

Assignment 8

38

	System A	System B
Sample size	$n_1 = 120$	$n_2 = 100$
Sample mean	$\bar{x}_1 = 4,1$	$\bar{x}_2 = 3,4$
Population std dev.	$\sigma_1 = 2,2$	$\sigma_2 = 1,5$

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0, \quad \alpha = 0,05$$

$$H_A: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$$

$$\sigma_{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{(\sigma_{\bar{x}_1})^2}{n_1} + \frac{(\sigma_{\bar{x}_2})^2}{n_2}} = \sqrt{\frac{(2,2)^2}{120} + \frac{(1,5)^2}{100}} = 0,25$$

$$\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 = 4,1 - 3,4 = 0,7$$

$$Z = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - D_0}{\sqrt{\frac{(\sigma_{\bar{x}_1})^2}{n_1} + \frac{(\sigma_{\bar{x}_2})^2}{n_2}}} = \frac{0,7 - 0}{0,25} = 2,8$$

$$P\text{-value} = P(Z \geq 2,8) + P(Z \leq -2,8) = 0,0026 + (1 - 0,9974) = 0,0052$$

$p\text{-value} < 0,05 \rightarrow$ we reject H_0

We reject that the two systems do not differ, and can conclude that system B is preferred.

39

a)

	2015	2016
Sample size	$n_1 = 33$	$n_2 = 46$
Sample mean	$\bar{x}_1 = 653$	$\bar{x}_2 = 540$
Sample std dev.	$s_1 = 101$	$s_2 = 93,5$

Point estimate: $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 = 653 - 540 = 113$

The point estimate indicates that monthly lease payments have declined from 2015 to 2016.

b) 99% confidence interval

$$df = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{n_1-1} \left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{n_2-1} \left(\frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2} = \frac{\left(\frac{101^2}{33} + \frac{93,5^2}{46}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{33-1} \left(\frac{101^2}{33}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{46-1} \left(\frac{93,5^2}{46}\right)^2} \approx 65,77 \approx 65$$

every source says round down

$$\alpha = 1 - 0,99 = 0,01 \rightarrow \alpha/2 = 0,005 \rightarrow t_{\alpha/2} = 2,654$$

$$\text{Margin of error: } t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} = 2,654 \sqrt{\frac{101^2}{33} + \frac{93,5^2}{46}} = 59,29$$

$$\begin{aligned} 99\% \text{ Confidence interval: } \bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 \pm t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} &= 113 \pm 59,29 \\ &= [53,71, 172,29] \end{aligned}$$

We are 99% confident that $53,71 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 172,29$

c) Since we are 99% confident that $53,71 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 172,29$, we can conclude that monthly lease payments have declined by about 53 to 172 dollars from 2015 to 2016.

41

a) Kitchen Master Bedroom

26.2
17.4
22.8
21.9
19.7
23.0
19.7
16.9
21.8
23.6

18.0
22.9
26.4
24.8
26.9
17.8
24.6
21.0

Calculations in Excel

Sample size
Sample mean
Sample std dev.

Kitchen
 $n_1 = 10$
 $\bar{x}_1 = 21,24$
 $s_1 = 2,77$

Master bedroom
 $n_2 = 8$
 $\bar{x}_2 = 22,8$
 $s_2 = 3,55$

Point estimate: $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 = 21,24 - 22,8 = -1,56$

b) 90% confidence interval:

$$df = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{n_1-1} \left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{n_2-1} \left(\frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2} = \frac{\left(\frac{2,77^2}{10} + \frac{3,55^2}{8}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{10-1} \left(\frac{2,77^2}{10}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{8-1} \left(\frac{3,55^2}{8}\right)^2} \approx 13,07 \approx 13$$

$$\alpha = 1 - 0,90 = 0,10 \rightarrow \alpha/2 = 0,050 \rightarrow t_{\alpha/2} = 1,771$$

$$\text{Margin of error: } t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} = 1,771 \sqrt{\frac{2,77^2}{10} + \frac{3,55^2}{8}} = 2,71$$

$$90\% \text{ confidence interval: } \bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 \pm t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} = -1,56 \pm 2,71$$

$$= [-4,27, 1,15]$$

We are 90% confident that $-4,27 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 1,15$. It is therefore difficult to conclude that one remodeling project is cheaper than another.

46

a) using python to estimate the proportions:

$$\bar{p}_1 = 0,726$$

$$\bar{p}_2 = 0,545$$

$$b) 1 - \alpha = 0,95 \rightarrow \alpha = 0,05 \rightarrow \alpha/2 = 0,025 \rightarrow 1 - \alpha/2 = 1 - 0,025 = 0,975$$

$$Z_{\alpha/2} = 1,96$$

$$\begin{aligned} 95\% \text{ confidence interval} &= \bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}_1(1-\bar{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\bar{p}_2(1-\bar{p}_2)}{n_2}} \\ &= 0,726 - 0,545 \pm 1,96 \sqrt{\frac{0,726(1-0,726)}{150} + \frac{0,545(1-0,545)}{200}} \\ &= 0,18 \pm 0,098 = [0,083, 0,279] \end{aligned}$$

c) we can see from the 95% confidence interval that we are 95% confident that $\bar{p}_1 > \bar{p}_2$, and we can therefore conclude that \bar{p}_1 exceeds \bar{p}_2 .

we can show the same result with hypothesis testing:

$$H_0: \bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 \leq 0$$

$$H_A: \bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 > 0$$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{n_1 \cdot \bar{p}_1 + n_2 \cdot \bar{p}_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{150 \cdot 0,726 + 200 \cdot 0,545}{150 + 200} = 0,623$$

$$s_{\bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2} = \sqrt{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)} = \sqrt{0,623(1-0,623)\left(\frac{1}{150} + \frac{1}{200}\right)} = 0,0523$$

$$Z = \frac{(\bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2)}{s_{\bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2}} = \frac{0,726 - 0,545}{0,0523} = 3,46$$

$$Z_{\alpha/2} = Z_{0,025} = 1,96, \quad Z > Z_{0,025} \rightarrow \text{we reject } H_0$$

47

a) $\bar{p}_1 = 0,276 \quad \bar{p}_2 = 0,487 \quad n = 240$

$$1 - \alpha = 0,95 \rightarrow \alpha = 0,05 \rightarrow \alpha/2 = 0,025 \rightarrow 1 - \alpha/2 = 1 - 0,025 = 0,975$$

$$Z_{\alpha/2} = 1,96$$

$$\begin{aligned} 95\% \text{ confidence interval} &= \bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}_1(1-\bar{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\bar{p}_2(1-\bar{p}_2)}{n_2}} \\ &= 0,276 - 0,487 \pm 1,96 \sqrt{\frac{0,276(1-0,276)}{240} + \frac{0,487(1-0,487)}{240}} \\ &= -0,21 \pm 0,089 = [-0,300, -0,122] \end{aligned}$$

b) $\bar{p}_1 = 0,276 \quad \bar{p}_2 = 0,397 \quad n = 240$

Rejection of H_0 will allow us to conclude that the most recent bullish sentiment is weaker than one month ago

If $\bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 < 0 \rightarrow \bar{p}_1$ is weaker than \bar{p}_2

$$H_0: \bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 \geq 0$$

$$H_A: \bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 < 0$$

c) $\bar{p} = \frac{n_1 \cdot \bar{p}_1 + n_2 \cdot \bar{p}_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{240 \cdot 0,276 + 240 \cdot 0,397}{240 + 240} = 0,337$

$$s_{\bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2} = \sqrt{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)} = \sqrt{0,337(1-0,337)\left(\frac{1}{240} + \frac{1}{240}\right)} = 0,0432$$

$$Z = \frac{(\bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2)}{s_{\bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2}} = \frac{0,276 - 0,397}{0,0432} = -2,80$$

$$Z_{\alpha/2} = Z_{0,05} = 2,58, \quad Z < -Z_{\alpha/2} \rightarrow \text{we reject } H_0$$