## 1 Problem 3

Let slack  $S_{j,k}$  be the difference

$$S_{j,k} = L - \left[ \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} (c_i + 1) \right] + c_k \tag{1}$$

where if  $S_{j,k} < 0$ ,  $S_{j,k} = \text{Integer.MAX\_VALUE}$ .

## 1.1 Algorithm

Segmented-slack(n)

- 1. Array M[0...n]
- 2. Set M[0] = 0
- 3. For all pairs  $i \leq j$ 
  - $\bullet$  Compute the slack  $S_{i,j}$  for the line of words  $w_i,...,w_j$
- 4. Endfor
- 5. For j = 1, ..., n
  - Use the recurrence relation to compute M[j]
- 6. Endfor
- 7. Return M[n]

Find-lines(j)

- 1. If j = 0 then return
- 2. Else
  - Find an i that minimizes  $S_{i,j}^2 + M[i-1]$
  - Output the line  $w_i, ..., w_j$  and the result of Find-lines(i-1)
- 3. Endif

Recurrence Relation For the subproblem on the words  $w_i, ..., w_j$ ,

$$OPT(j) = min_{1 \le i \le j} (S_{i,j}^2 + OPT(i-1)),$$

and the line  $w_i, ..., w_j$  is used in an optimum partitioning for the subproblem if and only if the minimum is obtained using index i.

## 1.2 Runtime

To compute all the values of the slacks  $S_{i,j}$ , there are  $O(n^2)$  pairs (i,j) for which this computation is needed. For each pair (i,j), we can use equation (1) to compute  $S_{i,j}$  in O(1) time. Thus, the total running time to compute all  $S_{i,j}$  values is  $O(n^2)$ . Iterating through array M to find the optimal sequence of partitions to get value M[n] takes O(n) time. Thus, the total running time to compute the partitions is bounded by  $O(n^2)$ .

## 1.3 Correctness

**Lemma 1.** Segmented-slack(j) writes OPT(j) into the array entry M[j]

Proof of Lemma 1. By definition OPT(0) = 0. Now, for some j > 0, assume that Segmented-slack(i) correctly computes OPT(i) for all i < j. By the induction hypothesis,

Segmented-slack
$$(j) = min_{1 \leq i \leq j}(S_{i,j}^2 + \text{Segmented-slack}k(i-1))$$
  
=  $min_{1 \leq i \leq j}(S_{i,j}^2 + OPT(i-1))$   
=  $OPT(j)$ .

Hence, we have proved Lemma 1 as needed.