

# Scan Report

February 12, 2026

## Summary

This document reports on the results of an automatic security scan. All dates are displayed using the timezone “Coordinated Universal Time”, which is abbreviated “UTC”. The task was “1111”. The scan started at Thu Feb 12 03:01:59 2026 UTC and ended at Thu Feb 12 03:32:15 2026 UTC. The report first summarises the results found. Then, for each host, the report describes every issue found. Please consider the advice given in each description, in order to rectify the issue.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Result Overview</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Results per Host</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	192.168.0.5 . . . . .	2
2.1.1	Critical general/tcp . . . . .	2
2.1.2	High 445/tcp . . . . .	4
2.1.3	Medium 135/tcp . . . . .	5
2.1.4	Low general/tcp . . . . .	7
2.2	192.168.0.6 . . . . .	9
2.2.1	Critical 22/tcp . . . . .	9
2.2.2	Medium 22/tcp . . . . .	11
2.2.3	Low general/tcp . . . . .	14
2.2.4	Low 22/tcp . . . . .	15
2.2.5	Low general/icmp . . . . .	16
2.3	192.168.0.12 . . . . .	18
2.3.1	Medium 21/tcp . . . . .	18
2.3.2	Low general/tcp . . . . .	19
2.4	192.168.0.9 . . . . .	21
2.4.1	Medium 22/tcp . . . . .	21
2.4.2	Low general/tcp . . . . .	24
2.4.3	Low 22/tcp . . . . .	25
2.4.4	Low general/icmp . . . . .	26

CONTENTS	2
----------	---

2.5 192.168.0.1 . . . . .	27
2.5.1 Medium 135/tcp . . . . .	28
2.6 192.168.0.10 . . . . .	30
2.6.1 Medium 135/tcp . . . . .	30
2.6.2 Low general/tcp . . . . .	32
2.6.3 Low general/icmp . . . . .	33
2.7 192.168.0.3 . . . . .	34
2.7.1 Low general/tcp . . . . .	34
2.7.2 Low general/icmp . . . . .	36
2.7.3 Low 22/tcp . . . . .	37
2.8 192.168.0.8 . . . . .	38
2.8.1 Low general/icmp . . . . .	38
2.8.2 Low general/tcp . . . . .	39
2.9 192.168.0.4 . . . . .	41
2.9.1 Low 22/tcp . . . . .	41
2.10 192.168.0.7 . . . . .	42
2.10.1 Low general/icmp . . . . .	42
2.10.2 Low general/tcp . . . . .	43
2.11 192.168.0.11 . . . . .	44
2.11.1 Low general/icmp . . . . .	45
2.11.2 Low general/tcp . . . . .	46
2.11.3 Low 22/tcp . . . . .	47

## 1 Result Overview

Host	Critical	High	Medium	Low	Log	False P.
192.168.0.5	1	1	1	1	0	0
192.168.0.6	1	0	2	3	0	0
192.168.0.12	0	0	2	1	0	0
192.168.0.9	0	0	2	3	0	0
192.168.0.1	0	0	1	0	0	0
192.168.0.10	0	0	1	2	0	0
192.168.0.3	0	0	0	3	0	0
192.168.0.8	0	0	0	2	0	0
192.168.0.4	0	0	0	1	0	0
192.168.0.7	0	0	0	2	0	0
192.168.0.11	0	0	0	3	0	0
Total: 11	2	1	9	21	0	0

Vendor security updates are not trusted.

Overrides are off. Even when a result has an override, this report uses the actual threat of the result.

Information on overrides is included in the report.

Notes are included in the report.

This report might not show details of all issues that were found.

Issues with the threat level “Log” are not shown.

Issues with the threat level “Debug” are not shown.

Issues with the threat level “False Positive” are not shown.

Only results with a minimum QoD of 70 are shown.

This report contains all 33 results selected by the filtering described above. Before filtering there were 288 results.

## 2 Results per Host

### 2.1 192.168.0.5

Host scan start Thu Feb 12 03:02:27 2026 UTC

Host scan end Thu Feb 12 03:08:43 2026 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/tcp	Critical
445/tcp	High
135/tcp	Medium
general/tcp	Low

#### 2.1.1 Critical general/tcp

Critical (CVSS: 10.0)
NVT: Operating System (OS) End of Life (EOL) Detection
<b>Product detection result</b> cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_7:-:sp1 Detected by OS Detection Consolidation and Reporting (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0 →.105937)
<b>Summary</b> The Operating System (OS) on the remote host has reached the end of life (EOL) and should not be used anymore.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> The "Windows 7" Operating System on the remote host has reached the end of life. CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_7:-:sp1 Installed version, build or SP: sp1 EOL date: 2020-01-14 EOL info: <a href="https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/lifecycle/products/windows-7">https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/lifecycle/products/windows-7</a>
<b>Impact</b> An EOL version of an OS is not receiving any security updates from the vendor. Unfixed security vulnerabilities might be leveraged by an attacker to compromise the security of this host.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Update the OS on the remote host to a version which is still supported and receiving security updates by the vendor. Note / Important: Please create an override for this result if the target host is a: - Windows system with Extended Security Updates (ESU) - System with additional 3rd-party / non-vendor security updates like e.g. from 'TuxCare', 'Freexian Extended LTS' or similar
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Checks if an EOL version of an OS is present on the target host. Details: Operating System (OS) End of Life (EOL) Detection OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103674 Version used: 2025-05-21T05:40:19Z
<b>Product Detection Result</b> Product: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_7:-:sp1 ... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

Method: OS Detection Consolidation and Reporting  
OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105937)

[ [return to 192.168.0.5](#) ]

### 2.1.2 High 445/tcp

High (CVSS: 8.8)

NVT: Microsoft Windows SMB Server Multiple Vulnerabilities-Remote (4013389)

#### Summary

This host is missing a critical security update according to Microsoft Bulletin MS17-010.

**Quality of Detection (QoD):** 95%

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Vulnerability was detected according to the Vulnerability Detection Method.

#### Impact

Successful exploitation will allow remote attackers to gain the ability to execute code on the target server, also could lead to information disclosure from the server.

#### Solution:

**Solution type:** VendorFix

The vendor has released updates. Please see the references for more information.

#### Affected Software/OS

- Microsoft Windows 10 x32/x64
- Microsoft Windows Server 2012
- Microsoft Windows Server 2016
- Microsoft Windows 8.1 x32/x64
- Microsoft Windows Server 2012 R2
- Microsoft Windows 7 x32/x64 Service Pack 1
- Microsoft Windows Vista x32/x64 Service Pack 2
- Microsoft Windows Server 2008 R2 x64 Service Pack 1
- Microsoft Windows Server 2008 x32/x64 Service Pack 2

#### Vulnerability Insight

Multiple flaws exist due to the way that the Microsoft Server Message Block 1.0 (SMBv1) server handles certain requests.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

... continues on next page ...

<p>... continued from previous page ...</p> <p>Send the crafted SMB transaction request with fid = 0 and check the response to confirm the vulnerability.          Details: Microsoft Windows SMB Server Multiple Vulnerabilities-Remote (4013389)          OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.810676          Version used: 2024-07-17T05:05:38Z</p>
<p><b>References</b></p> <p>cve: CVE-2017-0143          cve: CVE-2017-0144          cve: CVE-2017-0145          cve: CVE-2017-0146          cve: CVE-2017-0147          cve: CVE-2017-0148          cisa: Known Exploited Vulnerability (KEV) catalog          url: <a href="https://www.cisa.gov/known-exploited-vulnerabilities-catalog">https://www.cisa.gov/known-exploited-vulnerabilities-catalog</a>          url: <a href="https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/kb/4013078">https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/kb/4013078</a>          url: <a href="http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96703">http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96703</a>          url: <a href="http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96704">http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96704</a>          url: <a href="http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96705">http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96705</a>          url: <a href="http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96707">http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96707</a>          url: <a href="http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96709">http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96709</a>          url: <a href="http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96706">http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96706</a>          url: <a href="https://technet.microsoft.com/library/security/MS17-010">https://technet.microsoft.com/library/security/MS17-010</a>          url: <a href="https://github.com/rapid7/metasploit-framework/pull/8167/files">https://github.com/rapid7/metasploit-framework/pull/8167/files</a>          cert-bund: CB-K17/0435          dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-0448</p>

[ [return to 192.168.0.5](#) ]

### 2.1.3 Medium 135/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0)
NVT: DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration Reporting
<b>Summary</b> Distributed Computing Environment / Remote Procedure Calls (DCE/RPC) or MSRPC based service enumeration reporting.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> Here is the list of DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on this host via the TCP protocol: Port: 49152/tcp
... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

```
UUID: d95afe70-a6d5-4259-822e-2c84da1ddb0d, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49152]
Port: 49153/tcp
UUID: 06bba54a-be05-49f9-b0a0-30f790261023, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49153]
Annotation: Security Center
UUID: 30adc50c-5cbc-46ce-9a0e-91914789e23c, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49153]
Annotation: NRP server endpoint
UUID: 3c4728c5-f0ab-448b-bda1-6ce01eb0a6d5, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49153]
Annotation: DHCP Client LRPC Endpoint
UUID: 3c4728c5-f0ab-448b-bda1-6ce01eb0a6d6, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49153]
Annotation: DHCPv6 Client LRPC Endpoint
UUID: f6beaff7-1e19-4fb9-9f8f-b89e2018337c, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49153]
Annotation: Event log TCPIP
Port: 49154/tcp
UUID: 12345778-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ac, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49154]
Named pipe : lsass
Win32 service or process : lsass.exe
Description : SAM access
UUID: b25a52bf-e5dd-4f4a-aea6-8ca7272a0e86, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49154]
Annotation: KeyIso
Port: 49155/tcp
UUID: 201ef99a-7fa0-444c-9399-19ba84f12a1a, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49155]
Annotation: AppInfo
UUID: 552d076a-cb29-4e44-8b6a-d15e59e2c0af, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49155]
Annotation: IP Transition Configuration endpoint
UUID: 58e604e8-9adb-4d2e-a464-3b0683fb1480, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49155]
Annotation: AppInfo
UUID: 5f54ce7d-5b79-4175-8584-cb65313a0e98, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49155]
Annotation: AppInfo
UUID: 86d35949-83c9-4044-b424-db363231fd0c, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49155]
UUID: 98716d03-89ac-44c7-bb8c-285824e51c4a, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49155]
Annotation: XactSrv service
UUID: fd7a0523-dc70-43dd-9b2e-9c5ed48225b1, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49155]
```

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...
<p><b>Annotation:</b> AppInfo  <b>Port:</b> 49156/tcp  <b>UUID:</b> 367abb81-9844-35f1-ad32-98f038001003, version 2  <b>Endpoint:</b> ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.5[49156]</p> <p>Note: DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on this host locally were identified. Reporting this list is not enabled by default due to the possible large size of this list. See the script preferences to enable this reporting.</p>
<p><b>Impact</b>  An attacker may use this information to gain more knowledge about the remote host and to conduct further attacks based on it.</p>
<p><b>Solution:</b>  <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation  Filter incoming traffic to this ports.</p>
<p><b>Affected Software/OS</b>  All systems exposing / disclosing information via DCE/RPC or MSRPC services.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b>  DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on the remote host can be enumerated by connecting on port 135 and doing the appropriate queries.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>  Reports previously collected (via 'DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration' OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108044) DCE/RPC or MSRPC services.  This VT is reporting a severity by default. If the scanned network is e.g. a private LAN / private WAN which contains systems not accessible to the public (access restricted) and it is accepted that the service is disclosing information to this network please set the 'Network type' configuration of the following VT to e.g. 'Private LAN' or 'Private WAN':  Global variable settings (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.12288)  In this case a 'Log' level result is used instead.  Details: DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration Reporting  OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.10736  Version used: 2025-11-26T05:40:08Z</p>

[ [return to 192.168.0.5](#) ]

#### 2.1.4 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)
NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
... continues on next page ...

	... continued from previous page ...
<b>Summary</b>	The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%	
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>	<p>It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.</p> <p>The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:</p> <p>Packet 1: 7182501      Packet 2: 7182607</p>
<b>Impact</b>	A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.
<b>Solution:</b>	
<b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation	<p>To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.</p> <p>To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled'. Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.</p> <p>See the references for more information.</p>
<b>Affected Software/OS</b>	TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b>	The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>	<p>Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.</p> <p>Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure      OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091      Version used: 2023-12-15T16:10:08Z</p>
<b>References</b>	<p>url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323</a>      url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323</a>      url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</a>      url: <a href="https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090">https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090</a></p>

[ [return to 192.168.0.5](#) ]

## 2.2 192.168.0.6

Host scan start Thu Feb 12 03:02:27 2026 UTC  
 Host scan end Thu Feb 12 03:18:07 2026 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
22/tcp	Critical
22/tcp	Medium
general/tcp	Low
22/tcp	Low
general/icmp	Low

### 2.2.1 Critical 22/tcp

Critical (CVSS: 9.8)
NVT: SSH Brute Force Logins With Default Credentials Reporting
<b>Summary</b> It was possible to login into the remote SSH server using default credentials.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 95%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> It was possible to login with the following credentials <User>:<Password> root:root
<b>Impact</b> This issue may be exploited by a remote attacker to e.g. gain access to sensitive information or modify system configuration.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Change the password as soon as possible.
<b>Affected Software/OS</b> The following products are known to use the default credentials checked by the VT 'SSH Brute Force Logins With Default Credentials' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108013) used for this reporting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CVE-2017-16523: MitraStar GPT-2541GNAC (HGU) 1.00(VNJ0)b1 and DSL-100HN-T1 ES_113WJY0b16 devices</li> <li>- CVE-2017-20214: FLIR Thermal Camera F/FC/PT/D</li> <li>- CVE-2018-25138: FLIR AX8 Thermal Camera</li> <li>- CVE-2018-25147: Microhard Systems IPn4G</li> <li>- CVE-2019-25241: FaceSentry Access Control System</li> </ul> ... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CVE-2019-25291: INIM Electronics Smartliving SmartLAN/G/SI</li> <li>- CVE-2020-29583: Zyxel Firewall / AP Controller</li> <li>- CVE-2020-36915: Adtec Digital SignEdje Digital Signage Player</li> <li>- CVE-2020-37092: Netis E1+ devices</li> <li>- CVE-2020-9473: S. Siedle &amp; Soehne SG 150-0 Smart Gateway before 1.2.4</li> <li>- CVE-2021-27797: Brocade Fabric OS</li> <li>- CVE-2021-47744: Cypress Solutions CTM-200/CTM-ONE</li> <li>- CVE-2023-1944: minikube 1.29.0 and probably prior</li> <li>- CVE-2023-53983: Anevia Flamingo XL/XS</li> <li>- CVE-2024-22902: Vinchin Backup &amp; Recovery</li> <li>- CVE-2024-31970: AdTran SRG 834-5 HDC17600021F1 devices (with SmartOS 11.1.1.1) during a window of time when the device is being set up</li> <li>- CVE-2024-46328: VONETS VAP11G-300 v3.3.23.6.9</li> <li>- CVE-2025-12592: Legacy Vivtek devices</li> <li>- CVE-2025-68718: KAYSUS KS-WR1200</li> <li>- Various additional products like e.g. Ubiquiti EdgeMax / EdgeRouter, Crestron AM-100 and similar for which no CVE was assigned (See 'default_credentials.inc' file on the file system for a full list)</li> </ul> <p>Other products might be affected as well.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b></p> <p>As the VT 'SSH Brute Force Logins With Default Credentials' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108013) might run into a timeout the actual reporting of this vulnerability takes place in this VT instead.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b></p> <p>Reports default credentials detected by the VT 'SSH Brute Force Logins With Default Credentials' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108013).</p> <p>Details: SSH Brute Force Logins With Default Credentials Reporting OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103239 Version used: 2026-02-05T05:56:23Z</p>
<p><b>References</b></p> <p>cve: CVE-1999-0501  cve: CVE-1999-0502  cve: CVE-1999-0507  cve: CVE-1999-0508  cve: CVE-2005-1379  cve: CVE-2006-5288  cve: CVE-2009-3710  cve: CVE-2012-4577  cve: CVE-2016-1000245  cve: CVE-2017-16523  cve: CVE-2017-20214  cve: CVE-2018-25138  cve: CVE-2018-25147  cve: CVE-2019-25241</p>
... continues on next page ...

<pre>cve: CVE-2019-25291 cve: CVE-2020-29583 cve: CVE-2020-36915 cve: CVE-2020-37092 cve: CVE-2020-9473 cve: CVE-2021-27797 cve: CVE-2021-47744 cve: CVE-2023-1944 cve: CVE-2023-53983 cve: CVE-2024-22902 cve: CVE-2024-31970 cve: CVE-2024-46328 cve: CVE-2025-12592 cve: CVE-2025-41696 cve: CVE-2025-68718 url: https://www.cisa.gov/known-exploited-vulnerabilities-catalog cisa: Known Exploited Vulnerability (KEV) catalog cert-bund: WID-SEC-2025-2760</pre>
---

... continued from previous page ...

[ return to 192.168.0.6 ]

### 2.2.2 Medium 22/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.3)
--------------------

NVT: Weak Key Exchange (KEX) Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)
---

<b>Product detection result</b>
---------------------------------

cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol Detected by SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565 ↔)
---

<b>Summary</b>
----------------

The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak key exchange (KEX) algorithm(s).
--

<b>Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%</b>
--

<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>
---------------------------------------

The remote SSH server supports the following weak KEX algorithm(s):
KEX algorithm   Reason

---

diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1   Using SHA-1
diffie-hellman-group1-sha1   Using Oakley Group 2 (a 1024-bit MODP group

... continues on next page ...
--------------------------------

	... continued from previous page ...
↔) and SHA-1	
<b>Impact</b> An attacker can quickly break individual connections.	
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Disable the reported weak KEX algorithm(s) - 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms: Alternatively use elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann in general, e.g. Curve 25519.	
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> - 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms: Millions of HTTPS, SSH, and VPN servers all use the same prime numbers for Diffie-Hellman key exchange. Practitioners believed this was safe as long as new key exchange messages were generated for every connection. However, the first step in the number field sieve—the most efficient algorithm for breaking a Diffie-Hellman connection—is dependent only on this prime. A nation-state can break a 1024-bit prime.	
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server. Currently weak KEX algorithms are defined as the following: - non-elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann (DH) KEX algorithms with 1024-bit MODP group / prime - ephemeral key exchange groups uses SHA-1 - using RSA 1024-bit modulus key Details: Weak Key Exchange (KEX) Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH) OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.150713 Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z	
<b>Product Detection Result</b> Product: cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol Method: SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565)	
<b>References</b> url: <a href="https://weakdh.org/sysadmin.html">https://weakdh.org/sysadmin.html</a> url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9142">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9142</a> url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9142#name-summary-guidance-for-implem">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9142#name-summary-guidance-for-implem</a> url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6194">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6194</a> url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.5">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.5</a>	

Medium (CVSS: 4.3)
NVT: Weak Encryption Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)
<b>Product detection result</b> cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol Detected by SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565 ↔)
<b>Summary</b> The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak encryption algorithm(s).
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> The remote SSH server supports the following weak client-to-server encryption algorithms: 3des-cbc aes128-cbc aes192-cbc aes256-cbc blowfish-cbc cast128-cbc  The remote SSH server supports the following weak server-to-client encryption algorithms: 3des-cbc aes128-cbc aes192-cbc aes256-cbc blowfish-cbc cast128-cbc
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Disable the reported weak encryption algorithm(s).
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> - The 'arcfour' cipher is the Arcfour stream cipher with 128-bit keys. The Arcfour cipher is believed to be compatible with the RC4 cipher [SCHNEIER]. Arcfour (and RC4) has problems with weak keys, and should not be used anymore. - The 'none' algorithm specifies that no encryption is to be done. Note that this method provides no confidentiality protection, and it is NOT RECOMMENDED to use it. - A vulnerability exists in SSH messages that employ CBC mode that may allow an attacker to recover plaintext from a block of ciphertext.
... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Checks the supported encryption algorithms (client-to-server and server-to-client) of the remote SSH server.

Currently weak encryption algorithms are defined as the following:

- Arcfour (RC4) cipher based algorithms
- 'none' algorithm
- CBC mode cipher based algorithms

Details: Weak Encryption Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)

OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105611

Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z

#### Product Detection Result

Product: cpe:/a:ietf:secure\_shell\_protocol

Method: SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported

OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565)

#### References

url: <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8758>

url: <https://www.kb.cert.org/vuls/id/958563>

url: <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.3>

[ [return to 192.168.0.6](#) ]

### 2.2.3 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)

NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

**Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%**

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.

The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:

Packet 1: 19124835

Packet 2: 19125973

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution:

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

**Solution type:** Mitigation

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.

To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled'. Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.

See the references for more information.

**Affected Software/OS**

TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.

**Vulnerability Insight**

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

**Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.

Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091

Version used: 2023-12-15T16:10:08Z

**References**

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323>

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323>

url: <https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152>

url: <https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090>

[ [return to 192.168.0.6](#) ]

#### 2.2.4 Low 22/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)

NVT: Weak MAC Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)

**Product detection result**

cpe:/a:ietf:secure\_shell\_protocol

Detected by SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565  
↔)

... continues on next page ...

	... continued from previous page ...
<b>Summary</b>	The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak MAC algorithm(s).
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%	
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>	<p>The remote SSH server supports the following weak client-to-server MAC algorithm →(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>umac-64-etm@openssh.com</li> <li>umac-64@openssh.com</li> </ul> <p>The remote SSH server supports the following weak server-to-client MAC algorithm →(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>umac-64-etm@openssh.com</li> <li>umac-64@openssh.com</li> </ul>
<b>Solution:</b>	<p><b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation</p> <p>Disable the reported weak MAC algorithm(s).</p>
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>	<p>Checks the supported MAC algorithms (client-to-server and server-to-client) of the remote SSH server.</p> <p>Currently weak MAC algorithms are defined as the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MD5 based algorithms</li> <li>- 96-bit based algorithms</li> <li>- 64-bit based algorithms</li> <li>- 'none' algorithm</li> </ul> <p>Details: Weak MAC Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH) OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105610 Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z</p>
<b>Product Detection Result</b>	<p>Product: cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol Method: SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565)</p>
<b>References</b>	<p>url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6668">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6668</a> url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.4">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.4</a></p>

[ [return to 192.168.0.6](#) ]

## 2.2.5 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
<b>Summary</b> The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> The following response / ICMP packet has been received: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- ICMP Type: 14</li> <li>- ICMP Code: 0</li> </ul>
<b>Impact</b> This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely</li> <li>- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)</li> </ul>
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received. Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2025-01-21T05:37:33Z
<b>References</b> cve: CVE-1999-0524 url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792</a> url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780</a> cert-bund: CB-K15/1514 cert-bund: CB-K14/0632 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[ [return to 192.168.0.6](#) ]

### 2.3 192.168.0.12

Host scan start Thu Feb 12 03:02:27 2026 UTC  
 Host scan end Thu Feb 12 03:14:17 2026 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">21/tcp</a>	Medium
<a href="#">general/tcp</a>	Low

#### 2.3.1 Medium 21/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 6.4)  NVT: Anonymous FTP Login Reporting
<b>Summary</b> Reports if the remote FTP Server allows anonymous logins.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> It was possible to login to the remote FTP service with the following anonymous account(s): anonymous:anonymous@example.com ftp:anonymous@example.com
<b>Impact</b> Based on the files accessible via this anonymous FTP login and the permissions of this account an attacker might be able to: - gain access to sensitive files - upload or delete files.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation If you do not want to share files, you should disable anonymous logins.
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> A host that provides an FTP service may additionally provide Anonymous FTP access as well. Under this arrangement, users do not strictly need an account on the host. Instead the user typically enters 'anonymous' or 'ftp' when prompted for username. Although users are commonly asked to send their email address as their password, little to no verification is actually performed on the supplied data. Remark: NIST don't see 'configuration issues' as software flaws so the referenced CVE has a severity of 0.0. The severity of this VT has been raised by Greenbone to still report a configuration issue on the target. ... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...
--------------------------------------

<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>
---------------------------------------

Details: Anonymous FTP Login Reporting

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.900600

Version used: 2021-10-20T09:03:29Z

<b>References</b>
-------------------

cve: CVE-1999-0497

Medium (CVSS: 4.8)
--------------------

NVT: FTP Unencrypted Cleartext Login

<b>Summary</b>
----------------

The remote host is running a FTP service that allows cleartext logins over unencrypted connections.

<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 70%
--

<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>
---------------------------------------

The remote FTP service accepts logins without a previous sent 'AUTH TLS' command  
→. Response(s):

Non-anonymous sessions: 331 Please specify the password.

Anonymous sessions: 331 Please specify the password.

<b>Impact</b>
---------------

An attacker can uncover login names and passwords by sniffing traffic to the FTP service.

<b>Solution:</b>
------------------

**Solution type:** Mitigation

Enable FTPS or enforce the connection via the 'AUTH TLS' command. Please see the manual of the FTP service for more information.

<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>
---------------------------------------

Tries to login to a non FTPS enabled FTP service without sending a 'AUTH TLS' command first and checks if the service is accepting the login without enforcing the use of the 'AUTH TLS' command.

Details: FTP Unencrypted Cleartext Login

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108528

Version used: 2023-12-20T05:05:58Z

[ [return to 192.168.0.12](#) ]

### 2.3.2 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6) NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
<p><b>Summary</b>          The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.</p>
<p><b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>          It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.          The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:          Packet 1: 835814656          Packet 2: 835815711</p>
<p><b>Impact</b>          A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.</p>
<p><b>Solution:</b>  <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation          To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.          To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled'. Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.          See the references for more information.</p>
<p><b>Affected Software/OS</b>          TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b>          The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>          Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.  <b>Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure</b>          OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091          Version used: 2023-12-15T16:10:08Z</p>
<p><b>References</b>          url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323</a>          url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323</a>          url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/d...">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/d...</a>          ... continues on next page ...       </p>

<code>→ownload/details.aspx?id=9152</code> <code>url: https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090</code>	... continued from previous page ...
---	--------------------------------------

[ [return to 192.168.0.12](#) ]

## 2.4 192.168.0.9

Host scan start Thu Feb 12 03:02:27 2026 UTC  
 Host scan end Thu Feb 12 03:17:20 2026 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">22/tcp</a>	Medium
<a href="#">general/tcp</a>	Low
<a href="#">22/tcp</a>	Low
<a href="#">general/icmp</a>	Low

### 2.4.1 Medium 22/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.3)  NVT: Weak Key Exchange (KEX) Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)								
<b>Product detection result</b> <code>cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol</code> Detected by SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565 $\leftrightarrow$ )								
<b>Summary</b> The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak key exchange (KEX) algorithm(s).								
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%								
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> The remote SSH server supports the following weak KEX algorithm(s): <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left; width: 40%;">KEX algorithm</th> <th style="text-align: left; width: 60%;">Reason</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="border-top: 1px dashed black; border-bottom: 1px dashed black; padding: 5px;"><math>\leftrightarrow</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td>diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1</td> <td>  Using SHA-1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>diffie-hellman-group1-sha1</td> <td>  Using Oakley Group 2 (a 1024-bit MODP group  <math>\leftrightarrow</math>) and SHA-1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	KEX algorithm	Reason	$\leftrightarrow$		diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1	Using SHA-1	diffie-hellman-group1-sha1	Using Oakley Group 2 (a 1024-bit MODP group $\leftrightarrow$ ) and SHA-1
KEX algorithm	Reason							
$\leftrightarrow$								
diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1	Using SHA-1							
diffie-hellman-group1-sha1	Using Oakley Group 2 (a 1024-bit MODP group $\leftrightarrow$ ) and SHA-1							
<b>Impact</b> An attacker can quickly break individual connections.								
... continues on next page ...								

... continued from previous page ...
--------------------------------------

**Solution:****Solution type:** Mitigation

Disable the reported weak KEX algorithm(s)

- 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms:

Alternatively use elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann in general, e.g. Curve 25519.

**Vulnerability Insight**

- 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms:

Millions of HTTPS, SSH, and VPN servers all use the same prime numbers for Diffie-Hellman key exchange. Practitioners believed this was safe as long as new key exchange messages were generated for every connection. However, the first step in the number field sieve—the most efficient algorithm for breaking a Diffie-Hellman connection—is dependent only on this prime.

A nation-state can break a 1024-bit prime.

**Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server.

Currently weak KEX algorithms are defined as the following:

- non-elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann (DH) KEX algorithms with 1024-bit MODP group / prime
- ephemerally generated key exchange groups uses SHA-1
- using RSA 1024-bit modulus key

Details: Weak Key Exchange (KEX) Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)

OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.150713

Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z

**Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:ietf:secure\_shell\_protocol

Method: SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported

OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565)

**References**url: <https://weakdh.org/sysadmin.html>url: <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9142>url: <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9142#name-summary-guidance-for-implem>url: <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6194>url: <https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.5>

Medium (CVSS: 4.3)

NVT: Weak Encryption Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)

**Product detection result**

cpe:/a:ietf:secure\_shell\_protocol

Detected by SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565)

... continues on next page ...

→)	... continued from previous page ...
<p><b>Summary</b>  The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak encryption algorithm(s).</p>	
<p><b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%</p>	
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>  The remote SSH server supports the following weak client-to-server encryption algorithms:  3des-cbc  aes128-cbc  aes192-cbc  aes256-cbc  blowfish-cbc  cast128-cbc  The remote SSH server supports the following weak server-to-client encryption algorithms:  3des-cbc  aes128-cbc  aes192-cbc  aes256-cbc  blowfish-cbc  cast128-cbc</p>	
<p><b>Solution:</b>  <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation  Disable the reported weak encryption algorithm(s).</p>	
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b>  - The 'arcfour' cipher is the Arcfour stream cipher with 128-bit keys. The Arcfour cipher is believed to be compatible with the RC4 cipher [SCHNEIER]. Arcfour (and RC4) has problems with weak keys, and should not be used anymore.  - The 'none' algorithm specifies that no encryption is to be done. Note that this method provides no confidentiality protection, and it is NOT RECOMMENDED to use it.  - A vulnerability exists in SSH messages that employ CBC mode that may allow an attacker to recover plaintext from a block of ciphertext.</p>	
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>  Checks the supported encryption algorithms (client-to-server and server-to-client) of the remote SSH server.  Currently weak encryption algorithms are defined as the following:  - Arcfour (RC4) cipher based algorithms  - 'none' algorithm  - CBC mode cipher based algorithms</p>	
... continues on next page ...	

... continued from previous page ...
Details: Weak Encryption Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH) OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105611 Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z
<b>Product Detection Result</b> Product: cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol Method: SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565)
<b>References</b> url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8758">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8758</a> url: <a href="https://www.kb.cert.org/vuls/id/958563">https://www.kb.cert.org/vuls/id/958563</a> url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.3">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.3</a>

[ [return to 192.168.0.9](#) ]

#### 2.4.2 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)
NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
<b>Summary</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 452213 Packet 2: 453270
<b>Impact</b> A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled.
... continues on next page ...

<p>... continued from previous page ...</p> <p>The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.</p> <p>See the references for more information.</p>
<p><b>Affected Software/OS</b> TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2023-12-15T16:10:08Z</p>
<p><b>References</b> url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323</a> url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323</a> url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</a> url: <a href="https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090">https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090</a></p>

[ [return to 192.168.0.9](#) ]

#### 2.4.3 Low 22/tcp

<p>Low (CVSS: 2.6)</p> <p>NVT: Weak MAC Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)</p>
<p><b>Product detection result</b> cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol Detected by SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565 ↔)</p>
<p><b>Summary</b> The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak MAC algorithm(s).</p>
<p><b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%</p>
<p>... continues on next page ...</p>

<p>... continued from previous page ...</p> <p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b></p> <p>The remote SSH server supports the following weak client-to-server MAC algorithm  <math>\leftrightarrow(s)</math>:</p> <pre>umac-64-etm@openssh.com umac-64@openssh.com</pre> <p>The remote SSH server supports the following weak server-to-client MAC algorithm  <math>\leftrightarrow(s)</math>:</p> <pre>umac-64-etm@openssh.com umac-64@openssh.com</pre> <p><b>Solution:</b>  <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation  Disable the reported weak MAC algorithm(s).</p> <p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>  Checks the supported MAC algorithms (client-to-server and server-to-client) of the remote SSH server.  Currently weak MAC algorithms are defined as the following:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MD5 based algorithms</li> <li>- 96-bit based algorithms</li> <li>- 64-bit based algorithms</li> <li>- 'none' algorithm</li> </ul> Details: Weak MAC Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)  OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105610  Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z</p> <p><b>Product Detection Result</b>  Product: cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol  Method: SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported  OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565)</p> <p><b>References</b>  url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6668">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6668</a>  url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.4">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.4</a></p>
--

[ [return to 192.168.0.9](#) ]

#### 2.4.4 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)
NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
... continues on next page ...

	... continued from previous page ...
<b>Summary</b>	The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%	
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>	<p>The following response / ICMP packet has been received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- ICMP Type: 14</li> <li>- ICMP Code: 0</li> </ul>
<b>Impact</b>	<p>This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.</p>
<b>Solution:</b>	<p><b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation</p> <p>Various mitigations are possible:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely</li> <li>- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)</li> </ul>
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b>	<p>The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.</p>
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>	<p>Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.</p> <p>Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure  OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190  Version used: 2025-01-21T05:37:33Z</p>
<b>References</b>	<p>cve: CVE-1999-0524  url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792</a>  url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780</a>  cert-bund: CB-K15/1514  cert-bund: CB-K14/0632  dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658</p>

[ [return to 192.168.0.9](#) ]

## 2.5 192.168.0.1

Host scan start Thu Feb 12 03:02:27 2026 UTC  
Host scan end Thu Feb 12 03:13:14 2026 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">135/tcp</a>	Medium

### 2.5.1 Medium 135/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0)
NVT: DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration Reporting
<b>Summary</b> Distributed Computing Environment / Remote Procedure Calls (DCE/RPC) or MSRPC based service enumeration reporting.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>  Here is the list of DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on this host via the TCP protocol:</p> <pre> Port: 49664/tcp     UUID: 12345778-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ac, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49664]     Named pipe : lsass     Win32 service or process : lsass.exe     Description : SAM access     UUID: 51a227ae-825b-41f2-b4a9-1ac9557a1018, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49664]     Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service     UUID: 8fb74744-b2ff-4c00-be0d-9ef9a191fe1b, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49664]     Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service     UUID: b25a52bf-e5dd-4f4a-aea6-8ca7272a0e86, version 2     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49664]     Annotation: KeyIso Port: 49665/tcp     UUID: d95afe70-a6d5-4259-822e-2c84da1ddb0d, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49665] Port: 49666/tcp     UUID: 3a9ef155-691d-4449-8d05-09ad57031823, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49666]     UUID: 86d35949-83c9-4044-b424-db363231fd0c, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49666] Port: 49667/tcp     UUID: f6beaff7-1e19-4fbb-9f8f-b89e2018337c, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49667]     Annotation: Windows Event Log Port: 49668/tcp ... continues on next page ... </pre>

... continued from previous page ...
<pre> UUID: 0b6edbfa-4a24-4fc6-8a23-942b1eca65d1, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49668] UUID: 12345678-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ab, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49668] Named pipe : spoolss Win32 service or process : spoolsv.exe Description : Spooler service UUID: 4a452661-8290-4b36-8fbe-7f4093a94978, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49668] UUID: 76f03f96-cdfd-44fc-a22c-64950a001209, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49668] UUID: ae33069b-a2a8-46ee-a235-ddfd339be281, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49668] Port: 49672/tcp UUID: 367abb81-9844-35f1-ad32-98f038001003, version 2 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:127.0.0.1[49672] Note: DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on this host locally were identified. Reporting this list is not enabled by default due to the possible large size of this list. See the script preferences to enable this reporting. </pre>
<p><b>Impact</b>  An attacker may use this information to gain more knowledge about the remote host and to conduct further attacks based on it.</p>
<p><b>Solution:</b>  <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation  Filter incoming traffic to this ports.</p>
<p><b>Affected Software/OS</b>  All systems exposing / disclosing information via DCE/RPC or MSRPC services.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b>  DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on the remote host can be enumerated by connecting on port 135 and doing the appropriate queries.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>  Reports previously collected (via 'DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration' OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108044) DCE/RPC or MSRPC services.  This VT is reporting a severity by default. If the scanned network is e.g. a private LAN / private WAN which contains systems not accessible to the public (access restricted) and it is accepted that the service is disclosing information to this network please set the 'Network type' configuration of the following VT to e.g. 'Private LAN' or 'Private WAN':  Global variable settings (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.12288)  In this case a 'Log' level result is used instead.  Details: DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration Reporting  OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.10736</p>
... continues on next page ...

<p>... continued from previous page ...</p> <p>Version used: 2025-11-26T05:40:08Z</p>
---

[ [return to 192.168.0.1](#) ]

## 2.6 192.168.0.10

Host scan start Thu Feb 12 03:02:27 2026 UTC  
 Host scan end Thu Feb 12 03:17:05 2026 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">135/tcp</a>	Medium
<a href="#">general/tcp</a>	Low
<a href="#">general/icmp</a>	Low

### 2.6.1 Medium 135/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0)

NVT: DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration Reporting

#### Summary

Distributed Computing Environment / Remote Procedure Calls (DCE/RPC) or MSRPC based service enumeration reporting.

#### Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Here is the list of DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on this host via the TCP protocol:

Port: 49664/tcp

  UUID: 12345778-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ac, version 1

  Endpoint: ncacn\_ip\_tcp:192.168.0.10[49664]

  Named pipe : lsass

  Win32 service or process : lsass.exe

  Description : SAM access

  UUID: 51a227ae-825b-41f2-b4a9-1ac9557a1018, version 1

  Endpoint: ncacn\_ip\_tcp:192.168.0.10[49664]

  Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service

  UUID: 8fb74744-b2ff-4c00-be0d-9ef9a191fe1b, version 1

  Endpoint: ncacn\_ip\_tcp:192.168.0.10[49664]

  Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service

  UUID: b25a52bf-e5dd-4f4a-aea6-8ca7272a0e86, version 2

  Endpoint: ncacn\_ip\_tcp:192.168.0.10[49664]

  Annotation: KeyIso

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...
<pre> Port: 49665/tcp     UUID: d95afe70-a6d5-4259-822e-2c84da1ddb0d, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.10[49665]  Port: 49666/tcp     UUID: f6beaff7-1e19-4fbb-9f8f-b89e2018337c, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.10[49666]     Annotation: Event log TCPIP  Port: 49667/tcp     UUID: 3a9ef155-691d-4449-8d05-09ad57031823, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.10[49667]     UUID: 86d35949-83c9-4044-b424-db363231fd0c, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.10[49667]  Port: 49668/tcp     UUID: 0b6edbfa-4a24-4fc6-8a23-942b1eca65d1, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.10[49668]     UUID: 12345678-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ab, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.10[49668]     Named pipe : spoolss     Win32 service or process : spoolsv.exe     Description : Spooler service     UUID: 4a452661-8290-4b36-8fbe-7f4093a94978, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.10[49668]     UUID: 76f03f96-cdfd-44fc-a22c-64950a001209, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.10[49668]     UUID: ae33069b-a2a8-46ee-a235-ddfd339be281, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.10[49668]  Port: 49669/tcp     UUID: 6b5bdd1e-528c-422c-af8c-a4079be4fe48, version 1     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.10[49669]     Annotation: Remote Fw APIs  Port: 49672/tcp     UUID: 367abb81-9844-35f1-ad32-98f038001003, version 2     Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:192.168.0.10[49672]  Note: DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on this host locally were identified. Reporting this list is not enabled by default due to the possible large size of this list. See the script preferences to enable this reporting. </pre>
<p><b>Impact</b></p> <p>An attacker may use this information to gain more knowledge about the remote host and to conduct further attacks based on it.</p>
<p><b>Solution:</b></p> <p><b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Filter incoming traffic to this ports.</p>
<p><b>Affected Software/OS</b></p>
... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...
All systems exposing / disclosing information via DCE/RPC or MSRPC services.
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b>
DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on the remote host can be enumerated by connecting on port 135 and doing the appropriate queries.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>
Reports previously collected (via 'DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration' OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108044) DCE/RPC or MSRPC services.
This VT is reporting a severity by default. If the scanned network is e.g. a private LAN / private WAN which contains systems not accessible to the public (access restricted) and it is accepted that the service is disclosing information to this network please set the 'Network type' configuration of the following VT to e.g. 'Private LAN' or 'Private WAN':
Global variable settings (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.12288)
In this case a 'Log' level result is used instead.
Details: DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration Reporting
OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.10736
Version used: 2025-11-26T05:40:08Z

[ [return to 192.168.0.10](#) ]

### 2.6.2 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)
NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
<b>Summary</b>
The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>
It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.
The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:
Packet 1: 707338
Packet 2: 708384
<b>Impact</b>
A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.
<b>Solution:</b>
<b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation
To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.
... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...
To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.
<b>Affected Software/OS</b> TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2023-12-15T16:10:08Z
<b>References</b> url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323</a> url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323</a> url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</a> url: <a href="https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090">https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090</a>

[ [return to 192.168.0.10](#) ]

### 2.6.3 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)
NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
<b>Summary</b> The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> The following response / ICMP packet has been received: - ICMP Type: 14 - ICMP Code: 0 ... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...
--------------------------------------

<b>Impact</b>
---------------

This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.

<b>Solution:</b>
------------------

**Solution type:** Mitigation

Various mitigations are possible:

- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely
- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)

<b>Vulnerability Insight</b>
------------------------------

The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.

<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>
---------------------------------------

Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.

Details: [ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure](#)

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190

Version used: 2025-01-21T05:37:33Z

<b>References</b>
-------------------

cve: [CVE-1999-0524](#)

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792>

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780>

cert-bund: CB-K15/1514

cert-bund: CB-K14/0632

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[ [return to 192.168.0.10](#) ]

## 2.7 192.168.0.3

Host scan start Thu Feb 12 03:02:27 2026 UTC

Host scan end Thu Feb 12 03:06:03 2026 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">general/tcp</a>	Low
<a href="#">general/icmp</a>	Low
<a href="#">22/tcp</a>	Low

### 2.7.1 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6) NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
<b>Summary</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 3804446857 Packet 2: 3804447909
<b>Impact</b> A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled'. Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.
<b>Affected Software/OS</b> TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. <b>Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure</b> OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2023-12-15T16:10:08Z
<b>References</b> url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323</a> url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323</a> url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/d...">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/d...</a> ... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

→ownload/details.aspx?id=9152  
url: <https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090>

[ [return to 192.168.0.3](#) ]

### 2.7.2 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)
NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
<b>Summary</b> The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> The following response / ICMP packet has been received: - ICMP Type: 14 - ICMP Code: 0
<b>Impact</b> This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received. Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2025-01-21T05:37:33Z
... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

**References**

cve: CVE-1999-0524  
url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792>  
url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780>  
cert-bund: CB-K15/1514  
cert-bund: CB-K14/0632  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[ [return to 192.168.0.3](#) ]

### 2.7.3 Low 22/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)
NVT: Weak MAC Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)
<b>Product detection result</b> cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol Detected by SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565 ↔)
<b>Summary</b> The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak MAC algorithm(s).
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> The remote SSH server supports the following weak client-to-server MAC algorithm ↔(s): umac-64-etm@openssh.com umac-64@openssh.com The remote SSH server supports the following weak server-to-client MAC algorithm ↔(s): umac-64-etm@openssh.com umac-64@openssh.com
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Disable the reported weak MAC algorithm(s).
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Checks the supported MAC algorithms (client-to-server and server-to-client) of the remote SSH server.
... continues on next page ...

<p>... continued from previous page ...</p> <p>Currently weak MAC algorithms are defined as the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MD5 based algorithms</li> <li>- 96-bit based algorithms</li> <li>- 64-bit based algorithms</li> <li>- 'none' algorithm</li> </ul> <p>Details: Weak MAC Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)  OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105610  Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z</p>
<p><b>Product Detection Result</b></p> <p>Product: cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol  Method: SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported  OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565)</p>
<p><b>References</b></p> <p>url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6668">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6668</a>  url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.4">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.4</a></p>

[ return to 192.168.0.3 ]

## 2.8 192.168.0.8

Host scan start Thu Feb 12 03:02:27 2026 UTC  
Host scan end Thu Feb 12 03:08:27 2026 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/icmp	Low
general/tcp	Low

### 2.8.1 Low general/icmp

<p>Low (CVSS: 2.1)</p> <p>NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure</p>
<p><b>Summary</b></p> <p>The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.</p>
<p><b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b></p> <p>The following response / ICMP packet has been received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- ICMP Type: 14</li> </ul> <p>... continues on next page ...</p>

	... continued from previous page ...
- ICMP Code: 0	
<b>Impact</b>	
This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.	
<b>Solution:</b>	
<b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation	
Various mitigations are possible:	
- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely	
- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)	
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b>	
The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.	
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>	
Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.	
Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure	
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190	
Version used: 2025-01-21T05:37:33Z	
<b>References</b>	
cve: CVE-1999-0524	
url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792</a>	
url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780</a>	
cert-bund: CB-K15/1514	
cert-bund: CB-K14/0632	
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658	

[ [return to 192.168.0.8](#) ]

### 2.8.2 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)
NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
<b>Summary</b>
The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

... continues on next page ...

	... continued from previous page ...
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%</b>	
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>            It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.            The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:            Packet 1: 816540505            Packet 2: 816541564</p>	
<p><b>Impact</b>            A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.</p>	
<p><b>Solution:</b>  <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation            To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.            To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled'. Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.            See the references for more information.</p>	
<p><b>Affected Software/OS</b>            TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.</p>	
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b>            The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.</p>	
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>            Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.            Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure            OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091            Version used: 2023-12-15T16:10:08Z</p>	
<p><b>References</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323</a></li> <li>url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323</a></li> <li>url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</a></li> <li>url: <a href="https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090">https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090</a></li> </ul>	

[ [return to 192.168.0.8](#) ]

## 2.9 192.168.0.4

Host scan start Thu Feb 12 03:02:27 2026 UTC  
 Host scan end Thu Feb 12 03:05:22 2026 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
22/tcp	Low

### 2.9.1 Low 22/tcp

<p>Low (CVSS: 2.6)</p> <p>NVT: Weak MAC Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)</p> <p><b>Product detection result</b>  <code>cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol</code>          Detected by SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565  <math>\leftrightarrow</math>)</p>
<p><b>Summary</b>          The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak MAC algorithm(s).</p>
<p><b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>          The remote SSH server supports the following weak client-to-server MAC algorithm  <math>\leftrightarrow</math>(s):  <code>umac-64-etm@openssh.com</code>  <code>umac-64@openssh.com</code>          The remote SSH server supports the following weak server-to-client MAC algorithm  <math>\leftrightarrow</math>(s):  <code>umac-64-etm@openssh.com</code>  <code>umac-64@openssh.com</code></p>
<p><b>Solution:</b>  <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation          Disable the reported weak MAC algorithm(s).</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>          Checks the supported MAC algorithms (client-to-server and server-to-client) of the remote SSH server.          Currently weak MAC algorithms are defined as the following:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MD5 based algorithms</li> <li>- 96-bit based algorithms</li> </ul> </p>

... continues on next page ...

	... continued from previous page ...
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 64-bit based algorithms</li> <li>- 'none' algorithm</li> </ul> <p>Details: Weak MAC Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)  OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105610  Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z</p>	
<p><b>Product Detection Result</b>  Product: cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol  Method: SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported  OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565)</p>	
<p><b>References</b>  url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6668">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6668</a>  url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.4">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.4</a></p>	

[ [return to 192.168.0.4](#) ]

## 2.10 192.168.0.7

Host scan start Thu Feb 12 03:02:27 2026 UTC  
Host scan end Thu Feb 12 03:10:12 2026 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">general/icmp</a>	Low
<a href="#">general/tcp</a>	Low

### 2.10.1 Low general/icmp

<p>Low (CVSS: 2.1)</p> <p>NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure</p>
<p><b>Summary</b>  The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.</p>
<p><b>Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%</b></p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>  The following response / ICMP packet has been received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- ICMP Type: 14</li> <li>- ICMP Code: 0</li> </ul>
<p><b>Impact</b>  ... continues on next page ...</p>

<p>... continued from previous page ...</p> <p>This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.</p> <p><b>Solution:</b>  <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation          Various mitigations are possible:          - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely          - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)</p> <p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b>          The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.</p> <p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>          Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.          Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure          OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190          Version used: 2025-01-21T05:37:33Z</p> <p><b>References</b>          cve: CVE-1999-0524          url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792</a>          url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780</a>          cert-bund: CB-K15/1514          cert-bund: CB-K14/0632          dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658</p>
--

[ [return to 192.168.0.7](#) ]

### 2.10.2 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6) NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
<p><b>Summary</b>          The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.</p>
<p><b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>          ... continues on next page ...</p>

... continued from previous page ...
<p>It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.  The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:  Packet 1: 251419470  Packet 2: 251420526</p>
<p><b>Impact</b>  A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.</p>
<p><b>Solution:</b>  <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation  To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.  To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled'  Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled.  The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.  See the references for more information.</p>
<p><b>Affected Software/OS</b>  TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b>  The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>  Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.  Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure  OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091  Version used: 2023-12-15T16:10:08Z</p>
<p><b>References</b>  url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323</a>  url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323</a>  url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/default/download/details.aspx?id=9152">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/default/download/details.aspx?id=9152</a>  url: <a href="https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090">https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090</a></p>

[ [return to 192.168.0.7](#) ]

## 2.11 192.168.0.11

Host scan start Thu Feb 12 03:02:27 2026 UTC  
Host scan end Thu Feb 12 03:32:11 2026 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">general/icmp</a>	Low
<a href="#">general/tcp</a>	Low
<a href="#">22/tcp</a>	Low

### 2.11.1 Low general/icmp

<p>Low (CVSS: 2.1)</p> <p>NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure</p>
<p><b>Summary</b> The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.</p>
<p><b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> The following response / ICMP packet has been received:            - ICMP Type: 14            - ICMP Code: 0</p>
<p><b>Impact</b> This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.</p>
<p><b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Various mitigations are possible:            - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely            - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received. Details: <a href="#">ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure</a> OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2025-01-21T05:37:33Z</p>
<p><b>References</b> ... continues on next page ...</p>

... continued from previous page ...
--------------------------------------

cve: CVE-1999-0524 url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792</a> url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780</a> cert-bund: CB-K15/1514 cert-bund: CB-K14/0632 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658
--

[ [return to 192.168.0.11](#) ]

### 2.11.2 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)
NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
<b>Summary</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 1061784106 Packet 2: 1061785164
<b>Impact</b> A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled'. Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.
<b>Affected Software/OS</b> TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> ... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...
The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2023-12-15T16:10:08Z
<b>References</b> url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323</a> url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323</a> url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</a> url: <a href="https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090">https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090</a>

[ [return to 192.168.0.11](#) ]

### 2.11.3 Low 22/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)
NVT: Weak MAC Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)
<b>Product detection result</b> cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol Detected by SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565 ↔)
<b>Summary</b> The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak MAC algorithm(s).
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> The remote SSH server supports the following weak client-to-server MAC algorithm ↔(s): umac-64-etm@openssh.com umac-64@openssh.com The remote SSH server supports the following weak server-to-client MAC algorithm ↔(s): umac-64-etm@openssh.com umac-64@openssh.com
... continues on next page ...

	... continued from previous page ...
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Disable the reported weak MAC algorithm(s).	
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Checks the supported MAC algorithms (client-to-server and server-to-client) of the remote SSH server. Currently weak MAC algorithms are defined as the following: - MD5 based algorithms - 96-bit based algorithms - 64-bit based algorithms - 'none' algorithm Details: Weak MAC Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH) OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105610 Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z	
<b>Product Detection Result</b> Product: cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol Method: SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565)	
<b>References</b> url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6668">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6668</a> url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.4">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.4</a>	

[ [return to 192.168.0.11](#) ]

---

This file was automatically generated.