# Dealing with text

Text mining with Python

# Why text mining?

- →Results in real time
- -Results from large volumes of text
- → (Perhaps!) more objective

### Sentiment analysis

- →Naive Bayes Classifiers
  - Use word frequencies for classification
  - Construct a set of positive and negative words (or phrases)
  - Calculate word (phrase) frequencies and classify

#### Sentiment analysis

- → Corpus of positive negative words
  - 'http://ptrckprry.com/course/ssd/data/ positive-words.txt'
  - 'http://ptrckprry.com/course/ssd/data/ negative-words.txt'
- -Ideally, construct domain specific corpus
- -Analyze sentiment
  - \* at the word level
  - \* at the sentence level
  - \* for named entities
  - \* for chunked words
  - \* over time

#### Concept identification

- →Find frequently used words
  - -frequency distributions
  - -word clouds
- -Identify named entities
- -Look for adjectives (descriptive words)
- -Look for differences in concept
  identification when comparing texts

# Complexity

- →Examine complexity of a text
  - \* Ease of understanding
  - \* Depth of ideas

#### Changes over time

- -word dispersion over time
- -frequency of word use over time
- -sentiment of words/phrases over time

#### What we've done

- Which candidate has a more positive outlook
- → Does a candidate get more positive or more negative as the debate proceeds
- How have sentiments changed from the first debate to the third debate
- What each candidate emphasizes (word cloud)
- → How that emphasis changes from one debate to the next
- → How the candidates differ on erudition
- → The differences between our candidates (in erudition) and past Presidents
- Or has speech just gotten less complex since Washington
- We can tag words by their 'part of speech'
- → And examine sentiment at that level
- Which candidate uses more positive words as descriptors and which more negative words (gives us a sense of the outlook of the candidate)
- → What are the top words that both candidates use (perhaps what is important in this election)
- → How do they differ on the implications of the things behind the words (sentiment)
- → What words are different (differences in what they think is important)
- Are they positive or negative about these differences
- → How the frequency of use of a word changes from the beginning of debate 1 to the end of debate 3 (interesting changes in the way they address each other)
- Identified bigrams and trigrams in the text (helps identify concepts)
- → Figured out conditional frequency distributions to see how word use changes from one debate to the next
- Identified named entities in the text. This can be used to figure out which ones are positively viewed and which ones are negatively viewed