

## 1 Problem 1

### 1.1 Algorithm

Assume the input is a sorted list of numbers from 0 to  $n - 1$ .

1. If the list contains two elements or less then return the list.
2. Else divide the list into two halves based on parity:
  - $A$  contains only even numbers
  - $B$  contains only odd numbers
3. Recursively split resulting lists based on the parity of the resulting numbers when
  - if elements are even, divide through by 2
  - if elements are odd, subtract each element by 1 and divide through by 2
4. Build new list  $M$  by
  - multiplying through the left split list by 2
  - multiplying through the right split list by 2 and add 1 to each element
  - append the right split list to the end of the left split list
  - Repeat until all split lists are merged
5. Return  $M$

### 1.2 Runtime

The height of the recursion tree is bounded by  $\log n$  as each iteration divides the list length by 2. Each iteration takes at most  $O(n)$  time to process the numbers' parity. Hence, the total running time for the algorithm is  $O(n \log n)$ .

### 1.3 Correctness

**Lemma 1.** *For numbers ordered  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}$ , if  $p_i$  and  $p_k$  have different parity, they cannot be part of an equal gap triple with  $i < j < k$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 1.* Given  $p_i$  and  $p_k$  have different parity, then if  $p_j$  is even, the gaps  $p_j - p_i, p_k - p_j$  will be of different parities, as subtraction between two even numbers results in an even number and subtraction between numbers of different parities results in an odd number. Similarly, if  $p_j$  is odd, the gaps  $p_j - p_i, p_k - p_j$  will be of different parities by the same reasoning. Because  $p_j - p_i, p_k - p_j$  are of different parities,  $p_i, p_j, p_k$  cannot form an equal gap triple. Hence, the lemma is proven as necessary.

**Lemma 2.** *The above algorithm correctly sorts the input list such that if there is a triple  $i < j < k$ ,  $p_j - p_i \neq p_k - p_j$ , where numbers are ordered  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 2.* We will prove Lemma 2 using a proof by induction.

If  $n \leq 2$ , by definition of a gap triple, a gap triple cannot occur.

Now, consider  $n = 2^{k-1}$  for some  $k > 2$ . Assume this merges lists of length  $2^{k-2}$ , that preserves the property that the resulting list contains no gap triples. For list  $L$  of length  $n = 2^k$ , the previous split of lists of length  $2^{k-1}$  can be mapped to list  $L$  by multiplying through the left split list by 2, multiplying through the right split list by 2 and add 1 to each element, and then appending the right split list to the end of the left split list. By the inductive hypothesis, the split lists of length  $2^{k-1}$  contain no gap triples. Because the two lists' elements are of different parities, list  $L$  contains no gap triples.

For  $n = 2^k$ , the last step merges two lists of even and odd numbers. By Lemma 1, if  $p_i$  is from one list, and  $p_k$  is from the other list of a different parity, then  $p_i, p_j, p_k$  cannot form an equal gap triple.