



모듈별 프로그램 따로 분석

이준협

2023년 6월 30일

ROPAS Show & Tell

Problem Statement

- Given two program segments e_1 and e_2 ,
- Want to derive a sound approximation of $\llbracket e_1!e_2 \rrbracket$ utilizing information obtained from *separately* analyzing e_1 and e_2

Abstract Syntax

$x \in \text{ExprVar}$

$M \in \text{ModVar}$

$e \in \text{Expr}$

$e ::=$	x	<i>identifier, expression</i>
	$ \lambda x.e$	<i>function</i>
	$ e \ e$	<i>application</i>
	$ e!e$	<i>linked expression</i>
	$ \varepsilon$	<i>empty module</i>
	$ M$	<i>identifier, module</i>
	$ \text{let } x \ e \ e$	<i>let-binding, expression</i>
	$ \text{let } M \ e \ e$	<i>let-binding, module</i>

Operational Semantics : What Configurations Look Like

$t \in \mathsf{T}$

$v \in \mathsf{Val\ T}$

$C \in \mathsf{Ctx\ T}$

$V \in \mathsf{Val\ T} + \mathsf{Ctx\ T}$

$\sigma \in \mathsf{Mem\ T} \triangleq \mathsf{T} \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \mathsf{Val\ T}$

$s \in \mathsf{Config\ T} \triangleq \mathsf{Ctx\ T} \times \mathsf{Mem\ T} \times \mathsf{T}$

$r \in \mathsf{Result\ T} \triangleq (\mathsf{Val\ T} + \mathsf{Ctx\ T}) \times \mathsf{Mem\ T} \times \mathsf{T}$

$C ::= []$	<i>hole</i>
$\quad \lambda x^t. C$	<i>param</i>
$\quad \text{let } x^t \ C$	<i>let x</i>
$\quad \text{let } M \ C \ C$	<i>let M</i>
$v ::= \langle \lambda x.e, C \rangle$	<i>closure</i>

Time?

Concrete Time

$(\mathbb{T}, \leq, \text{tick})$ is a *concrete time* when

1. (\mathbb{T}, \leq) is a total order.
2. $\text{tick} \in \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ satisfies: $\forall t \in \mathbb{T} : t < \text{tick } t$.

Big-Step Evaluation Relation

$$\Downarrow \subseteq (\text{Expr} \times \text{Config } \mathbb{T}) \times \text{Result } \mathbb{T}$$

Big-Step Evaluation Relation

$$\Downarrow \subseteq (\text{Expr} \times \text{Config } \mathbb{T}) \times \text{Result } \mathbb{T}$$

$$[\text{EXPRVAR}] \frac{t_x = \text{addr}(C, x) \quad v = \sigma(t_x)}{(x, C, \sigma, t) \Downarrow (v, \sigma, t)}$$

Big-Step Evaluation Relation

$$\Downarrow \subseteq (\text{Expr} \times \text{Config } \mathbb{T}) \times \text{Result } \mathbb{T}$$

$$[\text{EXPRVAR}] \frac{t_x = \text{addr}(C, x) \quad v = \sigma(t_x)}{(x, C, \sigma, t) \Downarrow (v, \sigma, t)}$$

$$[\text{APP}] \frac{\begin{array}{c} (e_1, C, \sigma, t) \Downarrow (\langle \lambda x. e_\lambda, C_\lambda \rangle, \sigma_\lambda, t_\lambda) \\ (e_2, C, \sigma_\lambda, t_\lambda) \Downarrow (v, \sigma_a, t_a) \\ (e_\lambda, C_\lambda[\lambda x^{t_a}.[]], \sigma_a[t_a \mapsto v], \text{tick } t_a) \Downarrow (v', \sigma', t') \end{array}}{(e_1 \ e_2, C, \sigma, t) \Downarrow (v', \sigma', t')}$$

Big-Step Evaluation Relation

$$\Downarrow \subseteq (\text{Expr} \times \text{Config } \mathbb{T}) \times \text{Result } \mathbb{T}$$

$$[\text{EXPRVAR}] \frac{t_x = \text{addr}(C, x) \quad v = \sigma(t_x)}{(x, C, \sigma, t) \Downarrow (v, \sigma, t)}$$

$$[\text{APP}] \frac{\begin{array}{c} (e_1, C, \sigma, t) \Downarrow (\langle \lambda x. e_\lambda, C_\lambda \rangle, \sigma_\lambda, t_\lambda) \\ (e_2, C, \sigma_\lambda, t_\lambda) \Downarrow (v, \sigma_a, t_a) \\ (e_\lambda, C_\lambda[\lambda x^{t_a}.[]], \sigma_a[t_a \mapsto v], \text{tick } t_a) \Downarrow (v', \sigma', t') \end{array}}{(e_1 \ e_2, C, \sigma, t) \Downarrow (v', \sigma', t')}$$

$$[\text{EMPTY}] \frac{}{(\varepsilon, C, \sigma, t) \Downarrow (C, \sigma, t)}$$

Big-Step Reachability Relation

$$\rightsquigarrow \subseteq (\text{Expr} \times \text{Config } \mathbb{T}) \times (\text{Expr} \times \text{Config } \mathbb{T})$$

Big-Step Reachability Relation

$$\rightsquigarrow \subseteq (\text{Expr} \times \text{Config } \mathbb{T}) \times (\text{Expr} \times \text{Config } \mathbb{T})$$

$$[\text{LINKL}] \frac{}{(e_1!e_2, C, \sigma, t) \rightsquigarrow (e_1, C, \sigma, t)}$$

Big-Step Reachability Relation

$$\rightsquigarrow \subseteq (\text{Expr} \times \text{Config } \mathbb{T}) \times (\text{Expr} \times \text{Config } \mathbb{T})$$

$$[\text{LINKL}] \frac{}{(e_1!e_2, C, \sigma, t) \rightsquigarrow (e_1, C, \sigma, t)}$$

$$[\text{LINKR}] \frac{(e_1, C, \sigma, t) \Downarrow (C', \sigma', t')}{(e_1!e_2, C, \sigma, t) \rightsquigarrow (e_2, C', \sigma', t')}$$

Collecting Semantics

Collecting Semantics

The semantics for an expression e under configuration $s \in \text{Config } \mathbb{T}$ is an element in $(\text{Expr} \times \text{Config } \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (\wp(\text{Result } \mathbb{T}))_{\perp}$ defined as:

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket(s) \triangleq \bigsqcup_{(e,s) \rightsquigarrow^* (e',s')} [(e',s') \mapsto \{r \mid (e',s') \Downarrow r\}]$$

Example

The semantics for the non-terminating lambda expression $\Omega = (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$ satisfies:

$$\llbracket \Omega \rrbracket([], \emptyset, 0)(\Omega, [], \emptyset, 0) = \emptyset$$

Example

The semantics for the non-terminating lambda expression $\Omega = (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$ satisfies:

$$\llbracket \Omega \rrbracket([], \emptyset, 0)(\Omega, [], \emptyset, 0) = \emptyset$$

$$\llbracket \Omega \rrbracket([], \emptyset, 0)(Y, _, _, _) = \perp$$

Concrete Linking

$$\llbracket e_1!e_2 \rrbracket(s) = \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(s) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{s' \in \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(s)(e_1, s)} (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(s') \sqcup [(e_1!e_2, s) \mapsto \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(s')(e_2, s')])$$

(Linked expression) = (Exporting expression) \sqcup
(Consuming expression under the exported config)

Abstract Semantics : What Configurations Look Like

$$t^\# \in \mathbb{T}^\#$$

$$v^\# \in \text{Val } \mathbb{T}^\#$$

$$C^\# \in \text{Ctx } \mathbb{T}^\#$$

$$V^\# \in \text{Val } \mathbb{T}^\# + \text{Ctx } \mathbb{T}^\#$$

$$\sigma^\# \in \text{Mem}^\# \mathbb{T}^\# \triangleq \mathbb{T}^\# \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \wp(\text{Val } \mathbb{T}^\#)$$

$$s^\# \in \text{Config}^\# \mathbb{T}^\# \triangleq \text{Ctx } \mathbb{T}^\# \times \text{Mem}^\# \mathbb{T}^\# \times \mathbb{T}^\#$$

$$r^\# \in \text{Result}^\# \mathbb{T}^\# \triangleq (\text{Val } \mathbb{T}^\# + \text{Ctx } \mathbb{T}^\#) \times \text{Mem}^\# \mathbb{T}^\# \times \mathbb{T}^\#$$

Time?

Definition (Abstract time)

$(\mathbb{T}^\#, \text{tick}^\#)$ is an *abstract time* when
 $\text{tick}^\# \in \text{Ctx } \mathbb{T}^\# \rightarrow \text{Mem}^\# \mathbb{T}^\# \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^\# \rightarrow \text{ExprVar} \rightarrow \text{Val } \mathbb{T}^\# \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^\#$ is
the policy for advancing the timestamp.

Big-Step Evaluation Relation

$$[\text{EXPRVAR}] \frac{t_x^\# = \text{addr}(C^\#, x) \quad v^\# \in \sigma^\#(t_x^\#)}{(x, C^\#, \sigma^\#, t^\#) \Downarrow^\# (v^\#, \sigma^\#, t^\#)}$$

Big-Step Evaluation Relation

$$[\text{EXPRVAR}] \frac{t_x^\# = \text{addr}(C^\#, x) \quad v^\# \in \sigma^\#(t_x^\#)}{(x, C^\#, \sigma^\#, t^\#) \Downarrow^\# (v^\#, \sigma^\#, t^\#)}$$

$$[\text{APP}] \frac{\begin{array}{c} (e_1, C^\#, \sigma^\#, t^\#) \Downarrow^\# (\langle \lambda x. e_\lambda, C_\lambda^\# \rangle, \sigma_\lambda^\#, t_\lambda^\#) \\ (e_2, C^\#, \sigma_\lambda^\#, t_\lambda^\#) \Downarrow^\# (v^\#, \sigma_a^\#, t_a^\#) \\ (e_\lambda, C_\lambda^\# [\lambda x^{t_a^\#}. []], \sigma_a^\# [t_a^\# \mapsto^\# v^\#], \text{tick}^\# C^\# \sigma_a^\# t_a^\# x v^\#) \Downarrow^\# (v'^\#, \sigma'^\#, t'^\#) \end{array}}{(e_1 e_2, C^\#, \sigma^\#, t^\#) \Downarrow^\# (v'^\#, \sigma'^\#, t'^\#)}$$

Abstract Semantics

Abstract Semantics

The semantics for an expression e under configuration

$s^\# \in \text{Config}^\# \mathbb{T}^\#$ is an element in

$(\text{Expr} \times \text{Config}^\# \mathbb{T}^\#) \rightarrow (\wp(\text{Result}^\# \mathbb{T}^\#))_\perp$ defined as:

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket^\#(s^\#) \triangleq \bigsqcup_{(e, s^\#) \rightsquigarrow^\# (e', s'^\#)} [(e', s'^\#) \mapsto \{r^\# \mid (e', s'^\#) \Downarrow^\# r^\#\}]$$

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket^\#(s^\#) = \text{lfp}(\lambda f^\#. F^\#([(e, s^\#) \mapsto \emptyset] \sqcup f^\#))$$

Transfer Function

Definition (Transfer function)

Given an element $f^\#$ of $(\text{Expr} \times \text{Config}^\# \mathbb{T}^\#) \rightarrow (\wp(\text{Result}^\# \mathbb{T}^\#))_\perp$,

- Define $\Downarrow_{f^\#}^\#$ and $\rightsquigarrow_{f^\#}^\#$ by replacing all assumptions of the form $s^\# \Downarrow^\# r^\#$ to $r^\# \in f^\#(s^\#)$ in $\Downarrow^\#$ and $\rightsquigarrow^\#$.

We define the transfer function $F^\#$ by:

$$F^\#(f^\#) \triangleq f^\# \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{(e, s^\#) \in \text{dom}(f^\#) \\ (e, s^\#) \rightsquigarrow_{f^\#}^\# (e', s'^\#)}} [(e', s'^\#) \mapsto \{r^\# \mid (e', s'^\#) \Downarrow_{f^\#}^\# r^\#\}]$$

Soundness Given α

Definition (α -soundness between results)

- Let $(V, \sigma, t) \in \text{Result } \mathbb{T}$ and $(V^\#, \sigma^\#, t^\#) \in \text{Result}^\# \mathbb{T}^\#$.
- Let $\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^\#$, and extend α to a function in $\text{Ctx } \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Ctx } \mathbb{T}^\#$ by mapping α over all timestamps.
- Extend α to a function in $(\text{Ctx } \mathbb{T} + \text{Val } \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (\text{Ctx } \mathbb{T}^\# + \text{Val } \mathbb{T}^\#)$
- Extend α to a function in $\text{Mem } \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Mem}^\# \mathbb{T}^\#$ by defining

$$\alpha(\sigma) \triangleq \bigsqcup_{t \in \text{dom}(\sigma)} [\alpha(t) \mapsto \{\alpha(\sigma(t))\}]$$

We say that $(V^\#, \sigma^\#, t^\#)$ is an α -sound approximation of (V, σ, t) when $\alpha(V) = V^\#$, $\alpha(\sigma) \sqsubseteq \sigma^\#$, and $\alpha(t) = t^\#$.

Definition (Soundness between semantics)

- Let $f \in (\text{Expr} \times \text{Config } \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (\wp(\text{Result } \mathbb{T}))_{\perp}$ and $f^{\#} \in (\text{Expr} \times \text{Config}^{\#} \mathbb{T}^{\#}) \rightarrow (\wp(\text{Result}^{\#} \mathbb{T}^{\#}))_{\perp}$.

We say that $f^{\#}$ is a sound approximation of f if:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall e \in \text{Expr}, s \in \text{Config } \mathbb{T}, r \in \text{Result } \mathbb{T} : \\ r \in f(e, s) \Rightarrow \\ \exists \alpha, \alpha', s^{\#}, r^{\#} : \alpha(s) \sqsubseteq s^{\#} \wedge \alpha'(r) \sqsubseteq r^{\#} \in f^{\#}(e, s^{\#}) \end{aligned}$$

Why?

Preservation of soundness

- Let $s \in \text{Config } \mathbb{T}$ and $s^\# \in \text{Config}^\# \mathbb{T}^\#$.
- Let all timestamps in the C and σ component of s be strictly less than the t component.
- Let $s^\#$ be an α -sound approximation of s for some α .

Then for all e , $\llbracket e \rrbracket^\#(s^\#)$ is a sound approximation of $\llbracket e \rrbracket(s)$.

Abstract Linking

Define an *injection* operator that, given

$s^\# \in \text{Config}^\# \mathbb{T}^\#, r^\# \in \text{Result}^\# \mathbb{T}'^\#$, gives $s^\# \triangleright r^\# \in \text{Result}^\# (\mathbb{T}^\# + \mathbb{T}'^\#)$,
that satisfies:

1. $\alpha(s) \sqsubseteq s^\# \Rightarrow \exists \alpha' : \alpha'(s) \sqsubseteq (s^\# \triangleright \emptyset)$.
2. $\exists \text{tick}_+^\#$ such that $(\mathbb{T}^\# + \mathbb{T}'^\#, \text{tick}_+^\#)$ is an abstract time, and

$$s^\# \triangleright \llbracket e \rrbracket^\#(s'^\#) \sqsubseteq \llbracket e \rrbracket^\#(s^\# \triangleright s'^\#)$$

Abstract Linking

Recall:

$$\llbracket e_1!e_2 \rrbracket(s) = \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(s) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{s' \in \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(s)(e_1, s)} (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(s') \sqcup [(e_1!e_2, s) \mapsto \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(s')(e_2, s')])$$

Abstract Linking

Recall:

$$\llbracket e_1!e_2 \rrbracket(s) = \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(s) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{s' \in \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(s)(e_1, s)} (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(s') \sqcup [(e_1!e_2, s) \mapsto \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(s')(e_2, s')])$$

1. Given a sound approximation $f^\#$ of $\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(s)$ under $\mathbb{T}^\#$, extract a set containing α -sound approximations of all exported configurations s' .

Abstract Linking

Recall:

$$\llbracket e_1!e_2 \rrbracket(s) = \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(s) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{s' \in \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(s)(e_1, s)} (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(s') \sqcup [(e_1!e_2, s) \mapsto \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(s')(e_2, s')])$$

1. Given a sound approximation $f^\#$ of $\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(s)$ under $\mathbb{T}^\#$, extract a set containing α -sound approximations of all exported configurations s' .
2. Inject the exported context onto the separately analyzed results $\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket^\#(\emptyset)$, then perform the fixpoint computation starting from there to obtain $\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket^\#(s'^\#)$ which is a sound approximation of $\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(s')$.

Why Can We Compute $\llbracket e \rrbracket^\#$?

Theorem (Finiteness of time implies finiteness of abstraction)

If $\mathbb{T}^\#$ is finite,

$$\forall e, s^\# : |\llbracket e \rrbracket^\#(s^\#)| < \infty$$

감사합니다