Modular Analysis

Joonhyup Lee

April 5, 2024

1 Syntax and Semantics

1.1 Abstract Syntax

Figure 1: Abstract syntax of the language.

1.2 Operational Semantics

Figure 2: Definition of the semantic domains.

 $\sigma \vdash e \Downarrow v$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{ID} & \text{RECID} \\ \frac{\sigma(x) = v}{\sigma \vdash x \Downarrow v} & \frac{\sigma(x) = \mu.v}{\sigma \vdash x \Downarrow v^{\mu.v}} & \frac{\text{FN}}{\sigma \vdash \lambda x.e \Downarrow \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle} & \frac{\text{APP}}{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma_1 \rangle} & \sigma \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \\ & \frac{(x, v_2) :: \sigma_1 \vdash e \Downarrow v}{\sigma \vdash e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v} \\ \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\text{Link}}{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \sigma_1} \underbrace{\sigma_1 \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v}_{\sigma \vdash e_1 \rtimes e_2 \Downarrow v} \qquad \underbrace{\text{Empty}}_{\sigma \vdash \varepsilon \Downarrow \bullet} \underbrace{\frac{\text{Empty}}{\sigma \vdash \varepsilon \Downarrow \bullet}}_{\text{Empty}} \underbrace{\frac{(x, \mu.^{\lor \ell} v_1) :: \sigma \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1}{(x, \mu.^{\lor \ell} v_1) :: \sigma \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \sigma_2}}_{\sigma \vdash x = e_1; e_2 \Downarrow (x, \mu.^{\lor \ell} v_1) :: \sigma_2}$$

Figure 3: The big-step operational semantics.

We use the locally nameless representation, and enforce that all values be *locally closed*. As a consequence, the big-step operational semantics will be *deterministic*, no matter what ℓ is chosen in the Bind rule.

1.3 Reconciling with Conventional Backpatching

Figure 4: Definition of the semantic domains with memory.

 $\frac{\text{ID}}{\sigma(x) = \ell \quad m(\ell) = v} \frac{\text{FN}}{\sigma, m, L \vdash x \Downarrow v, m, L} \quad \frac{\text{FN}}{\sigma, m, L \vdash \lambda x.e \Downarrow \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle, m, L}$ $\frac{\text{App}}{\sigma, m, L \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma_1 \rangle, m_1, L_1 \quad \sigma, m_1, L_1 \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2, m_2, L_2 \quad \ell \not \in \text{dom}(m_2) \cup L_2}{(x, \ell) :: \sigma_1, m_2[\ell \mapsto v_2], L_2 \vdash e \Downarrow v, m', L'}$ $\frac{(x, \ell) :: \sigma_1, m_2[\ell \mapsto v_2], L_2 \vdash e \Downarrow v, m', L'}{\sigma, m, L \vdash e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v, m', L'}$

$$\frac{\text{Link}}{\sigma, m, L \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \sigma_1, m_1, L_1} \quad \sigma_1, m_1, L_1 \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v, m', L'}{\sigma, m, L \vdash e_1 \rtimes e_2 \Downarrow v, m', L'} \quad \frac{\text{Empty}}{\sigma, m, L \vdash \varepsilon \Downarrow \bullet, m, L}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{BIND} \\ & \ell \notin \text{dom}(m) \cup L \quad & (x,\ell) :: \sigma, m, L \cup \{\ell\} \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1, m_1, L_1 \\ & \underbrace{(x,\ell) :: \sigma, m_1[\ell \mapsto v_1], L_1 \vdash e_2 \Downarrow \sigma_2, m', L'}_{\sigma, m, L \vdash x = e_1; e_2 \Downarrow (x,\ell) :: \sigma_2, m', L'} \end{aligned}$$

Figure 5: The big-step operational semantics with memory.

 $w \sim_f v, m$

$$\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{EQ-NIL} \\ \bullet \sim_f \bullet \end{array}}_{\text{EQ-ConsFree}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{EQ-ConsFree} \\ \ell \notin \text{dom}(f) \quad \ell \notin \text{dom}(m) \quad \sigma \sim_f \sigma' \\ (x,\ell) :: \sigma \sim_f (x,\ell) :: \sigma' \end{array}}_{\text{EQ-ConsBound}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{EQ-ConsBound} \\ f(\ell) = \ell' \quad \ell' \in \text{dom}(m) \quad \sigma \sim_f \sigma' \\ (x,\ell) :: \sigma \sim_f (x,\ell') :: \sigma' \end{array}}_{\text{EQ-ConsWVal}}$$

$$\frac{\text{Eq-ConsWVal}}{m(\ell') = v' \quad w \sim_f v' \quad \sigma \sim_f \sigma'} \underbrace{\frac{\text{Eq-Clos}}{\sigma \sim_f \sigma'}}_{\text{$\langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle} \sim_f \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma' \rangle} \underbrace{\frac{\text{Eq-Rec}}{L \text{ finite}} m(\ell') = v' \quad \forall \ell \notin L, \ v^\ell \sim_{f[\ell \mapsto \ell']} v'}{\mu.v \sim_f v'}$$
 Figure 6: The equivalence relation between weak values in the original semantics and values in the semantics

memory. m is omitted for brevity.

The semantics in Figure 3 makes sense due to similarity with a conventional backpatching semantics as

with memory. $f \in \text{Loc} \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \text{Loc}$ tells what the free locations in w that were opened should be mapped to in

$$\sim \subseteq WVal \times (MVal \times Mem \times \mathcal{P}(Loc))$$
 $\bullet \sim (\bullet, \emptyset, \emptyset)$

and the following theorem:

presented in Figure 5. We have defined a relation \sim that satisfies:

Theorem 1.1 (Equivalence of semantics). For all $\sigma \in \text{Env}$, $\sigma' \in \text{MEnv} \times \text{Mem} \times \mathcal{P}(\text{Loc})$, $v \in \text{Val}$, $v' \in \text{MVal} \times \text{Mem} \times \mathcal{P}(\text{Loc})$, we have:

$$\sigma \sim \sigma'$$
 and $\sigma \vdash e \Downarrow v \Rightarrow \exists v' : v \sim v'$ and $\sigma' \vdash e \Downarrow v'$
 $\sigma \sim \sigma'$ and $\sigma' \vdash e \Downarrow v' \Rightarrow \exists v : v \sim v'$ and $\sigma \vdash e \Downarrow v$

The definition for $w \sim (\sigma, m, L)$ is:

$$w \sim_{\perp} (\sigma, m)$$
 and $\mathrm{FLoc}(w) \subseteq L$

where the definition for \sim_f is given in Figure 6.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses some useful lemmas, such as:

Lemma 1.1 (Free locations not in f are free in memory).

$$w \sim_f v', m \Rightarrow m|_{\mathrm{FLoc}(w) - \mathrm{dom}(f)} = \bot$$

Lemma 1.2 (Equivalence is preserved by extension of memory).

$$w \sim_f v', m \text{ and } m \sqsubseteq m' \text{ and } m'|_{\mathrm{FLoc}(w) - \mathrm{dom}(f)} = \bot \Rightarrow w \sim_f v', m$$

Lemma 1.3 (Equivalence only cares about f on free locations).

$$w \sim_f v', m \text{ and } f|_{\mathrm{FLoc}(w)} = f|_{\mathrm{FLoc}(w)} \Rightarrow w \sim_{f'} v', m$$

Lemma 1.4 (Extending equivalence on free locations).

$$w \sim_f v', m \text{ and } \ell \notin \text{dom}(f) \text{ and } \ell \notin \text{dom}(m) \Rightarrow \forall u', w \sim_{f[\ell \mapsto \ell]} v', m[\ell \mapsto u']$$

Lemma 1.5 (Substitution of values).

$$w \sim_f v', m \text{ and } f(\ell) = \ell' \text{ and } m(\ell') = u' \text{ and } u \sim_{f-\ell} u', m \Rightarrow w[u/\ell] \sim_{f-\ell} v', m$$

Lemma 1.6 (Substitution of locations).

$$w \sim_f v', m \text{ and } \ell \in \mathrm{dom}(f) \text{ and } \nu \notin \mathrm{FLoc}(w) \Rightarrow w[\nu/\ell] \sim_{f \circ (\nu \leftrightarrow \ell)} v', m$$

2 Generating and Resolving Events

Now we formulate the semantics for generating events.

Figure 7: Definition of the semantic domains with events. All other semantic domains are equal to Figure 2.

We extend how to read weak values given an environment.

$$\bullet(x) \triangleq \bot \qquad \qquad ((x',\ell) :: \sigma)(x) \triangleq (x = x'?\ell : \sigma(x))$$

$$[E](x) \triangleq \mathsf{Read}(E,x) \qquad \qquad ((x',w) :: \sigma)(x) \triangleq (x = x'?w : \sigma(x))$$

Then we need to add only one rule to the semantics in Figure 3 for the semantics to incorporate events.

$$\frac{\text{APPEVENT}}{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Downarrow E} \quad \sigma \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v \\ \overline{\sigma \vdash e_1 e_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{Call}(E,v)}$$

Now we need to formulate the *concrete linking* rules. The concrete linking rule $\sigma_0 \propto w$, given an answer σ_0 to the lnit event, resolves all events within w to obtain a set of final results.

Concrete linking makes sense because of the following theorem. First define:

$$\operatorname{eval}(e,\sigma) \triangleq \{v | \sigma \vdash e \Downarrow v\} \qquad \operatorname{eval}(e,\Sigma) \triangleq \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \operatorname{eval}(e,\sigma) \qquad \sigma_0 \propto W \triangleq \bigcup_{w \in W} (\sigma_0 \propto w)$$

Then the following holds:

Figure 8: Definition for concrete linking.

Theorem 2.1 (Soundness of concrete linking). Given $e \in \text{Expr}, \sigma \in \text{Env}, v \in \text{Val}$,

$$\forall \sigma_0 \in \text{Env} : \text{eval}(e, \sigma_0 \times \sigma) \subseteq \sigma_0 \times \text{eval}(e, \sigma)$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 uses some useful lemmas, such as:

Lemma 2.1 (Linking distributes under substitution). Let σ_0 be the external environment that is linked with locally closed weak values w and u. For all $\ell \notin \text{FLoc}(\sigma_0)$, we have:

$$\forall w_+, u_+ : w_+ \in \sigma_0 \ge w \land u_+ \in \sigma_0 \ge u \Rightarrow \{u_+ \leftarrow \ell\} w_+ \in \sigma_0 \ge \{u \leftarrow \ell\} w$$

Lemma 2.2 (Linking is compatible with reads). Let σ_0 be the external environment that is linked with some environment σ . Let v be the value obtained from reading v from v. Let unfold: WVal v Val be defined as:

$$\operatorname{unfold}(\mu.v) \triangleq v^{\mu.v} \quad \operatorname{unfold}(v) \triangleq v$$

Then for all $\sigma_+ \in \sigma_0 \times \sigma$, we have:

$$\exists w_+ \in \text{WVal} : \sigma_+(x) = w_+ \land \text{unfold}(w_+) \in \sigma_0 \propto v$$

3 CFA

```
p \in \mathbb{P} \triangleq \{\text{finite set of program points}\}\
          Program point
                                                    \in \mathbb{P} \times \operatorname{Expr}
 Labelled expression pe
                                          \begin{array}{ccc} pe & \subset & \bot \\ \ell^p & \in & \mathbb{P} \times \text{Loc} \end{array}
     Labelled location
                                          t \quad \in \quad \mathbb{T} \triangleq \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Env} + \mathrm{Env} \times \mathrm{Val})
Collecting semantics
 Labelled expression pe \rightarrow \{p:e\}
                                            e \rightarrow x \mid \lambda x.pe \mid pe \mid pe \mid pe \mid pe \mid pe \mid e \mid \varepsilon \mid x = pe; pe
                  Expression
```

 $\mathrm{Step}:\mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{T}$

$$\mathrm{Step}(t) \triangleq \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathrm{step}(t,p)$$

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{step}(t,p) &\triangleq \left[p \mapsto \{ (\sigma,v) | \sigma \in t(p) \text{ and } \sigma(x) = v \} \right] \\ &\cup \left[p \mapsto \{ (\sigma,v^{\mu,v}) | \sigma \in t(p) \text{ and } \sigma(x) = \mu.v \} \right] \\ \operatorname{step}(t,p) &\triangleq \left[p \mapsto \{ (\sigma,\langle \lambda x.p',\sigma \rangle) | \sigma \in t(p) \} \right] \\ \operatorname{step}(t,p) &\triangleq \left[p_1 \mapsto \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Env} | \sigma \in t(p) \} \right] \\ &\cup \left[p_2 \mapsto \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Env} | \sigma \in t(p) \} \right] \\ &\cup \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \bigcup_{(\sigma,\langle \lambda x.p',\sigma_1 \rangle) \in t(p_1)} \left[p' \mapsto \{ (x,v_2) :: \sigma_1 | (\sigma,v_2) \in t(p_2) \} \right] \\ &\cup \left[p \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \bigcup_{(\sigma,\langle \lambda x.p',\sigma_1 \rangle) \in t(p_1)} \bigcup_{(\sigma,v_2) \in t(p_2)} \left\{ (\sigma,v) | ((x,v_2) :: \sigma_1,v) \in t(p') \} \right] \\ &\cup \left[p \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \left\{ (\sigma,\operatorname{Call}(E_1,v_2)) | (\sigma,E_1) \in t(p_1) \text{ and } (\sigma,v_2) \in t(p_2) \} \right] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \sup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \\ & \operatorname{step}(t,p) \triangleq [p_1 \mapsto \{\sigma | \sigma \in t(p)\}] \\ & \cup [p_2 \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \{\sigma_1 | (\sigma,\sigma_1) \in t(p_1)\}] \\ & \cup [p \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \bigcup_{(\sigma,\sigma_1) \in t(p_1)} \{(\sigma,v_2) | (\sigma_1,v_2) \in t(p_2)\}] \end{split}$$
 when $\{p: p_1 \rtimes p_2\}$

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{step}(t,p) &\triangleq [p \mapsto \{(\sigma, \bullet) | \sigma \in t(p)\}] & \text{when } \{p : \varepsilon\} \\ \operatorname{step}(t,p) &\triangleq [p_1 \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \{(x,\ell^{p_1}) :: \sigma | \ell \notin \operatorname{FLoc}(\sigma)\}] & \text{when } \{p : x = p_1; p_2\} \\ & \cup [p_2 \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \{(x,\mu.^{\setminus \ell^{p_1}}v_1) :: \sigma | ((x,\ell^{p_1}) :: \sigma, v_1) \in t(p_1)\}] \end{split}$$

 $\cup \left[p \mapsto \bigcup_{\sigma \in t(p)} \bigcup_{((x,\ell^{p_1})::\sigma,v_1) \in t(p_1)} \{(\sigma,(x,\mu.^{\ \setminus \ell^{p_1}}v_1)::\sigma_2) | ((x,\mu.^{\ \setminus \ell^{p_1}}v_1)::\sigma,\sigma_2) \in t(p_2)\}\right]$

The proof tree t computed by

$$t \triangleq \mathrm{lfp}(\lambda t.\mathrm{Step}(t) \cup t_{\mathrm{init}}) \quad \text{where } t_{\mathrm{init}} = [p_0 \mapsto \{\sigma_0\}]$$

contains all derivations of the form $\sigma_0 \vdash p_0 \Downarrow v_0$ for some v_0 . That is, (σ, v) is contained in $t_0(p)$ if and only if $\sigma \vdash p \Downarrow v$ must be contained in a valid derivation for the judgment $\sigma_0 \vdash p_0 \Downarrow v_0$.

The concretization function γ that sends an element of $\mathbb{T}^{\#}$ to \mathbb{T} is defined as:

$$\gamma(t^{\#}) \triangleq \lambda p. \{ \sigma | \sigma < (t^{\#}(p).1, t^{\#}) \} \cup \{ (\sigma, v) | v < (t^{\#}(p).2, t^{\#}) \}$$

where \leq is the concretization relation that is inductively defined in Figure 9.

$$\sigma \leq_f (\sigma^\#, t^\#)$$

$$\frac{\text{Conc-Enil}}{\bullet \leq \sigma^{\#}} \quad \frac{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Enil} \\ E \leq (\sigma^{\#}, \emptyset) \\ \hline [E] \leq \sigma^{\#} \end{array} \quad \frac{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-ConsLoc} \\ p \in \sigma^{\#}.1(x) \quad \sigma \leq \sigma^{\#} \\ \hline (x, \ell^{p}) :: \sigma \leq \sigma^{\#} \end{array} \quad \frac{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-ConsWVal} \\ p \in \sigma^{\#}.1(x) \quad w \leq t^{\#}(p).2 \quad \sigma \leq \sigma^{\#} \\ \hline (x, w) :: \sigma \leq \sigma^{\#} \end{array} \quad \frac{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Conc-Wighting problems} \\ w \leq (v^{\#}, t^{\#}) \\ \hline \end{array} }$$

$$\frac{\text{Conc-Clos}}{\langle \lambda x.p,p'\rangle \in v^{\#}.2} \quad \frac{\langle \lambda x.p,p'\rangle \in v^{\#}.2}{\langle \lambda x.p,\sigma\rangle \leq v^{\#}} \quad \frac{\text{Conc-Rec}}{L \text{ finite}} \quad \frac{L \text{ finite}}{\forall \ell \notin L, \ v^{\ell^p} \leq t^{\#}(p).2 \text{ and } v^{\ell^p} \leq v^{\#}}{\mu.v \leq v^{\#}}$$

$$\frac{\text{Conc-Init}}{ \underset{\text{Init}}{\text{Init}} \in v^{\#}. 1.2} \frac{\text{Conc-Read}}{\text{Read}^{\#}(p,x) \in v^{\#}. 1.2} \quad \frac{\text{Conc-Read}}{\text{Read}(E,x) \leq v^{\#}} \quad \frac{\text{Conc-Call}}{\text{Call}^{\#}(p_{1},p_{2}) \in v^{\#}. 1.2} \quad E \leq t^{\#}(p_{1}).2 \quad v \leq t^{\#}(p_{2}).2}{\text{Call}(E,v) \leq v^{\#}}$$

Figure 9: The concretization relation between weak values and abstract values. $t^{\#}$ is omitted.

Now the abstract semantic function can be given.

$$\operatorname{Step}^{\#}(t^{\#}) \triangleq \bigsqcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p)$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}) \triangleq \left[p \mapsto \bigsqcup_{p' \in t^{\#}(p).1.1(x)} (\bot, t^{\#}(p').2) \right] \qquad \operatorname{when} \left\{ p : x \right\}$$

$$\operatorname{Step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq \left[p \mapsto (\bot, (([], \left\{ \operatorname{Read}^{\#}(p, x) \right\}), \emptyset)) \right] \qquad \operatorname{if} t^{\#}(p).1.2 \neq \emptyset$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq \left[p \mapsto (\bot, (\bot, \left\{ \left\langle \lambda x.p', p \right\rangle \right\})) \right] \qquad \operatorname{when} \left\{ p : \lambda x.p' \right\}$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq \left[p_{1} \mapsto (t^{\#}(p).1, \bot) \right] \qquad \operatorname{when} \left\{ p : p_{1} p_{2} \right\}$$

$$\sqcup \left[p_{2} \mapsto (t^{\#}(p).1, \bot) \right] \qquad \sqcup \left[p \mapsto (\bot, (([], \left\{ \operatorname{Call}^{\#}(p_{1}, p_{2}) \right\}), \emptyset)) \right] \qquad \operatorname{if} t^{\#}(p_{1}).2.12 \neq \emptyset$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq \left[p_{1} \mapsto (t^{\#}(p).1, \bot) \right] \qquad \operatorname{when} \left\{ p : p_{1} \rtimes p_{2} \right\}$$

$$\sqcup \left[p_{2} \mapsto (t^{\#}(p_{1}).2.1, \bot) \right] \qquad \sqcup \left[p_{2} \mapsto (t^{\#}(p_{1}).2.1, \bot) \right] \qquad \operatorname{when} \left\{ p : x = p_{1}; p_{2} \right\}$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq \bot \qquad \qquad \operatorname{when} \left\{ p : x = p_{1}; p_{2} \right\}$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq \bot \qquad \qquad \operatorname{when} \left\{ p : p_{1} \ni p_{2} \right\}$$

$$\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \triangleq \left[p_{1} \mapsto (t^{\#}(p).1 \sqcup (\left[x \mapsto \left\{ p_{1} \right\} \right], \emptyset), \bot) \right] \qquad \qquad \operatorname{when} \left\{ p : x = p_{1}; p_{2} \right\}$$

$$\sqcup \left[p_{2} \mapsto (t^{\#}(p).1 \sqcup (\left[x \mapsto \left\{ p_{1} \right\} \right], \emptyset), \bot) \right] \qquad \qquad \operatorname{when} \left\{ p : x = p_{1}; p_{2} \right\}$$

$$\sqcup \left[p_{2} \mapsto (t^{\#}(p).1 \sqcup (\left[x \mapsto \left\{ p_{1} \right\} \right], \emptyset), \bot) \right] \qquad \qquad \operatorname{when} \left\{ p : x = p_{1}; p_{2} \right\}$$

The abstract proof tree $t^{\#}$ computed by

$$t^{\#} \triangleq \mathrm{lfp}(\lambda t^{\#}.\mathrm{Step}^{\#}(t^{\#}) \sqcup t^{\#}_{\mathrm{init}}) \quad \mathrm{where} \ t_{\mathrm{init}} \subseteq \gamma(t^{\#}_{\mathrm{init}})$$

is a sound abstraction of t.

Now we define a sound linking operator that abstracts ∞ . Assume we have

$$\sigma_0 \leq (\sigma_0^\#, t_0^\#) \quad t \subseteq \gamma(t^\#)$$

we define:

$$\sigma_0 \propto t \triangleq \lambda p. (\sigma_0 \propto t(p))$$

We want to define $\infty^{\#}$ so that the following holds:

$$\sigma_0 \propto t \subseteq \gamma((\sigma_0^\#, t_0^\#) \times^\# t^\#)$$

This is defined by

where

$$\mathsf{E}(t^{\#}) \in \mathbb{P} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Event}^{\#})^2 \quad \mathsf{V}(t^{\#}) \in \mathbb{T}^{\#} \quad \mathrm{Link}^{\#}(\sigma^{\#}, \mathcal{E}, t^{\#}) \in \mathbb{T}^{\#}$$

are defined by

$$\mathsf{E}(t^{\#}) \triangleq \lambda p.(t^{\#}(p).1.2, t^{\#}(p).2.1.2) \quad \mathsf{V}(t^{\#}) \triangleq \lambda p.((t^{\#}(p).1.1, \emptyset), ((t^{\#}(p).2.1.1, \emptyset), t^{\#}(p).2.2))$$

and

$$\operatorname{Link}^\#(\sigma^\#,\mathcal{E},t^\#) \triangleq \bigsqcup_{E^\# \in \mathcal{E}(p).1} \operatorname{link}_1^\#(\sigma^\#,E^\#,t^\#,p) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{E^\# \in \mathcal{E}(p).2} \operatorname{link}_2^\#(\sigma^\#,E^\#,t^\#,p)$$

where

$$\operatorname{link}_{1}^{\#}(\sigma^{\#}, E^{\#}, t^{\#}, p) \in \mathbb{T}^{\#} \quad \operatorname{link}_{2}^{\#}(\sigma^{\#}, E^{\#}, t^{\#}, p) \in \mathbb{T}^{\#}$$

are defined by

Lemma 3.1 (Substitution of values).

$$w < (v^{\#}, t^{\#}) \text{ and } u < (t^{\#}(p).2, t^{\#}) \Rightarrow w[u/\ell^p] < (v^{\#}, t^{\#})$$

Lemma 3.2 (Sound step#).

$$\forall p, t, t^{\#} : t \subseteq \gamma(t^{\#}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{step}(t, p) \cup t \subseteq \gamma(\operatorname{step}^{\#}(t^{\#}, p) \sqcup t^{\#})$$

Lemma 3.3 (Sound Step[#]).

$$\forall t_{\text{init}}, t^{\#} : t_{\text{init}} \subseteq \gamma(t^{\#}) \text{ and } \text{Step}^{\#}(t^{\#}) \sqsubseteq t^{\#} \Rightarrow \text{lfp}(\lambda t.\text{Step}(t) \cup t_{\text{init}}) \subseteq \gamma(t^{\#})$$

Lemma 3.4 (Sound Link[#]). For each $\sigma_0, \sigma_0^{\#}, t_0^{\#}, t, t^{\#}, t_+^{\#}$, if:

- 1. $\sigma_0 \leq (\sigma_0^\#, t_0^\#)$
- 2. $t \subseteq \gamma(t^{\#})$
- 3. $\operatorname{Step}^{\#}(t_{+}^{\#}) \sqcup \operatorname{Link}^{\#}(\sigma_{0}^{\#}, \mathsf{E}(t_{-}^{\#}), t_{+}^{\#}) \sqcup t_{0}^{\#} \sqcup \mathsf{V}(t_{-}^{\#}) \sqsubseteq t_{+}^{\#}$

we have:

$$\forall w, w_+ \in \sigma_0 \times w, p : [w \in t(p) \Rightarrow w_+ \leq (t_+^\#(p).1, t_+^\#)] \text{ and } [(_, w) \in t(p) \Rightarrow w_+ \leq (t_+^\#(p).2, t_+^\#)]$$