
The Title of Your Dissertation

Svetlozar Georgiev -
40203970

Submitted in partial fulfilment of
the requirements of Edinburgh Napier University
for the Degree of
BEng (Hons) Software Engineering

School of Computing

February 24, 2019

Authorship Declaration

I, Svetlozar Georgiev Georgiev, confirm that this dissertation and the work presented in it are my own achievement.

Where I have consulted the published work of others this is always clearly attributed;

Where I have quoted from the work of others the source is always given. With the exception of such quotations this dissertation is entirely my own work;

I have acknowledged all main sources of help;

If my research follows on from previous work or is part of a larger collaborative research project I have made clear exactly what was done by others and what I have contributed myself;

I have read and understand the penalties associated with Academic Misconduct.

I also confirm that I have obtained informed consent from all people I have involved in the work in this dissertation following the School's ethical guidelines.

Signed:

Date:

Matriculation no:

General Data Protection Regulation Declaration

Under the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (EU) 2016/679, the University cannot disclose your grade to an unauthorised person. However, other students benefit from studying dissertations that have their grades attached.

Please sign your name below one of the options below to state your preference.

The University may make this dissertation, with indicative grade, available to others.

The University may make this dissertation available to others, but the grade may not be disclosed.

The University may not make this dissertation available to others.

Abstract

Contents

1	Introduction	8
2	Literature review	9
2.1	Chatbots	9
2.1.1	The Turing test	9
2.1.2	Evolution of chatbots	10
2.2	Artificial intelligence	12
2.3	Natural language processing	13
2.3.1	Syntactic analysis	15
2.3.2	Semantic Analysis	15
2.3.3	Techniques to understand text	15
2.4	Machine learning	16
2.4.1	Supervised learning	17
2.4.2	Unsupervised learning	18
2.4.3	Semi-supervised learning	20
2.4.4	Reinforcement learning	20
3	Methodology	21
3.1	Technology review	21
3.1.1	Programming language	21
3.1.2	Chatterbot	22
3.1.3	Flask	29
3.2	Implementation	29
3.2.1	Backend	29
3.2.2	Frontend	29
4	Results and discussions	30
5	Evaluation	31
6	Conclusions	32
7	Future work	33
	Appendices	36
A	Project Overview	36
B	Diary Sheets	39
C	Second Formal Review Output	45

List of Tables

List of Figures

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank...

1 Introduction

The aim of this report is to describe the research, implementation and evaluation of a *Smart Assistant* (sometimes also referred to as a *chatbot*) utilising *Artificial Intelligence*.

Smart Assistants have gained popularity in the recent years with the introduction of smartphones. After the creation of *Siri* by Apple, Google, Amazon and Microsoft followed with their own assistants. These assistants are constantly developed and improved, gaining new features to make people's lives easier...

The main features of the software will be:

- The ability to communicate with users via social media.
- The ability to answer fact-based question by searching the Internet.
- The ability to set reminders.
- The ability to chat with the user.
- The ability to learn from past conversations by utilising Machine Learning techniques.

2 Literature review

This chapter discusses the underlying techniques and methodologies...

2.1 Chatbots

The term *ChatterBot* was coined by Michael Mauldin in 1994 ([Michael L. Mauldin, 1994](#)). It can be defined as: *a computer program which conducts a textual conversation with its user*. The aim of most such programs is to simulate a human-like ability to communicate intelligently. Chatbots are mainly used in dialog systems, e.g. customer service, sales and marketing.

Malicious use

Chatbots can be used for malicious purposes. Generally,

2.1.1 The Turing test

The idea of intelligent computers was first introduced by Alan Turing in his paper *Computing Machinery and Intelligence* ([Turing, 1950](#)). Among other ideas, he describes a method of judging whether a machine is able to exhibit intelligent behaviour similar to that of a human: *the Turing test*. For a machine to pass the Turing test, it is required to generate human-like responses, so that a human evaluator would not be able to tell that they were generated by a machine. The evaluator would interrogate a human respondent and a computer respondent within a specific subject area. It is required that all participants are separated from one another. Additionally, the means of communication should be text-based, so that the machine is not judged on its ability to generate human-like speech. Based on both respondents' answers, the evaluator would then guess which one of the two is the machine. The test would be repeated several times. If the evaluator makes the correct assumption half of the times or less, the computer can be considered to have exhibited intelligence.

Therefore, it can be said that Turing's ideas were a significant influence on the development of AI and Chatbots specifically.

The Chinese room argument

In the 1980 paper *Minds, Brains and Programs* ([Searle, 1980](#)), John Searle argues that the Turing test cannot be used to determine whether a machine is truly intelligent. He proposes the following thought experiment: suppose that a computer which understands Chinese has successfully been constructed. Its input are Chinese characters, and by following a computer program instructions, it can output other Chinese characters. The computer can pass the

Turing test and even convince a Chinese speaker that it is a human Chinese speaker itself. The questions Searle asks are: "*Does the machine **really** understand Chinese?*" and "*Is the machine **simulating** the ability to understand Chinese?*" (Searle, 1980, p. 2). Searle describes the former as *weak AI* and the latter as *strong AI*. Searle then claims that if he were in a closed room and had a book with the English version of the computer program, he could receive Chinese characters through a slot in the door, process them according to the instructions the program follows, and output Chinese characters. If the program passes the Turing test doing the same, he should be able to pass it as well. However, he, similar to the computer, would not be able to understand the conversation as he doesn't speak Chinese. He argues that without *understanding*, what the machine is doing cannot be described as *thinking*. Since it does not think, it does not have a *mind*. Therefore, he concludes that *strong AI* is false.

The Loebner Prize

The Loebner Prize is an annual competition where computer programs are judged on their ability to behave like a human. The format is a standard Turing test. The competition started in 1990 and was created by Hugh Loebner. Since 2014, it has been organised by the AISB (or SSAISB, Society for the Study of Artificial Intelligence and the Simulation of Behaviour).

However, the competition has been widely criticised. For example, (Floridi et al., 2009) describes the 2008 competition where judges were asking unsuitable questions ("yes" or "no" questions) which couldn't effectively show whether a machine can "think". Additionally, conversations between the chatbots and the judges were too short - only 2.5 minutes. The format of the competition encouraged contestants create bots which paraphrase and repeat the question when they couldn't answer.

2.1.2 Evolution of chatbots

ELIZA

In 1966, Joseph Weizenbaum created the first public chatbot, *ELIZA*, at MIT. It was the first widely recognised program able to pass the Turing test. The program allows its users to chat with a Rogerian psychologist. *ELIZA* employed a pattern-matching method to simulate a conversation. The input is paraphrased, matched to a list of known phrases, and an answer is selected from a list of known answers to these phrases. *ELIZA* had no understanding of context and could only answer separate questions, making her unable to conduct a meaningful conversation. Additionally, *ELIZA* was programmed

to answer with generic responses when there is no known answer to a specific phrase. The reason ELIZA was created was to show how superficial a conversation between a computer and human is, however it became widely popular because it managed to convince a lot of people that they were talking to a real person.

PARRY

PARRY was written in 1972 by psychiatrist Kenneth Colby at Stanford University. Its aim is to simulate a paranoid schizophrenic. It used the same rule-based system as ELIZA. In the early 1970s *PARRY* was tested by a group of 33 psychologists using a variation of the Turing test. It managed to convince them that they were talking to a real person 52% of the time.

Jabberwacky

Jabberwacky was initially created by the British programmer Rollo Carpenter in 1981 and publicly launched in 1997. The main purpose for the creation of the program was to pass the Turing test. It was one of the first chatbots which utilised AI to learn from conversations with its users. It is able to learn facts, concepts and even new languages. It is not based on any artificial life model, instead it uses heuristic technology. It does not have any rules and it relies on feedback and context. In 2004, it was awarded a second place in the *Loebner prize*.

Dr. Sbaitso

...

A.L.I.C.E.

A.L.I.C.E. (*Artificial Linguistic Internet Computer Entity*) was developed by Dr. Richard Wallace in 1995. It was inspired by ELIZA and won the Loebner Prize in 2000, 2001 and 2004 (Wallace, 2009, p. 182). It utilises AIML (*Artificial Intelligence Markup Language*) which is based on XML. The model of learning of A.L.I.C.E. is called supervised learning as a person monitors the conversations of the chatbot and creates new AIML content to make the responses more appropriate, accurate and believable (Wallace, 2009, p. 182). The "brain" of A.L.I.C.E. consists of elements called categories. Each category combines a question and an answer, or stimulus and response, called the "pattern" and "template" respectively (Wallace, 2009, p. 182). The AIML software stores the patterns in a tree structure managed by an object called the *graphmaster*, implementing a pattern storage and matching algorithm

(Wallace, 2009, p. 182). The graphmaster is well-optimised and allows for efficient speed of pattern matching.

SmarterChild

SmarterChild was initially launched in 2001. It can answer fact-based question such as *What is the population of Indonesia?* or more personalised questions such as *What movies are playing near me tonight?* (Colloquis, 2001)

IBM Watson

IBM Watson was developed in 2006 specifically to compete with the champions of the game *Jeopardy!* (Futurism, 2016). It won the competition in 2011. After that, IBM Watson became a public service for building chat-bots for various domains which can process large amounts of data. This is called *Cognitive computing*, claiming to use the same techniques as humans for understanding data and reasoning.

Smart assistants

Apple launched *Siri* in 2010 as an intelligent virtual assistant. It paved the way for other similar assistant: *Google Now*(2012), *Microsoft Cortana* (2015), and *Amazon Alexa* (2015). All of these assistant are able to answer fact-based questions by performing an online search, set reminder and alarms, make calls, send text messages, etc. No implementation details are known about these assistants, since they are closed-source and commercial.

Tay

Microsoft Tay was launched in 2016 (Griffin, 2016) on Twitter as a chatbot. Twitter users could send direct messages to or tweet. It was designed to mimic the language patterns of a 19-year-old American girl, and to learn from interacting with human users of Twitter and get progressively smarter (Price, 2016). However, twitter users began tweeting Tay inappropriate messages and the chatbot began mimicking the behaviour and answering with politically incorrect phrases itself (Price, 2016). Soon after, Microsoft were forced to take the bot offline and delete some of its inappropriate tweets.

2.2 Artificial intelligence

The Oxford dictionary [citation maybe?] defines *intelligence* as "the ability to acquire and apply knowledge and skills"...

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a multidisciplinary field whose goal is to automate activities that presently require human intelligence (Williams, 1983).

([Poole et al., 1997](#)) define AI as the study of the design of *intelligent agents* (or *rational agents*). Such agents receive percepts from the environment and perform actions, i.e. they implement a function that maps percept sequences to actions ([Russel, Stuart and Norvig, Peter, 2003](#)). The term *Artificial Intelligence* is also used to describe a property of machines or programs: the intelligence that the system demonstrates.

The term *artificial*, however, may introduce confusion as it suggests that it is not *real* intelligence. For this reason, different terms may be used in literature - *computational intelligence*, *synthetic intelligence*, etc.

([Williams, 1983](#)) summarises the main concerns of AI as:

- Perception - building models of the physical world from sensory input.
- Manipulation - articulating appendages to affect desired state in the real world.
- Reasoning - understanding higher-level cognitive functions such as planning, drawing conclusions, diagnosing, etc.
- Communication - understanding and conveying information through the use of language.
- Learning - automatically improving a system's performance based on its experience.

The ultimate goal of AI is to understand the principles that make intelligent behaviour possible, in natural or artificial systems ([Poole et al., 1997](#)).

Several subfields of AI exist. The focus in this chapter will be on *Natural Language Processing (NLP)* and *Machine Learning* as they are relevant to the project.

2.3 Natural language processing

Natural language processing is an area where AI, linguistics and Computer Science intersect. NLP focuses on making computers understand statements and words written in human language ([Khurana et al., 2017](#)). An NLP system should be able to determine the structure of text in order to answer questions about meaning or semantics of the written language ([Martinez, 2010](#)).

NLP is a collective term referring to automatic computational processing of human languages. This includes both algorithms that take human-produced text as input, and algorithms that produce natural looking text as outputs. The need for such algorithms is ever increasing: humans produce ever in-

creasing amounts of text each year, and expect computer interfaces to communicate with them in their own language. Natural language processing is also very challenging, as human language is inherently ambiguous, ever changing, and not well-defined.

NLP is used to analyse text, allowing machines to understand how humans speak. This human-computer interaction enables real-world applications like automatic text summarisation, sentiment analysis, topic extraction, named entity recognition, parts-of-speech tagging, relationship extraction, stemming, and more. NLP is commonly used for text mining, machine translation, and automated question answering.

Natural language is symbolic in nature, and the first attempts at processing language were symbolic: based on logic, rules, and ontologies. However, natural language is also highly ambiguous and highly variable, calling for a more statistical algorithmic approach. The current dominant approaches to language processing are all based on statistical machine learning. For over a decade, core NLP techniques were dominated by linear modelling approaches to *supervised learning*, centred around algorithms such as *Perceptrons*, *linear Support Vector Machines*, and *Logistic Regression*, trained over very high dimensional yet very sparse feature vectors.

NLP is characterised as a hard problem in computer science. Human language is rarely precise, or plainly spoken. To understand human language is to understand not only the words, but the concepts and how they're linked together to create meaning. Despite language being one of the easiest things for humans to learn, the ambiguity of language is what makes natural language processing a difficult problem for computers to master.

NLP consists of the following areas:

- *Speech recognition* - taking acoustic signal as input and determining what words were spoken.
- *Natural language understanding* - teaching computers how to understand natural (human language) instead of programming languages.
- *Natural language generation* - the process of producing phrases, sentences and paragraphs that are meaningful from an internal representation ([Khurana et al., 2017](#))

The main techniques of understanding natural language are *Syntactic Analysis* and *Semantic Analysis*. The term *syntax* refers to the grammatical structure of the text whereas the term *semantics* refers to the meaning

that is conveyed by it. However, problems arise due to the fact that a sentence that is syntactically correct is not always semantically correct.

2.3.1 Syntactic analysis

Syntactic Analysis, also named Syntax Analysis or Parsing is the process of analysing natural language conforming to the rules of a formal grammar. Grammatical rules are applied to categories and groups of words, not individual words. Syntactic Analysis assigns a semantic structure to text.

2.3.2 Semantic Analysis

The word *semantic* is a linguistic term and means something related to meaning or logic. For humans, understanding what someone has said is an unconscious process that relies on intuition and knowledge about language itself. Therefore, understanding language is heavily based on meaning and context. Since computers can not rely on these techniques, they need a different approach.

Semantic Analysis can be defined as *the process of understanding the meaning and interpretation of words, signs, and sentence structure*. This enables computers partly to understand natural language the way humans do, involving meaning and context.

2.3.3 Techniques to understand text

The first step to implementing NLP is to parse the sentences into grammatical structures. However, parsing and understanding a natural language from an unbounded domain has proven extremely difficult as because of the complexity of natural languages, word ambiguity, and rules of grammar ([Martinez, 2010](#)).

Stemming

Stemming refers to the task of mapping words to their base form. It is achieved by removing *affixes* from a word, converting the word to its *stem*. For example, the stem of **walking** is **walk**. The main methods are *linguistic (dictionary-based)* stemming, and *Porter-style* stemming ([Porter, 1980](#)). The former has higher stemming accuracy but higher implementation and processing costs. The latter has lower accuracy but lower implementation and processing costs.

Stemming can greatly improve the performance of a system as only the stems

of words can be stored, instead of all forms. The result is an increase in retrieval accuracy and reduced index.

Stemming is mostly beneficial, but there are ambiguous cases in which stemming is questionable. For example, a user is probably not likely to be looking for “Window” when entering the query term “Windows” (house part vs. operating system). Other examples of poor inflectional stemming are Doors/-Door (music band vs. house part), and Utilities/Utility (energy supply vs. usefulness).

Part-of-speech tagging

Part-of-speech tagging (also called *grammatical tagging* or *word-category disambiguation*) refers to assigning parts of speech to each word in a piece of text. Parts of speech include *nouns*, *verbs*, *adverbs*, *adjectives*, *pronouns*, *conjunction* and their sub-categories. A variety of techniques exist: statistical, memory-based, rule-based, etc.

Word-sense disambiguation

Problems arise because words can have different meanings or senses based on the context, domain of discourse, etc. This is known as *polysemy*. The goal of *disambiguation* is to decide which of the meanings of a word should be attached to a specific use of the word. The methods to achieve can be categorised as *supervised*, *unsupervised* and *dictionary-based*.

2.4 Machine learning

Machine Learning is the science of getting computers to learn and act like humans do, and improve their learning over time in autonomous fashion, by feeding them data and information in the form of observations and real-world interactions [reference here]. Traditionally, algorithms are sets of explicitly programmed instructions used by computers to solve a specific problem. Machine learning algorithms, however, allow computers to be trained on data inputs and use statistical data analysis in order to output values which fall within a specific range. Because of this, machine learning facilitates computers in building models from sample data in order to automate decision-making processes based on data inputs.

The most widely adopted Machine Learning methods are:

- *Supervised learning* - trains algorithms based on example input and output data that is manually labelled by humans.
- *Unsupervised learning* - provides the algorithm with no labelled data in order to allow it to find structure within its input data.

- *Semi-supervised learning* -
- *Reinforcement learning* - the system attempts to maximize a reward based on its input data.

2.4.1 Supervised learning

In supervised learning, the computer is provided with example inputs that are labelled with their desired outputs. The purpose of this method is for the algorithm to be able to “learn” by comparing its actual output with the “taught” outputs to find errors, and modify the model accordingly. Supervised learning therefore uses patterns to predict label values on additional unlabelled data. A common use case of supervised learning is to use historical data to predict statistically likely future events.

Classification

The classification based tasks are a sub-field under supervised Machine Learning, where the key objective is to predict output labels or responses that are categorical in nature for input data based on what the model has learned in the training phase. Output labels here are also known as classes or class labels are these are categorical in nature meaning they are unordered and discrete values. Thus, each output response belongs to a specific discrete class or category (Kononenko and Kukar, 2007). Popular classification algorithms include *logistic regression*, *support vector machines*, *neural networks*, *ensembles* such as *random forests* and *gradient boosting*, *K-nearest neighbours*, *decision trees*, etc.

Regression

Machine Learning tasks where the main objective is value estimation can be termed as regression tasks. Regression based methods are trained on input data samples having output responses that are continuous numeric values unlike classification, where we have discrete categories or classes. Regression models make use of input data attributes or features (also called explanatory or independent variables) and their corresponding continuous numeric output values (also called as response, dependent, or outcome variable) to learn specific relationships and associations between the inputs and their corresponding outputs. With this knowledge, it can predict output responses for new, unseen data instances similar to classification but with continuous numeric outputs (Kononenko and Kukar, 2007).

- *Simple linear regression*

- *Multiple regression*
- *Polynomial regression*
- *Non-linear regression*
- *Lasso regression*
- *Ridge regression*
- *Generalised linear models*

2.4.2 Unsupervised learning

In unsupervised learning, data is unlabelled, so the learning algorithm is left to find commonalities among its input data. As unlabelled data are more abundant than labelled data, machine learning methods that facilitate unsupervised learning are particularly useful.

Without being given a specific “correct” answer, unsupervised learning methods can analyse complex data that is more expansive and seemingly unrelated in order to organise it in potentially meaningful ways. Unsupervised learning is often used for anomaly detection including for fraudulent credit card purchases, and systems that recommend what products to buy next.

The aim of supervised is extracting meaningful insights from data, rather than trying to predict an outcome based on training data ([Kononenko and Kukar, 2007](#)). More uncertainty exists with unsupervised training, however....

Unsupervised learning methods can be categorised as:

- *Clustering* - cluster analysis is the assignment of a set of observations into subsets (called clusters) so that observations within the same cluster are similar according to some predesignated criterion or criteria, while observations drawn from different clusters are dissimilar. Different clustering techniques make different assumptions on the structure of the data, often defined by some similarity metric and evaluated for example by internal compactness (similarity between members of the same cluster) and separation between different clusters. Other methods are based on estimated density and graph connectivity. Clustering is a common technique for statistical data analysis.
- *Dimensionality reduction* - the process of reducing the number of random variables under consideration, by obtaining a set of principal variables. When the training data set is too large, the training process

becomes slower and it is prone to *overfitting*. This problem is commonly known as *the curse of dimensionality*. Because of the issues associated with the curse of dimensionality, it is necessary to reduce the number of features/dimensions considerably to help increase the model's performance to enable the creation of an optimal solution. In most real life problems it is often possible to reduce the dimensions of the training set, without losing too much of the variance within the data. For instance, some data points may be completely meaningless in explaining the desired target variable. As such, we it may be preferred to drop them from the analysis. Moreover, it is often that two data points may be highly correlated with each other; therefore by merging them into a single data point, too much information won't be lost.

- *Anomaly detection* - Anomaly detection is a technique used to identify unusual patterns that do not conform to expected behaviour, called *outliers*. Anomalies can be broadly categorized as:

Point anomalies: A single instance of data is anomalous if it's too far off from the rest. Use case: Detecting credit card fraud based on "amount spent."

Contextual anomalies: The abnormality is context specific. This type of anomaly is common in time-series data. Use case: Spending \$100 on food every day during the holiday season is normal, but may be odd otherwise.

Collective anomalies: A set of data instances collectively helps in detecting anomalies. Use case: Someone is trying to copy data from a remote machine to a local host unexpectedly, an anomaly that would be flagged as a potential cyber attack.

Anomaly detection is similar to — but not entirely the same as — noise removal and novelty detection. Novelty detection is concerned with identifying an unobserved pattern in new observations not included in training data — like a sudden interest in a new channel on YouTube during Christmas, for instance. Noise removal (NR) is the process of immunizing analysis from the occurrence of unwanted observations; in other words, removing noise from an otherwise meaningful signal.

It has many applications in business, from intrusion detection (identifying strange patterns in network traffic that could signal a hack) to system health monitoring (spotting a malignant tumour in an MRI scan), and from fraud detection in credit card transactions to fault detection in operating environments.

- *Association rule-mining* - Association rule mining is primarily focussed on finding frequent co-occurring associations among a collection of items. It is sometimes referred to as *Market Basket Analysis*, since that was the original application area of association mining. The goal is to find associations of items that occur together more often than it would be expected from a random sampling of all possibilities.

2.4.3 Semi-supervised learning

Semi-supervised learning methods combine a lot of unlabelled data and a small amount of labelled and pre-annotated data. The possible techniques that can be used are *graph-based methods*, *generative methods*, and *heuristic-based methods*. A simple approach would be building a supervised model based on labelled data, which is limited, and then applying the same to large amounts of unlabelled data to get more labelled samples, train the model on them and repeat the process. Another approach would be to use unsupervised algorithms to cluster similar data samples, use human-in-the-loop efforts to manually annotate or label these groups, and then use a combination of this information in the future. This approach is used in many image tagging systems.

2.4.4 Reinforcement learning

Reinforcement Learning is a type of machine learning technique that enables an agent to learn in an interactive environment by trial and error using feedback from its own actions and experiences.

Typically the agent starts with a set of strategies or policies for interacting with the environment. On observing the environment, it takes a particular action based on a rule or policy and by observing the current state of the environment. Based on the action, the agent gets a reward, which could be beneficial or detrimental in the form of a penalty. It updates its current policies and strategies if needed. This iterative process continues until it learns enough about its environment to get the desired rewards.

As compared to unsupervised learning, reinforcement learning is different in terms of goals. While the goal in unsupervised learning is to find similarities and differences between data points, in reinforcement learning the goal is to find a suitable action model that would maximize the total cumulative reward of the agent.

3 Methodology

3.1 Technology review

3.1.1 Programming language

According to [ref needed] the most used languages in the field of AI are:

- Python
- Java
- C++

[Maybe a short comparison of the languages here?]

While each of these languages could be a viable option, there seems to be an agreement that *Python* is the most popular choice. The reason for this is that Python has a very large number of libraries. Furthermore, it is one of the most popular languages for AI-related programming. Libraries like *nlTK*, *numpy*, *pytorch*, *tensorflow*, etc. are widely adopted and actively developed to provide programmers with the latest discoveries in AI research. Additionally, Python is known to greatly reduce development time as it provides a lot of "syntactic sugar" and automatic garbage collection. In traditional programming languages such as *C++*, etc. manual memory management is an issue for new programmers and security and performance issues might occur when it is not implemented correctly. Python is a weakly-typed language, which means that variable types are deduced by the python interpreter. For this reason, developers do not have to specify the type of variable they are declaring. However, this could lead to problems as the programmer has to remember what type of data each variable is storing and has to be careful not to assign a different type of value to it as that would cause errors. Furthermore, Python is a high-level language, meaning that it provides more layers of abstraction. This results in the writing of less code to solve a specific problems. This is even more true when libraries (also referred to as *modules*) are taken into account. Python has an enormous selection of modules - 169515 according to the official python package repository ([The Python Software Foundation, 2019](#)). Additionally, Python is a multi paradigm language, i.e. it supports object-oriented programming, functional programming, imperative programming and procedural programming. Lastly, it is a cross platform language, being able to run on most major operating systems. However, Python does have disadvantages. The main one is that it is an *interpreted* language. This means that rather than converting the code written by a programmer to an executable file (bytecode, CPU instructions), the python interpreter reads it line by line and executes the instructions. Another problem caused

by this is that errors can only be found during the execution of a program. If some part of the program never gets executed, potential errors might not be found as the interpreter never reads those lines of code. This issue can be solved relatively easily. For example, unit tests can be written which execute all functions of a program. Even though this problem doesn't exist with languages such as C++, where errors are reported during compilation, programs still have to be tested for logical errors.

[Maybe a comparison of other chatbot libraries here?] [There aren't many so it might not be worth it?]

3.1.2 Chatterbot

The main technology used for the development of the project will be a python module called *Chatterbot*. It provides a simple way to generate a response to user input (Gunther Cox, 2019). It was specifically created in order to help programmers develop chatbots. While this approach might be limiting compared to developing the whole system from scratch,... What is more, Chatterbot is designed with extensibility in mind. Almost all aspects of the module can be modified to suit the needs of the developer.

Fig. 1 is a process flow diagram of how Chatterbot works on a high level.

Firstly, the user inputs a statement. The statement is then processed by *logic adapters*. A logic adapter is a function which takes text as input, determines whether it can process the input, and generates suitable output.

The main advantage of Chatterbot is its extensibility. While it provides a lot of built-in functionality, it might not be suitable for all projects.

[Add a figure showing how it works in more detail here...]

Configuration

Chatterbot provides a *ChatBot* class. A ChatBot object takes several parameters in its constructor which define its behaviour:

- preprocessors
- logic_adapters
- storage_adapters

Preprocessors

The ChatBot instance can take a list of functions in its constructor to be used as preprocessors. Preprocessors can modify the input sent by the user




Figure 1: Process flow diagram of how Chatterbot works on a higher level (*Gunter Cox, 2019*)

before it is passed to the logic adapters. This is necessary due to the fact that the logic adapters are more likely to perform better if they are given "clean" input. For example, if the input contains a lot of whitespaces or non-alphanumeric characters, it might be beneficial to remove them. Chatterbot has several preprocessors, however, custom functions can be created and used as preprocessors.

Logic Adapters

Logic adapters are used to select an appropriate response to a question entered by the user. More than one logic adapter may be used as different types of input may require different processing. For example, Chatterbot by default uses the *BestMatch* logic adapter. However, this adapter is only useful when the question asked has a specific response. If the user asked for

the current time, the BestMatch adapter would be unable to answer as there is no specific answer to this question, i.e. the answer changes depending on when the user asks the question. For this reason, a *Time* logic adapter can be created and added to the list of adapters used (Chatterbot has this adapter implemented by default). To help decide which adapter to use if more than one are provided, a custom logic adapter must inherit from the base class `LogicAdapter` and must implement the method `can_process()`. This method is called before the logic adapters generate a response and the user input is passed to it. It must then return `True` or `False` based on whether the adapter should process this input or not. Listing 1 shows an example implementation of the `can_process` method.

```
1 |     def can_process(self, statement):
2 |         # If the statements starts with
3 |         # the string 'Hey John',
4 |         if statement.text.startswith('Hey John')
5 |             # it can be processed with this adapter
6 |             return True
7 |         # If not,
8 |         else:
9 |             # it can't
10 |            return False
```

Listing 1: *Example implementation of the can_process method. Adapted from (Gunther Cox, 2019)*

This tells the adapter to only process user input which contains the string "Hey John" (John could be the name of the chatbot) in its beginning.

Another requirement is that custom logic adapters implement the `process()` method. It takes the input statement as a parameter. Additional response selection parameters can be added if required by the application. An example implementation can be seen in Listing 2.

```
1 |     def process(self, input_statement,
2 |                 additional_response_selection_parameters):
3 |         import random
4 |
5 |         # Randomly select a confidence
6 |         # value between 0 and 1
```

```
6         confidence = random.uniform(0, 1)
7
8         # For this example,
9         # the input will just be returned
10        # as output
11        selected_statement = input_statement
12        selected_statement.confidence = confidence
13
14        return selected_statement
```

Listing 2: *Example implementation of the `process()` method. Adapted from (Gunther Cox, 2019)*

The `process()` method must return a *Statement* object. The *text* property of the object should contain the actual response to the input. Additionally, the *confidence* property should be a value between 0 and 1 which indicates how confident the adapter is that this response is correct. In the example above, the text of the output statement is set to the same text that was entered by the user. The confidence value is chosen randomly and the response is returned.

In the event that more than one logic adapters are used, and more than one of them returns a response, the response with the highest value of confidence is selected. If two or more responses have the same value of confidence, then the response of the adapter which is first in the list of adapters is used. Fig. 2 shows a diagram of response selection with multiple adapters.

By default, Chatterbot has the following adapters included:

- Best Match Adapter - used when question that have a specific response are asked. This requires training data and the quality of the response depends on the quality of the training data. The adapter uses a specific *similarity* function to search through the known questions. When it finds the most similar one, it then returns the known response to this question. The similarity functions available by default are:
 - Levenshtein Distance - this method is used by default...
 - Jaccard Similarity - ...
 - Sentiment Comparison - ...
 - Synset Distance - ...
 - Custom similarity functions may be implemented if needed.





Figure 2: Process flow diagram of how responses are selected. Adapted from (Gunther Cox, 2019)

- Time Logic Adapter - allows the user to ask about the current time.
- Mathematical Evaluation Adapter - calculates mathematical expression the user has entered.
- Specific Response Adapter - returns a specific predefined answer to a specific statement configured in the adapter.

Storage Adapters

Storage Adapters are an interface for Chatterbot to connect to different storage technologies (Gunther Cox, 2019). The storage adapter used by default is the *SQL Storage Adapter*. It allows the chatbot to store its data in a local *sqlite* relational database. The structure of the database can be seen in


Fig. 3.

**Figure 3:** *Diagram of the database schema.*

[Explain the structure here....]

Training

ChatterBot includes tools that help simplify the process of training a chatbot instance. ChatterBot's training process involves loading example dialogue into the chatbot's database. This either creates or builds upon the graph data structure that represents the sets of known statements and responses. When a chat bot trainer is provided with a data set, it creates the necessary entries in the chatbot's knowledge graph so that the statement inputs and responses are correctly represented. An example of this can be seen in Fig. 4.

**Figure 4:** *Example of a training graph (Gunther Cox, 2019).*

[talk about how words are tagged with nltk here]

Chatterbot includes the following training classes by default:

- List Trainer - allows a chat bot to be trained using a list of strings where the list represents a conversation.

- Corpus Trainer - allows the chat bot to be trained using corpus data stored in an external file.
- Ubuntu Corpus Trainer - allows chatbots to be trained with the data from the Ubuntu Dialogue Corpus.

New training classes may be created and used to train a chatbot. The custom trainer must inherit from the *Trainer* class and must implement the methods `train()` which can accept any arguments.

Statements

ChatterBot's statement objects represent either an input statement that the chat bot has received from a user, or an output statement that the chat bot has returned based on some input.

ChatterBot stores knowledge of conversations as statements. Each statement can have any number of possible responses.




Figure 5: *Statement-response relationship* (Gunther Cox, 2019)

Each Statement object has an `in_response_to` reference which links the statement to a number of other statements that it has been learned to be in response to. The `in_response_to` attribute is essentially a reference to all parent statements of the current statement.




Figure 6: *Statement relationship* (Gunther Cox, 2019)

The count of recorded statements with matching, or similar text indicates the number of times that the statement has been given as a response. This makes it possible for the chat bot to determine if a particular response is more commonly used than another.

3.1.3 Flask

Flask is a microframework for Python which...

3.2 Implementation

3.2.1 Backend

3.2.2 Frontend

4 Results and discussions

5 Evaluation

6 Conclusions

7 Future work

References

- Colloquis (2001). Chatbot SmarterChild. <https://www.chatbots.org/chatbot/smarterchild/>. (Accessed on 12/11/2018).
- Floridi, L., Taddeo, M., and Turilli, M. (2009). Turing's imitation game: Still an impossible challenge for all machines and some judges - An evaluation of the 2008 loebner contest. *Minds and Machines*, 19(1):145–150.
- Futurism (2016). The history of chatbots. <https://futurism.com/images/the-history-of-chatbots-infographic/>. (Accessed on 12/11/2018).
- Griffin, A. (2016). Tay tweets: Microsoft creates bizarre twitter robot for people to chat to — the independent. <https://www.independent.co.uk/life-style/gadgets-and-tech/news/tay-tweets-microsoft-creates-bizarre-twitter-robot-for-people-to-chat-to-a6911111.html>. (Accessed on 12/11/2018).
- Gunther Cox (2019). ChatterBot 1.0.2 documentation. <https://chatterbot.readthedocs.io/en/stable/>. (Accessed on 02/10/2018).
- Khurana, D., Koli, A., Khatter, K., and Singh, S. (2017). Natural Language Processing: State of The Art, Current Trends and Challenges.
- Kononenko, I. and Kukar, M. (2007). *Machine Learning Basics*.
- Martinez, A. R. (2010). Natural language processing. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics*, 2(3):352–357.
- Michael L. Mauldin (1994). Chatterbots, tinymuds, and the turing test: Entering the loebner prize competition. *Aai*, (4):254.
- Poole, D., Mackworth, A., and Goebel, R. (1997). *Computational Intelligence: A Logical Approach*. Oxford University Press, Inc., New York, NY, USA.
- Porter, M. (1980). An algorithm for suffix stripping. *Program*, 14(3):130–137.
- Price, R. (2016). Microsoft deletes racist, genocidal tweets from AI chatbot Tay - Business Insider. <http://uk.businessinsider.com/microsoft-deletes-racist-genocidal-tweets-from-ai-chatbot-tay-2016-3?r=US&IR=T>. (Accessed on 12/11/2018).
- Russel, Stuart and Norvig, Peter (2003). *Artificial Intelligence 3rd edition*.
- Searle, J. R. (1980). Minds, brains, and programs. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 3(03):417.

- The Python Software Foundation (2019). PyPI – the Python Package Index. <https://pypi.org/>. (Accessed on 23/02/2019).
- Turing, A. M. (1950). Computing machinery and intelligence. *Mind*, LIX(236):433–460.
- Wallace, R. S. (2009). *The Anatomy of A.L.I.C.E.*, pages 181–210. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.
- Williams, C. (1983). A brief introduction to artificial intelligence. In *OCEANS'83, Proceedings*, pages 94–99. IEEE.

Appendices

A Project Overview

Svetlozar Georgiev

40203970

Initial Project Overview

SOC10101 Honours Project (40 Credits)

Title of Project: Smart Assistant

Overview of Project Content and Milestones

The aim of the project is to create an assistant utilising Artificial Intelligence technology. The main functionality of the software will be:

- The ability to have a conversation with the user. Using Natural Language Processing techniques, the assistant will be able to chat with the user via text messages as if it were a real person.
- The ability to search the Internet for information to answer the user's questions. The information will be summarised, so the user doesn't have to read a whole web page to find what they are looking for.
- The ability to set reminders.
- The ability to communicate with the user via social media direct messaging.

The Main Deliverable(s):

- A report describing the work undertaken and the research carried out to complete the project.
- Backend software sending messages to the user with the use of NLP technologies.
- A database storing information about users. The assistant will be able to learn from past conversations and improve its ability to communicate like a human. Reminders will also be stored in the database.

The Target Audience for the Deliverable(s):

- People who are trying to be more organised.
- People looking for someone to chat with.
- People who would like to practice their written English.
- Researchers looking for an open source project utilising AI and NLP specifically.

The Work to be Undertaken:

- Background research, i.e. reading papers and journals about Artificial Intelligence and Natural Language Processing, studying similar open source projects, testing different AI libraries.
- Choosing an NLP library.
- Managing the project using modern software engineering practices.

BEng Software Engineering

13/11/2018

1

Svetlozar Georgiev

40203970

- Developing the backend software. This includes:
 - Creating a piece of software which utilises an NLP library to understand language and respond to questions simulating a human's ability to chat.
 - Using social media APIs so that the assistant can receive messages from the user, analyse them and respond accordingly.
 - Creating a web crawler so that users can request information about anything that can be found online.
 - Using natural language processing to understand this information and summarise it for the user so that they receive only the information they needed.
 - Setting up a web server and a database.
- User testing.
- Bug fixing.
- Evaluation.
- Completing the report.

Additional Information / Knowledge Required:

- AI and NLP and how NLP libraries work.
- Learning how to use social media APIs.
- Expanding knowledge about Python.
- Expanding knowledge on web servers and databases.

Information Sources that Provide a Context for the Project:

- Existing smart assistants, e.g. Cortana, Google Assistant, Siri.
- Existing chatbots, e.g. Cleverbot.
- NLP libraries: spaCy, nltk, Chatterbot, BotMan.
- Jia, J. (2009). CSIEC: A computer assisted English learning chatbot based on textual knowledge and reasoning. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 22(4), 249–255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2008.09.001>
- Martinez, A. R. (2010). Natural language processing. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics*, 2(3), 352–357. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.76>
- Young, T., Hazarika, D., Poria, S., & Cambria, E. (2017). Recent Trends in Deep Learning Based Natural Language Processing. *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, 13(July), 55–75. <https://doi.org/arXiv:1708.02709v6>
- Pereira, J., & Diaz, O. (2018). A quality analysis of facebook messenger's most popular chatbots. *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, Part F1378*, 2144–2150. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3167132.3167362>

Svetlozar Georgiev

40203970

- Bhagwat, V. A. (2018). Deep Learning for Chatbots. *Master's Projects*. Retrieved from <http://www.wildml.com/2016/04/deep-learning-for-chatbots-part-1-introduction/>

The Importance of the Project:

Even though similar software exists (Google Assistant, Cortana, etc.), it is not open source. Creating an open source project allows other developers to learn from and build up on the existing code. Additionally, similar apps can only answer questions and set reminders. This app will be able to have a conversation with the user like a chat bot. What is more, using social media as the means of communication with the assistant will make the user feel like they are talking to a friend.

The Key Challenge(s) to be Overcome:

- Lack of knowledge about AI and NLP.
- Lack of experience with web technologies.

B Diary Sheets

EDINBURGH NAPIER UNIVERSITY

SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

PROJECT DIARY

Student: Svetlozar Georgiev

Supervisor: Kevin Sim

Date:

Last diary date:

Objectives:

- Create a preliminary IPO draft.
- Research LaTeX as advised by supervisor.
- Find papers and journals on AI to prepare for literature review.

Progress:

- Successfully created an initial IPO draft. Some sections have not been fully completed as I have questions for my supervisor.
- Managed to set up MiKTeX and compile the report template provided on Moodle. Also learned how to use Jabref for easier referencing.
- Used Napier library search and Google Scholar to find relevant papers.

Supervisor's Comments:

- Comments on what to change in the IPO.

EDINBURGH NAPIER UNIVERSITY

SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

PROJECT DIARY

Student: Svetlozar Georgiev

Supervisor: Kevin Sim

Date:

Last diary date:

Objectives:

- Complete IPO based on supervisor feedback.
- Read more papers and journals about AI and NLP.

Progress:

- Read 2 papers about NLP and 1 about creating a chatbot.
- Finished the IPO.

Supervisor's Comments:

- IPO is satisfactory.
- Discussion about papers.

EDINBURGH NAPIER UNIVERSITY

SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

PROJECT DIARY

Student: Svetlozar Georgiev

Supervisor: Kevin Sim

Date:

Last diary date:

Objectives:

- Continue reading papers and journals
- Investigate available libraries
- Create an example program utilising selected library

Progress:

- Read 2 papers.
- Decided to use the library chatterbot.
- Created 2 example programs. One can be trained using corpora. The second one can find random tweets on twitter and learn from them.

Supervisor's Comments:

- Feedback on example programs.
- Discussion about the selected library.

EDINBURGH NAPIER UNIVERSITY

SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

PROJECT DIARY

Student: Svetlozar Georgiev

Supervisor: Kevin Sim

Date:

Last diary date:

Objectives:

- Continue training the example programs.
- Continue reading papers.
- Set up the provided Latex template.
- Start writing the literature review.

Progress:

- Changed the custom Twitter trainer, so that it only searches for tweets in English.
- Collected more training data.
- Read 3 more papers.
- Wrote a few paragraphs for the literature review.

Supervisor's Comments:

Version 2

Edinburgh Napier University

EDINBURGH NAPIER UNIVERSITY

SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

PROJECT DIARY

Student: Svetlozar Georgiev

Supervisor: Kevin Sim

Date:

Last diary date:

Objectives:

- Continue reading papers.
- Continue training the bot.
- Write more paragraphs for the literature review.

Progress:

- Read 2 more papers.
- Collected more data from Twitter. Unfortunately, non-English tweets are still being found.
- Wrote a few paragraphs about Artificial Intelligence for the literature review.

Supervisor's Comments:

- Discussion about data collection and preprocessing.

EDINBURGH NAPIER UNIVERSITY

SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

PROJECT DIARY

Student: Svetlozar Georgiev

Supervisor: Kevin Sim

Date:

Last diary date:

Objectives:

- Focus on the literature review and get as much done as possible.

Progress:

- Created an initial draft of the introductory A.I. section.
- Created a draft of NLP and Machine Learning sections.

Supervisor's Comments:

- Feedback on the literature review.

C Second Formal Review Output

SOC10101 Honours Project (40 Credits)

Week 9 Report

Student Name: Svetlozar Georgiev

Supervisor: Kevin Sim

Second Marker: Neil Uggahar

Date of Meeting: 14/11/2018

Can the student provide evidence of attending supervision meetings by means of project diary sheets or other equivalent mechanism? ☒ yes ☐ no*

If not, please comment on any reasons presented

Diary sheets supplied - Good Attendance

Please comment on the progress made so far

Excellent W review.
Researched libraries + tested ChatBox wither ~~that~~ by
creating a test prog. Experimented with Turtle clock
+ decided not to use it within this project.

Is the progress satisfactory? ☒ yes ☐ no*Can the student articulate their aims and objectives? ☒ yes ☐ no*

If yes then please comment on them, otherwise write down your suggestions.

* Please circle one answer; if no is circled then this must be amplified in the space provided

Does the student have a plan of work? ☒ yes ☐ no*

If yes then please comment on that plan otherwise write down your suggestions.

Does the student know how they are going to evaluate their work? ☒ yes ☐ no*

If yes then please comment otherwise write down your suggestions.

Think carefully about comparisons with other chatbots. You need a framework for comparison - e.g. compare the ability to answer/respond to specific questions.

Any other recommendations as to the future direction of the project

Next suggested implementing using a case study such as answering student's questions to make it easier to evaluate

Signatures: Supervisor



Second Marker



Student



The student should submit a copy of this form to Moodle immediately after the review meeting; A copy should also appear as an appendix in the final dissertation.

* Please circle one answer; if no is circled then this must be amplified in the space provided