

# MESA tutorial: Session 3

## Late Evolution of Massive Stars

### 1 Energy Production in Massive Stars

Let's explore the contributions of various nuclear reaction chains to the bolometric luminosities of massive stars.

- Run MESA models at  $M = 15, 20, 30, 40, 60M_{\odot}$  through central carbon exhaustion. To achieve this, set:

```
1 xa_central_lower_limit_species(1) = 'c12'  
2 xa_central_lower_limit(1) = 1d-5
```

1. Plot the evolutionary tracks of all five stars on a single HR diagram. Clip the first  $\sim 10^3$  years of evolution for clarity.

Color-code the tracks by the dominant source of nuclear burning. The three output quantities of interest are:

- `log_LH`: H-burning luminosity
- `log_LHe`: He-burning luminosity
- `log_LZ`: Luminosity from burning heavier elements

2. Explore different ways of visualizing this data:

- (a) Create three panels, each color-coded by  $\log(L_i/L_{\text{bol}})$  where  $i = \text{H, He, Z}$ .
- (b) Assign unique colors based on which burning source dominates the luminosity, and color the tracks accordingly.
- (c) Identify and mark regions where no single source dominates the total luminosity.

3. Interpret your results:

### 2 Stellar Winds

Mass-loss plays a critical role in the late evolution of massive stars. This problem focuses on the effects of including stellar winds in your MESA models.

- Use the same models as in Problem 1, but re-run them with the Dutch mass-loss scheme by setting:

```
1 hot_wind_scheme = 'Dutch'  
2 cool_wind_RGB_scheme = 'Dutch'  
3 cool_wind_AGB_scheme = 'Dutch'
```

- Analyze the following:

- (a) Compare HR tracks with and without mass-loss (one panel per mass). Color-code the tracks with mass-loss using  $\log \dot{M}$  (e.g., range from  $-6$  to  $-4$ ).  
Describe how the tracks differ as a function of stellar mass. Is there a threshold mass above which mass-loss effects qualitatively change the evolutionary path?
- (b) Investigate surface abundance changes due to mass-loss.
  - Plot surface abundances of He, C, and N versus time (all masses, one panel per element).
  - Color-code HR tracks by:
    - (a) Surface helium abundance
    - (b) Surface N/C ratio
  - Wolf–Rayet (WR) stars are generally defined by  $X < 0.3$  (where  $X$  is surface H abundance). WR subclasses are defined based on surface C and N composition (WN vs WC). Indicate where WR stars appear in the HR diagram.

### 3 Evolution of massive stars with mass loss

**It takes me pretty long to run a 50 Msol star, IDK if this can be achieved within a tutorial session?** In this exercise, we will evolve a massive star and investigate the effect of wind mass loss on the evolution of the star. As before, *create a new work folder* for each new model you evolve! (Otherwise the data from the previous models will be overwritten.)

1. Choose a mass between  $20 M_{\odot}$  and  $100 M_{\odot}$ , and change the inlist. Similar to the previous exercise, run several models with a different wind strength. To do this, uncomment all the lines relating to ‘wind mass loss’ and vary the `Dutch_scaling_factor` between 0 and 1. Run 3 models again with scaling factors of e.g. 0.1, 0.5, and 1.0. During each run, take a close look at the PGSTAR plots. Analyse in detail both the motion of the star in the HR diagram and the changes in structure in the Kippenhahn diagram. Can you identify the different phases in the evolution of massive stars (e.g. RSG, LBV, WR, ...)?
2. Make a Python script to plot all 3 evolutionary tracks on an HR diagram. Can you explain the difference in the tracks? Discuss again with your neighbours to compare stars of different mass.
3. Plot the He abundance versus age for all 3 models, and compare how the lifetimes of the MS and of the He-burning phase change with increasing mass loss.
4. Next, make separate plots of the *surface abundances* of H, He, C and O vs age, one for each stellar model. Can you explain the changes you see, and their dependence on the mass-loss rate?
5. EXTRA: After analysing the effects of mass loss, choose one of the models you evolved and continue its evolution, by removing the stopping condition for the lower limit of the central helium abundance. Now follow the evolution and try to identify the different burning stages in the star and their effect on the abundances and the structure.

### 4 Preferably something on rapid rotation/ CHE/supernovae/P-PISN