- 1) UFlorida only training + moose testing
- 2) UFlorida + 2/3 moose training + 1/3 moose testing
- 3) 2/3 moose training + 1/3 moose testing

Full feature set

(1) ML method and its parameters (2) any data filtering and our labeling parameters and (3) confusion matrix (for 66-34split and not 10cv)

1. **Scenario 3**, 2 class labelling, only moose data as train and test (66-34 split) solver + pc combined , with all features : 83.8 % good accuracy , Remove Useless filter applied, b=30 labelling used

BayesNet

```
TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.838 0.269 0.465 0.838 0.599 0.881 good 0.731 0.162 0.942 0.731 0.823 0.881 bad Weighted Avg. 0.754 0.185 0.838 0.754 0.774 0.881
```

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 337 65 | a = good 387 1052 | b = bad

RF:

Correctly Classified Instances 1830 99.4025 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 11 0.5975 % Kappa statistic 0.9826

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.0307

0.0805

9.1177 %

19.4803 %

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.995 0.006 0.978 0.995 0.986 good 0.994 0.005 0.999 0.994 0.996 bad 1 Weighted Avg. 0.994 0.005 0.994 0.994 0.994 1

```
a b <-- classified as
400 2 | a = good
 9 1430 | b = bad
ADT
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===
       TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class
        0.975 0.003
                       0.987 0.975 0.981
                                             0.999
                                                    good
        0.997 0.025 0.993 0.997
                                     0.995
                                             0.999
                                                    bad
Weighted Avg. 0.992 0.02
                            0.992 0.992 0.992
                                                  0.999
=== Confusion Matrix ===
 a b <-- classified as
392 10 | a = good
 5 1434 | b = bad
KNN
== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===
       TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class
        0.863 0.024
                       0.911 0.863 0.886
                                             0.988 good
        0.976 0.137
                       0.962
                              0.976
                                     0.969
                                             0.988 bad
Weighted Avg. 0.952 0.112
                          0.951 0.952 0.951
                                                  0.988
=== Confusion Matrix ===
 a b <-- classified as
347 55 | a = good
 34 1405 | b = bad
J48
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===
       TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class
                                                    good
        0.985 0.004
                       0.985 0.985 0.985
                                             0.994
```

0.996 0.996

Weighted Avg. 0.993 0.013 0.993 0.993 0.994

0.996

0.994

bad

=== Confusion Matrix ===

0.996 0.015

a b <-- classified as 396 6 | a = good 6 1433 | b = bad

Scenario 3: All features
All features, 5414 moose (train + test)
RemoveUseless Filter 2class labelling (solver + pc combined)
b=30
66-34%train test split
1152 good
4262 bad

ML mtd	Noise filtering			
B= 30	10-cv		66-34%split	
5414 datapts	Overall Good solver Overall accuracy accuracy		Good solver accuracy	
LibSVM	44.7	67.8	21.8	100(do not consider, as all labelled as good , 0% bad accuracy)
RF(100)	99.4	99.4	99.4	99.5
BN	75.7	81.3	75.4	83.8
knn(K=10)	96.6	91.2	95.1	86.3
ADT	98.7	95.1	99.1	97.5
J48	99.4	99.4	99.3	98.5

Scenario 1: All features 5437 UFlorida (train) + 5414 moose (test)

RemoveUseless Filter + <10,000 nnz excluded from training set

2class labelling (solver + pc combined)

b=30

2109 good, 8742 bad

50.11 % train, 49.89 % test

ML mtd	Noise filtering			
B= 30	10-cv(No more Uflorida as train, moose as test; its a mix)		50.11 % train, 49.89 % test	
10851 datapts	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy
LibSVM	Too slow	Too slow	19.56	100(do not consider, as all labelled as good , 0% bad accuracy)
RF(100)	95.6	83.2	94.5	80.5
BN	78.7	57.7	76.5	63.6
knn(K=10)	90.4	65.3	89.3	64.7
ADT	89.8	54.3	88.5	53.1
J48	96.0	89.4	95.0	87.2

RF

Correctly Classified Instances 5120 94.5696 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 294 5.4304 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.8198

0.1007

0.2019

32.154 %

50.9052 %

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class

0.805 0.02 0.906 0.805 0.853 0.981 good 0.98 0.195 0.954 0.98 0.967 0.981 bad Weighted Avg. 0.946 0.16 0.945 0.946 0.944 0.981

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 853 206 | a = good 88 4267 | b = bad

BayesNet

Correctly Classified Instances 4142 76.5054 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 1272 23.4946 %

Kappa statistic 0.367 Mean absolute error 0.2395 Root mean squared error 0.4639 76.4703 % Relative absolute error Root relative squared error 116.9465 % **Total Number of Instances** 5414 === Detailed Accuracy By Class === TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.636 0.204 0.432 0.636 0.515 0.807 good 0.796 0.364 0.9 0.796 0.845 0.807 bad Weighted Avg. 0.765 0.332 0.808 0.765 0.78 0.807 === Confusion Matrix === a b <-- classified as 674 385 | a = good 887 3468 | b = bad LibSVM === Detailed Accuracy By Class === TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.196 1 0.327 0.5 good 0 0 0 0 0.5 bad Weighted Avg. 0.196 0.196 0.038 0.196 0.064 0.5 === Confusion Matrix === a b <-- classified as 1059 $0 \mid a = good$ 4355 0 | b = bad Knn === Detailed Accuracy By Class === TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.647 0.047 0.771 0.647 0.704 0.919 good 0.953 0.353 0.917 0.953 0.935 0.919 bad Weighted Avg. 0.893 0.293 0.889 0.893 0.89 === Confusion Matrix === a b <-- classified as 685 374 | a = good 203 4152 | b = bad

ADT

Correctly Classified Instances 4792 88.5113 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 622 11.4887 %

Kappa statistic 0.5789

Mean absolute error 0.2028

Root mean squared error 0.2967

Relative absolute error 64.735 %

Root relative squared error 74.7886 %

Total Number of Instances 5414

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.531 0.029 0.818 0.531 0.644 0.927 0.971 0.469 0.895 0.971 0.932 0.927 bad Weighted Avg. 0.885 0.383 0.88 0.885 0.875 0.927

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 562 497 | a = good 125 4230 | b = bad

.....

J48

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.872 0.03 0.875 0.872 0.873 0.934 good 0.97 0.128 0.969 0.97 0.969 0.934 bad Weighted Avg. 0.95 0.109 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.934

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 923 136 | a = good 132 4223 | b = bad

Confusion Matrix For 10cv for scenario 1 J48

Correctly Classified Instances 10426 96.0833 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 425 3.9167 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.8744

0.0474

15.1381

47.7559

10851

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.894 0.023 0.904 0.894 0.899 good 0.949 0.977 0.106 0.974 0.977 0.976 0.949 bad Weighted Avg. 0.961 0.09 0.961 0.961 0.961

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 1885 224 | a = good 201 8541 | b = bad

ADT

Correctly Classified Instances 9749 89.8443 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 1102 10.1557 %

Kappa statistic0.6191Mean absolute error0.2028Root mean squared error0.2857Relative absolute error64.7604 %Root relative squared error72.2104 %Total Number of Instances10851

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.543 0.016 0.892 0.543 0.675 0.933 good 0.984 0.457 0.899 0.984 0.94 0.933 bad Weighted Avg. 0.898 0.371 0.898 0.898 0.888 0.933

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 1145 964 | a = good 138 8604 | b = bad

KNN

Correctly Classified Instances 9812 90.4248 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 1039 9.5752 %

Kappa statistic 0.6691

Mean absolute error 0.1254

Root mean squared error 0.2604

Relative absolute error 40.0296 %

Root relative squared error 65.7977 %

Total Number of Instances 10851

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.653 0.035 0.817 0.653 0.726 0.94 good 0.965 0.347 0.92 0.965 0.942 0.94 bad Weighted Avg. 0.904 0.286 0.9 0.904 0.9 0.94

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as

1378 731 | a = good 308 8434 | b = bad

ΒN

Correctly Classified Instances 8550 78.7946 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 2301 21.2054 %

Kappa statistic0.3805Mean absolute error0.2152Root mean squared error0.4449Relative absolute error68.7035 %Root relative squared error112.4431 %Total Number of Instances10851

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.577 0.161 0.463 0.577 0.514 0.814 good 0.839 0.423 0.892 0.839 0.864 0.814 bad Weighted Avg. 0.788 0.372 0.808 0.788 0.796 0.814

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 1217 892 | a = good 1409 7333 | b = bad

RF

Correctly Classified Instances 10382 95.6778 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 469 4.3222 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.8558

0.0843

20.9219

45.5681

10851

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.832 0.013 0.939 0.832 0.882 0.99 good 0.987 0.168 0.961 0.987 0.974 0.99 bad Weighted Avg. 0.957 0.138 0.956 0.956 0.99 0.957

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 1755 354 | a = good 115 8627 | b = bad

Scenario 2: All features [5437 UFlorida + 3609 moose] (train) +[1805 moose (test)]

(UFlorida + 2/3rd moose as train) + (1/3rd moose as test)
RemoveUseless Filter + <10,000 nnz excluded from training set
2class labelling (solver + pc combined)
b=30

2109 good, 8742 bad

Same data file as scenario 1, just diff. Train-test split

ML mtd	Noise filtering			
B= 30	10-cv		83.37 train-16.63 te	st split
10851 datapts	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy
LibSVM	Same as scenario 1, 10cv	Same as scenario 1, 10cv	Too slow	Too slow
RF(100)	Same as scenario 1, 10cv	Same as scenario 1, 10cv	95.6	83.7
BN	Same as scenario 1, 10cv	Same as scenario 1, 10cv	77.8	60.8
knn(K=10)	Same as scenario 1, 10cv	Same as scenario 1, 10cv	90.4	66.3
ADT	Same as scenario 1, 10cv	Same as scenario 1, 10cv	90.3	58.0
J48	Same as scenario 1, 10cv	Same as scenario 1, 10cv	95.9	90.1

RF

Correctly Classified Instances 1726 95.6233 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 79 4.3767 %

Kappa statistic0.8578Mean absolute error0.087Root mean squared error0.1858Relative absolute error27.5243 %Root relative squared error46.4002 %Total Number of Instances1805

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.837 0.014 0.938 0.837 0.885 0.986 good 0.986 0.163 0.96 0.986 0.973 0.986 bad Weighted Avg. 0.956 0.133 0.956 0.956 0.955 0.986

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 303 59 | a = good 20 1423 | b = bad

BN

Correctly Classified Instances 1405 77.8393 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 400 22.1607 %

Kappa statistic0.383Mean absolute error0.2237Root mean squared error0.4548Relative absolute error70.7395 %Root relative squared error113.5543 %Total Number of Instances1805

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.608 0.179 0.46 0.608 0.524 0.815 good 0.821 0.392 0.893 0.821 0.856 0.815 bad Weighted Avg. 0.778 0.349 0.806 0.778 0.789 0.815

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 220 142 | a = good 258 1185 | b = bad

Knn

Correctly Classified Instances 1632 90.4155 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 173 9.5845 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.6774

0.1261

39.8868

65.8184 %

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.663 0.035 0.825 0.663 0.735 0.935 good 0.965 0.337 0.965 0.941 0.935 bad 0.919 Weighted Avg. 0.904 0.277 0.9 0.904 0.9 0.935

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as
 240 122 | a = good
 51 1392 | b = bad

ADT

Correctly Classified Instances 1630 90.3047 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 175 9.6953 %

Kappa statistic 0.6511 Mean absolute error 0.2027 Root mean squared error 0.2918
Relative absolute error 64.0997 %
Root relative squared error 72.8743 %
Total Number of Instances 1805

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.58 0.016 0.901 0.58 0.706 0.932 good 0.984 0.42 0.903 0.984 0.942 0.932 bad Weighted Avg. 0.903 0.339 0.903 0.903 0.895 0.932

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 210 152 | a = good 23 1420 | b = bad

J48

Correctly Classified Instances 1732 95.9557 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 73 4.0443 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.874

0.0467

0.1908

14.7791 %

47.648 %

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.901 0.026 0.898 0.901 0.899 0.945 good 0.974 0.099 0.975 0.974 0.975 0.945 bad Weighted Avg. 0.96 0.085 0.96 0.96 0.96 0.945

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 326 36 | a = good 37 1406 | b = bad

Analysis for Reduced sets

More data than for all feature analysis

RS1 (8 features)

Scenario 3: [moose] (train) +[moose (test)]

2class labelling (solver + pc combined)

b=30

479 good, 9270 bad

ML mtd	Noise filtering			
B= 30	10-cv		66-34 train-test	split
9749 datapts	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy
LibSVM	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW
RF(100)	99.9	99	99.9	98.8
BN	95.1	96.5	94.7	95.7
knn(K=10)	99.3	94.6	99.1	92.7
ADT	99.7	95.2	99.9	98.8
J48	99.9	99.6	99.9	98.8

CONFUSION MATRICES FOR 66-34%SPLIT

RF

Correctly Classified Instances 3313 99.9397 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 2 0.0603 %

Kappa statistic0.9935Mean absolute error0.0021Root mean squared error0.0214Relative absolute error2.2436 %Root relative squared error9.8898 %Total Number of Instances3315

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.988 0 1 0.988 0.994 1 good 1 0.012 0.999 1 1 1 bad Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.012 0.999 0.999 0.999 1

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 162 2 | a = good 0 3151 | b = bad _____

BN

Correctly Classified Instances 3141 94.7511 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 174 5.2489 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.6184

0.0379

0.1519

40.4802 %

70.0257 %

3315

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.957 0.053 0.485 0.957 0.643 0.995 good 0.947 0.043 0.998 0.947 0.972 0.995 bad Weighted Avg. 0.948 0.043 0.972 0.948 0.955 0.995

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as157 7 | a = good167 2984 | b = bad

ADT

Correctly Classified Instances 3313 99.9397 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 2 0.0603 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.9935

0.0123

0.0469

13.0951 %

21.609 %

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.988 0 1 0.988 0.994 0.993 good 1 0.012 0.999 1 1 0.993 bad Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.012 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.993

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 162 2 | a = good 0 3151 | b = bad

J48

Correctly Classified Instances 3313 99.9397 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 2 0.0603 % Kappa statistic 0.9935

Mean absolute error0.0006Root mean squared error0.0246Relative absolute error0.6438 %Root relative squared error11.3269 %Total Number of Instances3315

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.988 0 1 0.988 0.994 0.994 good 1 0.012 0.999 1 1 0.994 bad Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.012 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.994

3286

99.1252 %

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 162 2 | a = good 0 3151 | b = bad

Correctly Classified Instances

KNN

Incorrectly Classified Instances 29 0.8748 %
Kappa statistic 0.9083
Mean absolute error 0.0128
Root mean squared error 0.0793
Relative absolute error 13.6323 %
Root relative squared error 36.5632 %

Total Number of Instances 3315

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.927 0.005 0.899 0.927 0.913 0.999 good 0.995 0.073 0.996 0.995 0.995 0.999 bad Weighted Avg. 0.991 0.07 0.991 0.991 0.991 0.999

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as152 12 | a = good17 3134 | b = bad

RS2 (6 features)

Scenario 3: [moose] (train) +[moose (test)]

2class labelling (solver + pc combined)

b=30

479 good, 9270 bad

ML mtd	Noise filtering			
B= 30	10-cv		66-34% train-te	st split
9749 datapts	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy
LibSVM	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW
RF(100)	99.9	99.9	99.9	98.8
BN	95.1	96.5	94.7	95.7
knn(K=10)	99.3	95.4	99.1	93.3
ADT	99.7	95.0	99.9	98.8
J48	99.9	99.6	99.9	98.8

Confusion Matrix for 66-34%train-test split J48

Correctly Classified Instances 3313 99.9397 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 2 0.0603 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.9935

0.0006

0.0246

0.6438 %

11.3269 %

3315

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.988 0 1 0.988 0.994 0.994 good 1 0.012 0.999 1 1 0.994 bad Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.012 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.994

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 162 2 | a = good 0 3151 | b = bad

```
RF
```

Correctly Classified Instances 3313 99.9397 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 2 0.0603 %

Kappa statistic0.9935Mean absolute error0.0015Root mean squared error0.0224Relative absolute error1.5966 %Root relative squared error10.3329 %Total Number of Instances3315

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.988 0 1 0.988 0.994 1 good 1 0.012 0.999 1 bad 1 1 0.999 0.012 0.999 Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.999

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as162 2 | a = good0 3151 | b = bad

BN

Correctly Classified Instances 3141 94.7511 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 174 5.2489 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.6184

0.0379

0.1519

40.4802 %

70.0257 %

3315

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.957 0.053 0.485 0.957 0.643 0.995 good 0.947 0.043 0.998 0.972 bad 0.947 0.995 Weighted Avg. 0.948 0.043 0.972 0.948 0.955 0.995

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 157 7 | a = good 167 2984 | b = bad

KNN

Correctly Classified Instances 3288 99.1855 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 27 0.8145 %

Kappa statistic 0.9146 Mean absolute error 0.0125 Root mean squared error 0.0782
Relative absolute error 13.3748 %
Root relative squared error 36.0508 %
Total Number of Instances 3315

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.005 0.933 0.905 0.933 0.919 0.999 good 0.995 0.067 0.997 0.995 0.996 0.999 bad Weighted Avg. 0.992 0.064 0.992 0.992 0.992 0.999

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 153 11 | a = good 16 3135 | b = bad

ADT

Correctly Classified Instances 3313 99.9397 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 2 0.0603 %

Kappa statistic0.9935Mean absolute error0.0123Root mean squared error0.0469Relative absolute error13.0951 %Root relative squared error21.609 %Total Number of Instances3315

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.988 0 1 0.988 0.994 0.993 good 1 0.012 0.999 1 1 0.993 bad Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.012 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.993

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 162 2 | a = good 0 3151 | b = bad

Scenario 3: All features [moose] (train) +[moose (test)]

2class labelling (solver + pc combined) b=30 15757 datapoints 1007 good,14750 bad

ML mtd	Noise filtering			
B= 30	10-cv		66-34% train-te	st split
15757 datapts	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy
LibSVM	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW
RF(100)	99.7	98.1	99.7	96.8
BN	74.5	76.5	76.7	78.0
knn(K=10)	96.8	69.3	96.2	63.8
ADT	99.6	97.7	99.6	97.4
J48	99.8	99.7	99.7	98.0

Confusion matrix for 66-34% train-test split

ADT

Correctly Classified Instances 5339 99.664 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 18 0.336 %

Kappa statistic0.9721Mean absolute error0.0116Root mean squared error0.0515Relative absolute error9.658 %Root relative squared error20.987 %Total Number of Instances5357

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.974 0.002 0.974 0.974 0.974 0.997 good 0.998 0.026 0.998 0.998 0.998 0.997 bad Weighted Avg. 0.997 0.025 0.997 0.997 0.997 0.997

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 336 9 | a = good 9 5003 | b = bad

RF

Correctly Classified Instances 5342 99.72 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances 15 0.28 %
Kappa statistic 0.9765
Mean absolute error 0.0126
Root mean squared error 0.0535

Root mean squared error 0.0535
Relative absolute error 10.4722 %
Root relative squared error 21.8044 %
Total Number of Instances 5357

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.968 0.001 0.988 0.968 0.978 1 good 0.999 0.032 0.998 0.999 0.999 bad Weighted Avg. 0.997 0.03 0.997 0.997

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 334 11 | a = good 4 5008 | b = bad

J48

Correctly Classified Instances 5345 99.776 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 12 0.224 %

Kappa statistic0.9814Mean absolute error0.0032Root mean squared error0.0464Relative absolute error2.6936 %Root relative squared error18.896 %Total Number of Instances5357

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.98 0.001 0.985 0.98 0.983 0.99 good 0.999 0.02 0.999 bad 0.999 0.999 0.99 0.998 Weighted Avg. 0.998 0.019 0.998 0.998 0.99

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as338 7 | a = good5 5007 | b = bad

BN

Correctly Classified Instances 4112 76.7594 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 1245 23.2406 %

Kappa statistic 0.2208

Mean absolute error 0.2346
Root mean squared error 0.4789
Relative absolute error 195.5926 %
Root relative squared error 195.1143 %
Total Number of Instances 5357

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.233 0.187 0.78 0.302 0.888 good 0.767 0.22 0.981 0.767 0.861 0.888 bad Weighted Avg. 0.768 0.221 0.93 0.768 0.825 0.888

5157

96.2666 %

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 269 76 | a = good 1169 3843 | b = bad

Correctly Classified Instances

KNN

Incorrectly Classified Instances 200 3.7334 %
Kappa statistic 0.6678
Mean absolute error 0.0521
Root mean squared error 0.1631
Relative absolute error 43.4662 %
Root relative squared error 66.427 %

Total Number of Instances 5357

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.638 0.015 0.746 0.638 0.688 0.978 good 0.985 0.362 0.975 0.985 0.98 0.978 bad Weighted Avg. 0.963 0.34 0.961 0.963 0.961 0.978

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 220 125 | a = good 75 4937 | b = bad

Scenario 3: Reduced Sets [moose] (train) +[moose (test)]

2class labelling (solver + pc combined)

RS1: 8 FEATURES

b=30

15757 datapoints

. 1007 good,14750 bad

ML mtd	Noise filtering			
B= 30	10-cv		66-34% train-te	st split
15757 datapts	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy
LibSVM	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW
RF(100)	99.8	99.2	99.8	98.8
BN	97.8	85.3	98.0	83.8
knn(K=10)	98.9	94.7	98.7	90.1
ADT	99.8	99.0	99.8	98.6
J48	99.8	99.5	99.7	97.4

C FOR 66-34% TRAIN TEST SPLIT

KNN

Correctly Classified Instances 5291 98.768 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 66 1.232 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.8975

0.0179

14.9417 %

14.9417 %

38.4775 %

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.901 0.006 0.907 0.901 0.904 0.997 good 0.994 0.099 0.993 0.994 0.993 0.997 bad Weighted Avg. 0.988 0.093 0.988 0.988 0.988 0.997

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 311 34 | a = good

```
32 4980 | b = bad
```

ADT

Correctly Classified Instances 5347 99.8133 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 10 0.1867 %

Kappa statistic0.9845Mean absolute error0.0134Root mean squared error0.0508Relative absolute error11.1516 %Root relative squared error20.6983 %Total Number of Instances5357

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.986 0.001 0.986 0.986 0.986 0.995 good 0.999 0.014 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.995 bad Weighted Avg. 0.998 0.014 0.998 0.998 0.998 0.995

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 340 5 | a = good 5 5007 | b = bad

J48

Correctly Classified Instances 5343 99.7387 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 14 0.2613 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.9782

0.0039

0.0505

3.2474 %

20.5598 %

5357

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.974 0.001 0.985 0.974 0.98 0.989 good 0.999 0.026 0.998 0.999 0.999 0.989 bad Weighted Avg. 0.997 0.024 0.997 0.997 0.997 0.989

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 336 9 | a = good 5 5007 | b = bad

BN

Correctly Classified Instances 5252 98.0399 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 105 1.9601 %

Kappa statistic0.8358Mean absolute error0.0279Root mean squared error0.1314Relative absolute error23.2528 %Root relative squared error53.5244 %Total Number of Instances5357

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.838 0.01 0.855 0.838 0.846 0.989 good 0.99 0.162 0.989 0.99 0.99 0.989 bad Weighted Avg. 0.98 0.152 0.98 0.98 0.98 0.98 0.989

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 289 56 | a = good 49 4963 | b = bad

Scenario 3: Reduced Sets [moose] (train) +[moose (test)]

2class labelling (solver + pc combined)

RS2: 6 FEATURES

b=30

15757 datapoints

1007 good,14750 bad

ML mtd	Noise filtering			
B= 30	10-cv		66-34% train-te	st split
15757 datapts	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy	Overall accuracy	Good solver accuracy
LibSVM	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW	TOO SLOW
RF(100)	99.8	99.1	99.9	99.1
BN	98.4	89.7	98.3	83.8
knn(K=10)	99.0	95.2	98.8	90.7
ADT	99.8	99.1	99.8	98.8
J48	99.8	99.5	99.7	97.7

CM for 66-34% split

Knn

Correctly Classified Instances 5293 98.8053 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 64 1.1947 %

Kappa statistic0.9009Mean absolute error0.0177Root mean squared error0.0933Relative absolute error14.7238 %Root relative squared error37.9995 %Total Number of Instances5357

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.907 0.006 0.907 0.907 0.907 0.997 good 0.994 0.093 0.994 0.994 0.994 0.997 bad Weighted Avg. 0.988 0.087 0.988 0.988 0.988 0.997

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 313 32 | a = good 32 4980 | b = bad

```
RF
Correctly Classified Instances 5352
```

Correctly Classified Instances 5352 99.9067 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 5 0.0933 % Kappa statistic 0.9922

Mean absolute error 0.0016
Root mean squared error 0.0264
Relative absolute error 1.2981 %
Root relative squared error 10.7647 %
Total Number of Instances 5357

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.991 0 0.994 0.991 0.993 1 good 1 0.009 0.999 1 1 1 bad
Weighted Avg. 0.999 0.008 0.999 0.999 0.999 1

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as342 3 | a = good2 5010 | b = bad

ADT

Correctly Classified Instances 5348 99.832 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 9 0.168 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.9861

0.0133

1.0936

11.0936

20.1399

5357

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.988 0.001 0.986 0.988 0.987 0.996 good 0.999 0.012 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.996 bad Weighted Avg. 0.998 0.011 0.998 0.998 0.998 0.996

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 341 4 | a = good 5 5007 | b = bad

ΒN

Correctly Classified Instances 5266 98.3013 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 91 1.6987 %

Kappa statistic	0.8549
Mean absolute error	0.0216
Root mean squared error	0.1124
Relative absolute error	17.9943 %
Root relative squared error	45.772 %
Total Number of Instances	5357

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.838 0.007 0.892 0.838 0.864 0.993 good 0.993 0.162 0.989 0.993 0.991 0.993 bad Weighted Avg. 0.983 0.152 0.983 0.983 0.983 0.993

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 289 56 | a = good 35 4977 | b = bad

J48

Correctly Classified Instances 5344 99.7573 % Incorrectly Classified Instances 13 0.2427 %

Kappa statistic

Mean absolute error

Root mean squared error

Relative absolute error

Root relative squared error

Total Number of Instances

0.09798

0.0037

0.0486

3.0917 %

19.792 %

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure ROC Area Class 0.977 0.001 0.985 0.977 0.981 0.99 good 0.999 0.023 0.998 0.999 0.999 0.99 bad 0.99 Weighted Avg. 0.998 0.022 0.998 0.998 0.998

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as 337 8 | a = good 5 5007 | b = bad
