–265. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2010.07.010

Djebali, S., Davis, C. A., Merkel, A., Dobin, A., Lassmann, T., Mortazavi, A., … Gingeras, T. R. (2012). Landscape of transcription in human cells. *Nature*, *489*(7414), 101–8. http://doi.org/10.1038/nature11233

Grindberg, R. V, Krishnaswami, S. R., Novotny, M., Venepally, P., Lacar, B., Bhutani, K., … Lasken, R. S. (2016). Using single nuclei for RNA-Seq to capture the transcriptome of postmortem neurons. *Nature Protocols*, *in press*(3). http://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2016-015

Hwang, T., Park, C.-K., Leung, A. K. L., Gao, Y., Hyde, T. M., Kleinman, J. E., … Weinberger, D. R. (2016). Dynamic regulation of RNA editing in human brain development and disease. *Nature Neuroscience*, (June), 1–9. http://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4337

Jaffe, A. E., Shin, J., Collado-Torres, L., Leek, J. T., Tao, R., Li, C., … Weinberger, D. R. (2015). Developmental regulation of human cortex transcription and its clinical relevance at single base resolution. *Nature Neuroscience*, *18*(1), 154–161. http://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3898

Jarero-Basulto, J. J., Luna-Muñoz, J., Mena, R., Kristofikova, Z., Ripova, D., Perry, G., … Garcia-Sierra, F. (2013). Proteolytic cleavage of polymeric tau protein by caspase-3: implications for Alzheimer disease. *Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology*, *72*(12), 1145–61. http://doi.org/10.1097/NEN.0000000000000013

Kang, H. J., Kawasawa, Y. I., Cheng, F., Zhu, Y., Xu, X., Li, M., … Sestan, N. (2011). Spatio-temporal transcriptome of the human brain. *Nature*, *478*(7370), 483–9. http://doi.org/10.1038/nature10523

Lacar, B., Linker, S. B., Jaeger, B. N., Krishnaswami, S. R., Barron, J. J., Kelder, M. J. E., … Gage, F. H. (2017). Nuclear RNA-seq of single neurons reveals molecular signatures of activation. *Nature Communications*, *8*, 15047. http://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15047

Lake, B. B., Ai, R., Kaeser, G. E., Salathia, N. S., Yung, Y. C., Liu, R., … Zhang, K. (2016). Neuronal subtypes and diversity revealed by single-nucleus RNA sequencing of the human brain. *Science*, *352*(6293), 1586–1590. http://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf1204

Li, S., Tighe, S. W., Nicolet, C. M., Grove, D., Levy, S., Farmerie, W., … Mason, C. E. (2014). Multi-platform assessment of transcriptome profiling using RNA-seq in the ABRF next-generation sequencing study. *Nature Biotechnology*, *32*(9). http://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2972

Lipska, B. K., Deep-Soboslay, A., Weickert, C. S., Hyde, T. M., Martin, C. E., Herman, M. M., & Kleinman, J. E. (2006). Critical Factors in Gene Expression in Postmortem Human Brain: Focus on Studies in Schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry*, *60*(6), 650–658. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.06.019

Miyata, Y., Akashi, M., & Nishida, E. (1999). Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel member of the MAP kinase superfamily. *Genes Cells*, *4*(5), 299–309.

Neumann, L. C., Markaki, Y., Mladenov, E., Hoffmann, D., Buiting, K., & Horsthemke, B. (2012). The imprinted npap1/c15orf2 gene in the prader-willi syndrome region encodes a nuclear pore complex associated protein. *Human Molecular Genetics*, *21*(18), 4038–4048. http://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/dds228

Neve, J., Burger, K., Li, W., Hoque, M., & Patel, R. (2016). Subcellular RNA profiling links splicing and nuclear DICER1 to alternative cleavage and polyadenylation. *Genome Research*, 24–35. http://doi.org/10.1101/gr.193995.115

Pandya-Jones, A., Bhatt, D. M., Lin, C.-H., Tong, A.-J., Smale, S. T., & Black, D. L. (2013). Splicing kinetics and transcript release from the chromatin compartment limit the rate of Lipid A-induced gene expression. *RNA (New York, N.Y.)*, *19*(6), 811–27. http://doi.org/10.1261/rna.039081.113

Peng, Q., Lao, X., Huang, X., Qin, X., Li, S., & Zeng, Z. (2015). The MTHFR C677T polymorphism contributes to increased risk of Alzheimer’s Disease: Evidence based on 40 case-control studies. *Neuroscience Letters*, *586*, 36–42. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2014.11.049

Prasanth, K. V., Prasanth, S. G., Xuan, Z., Hearn, S., Freier, S. M., Bennett, C. F., … Spector, D. L. (2005). Regulating gene expression through RNA nuclear retention. *Cell*, *123*(2), 249–263. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.08.033

Reddy, A. S., O’Brien, D., Pisat, N., Weichselbaum, C. T., Sakers, K., Lisci, M., … Dougherty, J. D. (2016). A Comprehensive Analysis of Cell Type–Specific Nuclear RNA From Neurons and Glia of the Brain. *Biological Psychiatry*, 1–13. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.02.021

Solnestam, B. W., Stranneheim, H., Hällman, J., Käller, M., Lundberg, E., Lundeberg, J., & Akan, P. (2012). Comparison of total and cytoplasmic mRNA reveals global regulation by nuclear retention and miRNAs. *BMC Genomics*, *13*, 574. http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-574

Sultan, M., Amstislavskiy, V., Risch, T., Schuette, M., Dökel, S., Ralser, M., … Yaspo, M.-L. (2014). Influence of RNA extraction methods and library selection schemes on RNA-seq data. *BMC Genomics*, *15*(1), 675. http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-675

Tilgner, H., Knowles, D. G., Johnson, R., Davis, C. a., Chakrabortty, S., Djebali, S., … Guigó, R. (2012). Deep sequencing of subcellular RNA fractions shows splicing to be predominantly co-transcriptional in the human genome but inefficient for lncRNAs. *Genome Research*, *22*, 1616–1625. http://doi.org/10.1101/gr.134445.111

Wong, J. J., Ritchie, W., Ebner, O. A., Selbach, M., Wong, J. W. H., Huang, Y., … Rasko, J. E. J. (2013). Orchestrated Intron Retention Regulates Normal Granulocyte Differentiation. *Cell*, *154*(3), 583–595. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.06.052

Yap, K., Lim, Z. Q., Khandelia, P., Friedman, B., & Makeyev, E. V. (2012). Coordinated regulation of neuronal mRNA steady-state levels through developmentally controlled intron retention. *Genes and Development*, *26*(11), 1209–1223. http://doi.org/10.1101/gad.188037.112

Zaghlool, A., Ameur, A., Nyberg, L., Halvardson, J., Grabherr, M., Cavelier, L., & Feuk, L. (2013). Efficient cellular fractionation improves RNA sequencing analysis of mature and nascent transcripts from human tissues. *BMC Biotechnology*, *13*, 99. http://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6750-13-99