

1 Motivation

Square matrix has eigenvalues, but rectangular matrix has not. Here is why singular value decomposition (SVD) comes. SVD is a more general decomposition than eigendecomposition.

Theorem 1.1 (Existence). $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a nonzero rank k matrix, then $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T$ is a symmetrical matrix with rank k . We have eigendecomposition

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{\Lambda},$$

where $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ and $\mathbf{Y}^T\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{I}_k$. Let $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}$, then

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{Z}^T,$$

which is called SVD decomposition.

Proof. From the definition of \mathbf{Z} , we have $\mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{I}_k$ and $\text{span}(\mathbf{Z}) = \text{span}(\mathbf{A}^T)$. Let \mathbf{Z}_0 in \mathbf{Z} 's complementary space, such that $\hat{\mathbf{Z}} = (\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}_0)$, $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^T\hat{\mathbf{Z}} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^T = \mathbf{I}_n$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{Z}}\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^T = (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z}_0)\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^T = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{Z}^T$$

□

Proposition 1.1. Every matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ has a SVD decomposition.

Three kinds of SVD decomposition:

- $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$ is called *full SVD decomposition* if $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $\mathbf{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.
- $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$ is called *condensed SVD decomposition* if $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = k$, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$, $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$, $\mathbf{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$.
- $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$ is called *thin SVD decomposition* if $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

2 SVD theorem

Theorem 2.1 (SVD Theorem). *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} (m \geq n)$ be a nonzero matrix, then there exist orthogonal matrices $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, such that*

$$\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{\Sigma} = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

where $p = \min\{m, n\}$ when $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_p \geq 0$.

Proof via induction.

1. When $n = 1$, it is surely correct.
2. Suppose the theorem holds when $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m-1) \times (n-1)}$. Now consider $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. If $\mathbf{A} = 0$, then it is correct. If $\mathbf{A} \neq 0$, then $\sigma_1 = \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 = \max_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}\|_2 \neq 0$. Let $\mathbf{v}_1 = \arg \max_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}\|_2$, $\mathbf{u}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_1}{\sigma_1}$. Construct

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}} = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{V}_1] \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathbf{U}} = [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{U}_1],$$

where $\mathbf{U}_1 \mathbf{U}_1^T = \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{U}_1 = \mathbf{I}_m$ and $\mathbf{V}_1 \mathbf{V}_1^T = \mathbf{V}_1^T \mathbf{V}_1 = \mathbf{I}_n$. Then we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}^T \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{V}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}_1 \\ \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to note that $\mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_1 = \sigma_1 \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{u}_1 = 0$. Let $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}_1$, $\mathbf{z} = (\sigma_1 \quad \mathbf{a}^T)$, $\mathbf{Y} = \hat{\mathbf{U}}^T \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{V}}$. Then we have

$$\sigma_1 \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a}} \geq \|\mathbf{Y}\|_2 \|\mathbf{z}\|_2 \geq \|\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{z}\|_2 = \|(\sigma_1^2 + \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2 \quad \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{V}_1^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{U}_1^T)^T\|_2 \geq \sigma_1^2 + \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a}$$

Therefore, $\sigma_1 \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a}} \geq \sigma_1^2 + \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a}$, we can get $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a} = 0$, i.e. $\mathbf{a} = 0$. So

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}^T \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{V}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

. Since $\mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{(m-1) \times (n-1)}$, there exist orthogonal $\hat{\mathbf{U}}_1$, $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1$ and diagonal $\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_1$, such that $\mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}_1 = \hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{V}}_1^T$. Then one has

$$\mathbf{A} = \hat{\mathbf{U}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{\mathbf{V}}_1 \end{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{V}}^T.$$

Both $\hat{\mathbf{U}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{\mathbf{U}}_1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{\mathbf{V}}_1 \end{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{V}}^T$ are orthogonal matrices, so the theorem is correct. □

Proposition 2.1 (Unique problem).

- If $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \dots > \sigma_p$, it is easy to know that the SVD for \mathbf{A} is unique from the definition of the max eigenvalue, $\sigma_1 = \max_{\mathbf{v}_1} \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_1\|_2}{\|\mathbf{v}_1\|_2}$, $\mathbf{u}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_1}{\sigma_1}$. From the proof above, we know that \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{u}_2 are also unique, the same goes for $\mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ and $\mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4$ and \mathbf{u}_p .

- Otherwise, the SVD is not unique. Suppose $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \dots \sigma_{i_1} > \sigma_{i_1+1} \dots > \sigma_p > 0$, i.e. the number of σ_{i_1} is i_1 , the number of σ_{i_2} is i_2 , ..., the number of σ_{i_k} is i_k . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= \mathbf{U} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{i_1} \mathbf{I}_{i_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_{i_k} \mathbf{I}_{i_k} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^T \\ &= \mathbf{U} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mathbf{Q}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{i_1} \mathbf{I}_{i_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_{i_k} \mathbf{I}_{i_k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1^T & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mathbf{Q}_k^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^T, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{Q}_j is an orthogonal matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{i_j \times i_j}$.

Since $\mathbf{U} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mathbf{Q}_k \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1^T & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mathbf{Q}_k^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^T$ are still orthogonal matrices, the SVD for \mathbf{A} is not unique.