# Next generation matrix methods and sensitivity analysis of the reproduction number

Constantino Caetano 2024-01-25


# https://github.com/Liesareus/NGM\_sensitivity

## Introduction

## Role of mathematical models in epidemiology

- Models are simple representation of complex phenomena. Mathematical models use algebraic formulae and symbols to describe parameters of interest.
- Models are able to make projections and explore hypothesis that are not possible
   otherwise. Such as vaccination plans, implementation of non-pharmaceutical
   interventions. Study the seasonality of a disease, the impact of the emergence of a new
   variant of concern and project disease burden.
- If the model fails to behave according to our understanding of the subject, then it probably means that some assumptions are either wrong or insufficient

Source: Davies et. al. 2020




# Compartmental models

- The population is split between the compartments and individuals move between them;
- The most common framework to develop such models are ordinary differential equations;

#### SIR model

- The SIR model partitions the population in the susceptible, infected and recovered groups;
- It is often used to model infectious diseases that are transmitted from human to human;
- Recovery confers long lasting protection against infection;



### **ODE** system

$$\left\{egin{aligned} S'(t) &= -eta rac{I(t)}{N} S(t) \ I'(t) &= eta rac{I(t)}{N} S(t) - \gamma I(t) \ R'(t) &= \gamma I(t) \end{aligned}
ight.$$

Population is constant S'+I'+R'=0 and the initial conditions are such that  $S_0+I_0+R_0=N.$ 

## Steady state

The disease free steady state is given by

$$(S_{dfe}, I_{dfe}, R_{dfe}) = (N, 0, 0).$$

#### **Parameters**

- $\beta > 0$  is the transmission rate;
- $\gamma > 0$  is the recovery rate;
- ullet N is the number of individuals in the population;

#### Force of infection

$$\lambda(t) = eta rac{I(t)}{N}$$

It represents the rate at which susceptible individuals become infected.

Let's look again at the differential equations for S and I

$$\left\{egin{aligned} S'(t) &= - \underbrace{eta rac{I(t)}{N} S(t)}_{incidence} \ I'(t) &= \underbrace{eta rac{I(t)}{N} S(t)}_{incidence} - \gamma I(t) \ rac{incidence}{N} \end{array}
ight.$$

The flux going from  $S \to I$  must correspond to the incidence of infection. We can further interpret this term

$$incidence = S * \underbrace{C}_{contact\ rate} * \underbrace{I/N}_{fraction\ of\ I} * \underbrace{p}_{probability\ of\ transmission}$$

where 
$$\beta = C * p$$
,  $C > 0$ ,  $0 .$ 

#### SIR in R

```
# SIR equations
SIR ← function(t, y, parms) { # time, variable, params
 with(as.list(c(y, parms)),{
   # Change in Susceptibles
   dS \leftarrow - beta * S * (I/N)
                                        # S'
   # Change in Infecteds
   dI \leftarrow beta * S * (I/N) - gamma * I # I'
   dR ← gamma * I
                                         # R'
   return(list(c(dS, dI,dR)))
 })
```

#### **Parameters**

```
beta=0.5  # transmission rate
gamma=1/7 # recovery rate (7 days infectious period)

N=100  # Total population
I0=1  # Initial number of infected
S0=N-I0  # Initial number of susceptibles
R0=0  # Initial number of recovered

init ← c(S=S0,I=I0,R=R0)  # initial conditions
parameters ← c(beta=beta,gamma=gamma,N=N)  # parameters
time ← seq(0,100)  # time grid (100 days)
```

#### Simulation

```
out \( \cdot \text{ode}(y = \text{init}, \text{times} = \text{time}, \text{SIR}, \text{parms} = \text{parameters}) # ODE solver

out.df \( \text{-as.data.frame}(\text{out}) & # \text{transform output into a data.frame}(\text{out.df %>%} # \text{plot the results} \)

pivot_longer(-time) %>%


ggplot()+

geom_line(aes(x=time,y=value,color=name))+

labs(color="compartment")+

theme_bw(base_size = 14) + theme(axis.text.x=element_text(size=14, angle=0, vjust=0.axis.text.y=element_text(size=14),

plot.title=element_text(size=14))
```



## Exercise 1: playing with the model

- 1. Perform simulations using different values for  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ .
- 2. What happens when to the final number of recovered (day 100) if we decrease  $\beta$ ? What if we increase  $\gamma$ ?
- 3. How does changing  $\beta$  impact the results? What about  $\gamma$ ?
- 4. Is there a set of parameters eta and  $\gamma$  such that an outbreak does not occur?

Let's revisit the equation for the infected

$$I' = I(eta rac{S}{N} - \gamma)$$

From the previous equation we can make the following remark:

- I is **increasing** when  $rac{eta}{\gamma}rac{S}{N}>1$
- I is **constant** when  $rac{eta}{\gamma}rac{S}{N}=1$
- I is **decreasing** when  $rac{eta}{\gamma}rac{S}{N}<1$

At the start of the epidemic S pprox N, an outbreak occurs if

$$rac{eta}{\gamma}=R_0>1,$$

where  $R_0$  is the average number of infections caused by an infected individual in a population that is fully susceptible. If  $R_0 < 1$  then the **number of infected will decrease** with each generation and **the disease will eventually die out**.

# The reproduction number

"The reproduction number is arguably the most important quantity in infectious disease epidemilogy"

Source: Diekmann et. al. 2009


- The reproduction number is characterized by the pathogen and population in which it spreads;
- From the reproduction number we can obtain the herd immunity threshold, in the setting of an SIR epidemic. This represents the proportion of susceptible individuals required to be immunized in order to eventually eradicate the infection  $(R_0 < 1)$ ;
- It is also used to obtain the final attack rate (or final size of an epidemic);
- During COVID-19 it was often utilized to design proper non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs). In the context of our example, if  $R_0=3.5$  we would need a decrease of 71.4% in contacts in order to obtain an  $R_0=1$


# The reproduction number

- The reproduction number treats the infection process as a demographic process, i.e. consecutive "generations" of infected individuals;
- $R_0$  is the interpreted as the expected number of offspring (in the epidemiological sense) of a typical individual in a fully susceptible population;
- If the number of "newborns" increases with each generation, this indicates a an epidemic growth, with growth factor  $R_0$ .

#### Can we obtain a general way to compute the $R_{ m 0}$ for any compartmental model?

Source: Davies et. al. 2020





## **ODE** system

$$\left\{egin{aligned} S' &= \mu N - eta rac{SI}{N} - \mu S \ E_1' &= p eta rac{SI}{N} - (
u_1 + \mu) E_1 \ E_2' &= (1-p) eta rac{SI}{N} - (
u_2 + \mu) E_2 \ I' &= 
u_1 E_1 + 
u_2 E_2 - (\gamma + \mu) I \ R' &= \gamma I - \mu R \end{aligned}
ight.$$

Population is constant

$$S'+E_1'+E_2'+I'+R'=0$$
 and the initial conditions are such that  $S^0+E_1^0+E_2^0+I^0+R^0=N.$ 

#### **Parameters**

- $\mu > 0$  birth/death rate;
- $ullet 0 probability a new infected starting at <math>E_1$
- $\beta > 0$  is the transmission rate;
- $\nu_1 > 0$  is the rate of leaving  $E_1$ ;
- $u_2 > 0$  is the rate of leaving  $E_2$ ;
- $\gamma > 0$  is the recovery rate;
- ullet N is the number of individuals in the population;

## Steady state

The disease free steady state is given by

$$(S^*, E_1^*, E_2^*, I^*, R^*) = (N, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

#### Step 1.

• Linearized subsystem of infected

$$\left\{egin{aligned} E_1' &= peta I - (
u_1 + \mu)E_1 \ E_2' &= (1-p)eta I - (
u_2 + \mu)E_2 \ I' &= 
u_1E_1 + 
u_2E_2 - (\gamma + \mu)I \end{aligned}
ight.$$

From an epidemiological point of view, the linearization around the infection-free steady state  $(S^*, E_1^*, E_2^*, I^*, R^*) = (N, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  reflects, through the  $R_0$ , the potential of the initial spread of the infection in a fully susceptible population. We assume that the initial change in the susceptible is negligible.

This is the starting point to compute  $R_{
m 0}$ . The system can now be written as

$$x' = Jx$$

where J is the Jacobian matrix obtained from the linearization for the original system,  $x = [E_1, E_2, I]^\intercal$ .

#### Step 2.

• Write J in the form

$$J = T + \Sigma$$

where T contains the rates associated with the creation of infections and  $\Sigma$  the transition rates describing changes in state (including removal by death or immunity). In our example we have

$$T=egin{pmatrix} 0&0&peta\ 0&0&(1-p)eta\ 0&0&0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\Sigma = egin{pmatrix} -(
u_1 + \mu) & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -(
u_2 + \mu) & 0 \ 
u_1 & 
u_2 & -(
u_1 + \mu) \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Step 3.

• Compute

$$\mathbf{K_L} = -T\Sigma^{-1}$$

 $\mathbf{K_L}$  represents the next generation matrix with large domain. The ijth entry of  $\mathbf{K_L}$  is the **expected number of offspring** with state-at-infection i produced by an individual currently in state j throughout its entire infected life.

The reproduction number  $R_0$  is given by

$$R_0 = 
ho(\mathbf{K_L})$$

which corresponds to the maximum eigenvalue in absolute value of  $\mathbf{K_L}$ . Note that  $\Sigma^{-1}$  exists as long as recovery/death processes exist.

## Step 4. (optional)

• We have

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{K_L} = -T \Sigma^{-1} = egin{pmatrix} rac{p eta 
u_1}{(
u_1 + \mu)(\gamma + \mu)} & rac{p eta 
u_2}{(
u_2 + \mu)(\gamma + \mu)} & rac{p eta}{\gamma + \mu} \ rac{(1 - p) eta 
u_2}{(
u_1 + \mu)(\gamma + \mu)} & rac{(1 - p) p eta 
u_2}{(
u_2 + \mu)(\gamma + \mu)} & rac{(1 - p) eta}{\gamma + \mu} \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can further write  $\mathbf{K_L}$  in a block matrix

$$\mathbf{K_L} = \left(egin{array}{cc} \mathbf{K} & A \ 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

where

$$\mathbf{K} = egin{pmatrix} rac{peta
u_1}{(
u_1+\mu)(\gamma+\mu)} & rac{peta
u_2}{(
u_2+\mu)(\gamma+\mu)} \ rac{(1-p)eta
u_2}{(
u_1+\mu)(\gamma+\mu)} & rac{(1-p)peta
u_2}{(
u_2+\mu)(\gamma+\mu)} \end{pmatrix}$$

We can show that the set of eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{K_L}$  are those of  $\mathbf{K}$  plus a 0.

Let's take a closer look at  ${f K}$ 

$$\mathbf{K} = egin{pmatrix} rac{(E_1,E_1)}{\dfrac{
u_1}{\mu+
u_1}\dfrac{eta}{\gamma+\mu}p} & rac{(E_1,E_2)}{\dfrac{
u_2}{\mu+
u_2}\dfrac{eta}{\gamma+\mu}p} \ rac{\dfrac{
u_1}{\mu+
u_1}\dfrac{eta}{\gamma+\mu}(1-p)}{(E_2,E_1)} & rac{
u_2}{\mu+
u_2}\dfrac{eta}{\gamma+\mu}(1-p) \ rac{(E_2,E_2)}{(E_2,E_2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

we have

$$K_{11} = \underbrace{rac{
u_1}{\mu + 
u_1}}_{probability \ to \ survive \ E_1} * \underbrace{rac{eta}{\gamma + \mu}}_{infections \ produced} * rac{p}{p \ will \ become \ E_1}.$$

Thus  $K_{11}$  is the average number of infections with state-at-infection  $E_1$  that will eventually be produced by an individual currently in  $E_1$ .

This interpretation enables the **construction** of the next generation matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  purely from **epidemiological reasoning**.

## Step 5. (optional)

Since  ${f K}$  is a 2 imes 2 matrix we can easily compute  $ho({f K})$ . Let's use the following results from linear Algebra:


- 1. The determinant of  ${f K}$  is the product of its eigenvalues;
- 2. The trace of  ${f K}$  (sum of the diagonal elements) is the sum of its eigenvalues;

We can easily see that  $det(\mathbf{K})=0$ , hence 0 must be an eigenvalue. Hence

$$R_0=
ho(\mathbf{K})=tr(\mathbf{K})=\left(rac{p
u_1}{
u_1+\mu}+rac{(1-p)
u_2}{
u_2+\mu}
ight)rac{eta}{\gamma+\mu}.$$

# Exercise 2

#### Compute the reproduction number of the following model:



In this setting two pathogens exist that compete for the same susceptible pool. Individuals infected by strain i, i=1,2 can only produce infections of type i. Recovery rate is the same for both strains.

## ODE system

$$\left\{egin{aligned} S' &= -ig(eta_1rac{I_1}{N} + eta_2rac{I_2}{N}ig)S \ I_1' &= eta_1rac{I_1}{N}S - \gamma I_1 \ I_2' &= eta_2rac{I_2}{N}S - \gamma I_2 \ R' &= \gamma (I_1 + I_2) \end{aligned}
ight.$$

Population is constant

 $S'+I_1'+I_2'+R'=0$  and the initial conditions are such that  $S^0+I^0+I^0+I^0-I^0$ 

$$S^0 + I_1^0 + I_2^0 + R^0 = N.$$

## Steady state

The disease free steady state is given by

$$(S^*, I_1^*, I_2^*, R^*) = (N, 0, 0, 0).$$

#### **Parameters**

- $\beta_1 > 0$  is the transmission rate for infection of type 1;
- $\beta_2 > 0$  is the transmission rate for infection of type 2;
- $\gamma > 0$  is the recovery rate;
- N is the number of individuals in the population;

• Linearized subsystem of infected

$$\left\{egin{aligned} I_1' &= (eta_1 - \gamma)I_1 \ I_2' &= (eta_2 - \gamma)I_2 \end{aligned}
ight.$$

ullet T and  $\Sigma^{-1}$ 

$$T = \left(egin{array}{cc} eta_1 & 0 \ 0 & eta_2 \end{array}
ight)$$

$$-\Sigma^{-1} = \left(egin{array}{cc} rac{1}{\gamma} & 0 \ 0 & rac{1}{\gamma} \end{array}
ight)$$

• Next generation matrix

$$\mathbf{K} = \left(egin{array}{cc} rac{eta_1}{\gamma} & 0 \ 0 & rac{eta_2}{\gamma} \end{array}
ight)$$

Reproduction number

$$R_0 = \max\left(\left\{rac{eta_1}{\gamma}, rac{eta_2}{\gamma}
ight\}
ight)$$

# Exercise 3

- 1. Implement the previous model in R;
- 2. Give values for  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  and run a simulation;
- 3. Compute  $R_0$ ;
- 4. Compute the curve for  $\frac{I_1}{I_1+I_2}$ , which corresponds the proportion of infections of type 1.
- 5. What can you conclude?

• Two strain SIR model implementation

```
# SIIR equations
SIIR ← function(t, y, parms) { # time, variable, params
  with(as.list(c(y, parms)),{
    dS \leftarrow - (beta 1 * (I 1/N) + beta 2 * (I 2/N)) * S # S'
    dI_1 \leftarrow beta_1 * (I_1/N) * S - gamma * I_1 # I_1'
    dI_2 \leftarrow beta_2 * (I_2/N) * S - gamma * I_2 # I_1'
    dR \leftarrow gamma * (I 1+I 2)
                                                   # R'
    return(list(c(dS,dI_1,dI_2,dR)))
  })
```

ullet Two strain SIR model parameters and  $R_0$ 

```
beta 1=0.5 # transmission rate of type 1
beta 2=0.25 # transmission rate of type 2
gamma=1/7 # recovery rate (7 days infectious period)
N=100 # Total population
IO 1=1  # Initial number of infected
       # Initial number of infected
I0 2 = 1
S0=N-I0 1-I0 2 # Initial number of susceptibles
      # Initial number of recovered
R0=0
R 0=max(beta 1/gamma, beta 2/gamma)
init \leftarrow c(S=S0,I 1=I0 1,I 2=I0 2,R=R0)
                                                               # initial conditions
parameters \leftarrow c(beta_1=beta_1,beta_2=beta_2,gamma=gamma,N=N)
                                                               # parameters
time \leftarrow seq(0,100)
                                                               # time grid (100 days)
R 0
```

## [1] 3.5

#### Simulations

```
out \( \cdot \text{ode}(y = \text{init}, \text{times} = \text{time}, \text{SIIR}, \text{parms} = \text{parameters}) # ODE solver

out.df \( \text{\cdot as.} \text{data.frame}(\text{out}) # \text{transform output into a data.frame}(\text{out.df } \text{\cdot solve}) # plot the results

pivot_longer(-time) %>%


ggplot()+

geom_line(aes(x=time,y=value,color=name))+


labs(color="compartment")+

theme_bw(base_size = 14) + theme(axis.text.x=element_text(size=14, angle=0, vjust=0.axis.text.y=element_text(size=14),

plot.title=element_text(size=14))
```




• Computing  $\frac{I_1}{I_1+I_2}$ 



# Heterogenous SIR model

Consider that individuals are **fundamentally different** according to some discrete categories, e.g. sex and age. These **differences will be manifested in all states the epidemiological life** of an individual.



# Heterogenous SIR model

#### **ODE** system

$$\left\{egin{aligned} S_1'(t) &= -\lambda_1(t) S_1(t) \ S_2'(t) &= -\lambda_2(t) S_2(t) \ I_1'(t) &= \lambda_1(t) S(t) - \gamma I_1(t) \ I_2'(t) &= \lambda_2(t) S(t) - \gamma I_2(t) \ R_1'(t) &= \gamma I_1(t) \ R_2'(t) &= \gamma I_2(t) \end{aligned}
ight.$$

#### Steady state

The disease free steady state is given by

$$(S_1^*,S_2^*,I_1^*,I_2^*,R_1^*,R_2^*)=(N_1,N_2,0,0,0,0).$$

#### Force of infection

$$\lambda_i(t)=eta_{i1}rac{I_1(t)}{N_1}+eta_{i2}rac{I_2(t)}{N_2}$$
 ,  $i=1,2$ 

#### **Parameters**

- $oldsymbol{eta}_{ij}>0$  is the transmission rate between groups i and j,i,j=1,2
- $\gamma > 0$  is the recovery rate;
- $N_1$  is the number of individuals in population group 1;
- $N_2$  is the number of individuals in population group 2;

# Heterogenous SIR model

We've seen previously that eta = C \* p,

$$eta_{ij} = a_i C_{ij} h_j$$

- $a_i$  is the susceptibility parameter associated with group i, i.e. it measures **how likely** it is for someone in group i to be **infected given an infectious contact**;
- $h_j$  is the infectivity parameter associated with group j, i.e. it measures **how likely** it is for someone in group j to transmit the infection given a contact with a susceptible individual;
- ullet  $C_{ij}$  is the average number of contacts per unit of time. The reciprocity of contacts gives us

$$C_{ij}N_i=C_{ji}N_j$$

i.e. the total number of contacts that group i has with j must equal those of j with i. This helps use simplify the next generation matrix.

# Heterogeneous SIR model

The next generation matrix for this model is given by:

$$\mathbf{K} = rac{1}{\gamma} egin{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} eta_{11} \ a_1 C_{11} h_1 & a_1 C_{21} h_2 \ a_2 C_{12} h_1 & a_2 C_{22} h_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $C_{ij}=c$  (homogeneous mixing), i,j=1,2 then we can obtain  $R_0$  by noting that the  $det({f K})=0$ , hence

$$R_0=tr(\mathbf{K})=rac{c}{\gamma}(a_1h_1+a_2h_2)$$

#### This is not often the case...

In the case where homogeneous mixing cannot be assumed, we can use numerical techniques to obtain  $R_0$ , especially for matrices with high dimensions.

# Heterogeneous SIR model

#### R code

```
# Go to https://lwillem.shinyapps.io/socrates comix/
C file=read.csv(file="PORTUGAL SCM.csv") # Portugal 2020 CoMiX contacts (all waves)
C=as.matrix(C file)
                      # transform to matrix (2 age groups 0-30, 30+)
a = diag(c(1,1), nrow=2, ncol=2) # matrix with the susceptibility profile
h = diag(c(1,1), nrow=2, ncol=2) # matrix with the infectivity profile
                               # recovery rate
gamma=1
K=(1/gamma)*(a %*% t(C )%*% h) # compute the next generation matrix
rownames(K)=c("infectee_0-29","infectee_30+");colnames(K)=c("infector_0-29","infector
                infector 0-29 infector 30+
###
## infectee 0-29
                    5.838249 2.249822
## infectee 30+ 5.579954 3.470077
R 0=max(abs(eigen(K)$values));R 0
## [1] 8.389931
```

- $oldsymbol{\cdot}$  has constant entries. The parameters of the model are not time dependent.
- In reality, the epidemiological landscape changes quickly.
- Public health interventions, changes in behaviour, new variants.

Sensitivity analysis enables us to understand how potential **perturbations to** epidemiological parameters affects outcomes of interest. Consider the  $R_0$  obtained for the SIR model:

$$R_0=rac{eta}{\gamma}=eta D$$

where D stands for the average duration of the infectious period  $D=rac{1}{\gamma}$ . The sensitivities of  $R_0(eta,D)$  w.r.t eta and D are given by

$$rac{\partial R_0}{\partial eta} = D \qquad \qquad rac{\partial R_0}{\partial D} = eta$$

#### Sensitivity of the reproduction number

When we can't obtain an explicit analytic expression for  $R_0$  we can compute the sensitivities of  $R_0$  with respect to entries of  $\mathbf{K}$  using the right and left eigenvector associated with  $R_0$ . We need the following considerations:

- K is primitive (all entries positive if raised to sufficiently high powers);
- ullet is diagonalizable (does it have distinct eigenvalues?);

Let  ${f w}$  and  ${f v}$  be the right and left eigenvector associated with  $R_0$ , if  $\langle {f v}, {f w} \rangle = 1$  then

$$rac{\partial R_0}{\partial k_{ij}} = v_i w_j, \quad i,j = 1,\dots,M$$

where  $v_i$  and  $w_j$  correspond to the ith and jth entry of  ${\bf v}$  and  ${\bf w}$ , respectively.  $k_{ij}$  is the ijth entry of the  $M \times M$  next generation matrix  ${\bf K}$ . Sensitivities can be summarised in the sensitivity matrix  ${\cal S}$ 

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}^{\intercal}$$

#### Sensitivity of the reproduction number

A first order Taylor expansion reads

$$R_0^{\Delta k_{ij}}pprox R_0+\Delta k_{ij} \underbrace{rac{\partial R_0}{\partial k_{ij}}}_{sensitivity}$$

Hence we can interpret sensitivities as the slope of the expected change in  $R_0$  given a absolute perturbation of  $k_{ij}$  of magnitude  $\Delta k_{ij}$ .

#### R code

```
R = eigen(K)
  colnames(R$vectors)=c("dominant",as.character(seg(2,ncol(R$vectors))))
  if(all(R$vectors[,1]<0)){
    R$vectors[,1]=R$vectors[,1]*-1 # make sure the dominant right eigenvalue is posit:
  norm=T
  if(norm=⊤){
    R$vectors[,1]=R$vectors[,1]/sum(R$vectors[,1]) # normalize such that ||w|| = 1
# calculate the left eigenvectors constrained on <v i,w i> = 1 and <v i,w j> = 0, for
L=eigen(t(K))
  colnames(L$vectors)=c("dominant",as.character(seg(2,ncol(L$vectors))))
  for (i in seq(1,ncol(L$vectors))) {
    L$vectors[,i]=L$vectors[,i]/as.numeric((t(L$vectors[,i])%*%R$vectors[,i]))
  eigens=list(values=R$values,w=R$vectors,v=L$vectors)
```


#### R code

```
sens=eigens$v[,"dominant"] %+% t(eigens$w[,"dominant"]) # vw^T
    colnames(sens) ← colnames(K)
    rownames(sens) ← rownames(K)

sens

## infector_0-29 infector_30+
## infectee_0-29    0.6584796    0.7468282
## infectee_30+    0.3011190    0.3415204
```

### Sensitivity matrix



#### Elasticity of the reproduction number

Changes to  $R_0$  given a proportional perturbation in an entry obtain via the elasticity

$$e_{ij} = rac{k_{ij}}{R_0} rac{\partial R_0}{\partial k_{ij}},$$


Elasticity measures proportional sensitivity. Matrix E summarises the elasticities of  $R_0$ :

$$E=rac{1}{R_0}S\odot K$$

Elasticities  $e_{ij}$  have the following property as a result of Euler's theorem on homogeneous functions:

$$\sum_{i,j}e_{R_0}(k_{ij})=1$$

#### R code for the elasticity matrix



## What more can we learn from the NGM?

• The right eigenvector  ${\bf w}$  can be interpreted as the **relative incidence** (after many generations) as long as  $||{\bf w}||=1$ .

Let's illustrate this result with the exercise about the two strain SIR model. We had

$$\mathbf{K} = egin{pmatrix} rac{eta_1}{\gamma} & 0 \ 0 & rac{eta_2}{\gamma} \end{pmatrix}$$

which has eigenvectors  $\mathbf{w}_1 = [1 \ 0]^\intercal$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2 = [0 \ 1]^\intercal$ . This means that when  $\frac{\beta_1}{\gamma} > \frac{\beta_2}{\gamma}$  eventually all infections will be of type 1, and the opposite if  $\frac{\beta_1}{\gamma} < \frac{\beta_2}{\gamma}$ . Just as we saw in our numerical exercise.

• The column sum of the  ${\bf K}$  can be interpreted as the **expected number of infections** created by an individual in group j throughout his infectious life;

This result is obtained directly from the interpretation of the entries of  ${f K}$ . Let  $k_j=\sum_i k_{ij}$ , we have

$$\min\{\mathbf{k_1},\ldots,\mathbf{k_M}\} \leq R_0 \leq \max\{\mathbf{k_1},\ldots,\mathbf{k_M}\},$$

The **cumulative elasticity** of group j is given by

$$e_j = \sum_{i=1}^M rac{k_{ij}}{R_0} v_i w_j = \sum_{i=1}^M e_{ij} = v_j w_j.$$

The sum of cumulative elasticities is also 1. These elasticities represent the **proportional** contribution of the transmission characteristics of each group towards the global growth factor given by  $R_0$ .

#### In the works

- Sensitivity of lower-level parameters (e.g.  $\frac{\partial R_0}{\partial a_i}$ , the sensitivity of  $R_0$  with respect to the susceptibility parameter of group i);
- Sensitivity of the relative incidence  $(\mathbf{w})$ ;
- Combined sensitivity of the growth factor  $(R_0)$  and infection distribution  $(\mathbf{w})$ ;

# Exercise 4

- Try and replicate the sensitivity analysis for the heterogeneous SIR model using a higher dimension social contact matrix (more age groups) obtained from https://lwillem.shinyapps.io/socrates\_comix/
- ullet Consider different assumptions for the susceptibility and infectivity profile (parameters  $a_i$  and  $h_j$ )
- What is the reproduction number?
- What is the expected distribution of infections between groups?
- What is the group that infects the most?
- What is the group that contributes most towards the growth factor  $R_0$ ?

## References

- Angeli, L., Caetano, C., Franco, N., Abrams, S., Coletti, P., Van Nieuwenhuyse, I., Pop, S., & Hens, N. (2023). Who Acquires Infection from Whom? A Sensitivity Analysis of Transmission Dynamics during the Early Phase of the COVID-19 Pandemic in Belgium. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4445989
- Diekmann, O., Heesterbeek, J. A., & Roberts, M. G. (2009). The construction of next-generation matrices for compartmental epidemic models. Journal of The Royal Society Interface, 7(47), 873–885. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2009.0386
- Keyfitz, N., & Caswell, H. (2005). Applied mathematical demography. Springer New York.
- Diekmann, O., & P., H. J. A. (2000). Mathematical epidemiology of infectious diseases: Model building, analysis, and interpretation. John Wiley.
- Franco N, Coletti P, Willem L, Angeli L, Lajot A, Abrams S, Beutels P, Faes C, Hens N. Inferring age-specific differences in susceptibility to and infectiousness upon SARS-CoV-2 infection based on Belgian social contact data. PLoS Comput Biol. 2022 Mar 30;18(3).