Portscanning

Author: Lily Li

Conferred with: jeff Ondich

Part 1 Sources:

https://www.nirsoft.net/whois_servers_list.html https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WHOIS

Part 2 Sources:

https://accedian.com/blog/dns-guery-main-types/

1. Passive information gathering

A: Domain name:

mandaringardennorthfield.com

B: IP Address:

137.22.198.41

C: Domain Expiration Date:

Sun, 16 Oct 2022 16:40:10 +0000

D: More Information

With command

whois mandaringardennorthfield.com

We get:

- 1: information of the Registrar (the organization that registered the domain name)
- 2: information of the Registrant (individual who registers domain names through registrars). In this case, Mandarin Garden's contact information is hidden given they used domain privacy service,
 - **I. Information of the Registrar,** VeriSign, the authoritative registry for the .com domains.

VeriSign Global Registry Services

address: 12061 Bluemont Way, Reston Virginia 20190, United States

phone: +1 703 925-6999 fax-no: +1 703 948 3978 e-mail: info@verisign-grs.com

created: 1985-01-01 changed: 2017-10-05 source: IANA

Other information such as name servers, ds-rdata....etc is not listed.

ii.Information of the Registrant, Mandarin Garden.

Mandarin Garden used Domain Privacy Services, which hides their public contact information in the WHOIS system and displays the information of a proxy. Here you can see the proxy registrant is *Contact Privacy Inc. Customer 7151571251*. I believe we can understand it as *Contact Privacy Inc* is the organization providing the Privacy Service and 7151571251 is a number assigned to Mandarin Garden.

```
# whois.verisign-grs.com

Domain Name: MANDARINGARDENNORTHFIELD.COM
Registry Domain ID: 1628674915_DOMAIN_COM-VRSN
Registrar WHOIS Server: whois.google.com
Registrar URL: http://domains.google.com
Updated Date: 2021-10-177001:47:48Z
Creation Date: 2010-10-16716:40:10Z
Registry Expiry Date: 2022-10-16716:40:10Z
Registrar: Google LLC
Registrar: Google LLC
Registrar IANA ID: 895
Registrar Abuse Contact Email: registrar-abuse@google.com
Registrar Abuse Contact Phone: +1.8772376466
Domain Status: clientTransferProhibited https://icann.org/ep
Name Server: NS-CLOUD-A1.GOOGLEDOMAINS.COM
Name Server: NS-CLOUD-A3.GOOGLEDOMAINS.COM
Name Server: NS-CLOUD-A4.GOOGLEDOMAINS.COM
Name Server: NS-CLOUD-A4.GOOGLEDOMAINS.COM
NAME Server: NS-CLOUD-A4.GOOGLEDOMAINS.COM
DNSEC: unsigned
URL of the ICANN Whois Inaccuracy Complaint Form: https://ww
>>> Last update of whois database: 2022-05-17T01:17:35Z <<</p>
# whois.google.com

Domain Name: mandaringardennorthfield.com
Registrar WHOIS Server: whois.google.com
Registrar WHOIS Server: whois.google.com
Registrar URL: https://domains.google.com
```

Interestingly, when we make the query, we get results back from 2 WHOIS servers, whois.google.com, and whois.verisign-grs.com, both providing information about registered domains. The following information is from the whois.google.com server.

Registry Registrant ID:

Registrant Name: Contact Privacy Inc. Customer 7151571251 Registrant Organization: Contact Privacy Inc. Customer 7151571251

Registrant Street: 96 Mowat Ave

Registrant City: Toronto Registrant State/Province: ON Registrant Postal Code: M4K 3K1

Registrant Country: CA

Registrant Phone: +1.4165385487

Registrant Phone Ext: Registrant Fax: Registrant Fax Ext:

Registrant Email: https://domains.google.com/contactregistrant?domain=mandaringardennorthfield.com

... etc

Here you can see the proxy also replaces mandarin garden's private email with an anonymous email that can be routed to them, so you could still contact them. The command also returns.

2. Host detection

1: Local Network

A: Kali's IP (nmap -sn 172.16.234.12/24)

```
$\text{nmap -sn 172.16.234.128/24}$
Starting Nmap 7.92 (https://nmap.org) at 2022-05-17 02:45 UTC
Nmap scan report for 172.16.234.1
Host is up (0.00052s latency).
Nmap scan report for 172.16.234.2
Host is up (0.00032s latency).
Nmap scan report for 172.16.234.128
Host is up (0.00019s latency).
Nmap done: 256 IP addresses (3 hosts up) scanned in 2.49 seconds
```

I-II. IP addresses on Kali local network and entities:

```
172.16.234.1 (name server Kali created)
172.16.234.2 (name server Kali created)
172.16.234.128 (Kali)
```

Although I was also running Metasploitable on my laptop, Metaslpoitable and Kali did not choose the same private network IP range (Metasploitable IP address is 10.0.2.15) and the only Device that showed up on nmap was Kali itself. I talked with Jeff and we came to a conclusion that for some reason VMware decided to create a local name server, that is what 172.16.234.1/172.16.234.2 are, and 172.16.234.128 is the Kali linux virtual machine itself.

III. Steps did nmap take

In the case on my kali server, for each possible IP address,

0: TCP Handshake attempt.

- 1: A DNS request is sent from local (172.16.234.128) to the name server (172.16.234.2), to ask for the domain name associated with the IP address.
- 2: The name server then sends back a response, either with an answer or not.
- 3: In order to get the MAC address, which is associated with the actual device, my device broadcasts to all devices on the local network a ARP request. "Who has the target IP address? Tell my IP address".

4: All devices but the target device, associated with the target IP address, ignores the ARP request. The target IP address responds my device (my IP address) with it's unique MAC address.

IV. Example: candidate 172.16.234.2

1 0.000000000	172.16.234.128	172.16.234.2	TCP	74 33982 → 80 [SYN] Seq=0 Win=64240 I
2 0.000037419	172.16.234.128	172.16.234.2	TCP	74 50256 → 443 [SYN] Seq=0 Win=64240
3 0.000404479	172.16.234.2	172.16.234.128	TCP	60 80 → 33982 [RST, ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1
4 0.000404563	172.16.234.2	172.16.234.128	TCP	60 443 → 50256 [RST, ACK] Seq=1 Ack=:
5 0.000689244	172.16.234.128	172.16.234.2	DNS	85 Standard query 0xc2af PTR 2.234.10
6 0.017680494	172.16.234.2	172.16.234.128	DNS	85 Standard query response 0xc2af No
7 5.032860399	VMware_02:f2:32	VMware_fe:90:c7	ARP	42 Who has 172.16.234.2? Tell 172.16
8 5.033764446	VMware_fe:90:c7	VMware_02:f2:32	ARP	60 172.16.234.2 is at 00:50:56:fe:90

First of all an attempt for a TCP handshake is initiated from me to the target. In this case, the target doesn't listen on port 80 (HTTP) or port 443(HTTPS), so the target sends back a [RST,ACK]. After talking to Jeff, we believe this means that: the host exists, just it's not listening on the requested port.

After the attempt for TCP, a DNS is sent from me (172.16.234.128) to the name server (172.16.234.2) which in this case also turned out to be the candidate. I asked for a reverse query requesting the domain name corresponding to the IP address (172.16.234.2) I provide. The type PTR of this query identifies the purpose of the request.

```
Answer RRs: 0
Authority RRs: 0
Additional RRs: 0
Queries
128.234.16.172.in-addr.arpa: type PTR, class IN
[Response In: 2]

Queries
128.234.16.172.in-addr.arpa: type PTR, class IN
Name: 128.234.16.172.in-addr.arpa
[Name Length: 27]
[Label Count: 6]
Type: PTR (domain name PoinTeR) (12)
Class: IN (0x0001)
[Response In: 2]
```

A response is sent back, with no-such-name reply code (0011) and 0 Answer PRs, meaning no answers (so no domain name)

And then, an ARP request is broadcasted out, for the MAC address related to the target IP address (172.16.234.2). Note address in the "Target Mac Address" below. That special (00:00:00_00:00:00) is the MAC broadcast address, which goes to every device on the local network.

```
Opcode: request (1)
Sender MAC address: VMware_02:f2:32 (00:0c:29:02:f2:32)
Sender IP address: 172.16.234.128
Target MAC address: 00:00:00_00:00:00 (00:00:00:00:00:00)
Target IP address: 172.16.234.2
```

A response is sent back from the target (172.16.234.2) with it's MAC address.

```
Opcode: reply (2)
Sender MAC address: VMware_fe:90:c7 (00:50:56:fe:90:c7)
Sender IP address: 172.16.234.2
Target MAC address: VMware_02:f2:32 (00:0c:29:02:f2:32)

8 5.033764446 VMware_fe:90:c7 VMware_02:f2:32 ARP 60 172.16.234.2 is at 00:50:56:fe:90:c
```

Note: if I attempt to nmap -sn [my own IP address], the process is the same, just that TCP handshake will not be initiated.

B: 137.22.4.0/24 network

I-II. IP addresses on Kali local network and entities:

A very long list of IP addresses (76 hosts in total)

Most probably student/faculty/lab computers in the network, or maybe also some webservers. (We were able to find Jeff's computer too)

```
Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.5
137.22.4.5
                                              Host is up (0.013s latency).
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.15
137.22.4.15
                                              Host is up (0.0074s latency).
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.17
137.22.4.17
                                              Host is up (0.0045s latency).
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.21 Host is up (0.0085s latency).
137.22.4.21
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.30
                                              Host is up (0.0081s latency).
137.22.4.30
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.31 Host is up (0.0081s latency).
137.22.4.31
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.32
                                              Host is up (0.0094s latency).
137.22.4.32
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.34
                                              Host is up (0.0095s latency).
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.35
Host is up (0.0084s latency).
137.22.4.34
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.37
137.22.4.35
                                              Host is up (0.0088s latency)
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.38 Host is up (0.0088s latency).
137.22.4.37
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.39
137.22.4.38
                                              Host is up (0.011s latency).
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.40
Host is up (0.011s latency).
Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.41
Host is up (0.011s latency).
137.22.4.39
137.22.4.40
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.42
                                              Host is up (0.0094s latency).
137.22.4.41....
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.43
                                              Host is up (0.0083s latency).
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.46
                                              Host is up (0.011s latency).
                                              Nmap scan report for 137.22.4.49
Host is up (0.0096s latency).
```

III. Steps did nmap take

Very similar to the Kali scenario, just no ARP request/replies (No MAC address needed), given its a remote network

- 0: TCP handshake attempt
- 1: A DNS request is sent from local (172.16.234.128) to the name server (172.16.234.2), to ask for the domain name associated with the IP address.
- 2: The name server then sends back a response, either with an answer or not.

IV. Example: candidate 137.22.4.5

1 0.000000000	172.16.234.128	137.22.4.5	TCP	74 40988 → 80 [SYN] Seg=0 Win=64246
2 0.000083237	172.16.234.128	137.22.4.5	TCP	74 34506 → 443 [SYN] Seq=0 Win=6424
3 0.012291580	137.22.4.5	172.16.234.128	TCP	60 80 → 40988 [SYN, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=
				2 / 3 /
4 0.012291663	137.22.4.5	172.16.234.128	TCP	60 443 → 34506 [SYN, ACK] Seq=0 Ack
5 0.012333115	172.16.234.128	137.22.4.5	TCP	54 40988 → 80 [ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1 Wir
6 0.012354778	172.16.234.128	137.22.4.5	TCP	54 34506 → 443 [ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1 Wi
7 0.012371275	172.16.234.128	137.22.4.5	TCP	54 40988 → 80 [RST, ACK] Seq=1 Ack=
8 0.012400354	172.16.234.128	137.22.4.5	TCP	54 34506 → 443 [RST, ACK] Seq=1 Ack
9 0.013091535	172.16.234.128	172.16.234.2	DNS	83 Standard query 0xfe04 PTR 5.4.22
10 0.019943858	172.16.234.2	172.16.234.128	DNS	222 Standard query response 0xfe04 F

First of all, TCP handshake. Surprisingly, (137.22.4.5) target does listen on port 80 and 443! So it is a web server! And handshake is completed and connection is established.

Then a DNS request is sent from me (172.16.234.128) to the name server (172.16.234.2) again for a reverse query (get domain name from IP address) with format very similar to the previous example. The difference is the DNS response, it turns out that this IP address does have a domain name: *elegit.mathcs.carleton.edu*

```
Flags: 0x8180 Standard query response, No error
Questions: 1

Answer RRs: 1

Authority RRs: 2

Additional RRs: 2

Queries

> 5.4.22.137.in-addr.arpa: type PTR, class IN

Answers

> 5.4.22.137.IN-ADDR.ARPA: type PTR, class IN, elegit.mathcs.carleton.edu

Authoritative nameservers

> 22.137.IN-ADDR.ARPA: type NS, class IN, ns ns2.onvoy.net

> 22.137.IN-ADDR.ARPA: type NS, class IN, ns ns.carleton.edu

Additional records

> ns.carleton.edu: type A. class IN. addr 137.22.1.13
```

3. Port Scan

A: Metasploitable ports:

Three columns: Port number / status/ corresponding service

```
PORT
        STATE SERVICE
21/tcp open ftp
22/tcp open ssh
23/tcp open telnet
25/tcp open smtp
53/tcp open domain
80/tcp open http
111/tcp open rpcbind
139/tcp open netbios-ssn
445/tcp open microsoft-ds
512/tcp open exec
513/tcp open login
514/tcp open shell
1099/tcp open rmiregistry
1524/tcp open ingreslock
2049/tcp open nfs
2121/tcp open ccproxy-ftp
3306/tcp open mysql
5432/tcp open postgresql
5900/tcp open vnc
6000/tcp open X11
6667/tcp open irc
8009/tcp open ajp13
8180/tcp open unknown
```

B: Database servers:

PostgreSQL:5432

MySQL: 3306

C: RSA ssh-hostkey Value and Purpose:

56:56:24:0f:21:1d:de:a7:2b:ae:61:b1:24:3d:e8:f3

The host key is the ssh server's public key. The host key is used by the client to authenticate the server's identity when communicating with it (probably by decrypting the authentication message sent from server)

Source: https://www.vandyke.com/solutions/host_keys/host_keys.pdf

D: Novel Port: 21 (FTP)

Purpose: File Transfer Protocol, one of the oldest Internet protocols for file transfer operations. Having an open FTP-port can be dangerous as it is like a drop-box for nasty software. Management responsibility is required if you want to open this port. You need to be sure that the contents of the incoming directory is not available for outgoing download without explicit permission.

Source: grc.com/port_21.htm