

PHAS1202 - Atoms, Stars and The Universe
Problem Solving Tutorial Sheet 1
Model Answers

All questions (or variations of them) may appear in the In-Course-Assessment test, with the exception of those questions marked with an asterix*. “Seen” questions are made available approximately one week before the PST. Additional “unseen” questions will be provided at the beginning of the PST. During the PST the class will discuss the solutions to the seen questions and work through unseen questions with a combination of individual calculation and group discussion.

Objectives:

1. Gain further experience in the energy scales of single photons in comparison with the energy scales of classical Physics.
2. Practise physicist’s methods of order-of-magnitude calculation. Please attempt these questions **without** the use of a calculator, make suitable approximations and aim for your numerical answers to be correct to the first significant figure.
3. Gain insights into the wavelength scales in the Compton Effect.
4. Explore the mathematical properties of Rydberg’s formula.
5. See a modern application of Bohr’s atomic model and gain intuition for the atomic size-scales the model predicts.

Useful constants

Planck’s constant h is 6.6×10^{-34} Js (2 s.f.).

The speed of light is 3×10^8 ms⁻¹.

The mass of a Hydrogen atom is 1.7×10^{-27} kg.

The mass of an electron is 9.1×10^{-31} kg.

1 electronVolt is 1.6×10^{-19} J.

1: Quantum scale

1.a) Without using a calculator, give an order-of-magnitude estimate of how many photons are emitted per second by my 1mW green laser pointer?

You may assume that 100% of the power supplied to the laser is converted to green light at a wavelength of 500 nm.

We are doing an order of magnitude calculation here, so we just need to get the answer to the nearest power of 10.

The green laser pointer has wavelength $\lambda = 500 \times 10^{-9} \text{m} = 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{m}$.

The power (energy per second) is $1 \text{mW} = 10^{-3} \text{W}$ (Joules per second). (We work in SI units).

The energy of a photon is given by the Planck-Einstein formula $E = hf = hc/\lambda$.

The number of photons per second is (energy per second) / (energy per photon) =

$$\frac{10^{-3} \times 5 \times 10^{-7}}{6.6 \times 10^{-34} \times 3 \times 10^8} \approx 10^{15} \text{s}^{-1}$$

For reference the "calculator solution" is $2.52 \times 10^{15} \text{s}^{-1}$.

Thus, to the closest order of magnitude there are 10^{15} photons per second in my laser pointer beam.

1.b) The Crystal Palace TV mast broadcasts BBC digital television signals on a frequency of 490 MHz at a power of 200,000 Watts. How many photons per second are emitted in this signal?

The frequency of this EM radiation is $490 \times 10^6 \text{ Hz} = 4.9 \times 10^8$. By a similar calculation as part a) we achieve an order of magnitude estimate of 10^{29} or 10^{30} photons per second. The calculator solution is 6.2×10^{29} per second.

2: Compton Effect

Compton's derivation predicted that, after scattering from an electron in a material, if the incoming photon wavelength is λ , the outgoing wavelength λ' of a photon scattered through angle θ would satisfy

$$\lambda' - \lambda = \frac{h}{m_e c} (1 - \cos \theta).$$

2.a) The quantity $\frac{h}{m_e c}$ is called the Compton wavelength and represents frequency shift of light scattered at 90° . Without using a calculator provide an estimate (in metres) for this wavelength.

The Compton wavelength is $2.4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}$, so 10^{-12} m or 1 pm would be a good order of magnitude estimate.

2 b.i) Consider xray light with a wavelength of 0.1nm. What is the ratio between the Compton wavelength and this wavelength?

0.1nm is 10^{-10} m so 10^{-12} is 1/100 of this wavelength.

2 b.ii) Consider visible light with a wavelength of 500nm. What is the ratio between the Compton wavelength and this wavelength?

500nm is 5×10^{-7} m and $10^{-12}/5 \times 10^{-7} = 2 \times 10^{-6}$. A wavelength shift of 100th of a wavelength (the xray case) would be much easier to measure than a shift of 2×10^{-6} of a wavelength, so it is very fortunate that Compton was carrying out his experiment using xrays.

2 c) Consider light scattering from a proton. Assuming a similar physical process to Compton scattering takes place calculate the equivalent “Compton wavelength” for scattering from a proton. If you wanted to build an experiment to measure the proton Compton wavelength what region of the electromagnetic spectrum would you use?

The “Compton wavelength” for scattering from a proton will be $h/(m_p c)$ where m_p is the proton mass. This mass is 1.7×10^{-27} kg. Putting the numbers in we find that this Compton wavelength is 1.3×10^{-15} m. This is 3 orders of magnitude higher than for the electron, so to see an effect analogous to xray electron Compton scattering one would use light with wavelength 3 orders of magnitude smaller than x-ray light, e.g. a gamma ray with wavelength 0.1pm.

3: Macroscopic photons?

In the lectures, we have always assumed that photons have an extremely small energy, and this is why we do not observe the “granularity” of photons in classical (i.e. human-scale) light. But why is this the case? Planck’s photon energy formula does not have an upper bound. In principle at least, photons could have any energy. In this question, you will explore the reasons why we do not see photons with the energies of macroscopic objects in nature.

3a) Estimate (to the nearest order of magnitude) the kinetic energy of a ping-pong ball during a table tennis match.

What order of magnitude is the mass of a pingpong ball? 1g? 10g? A kilogram? How fast is it likely to go? Try to use your own intuition to estimate these (i.e. not wikipedia!). Without using a calculator, give an estimate for the kinetic energy in Joules.

Wikipedia tells us that the mass of a ping pong ball is 2.7g. Hence 1g ($= 10^{-3}\text{kg}$) is the best order of magnitude estimate, but 10g is also acceptable.

A table tennis table is 3m long, and the time between hits in a ping pong match is less than a second - let’s say $1/3$ s. Hence the velocity of a ping pong ball is 10 ms^{-1} to the nearest order of magnitude. 100 ms^{-1} is clearly incorrect, and ms^{-1} is rather too slow (though acceptable).

With these numbers the kinetic energy $mv^2/2$ is $(1/2) \times 10^{-3} \times 10^2 = 0.5 \times 10^{-1} = 0.05$ Joules. 0.1 J or 0.01 J are both acceptable order of magnitude solutions. We need to choose one, so below I will use 0.1 J, as the kinetic energy of a ping-pong ball.

3b) What frequency would a photon need to have to possess the same energy?

Planck’s photon energy law tells us $E = hf$ and hence $f = E/h$. Putting numbers in: $f = 0.1/(6.6 \times 10^{-34}) \approx (1/7) \times 10^{-1} \times 10^{34} \approx 0.1 \times 10^{33} = 10^{32}\text{ Hz}$.

This is a very high frequency! For comparison, gamma rays are at approx. 10^{20} Hz .

3c) Wien's law gives the peak wavelength of the emission spectrum of a black-body at temperature T .

$$\lambda_{\max} = \frac{2.9 \times 10^{-3}}{T} \text{m} \cdot \text{K}$$

where $\text{m} \cdot \text{K}$ (meter Kelvins) are the SI unit for this constant. How hot must a black body be such that its peak emission will be at the frequency of the photon you just calculated?

We invert this expression to give

$$T = \frac{2.9 \times 10^{-3}}{\lambda_{\max}}.$$

Let's rewrite this in terms of the frequency $f_{\max} = c/\lambda_{\max}$.

$$T = \frac{2.9 \times 10^{-3} \times f_{\max}}{c}$$

Putting numbers in $T = 2.9 \times 10^{-3} \times 10^{32}/3 \times 10^8 \approx 10^{-3} \times 10^{32} \times 10^{-8} = 10^{21}$ K.

This is a very hot temperature! In comparison, the centre of the sun is believed to have a temperature of approx. 10^7 K.

3d) Consider Rydberg's formula for the Hydrogen atom emission spectrum.

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = R_H \left(\frac{1}{m^2} - \frac{1}{n^2} \right)$$

where $R_H = 1.1 \times 10^7 \text{m}^{-1}$, where n and m are positive integers and where $n > m$. What is the highest frequency of all Hydrogen emission lines?

To maximise the frequency, we need to maximise the positive part of this expression (by minimising m) and minimise the negative part of the expression (by maximising n). Therefore we set $m = 1$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then Rydberg's formula reduces to:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = R_H \left(\frac{1}{1} - 0 \right) = R_H$$

Thus $f = c/\lambda = cR_H = 3 \times 10^8 \times 1.1 \times 10^7 \approx 3.3 \times 10^{15} \text{Hz}$.

4: Nobel-prize winning Bohr model atoms

In your lectures, you learnt about Niels Bohr's model of the Hydrogen atom, where electrons lie in circular orbits, which satisfy an angular momentum quantisation rule $-l = n\hbar = nh/(2\pi)$ where n is an integer from 1 to infinity. Although the model has been superseded by a fully quantum mechanical treatment (which you'll study in detail in PHAS2222), it remains a good approximation for certain cases.

Prof Serge Haroche was one of the winners of the 2012 Nobel Prize in Physics. Prof Haroche performs experiments with *circular Rydberg states* of Rubidium atoms. We say an atom is in a Rydberg state when one of the electrons has been promoted into a very high energy state. Circular Rydberg states are states whose outer electron's properties are well approximated by the Bohr model for Hydrogen.

Why does the Hydrogen Bohr model work so well for these states? Rubidium has atomic number 37 - i.e. it has 37 electrons orbiting a nucleus containing 37 protons. In a circular Rydberg state, the outer electron is very far away from all other electrons and the nucleus. The outer electron, therefore, experiences the other electrons and protons together as a single object of charge $+e$ (this effect is known as *electron shielding* of the nucleus). The energy of these states and average radius of the outer electron are very well approximated by Bohr's Hydrogen model, and we shall use Bohr's model to study them in this question.

4.a) In Prof Haroche's experiments, photons were generated via the transition from the $n = 51$ circular Rydberg state to the $n = 50$ state (n here can be taken to mean the same n that appears in Bohr's angular momentum rule). What is the radius of these orbits in the Bohr model?

We start again with an easy-to-remember formula

$$r_n = 0.5n^2 \text{Angstroms}$$

$50^2 = 2500$ and $51^2 = 2601$ so we obtain $r_{50} = 1250\text{\AA}$ or approx 0.12 micron. This is very large for an atom!

4.b) What is the frequency of the photons emitted in this experiment? In what region of the electromagnetic spectrum do they lie (e.g. are they infrared? ultraviolet? etc.)?

A starting point is the easy-to-remember equation for the energies of Bohr atom orbits:

$$E_n = -\frac{13.6}{n^2} \text{eV}$$

We convert this to Joules to get

$$E_n = -\frac{13.6 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19}}{n^2} \text{J} = -\frac{2.2 \times 10^{-18}}{n^2} \text{J}$$

Hence the energy difference between $n = 51$ and $n = 50$ is

$$E_{51} - E_{50} = (2.2 \times 10^{-18}) \left(\frac{-1}{51^2} - \frac{-1}{50^2} \right)$$

Putting the numbers in we get $E_{51} - E_{50} = 3.4 \times 10^{-23}$ Joules.

We obtain a photon frequency from Planck-Einstein's formula $E = hf$, hence $f = E/h$. Putting the numbers in again we obtain $f = 50$ GHz (to 1 s.f.). This is in the microwave region.

4.c) A human hair has a thickness of approx 10^{-5} m. Approximately which orbit (i.e. which value of n) would you need to excite the electron to make an atom with a diameter similar to that of a human hair?

First we use

$$r_n = 0.5n^2 \text{Angstroms.}$$

This question asks for the diameter of the atom, which is simply n^2 Angstroms. 10^{-5} m = 10^5\AA . Thus $n = \sqrt{10^5}$. This is approx 320.
