Modular Analysis

Joonhyup Lee

April 5, 2024

1 Syntax and Semantics

1.1 Abstract Syntax

Figure 1: Abstract syntax of the language.

1.2 Operational Semantics

Figure 2: Definition of the semantic domains.

 $\sigma \vdash e \Downarrow v$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{ID} & \operatorname{RECID} & \\ \sigma(x) = v \\ \hline \sigma \vdash x \Downarrow v \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{FN} & \\ \hline \sigma \vdash x \Downarrow v [\mu \ell. v / \ell] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{FN} & \\ \hline \sigma \vdash \lambda x. e \Downarrow \langle \lambda x. e, \sigma_1 \rangle & \sigma \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \\ \hline & (x, v_2) :: \sigma_1 \vdash e \Downarrow v \\ \hline & \sigma \vdash e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\text{Link}}{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \sigma_1} \quad \sigma_1 \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v }{\sigma \vdash e_1 \rtimes e_2 \Downarrow v} \quad \frac{\text{Empty}}{\sigma \vdash \varepsilon \Downarrow \bullet} \quad \frac{\left(x, \mu\ell. v_1\right) :: \sigma \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1}{\left(x, \mu\ell. v_1\right) :: \sigma \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \sigma_2} }{\sigma \vdash x = e_1; e_2 \Downarrow (x, \mu\ell. v_1) :: \sigma_2}$$

Figure 3: The big-step operational semantics.

The big-step operational semantics is deterministic up to α -equivalence.

Figure 4: Definition of the semantic domains with memory.

$$\sigma, m, L \vdash e \Downarrow v, m', L'$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{ID} \\ \underline{\sigma(x) = \ell} \quad m(\ell) = v \\ \hline \sigma, m, L \vdash x \Downarrow v, m, L \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{FN} \\ \hline \sigma, m, L \vdash \lambda x.e \Downarrow \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle, m, L \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\text{APP} \atop \sigma, m, L \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \langle \lambda x. e, \sigma_1 \rangle, m_1, L_1 \qquad \sigma, m_1, L_1 \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2, m_2, L_2 \qquad \ell \not\in \text{dom}(m_2) \cup L_2}{(x, \ell) :: \sigma_1, m_2[\ell \mapsto v_2], L_2 \vdash e \Downarrow v, m', L'} \\ \frac{(x, \ell) :: \sigma_1, m_2[\ell \mapsto v_2], L_2 \vdash e \Downarrow v, m', L'}{\sigma, m, L \vdash e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v, m', L'}$$

$$\frac{\text{Link}}{\sigma, m, L \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \sigma_1, m_1, L_1} \quad \sigma_1, m_1, L_1 \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v, m', L'}{\sigma, m, L \vdash e_1 \rtimes e_2 \Downarrow v, m', L'} \quad \frac{\text{Empty}}{\sigma, m, L \vdash \varepsilon \Downarrow \bullet, m, L}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{BIND} \\ & \ell \notin \text{dom}(m) \cup L \qquad (x,\ell) :: \sigma, m, L \cup \{\ell\} \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1, m_1, L_1 \\ & \underbrace{(x,\ell) :: \sigma, m_1[\ell \mapsto v_1], L_1 \vdash e_2 \Downarrow \sigma_2, m', L'}_{\sigma, m, L \vdash x = e_1; e_2 \Downarrow (x,\ell) :: \sigma_2, m', L'} \end{aligned}$$

Figure 5: The big-step operational semantics with memory.

 $w \sim_f v, m$

$$\underbrace{ \begin{array}{l} \text{EQ-NIL} \\ \bullet \sim_f \bullet \end{array} }_{} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{l} \text{EQ-ConsFree} \\ \ell \notin \text{dom}(f) \quad \ell \notin \text{dom}(m) \quad \sigma \sim_f \sigma' \\ (x,\ell) :: \sigma \sim_f (x,\ell) :: \sigma' \end{array} }_{} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{l} \text{EQ-ConsBound} \\ f(\ell) = \ell' \quad \ell' \in \text{dom}(m) \quad \sigma \sim_f \sigma' \\ (x,\ell) :: \sigma \sim_f (x,\ell') :: \sigma' \end{array} }_{}$$

$$\frac{\text{Eq-ConsWVal}}{m(\ell') = v'} \underbrace{\frac{\text{Eq-Rec}}{w \sim_f v'}}_{(x,w) :: \sigma \sim_f (x,\ell') :: \sigma'} \underbrace{\frac{\text{Eq-Rec}}{\sigma \sim_f \sigma'}}_{\langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle \sim_f \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma' \rangle} \underbrace{\frac{\text{Eq-Rec}}{m(\ell') = v'} \underbrace{v \sim_{f[\ell \mapsto \ell']} v'}_{\mu \ell.v \sim_f v'}}_{}$$

Figure 6: The equivalence relation between weak values in the original semantics and values in the semantics with memory. $f \in \text{Loc} \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \text{Loc}$ tells what the free locations in w that were *opened* should be mapped to in memory. m is omitted for brevity.

1.3 Reconciling with Conventional Backpatching

The semantics in Figure 3 makes sense due to similarity with a conventional backpatching semantics as presented in Figure 5. We have defined a relation \sim that satisfies:

$$\sim \subseteq \mathbf{WVal} \times (\mathbf{MVal} \times \mathbf{Mem} \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Loc})) \qquad \bullet \sim (\bullet, \emptyset, \emptyset)$$

and the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 (Equivalence of semantics). For all $\sigma \in \text{Env}$, $\sigma' \in \text{MEnv} \times \text{Mem} \times \mathcal{P}(\text{Loc})$, $v \in \text{Val}$, $v' \in \text{MVal} \times \text{Mem} \times \mathcal{P}(\text{Loc})$, we have:

$$\sigma \sim \sigma'$$
 and $\sigma \vdash e \Downarrow v \Rightarrow \exists v' : v \sim v'$ and $\sigma' \vdash e \Downarrow v'$
 $\sigma \sim \sigma'$ and $\sigma' \vdash e \Downarrow v' \Rightarrow \exists v : v \sim v'$ and $\sigma \vdash e \Downarrow v$

The definition for $w \sim (\sigma, m, L)$ is:

$$w \sim_{\perp} (\sigma, m)$$
 and $\mathrm{FLoc}(w) \subseteq L$

where the definition for \sim_f is given in Figure 6.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses some useful lemmas, such as:

Lemma 1.1 (Free locations not in f are free in memory).

$$w \sim_f v', m \Rightarrow m|_{\mathrm{FLoc}(w) - \mathrm{dom}(f)} = \bot$$

Lemma 1.2 (Equivalence is preserved by extension of memory).

$$w \sim_f v', m \text{ and } m \sqsubseteq m' \text{ and } m'|_{\mathrm{FLoc}(w) - \mathrm{dom}(f)} = \bot \Rightarrow w \sim_f v', m$$

Lemma 1.3 (Equivalence only cares about f on free locations).

$$w \sim_f v', m \text{ and } f|_{\mathrm{FLoc}(w)} = f|_{\mathrm{FLoc}(w)} \Rightarrow w \sim_{f'} v', m$$

Lemma 1.4 (Extending equivalence on free locations).

$$w \sim_f v', m \text{ and } \ell \notin \text{dom}(f) \text{ and } \ell \notin \text{dom}(m) \Rightarrow \forall u', w \sim_{f[\ell \mapsto \ell]} v', m[\ell \mapsto u']$$

Lemma 1.5 (Substitution of values).

$$w \sim_f v', m \text{ and } f(\ell) = \ell' \text{ and } m(\ell') = u' \text{ and } u \sim_{f-\ell} u', m \Rightarrow w[u/\ell] \sim_{f-\ell} v', m$$

Lemma 1.6 (Substitution of locations).

$$w \sim_f v', m \text{ and } \ell \in \mathrm{dom}(f) \text{ and } \nu \notin \mathrm{FLoc}(w) \Rightarrow w[\nu/\ell] \sim_{f \circ (\nu \leftrightarrow \ell)} v', m$$

2 Generating and Resolving Events

Now we formulate the semantics for generating events.

Figure 7: Definition of the semantic domains with events. All other semantic domains are equal to Figure 2.

We extend how to read weak values given an environment.

$$\bullet(x) \triangleq \bot \qquad \qquad ((x',\ell) :: \sigma)(x) \triangleq (x = x'?\ell : \sigma(x))$$

$$[E](x) \triangleq \mathsf{Read}(E,x) \qquad \qquad ((x',w) :: \sigma)(x) \triangleq (x = x'?w : \sigma(x))$$

Then we need to add only one rule to the semantics in Figure 3 for the semantics to incorporate events.

$$\frac{\text{APPEVENT}}{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Downarrow E} \quad \sigma \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v \\ \overline{\sigma \vdash e_1 e_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{Call}(E,v)}$$

Now we need to formulate the *concrete linking* rules. The concrete linking rule $\sigma_0 \propto w$, given an answer σ_0 to the lnit event, resolves all events within w to obtain a set of final results.

Concrete linking makes sense because of the following theorem. First define:

$$\operatorname{eval}(e,\sigma) \triangleq \{v | \sigma \vdash e \Downarrow v\} \qquad \operatorname{eval}(e,\Sigma) \triangleq \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \operatorname{eval}(e,\sigma) \qquad \sigma_0 \propto W \triangleq \bigcup_{w \in W} (\sigma_0 \propto w)$$

Then the following holds:

Figure 8: Definition for concrete linking.

Theorem 2.1 (Soundness of concrete linking). Given $e \in \text{Expr}, \sigma \in \text{Env}, v \in \text{Val}$,

$$\forall \sigma_0 \in \operatorname{Env} : \operatorname{eval}(e, \sigma_0 \otimes \sigma) \subseteq \sigma_0 \otimes \operatorname{eval}(e, \sigma)$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 uses some useful lemmas, such as:

Lemma 2.1 (Linking distributes under substitution). Let σ_0 be the external environment that is linked with locally closed weak values w and u. For all $\ell \notin \text{FLoc}(\sigma_0)$, we have:

$$\forall w_+, u_+ : w_+ \in \sigma_0 \otimes w \wedge u_+ \in \sigma_0 \otimes u \Rightarrow w_+[u_+/\ell] \in \sigma_0 \otimes w[u/\ell]$$

Lemma 2.2 (Linking is compatible with reads). Let σ_0 be the external environment that is linked with some environment σ . Let v be the value obtained from reading x from σ . Let unfold: WVal \rightarrow Val be defined as:

$$\operatorname{unfold}(\mu\ell.v) \triangleq v[\mu\ell.v/\ell] \quad \operatorname{unfold}(v) \triangleq v$$

Then for all $\sigma_+ \in \sigma_0 \times \sigma$, we have:

$$\exists w_+ \in WVal : \sigma_+(x) = w_+ \land unfold(w_+) \in \sigma_0 \propto v$$

3 **CFA**

```
p \in \mathbb{P} \triangleq \{\text{finite set of program points}\}\
         Program point
                                             \in \mathbb{P} \times \text{Expr}
 Labelled expression pe
                                    \ell^p \in \mathbb{P} \times \text{Loc}
     Labelled location
                                    t \quad \in \quad \mathbb{T} \triangleq \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Env} + \mathrm{Env} \times \mathrm{Val})
Collecting semantics
 Labelled expression pe \rightarrow \{p:e\}
                                      e \rightarrow x \mid \lambda x.pe \mid pe pe \mid pe \bowtie pe \mid \varepsilon \mid x = pe; pe
               Expression
```

 $Step : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$

$$\mathrm{Step}(t) \triangleq \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathrm{step}(t,p)$$

 $step: (\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{P}) \to \mathbb{T}$ when $\{p: x\}$

when $\{p: p_1 p_2\}$

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{step}(t,p) &\triangleq [p \mapsto \{(\sigma,v) | \sigma \in t(p) \text{ and } \sigma(x) = v\}] \\ & \cup [p \mapsto \{(\sigma,v[\mu\ell^{p'}.v/\ell^{p'}]) | \sigma \in t(p) \text{ and } \sigma(x) = \mu\ell^{p'}.v\}] \end{split}$$

 $step(t, p) \triangleq [p \mapsto \{(\sigma, \langle \lambda x. p', \sigma \rangle) | \sigma \in t(p)\}]$ when $\{p : \lambda x.p'\}$

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{step}(t,p) &\triangleq [p_1 \mapsto \{\sigma \in \operatorname{Env} | \sigma \in t(p)\}] \\ & \cup [p_2 \mapsto \{\sigma \in \operatorname{Env} | \sigma \in t(p)\}] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \cup \bigcup\limits_{\sigma \in t(p)} \bigcup\limits_{(\sigma, \langle \lambda x. p', \sigma_1 \rangle) \in t(p_1)} [p' \mapsto \{(x, v_2) :: \sigma_1 | (\sigma, v_2) \in t(p_2) \}] \\ \cup \left[p \mapsto \bigcup\limits_{\sigma \in t(p)} \bigcup\limits_{(\sigma, \langle \lambda x. p', \sigma_1 \rangle) \in t(p_1)} \bigcup\limits_{(\sigma, v_2) \in t(p_2)} \{(\sigma, v) | ((x, v_2) :: \sigma_1, v) \in t(p') \}\right] \end{array}$$

$$\cup \left[p \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \{ (\sigma, \mathsf{Call}(E_1, v_2)) | (\sigma, E_1) \in t(p_1) \text{ and } (\sigma, v_2) \in t(p_2) \} \right]$$

 $step(t, p) \triangleq [p_1 \mapsto \{\sigma | \sigma \in t(p)\}]$ when $\{p: p_1 \rtimes p_2\}$

$$\cup \ [p_2 \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \{\sigma_1 | (\sigma, \sigma_1) \in t(p_1)\}]$$

$$\begin{split} & \cup [p_2 \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \{\sigma_1 | (\sigma, \sigma_1) \in t(p_1) \}] \\ & \cup [p \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \bigcup_{(\sigma, \sigma_1) \in t(p_1)} \{(\sigma, v_2) | (\sigma_1, v_2) \in t(p_2) \}] \end{split}$$

$$step(t,p) \triangleq [p \mapsto \{(\sigma, \bullet) | \sigma \in t(p)\}]$$
 when $\{p : \varepsilon\}$

$$\operatorname{step}(t,p) \triangleq [p_1 \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \{(x,\ell^{p_1}) :: \sigma | \ell \notin \operatorname{FLoc}(\sigma) \}] \qquad \qquad \text{when } \{p : x = p_1; p_2\} = \{p_1 \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \{(x,\ell^{p_1}) :: \sigma | \ell \notin \operatorname{FLoc}(\sigma) \} \}$$

$$\cup \left[p_2 \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)}^{-1} \{(x, \mu\ell^{p_1}.v_1) :: \sigma | ((x, \ell^{p_1}) :: \sigma, v_1) \in t(p_1) \} \right]$$

$$\cup \left[p \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \bigcup_{((x,\ell^{p_1})::\sigma,v_1) \in t(p_1)} \{(\sigma,(x,\mu\ell^{p_1}.v_1)::\sigma_2) | ((x,\mu\ell^{p_1}.v_1)::\sigma,\sigma_2) \in t(p_2)\}\right]$$

The proof tree t computed by

$$t \triangleq \mathrm{lfp}(\lambda t.\mathrm{Step}(t) \cup t_{\mathrm{init}}) \quad \mathrm{where} \ t_{\mathrm{init}} = [p_0 \mapsto \{\sigma_0\}]$$

contains all derivations of the form $\sigma_0 \vdash p_0 \Downarrow v_0$ for some v_0 . That is, (σ, v) is contained in $t_0(p)$ if and only if $\sigma \vdash p \Downarrow v$ must be contained in a valid derivation for the judgment $\sigma_0 \vdash p_0 \Downarrow v_0$.

> Abstract event $\sigma^{\#} \quad \in \quad \operatorname{Env}^{\#} \triangleq (\operatorname{Var} \xrightarrow{\scriptscriptstyle \operatorname{fin}} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{P})) \times \mathcal{P}(\operatorname{Event}^{\#})$ Abstract environment $\langle \lambda x.p, p' \rangle \quad \in \quad \text{Clos}^{\#} \triangleq \text{Var} \times \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ $v^{\#} \quad \in \quad \text{Val}^{\#} \triangleq \text{Env}^{\#} \times \mathcal{P}(\text{Clos}^{\#})$ $t^{\#} \quad \in \quad \mathbb{T}^{\#} \triangleq \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \text{Env}^{\#} \times \text{Val}^{\#}$ Abstract closure Abstract value Abstract semantics $E^{\#} \rightarrow \mathsf{Init}^{\#} \mid \mathsf{Read}^{\#}(p,x) \mid \mathsf{Call}^{\#}(p,p)$ Abstract event

The concretization function γ that sends an element of $\mathbb{T}^{\#}$ to \mathbb{T} is defined as:

$$\gamma(t^{\#}) \triangleq \lambda p. \{ \sigma | \sigma < (t^{\#}(p).1, t^{\#}) \} \cup \{ (\sigma, v) | v < (t^{\#}(p).2, t^{\#}) \}$$

where \leq is the concretization relation that is inductively defined in Figure 9.

$$\sigma \leq_f (\sigma^\#, t^\#)$$

$$\frac{\text{Conc-Enil}}{\bullet \leq \sigma^\#} \quad \frac{\sum \left(\text{Conc-Enil} \right)}{[E] \leq \sigma^\#} \quad \frac{\sum \left(\text{Conc-ConsLoc} \right)}{(x,\ell^p) :: \sigma \leq \sigma^\#} \quad \frac{\sum \left(\text{Conc-ConsWVal} \right)}{(x,\ell^p) :: \sigma \leq \sigma^\#} \quad \frac{\sum \left(\text{Conc-ConsWVal} \right)}{(x,w) :: \sigma \leq \sigma^\#} \quad \frac{\sum \left(\text{Conc-ConsWVal} \right)}{(x,w) :: \sigma \leq \sigma^\#} \quad \frac{\left(\text{Conc-ConsWVal} \right)}{(x,w) :: \sigma$$

$$\frac{ \text{Conc-Init} }{ \text{Init}^{\#} \in v^{\#}.1.2 } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Readd} \\ \text{Read}^{\#}(p,x) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Read}(E,x) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Call}^{\#}(p_1,p_2) \in v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Call} \\ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#}.1.2 \end{array} }_{ \text{Conc-Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#} } \underbrace{$$

Figure 9: The concretization relation between weak values and abstract values. $t^{\#}$ is omitted.

Now the abstract semantic function can be given.

$$\operatorname{Step}^{\#}(t^{\#}) \triangleq \bigsqcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p)$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq [p \mapsto \bigsqcup_{p' \in t^{\#}(p) \cdot 1 \cdot 1(x)} (\bot, t^{\#}(p') \cdot 2)] \qquad \text{when } \{p : x\}$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq [p \mapsto (\bot, (([], \{\operatorname{Read}^{\#}(p, x)\}), \emptyset))] \qquad \text{if } t^{\#}(p) \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \neq \emptyset$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq [p \mapsto (\bot, (\bot, \{\langle \lambda x. p', p\rangle\}))] \qquad \text{when } \{p : \lambda x. p'\}$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq [p_1 \mapsto (t^{\#}(p) \cdot 1, \bot)] \qquad \text{when } \{p : p_1 p_2\}$$

$$\sqcup [p_2 \mapsto (t^{\#}(p_1) \cdot 1, \bot)] \qquad \qquad \text{when } \{p : p_1 p_2\}$$

$$\sqcup [p \mapsto (\bot, (([], \{\operatorname{Call}^{\#}(p_1, p_2)\}), \emptyset))] \qquad \text{if } t^{\#}(p_1) \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \neq \emptyset$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq [p_1 \mapsto (t^{\#}(p_1) \cdot 1, \bot)] \qquad \qquad \text{when } \{p : p_1 \bowtie p_2\}$$

$$\sqcup [p \mapsto (\bot, (([], \{\operatorname{Call}^{\#}(p_1, p_2)\}), \emptyset))] \qquad \text{if } t^{\#}(p_1) \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \neq \emptyset$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq [p_1 \mapsto (t^{\#}(p_1) \cdot 1, \bot)] \qquad \qquad \text{when } \{p : p_1 \bowtie p_2\}$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq \bot \qquad \qquad \text{when } \{p : x = p_1; p_2\}$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq [p_1 \mapsto (t^{\#}(p_1) \cdot 1 \cup ([x \mapsto \{p_1\}], \emptyset), \bot)] \qquad \qquad \text{when } \{p : x = p_1; p_2\}$$

$$\sqcup [p_2 \mapsto (t^{\#}(p_1) \cdot 1 \cup ([x \mapsto \{p_1\}], \emptyset), \bot)] \qquad \qquad \text{when } \{p : x = p_1; p_2\}$$

$$\sqcup [p_2 \mapsto (t^{\#}(p_1) \cdot 1 \cup ([x \mapsto \{p_1\}], \emptyset), \bot)] \qquad \qquad \text{when } \{p : x = p_1; p_2\}$$

The abstract proof tree $t^{\#}$ computed by

$$t^{\#} \triangleq \mathrm{lfp}(\lambda t^{\#}.\mathrm{Step}^{\#}(t^{\#}) \sqcup t^{\#}_{\mathrm{init}}) \quad \text{where } t_{\mathrm{init}} \subseteq \gamma(t^{\#}_{\mathrm{init}})$$

is a sound abstraction of t.

Now we define a sound linking operator that abstracts ∞ . Assume we have

$$\sigma_0 \leq (\sigma_0^\#, t_0^\#) \quad t \subseteq \gamma(t^\#)$$

we define:

$$\sigma_0 \propto t \triangleq \lambda p. (\sigma_0 \propto t(p))$$

We want to define $\infty^{\#}$ so that the following holds:

$$\sigma_0 \propto t \subseteq \gamma((\sigma_0^\#, t_0^\#) \times^\# t^\#)$$

This is defined by

where

$$\mathsf{E}(t^{\#}) \in \mathbb{P} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Event}^{\#})^2 \quad \mathsf{V}(t^{\#}) \in \mathbb{T}^{\#} \quad \mathrm{Link}^{\#}(\sigma^{\#}, \mathcal{E}, t^{\#}) \in \mathbb{T}^{\#}$$

are defined by

$$\mathsf{E}(t^{\#}) \triangleq \lambda p.(t^{\#}(p).1.2, t^{\#}(p).2.1.2) \quad \mathsf{V}(t^{\#}) \triangleq \lambda p.((t^{\#}(p).1.1, \emptyset), ((t^{\#}(p).2.1.1, \emptyset), t^{\#}(p).2.2))$$

and

$$\operatorname{Link}^\#(\sigma^\#,\mathcal{E},t^\#) \triangleq \bigsqcup_{E^\# \in \mathcal{E}(p).1} \operatorname{link}_1^\#(\sigma^\#,E^\#,t^\#,p) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{E^\# \in \mathcal{E}(p).2} \operatorname{link}_2^\#(\sigma^\#,E^\#,t^\#,p)$$

where

$$\operatorname{link}_{1}^{\#}(\sigma^{\#}, E^{\#}, t^{\#}, p) \in \mathbb{T}^{\#} \quad \operatorname{link}_{2}^{\#}(\sigma^{\#}, E^{\#}, t^{\#}, p) \in \mathbb{T}^{\#}$$

are defined by

Lemma 3.1 (Substitution of values).

$$w < (v^{\#}, t^{\#}) \text{ and } u < (t^{\#}(p).2, t^{\#}) \Rightarrow w[u/\ell^p] < (v^{\#}, t^{\#})$$

Lemma 3.2 (Sound step#).

$$\forall p, t, t^{\#} : t \subseteq \gamma(t^{\#}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{step}(t, p) \cup t \subseteq \gamma(\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \sqcup t^{\#})$$

Lemma 3.3 (Sound Step[#]).

$$\forall t_{\text{init}}, t^{\#} : t_{\text{init}} \subseteq \gamma(t^{\#}) \text{ and } \text{Step}^{\#}(t^{\#}) \sqsubseteq t^{\#} \Rightarrow \text{lfp}(\lambda t.\text{Step}(t) \cup t_{\text{init}}) \subseteq \gamma(t^{\#})$$

Lemma 3.4 (Sound Link[#]). For each $\sigma_0, \sigma_0^{\#}, t_0^{\#}, t, t^{\#}, t_+^{\#}$, if:

- 1. $\sigma_0 \leq (\sigma_0^\#, t_0^\#)$
- 2. $t \subseteq \gamma(t^{\#})$
- 3. $\operatorname{Step}^{\#}(t_{+}^{\#}) \sqcup \operatorname{Link}^{\#}(\sigma_{0}^{\#}, \mathsf{E}(t_{-}^{\#}), t_{+}^{\#}) \sqcup t_{0}^{\#} \sqcup \mathsf{V}(t_{-}^{\#}) \sqsubseteq t_{+}^{\#}$

we have:

$$\forall w, w_+ \in \sigma_0 \times w, p : [w \in t(p) \Rightarrow w_+ \leq (t_+^\#(p).1, t_+^\#)] \text{ and } [(_, w) \in t(p) \Rightarrow w_+ \leq (t_+^\#(p).2, t_+^\#)]$$