

## 3 The z-Transform

- Two advantages with the  $z$ -transform:
  1. The  $z$ -transform is a generalization of the Fourier transform for discrete-time signals; which encompasses a broader class of sequences. The  $z$ -transform exists for some sequences for which the Fourier transform does not converge.
  2. The advantage and power of complex variable theory allow one to obtain  $z$ -transforms easily.

### 3.1 Z-Transform

- Defn: The (*two-sided* or *bilateral*)  $z$ -transform of a sequence  $x[n]$  is defined as

$$X(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n] z^{-n}$$

where  $z$  is a complex variable.

We shall adopt the notation

$$x[n] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} X(z)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} X(z) &= \mathcal{Z}\{x[n]\} \\ x[n] &= \mathcal{Z}^{-1}\{X(z)\} \end{aligned}$$

throughout.

- Note that the Fourier transform  $X(e^{j\omega})$  of  $x[n]$  is only a special case of  $X(z)$  since

$$X(e^{j\omega}) = X(z)|_{z=e^{j\omega}}.$$

If we express  $z$  by a polar form, i.e.,  $z = re^{j\omega}$ , then

$$X(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \{r^{-n}x[n]\} e^{-jn\omega}$$

whose *region of convergence* (ROC) may or may not contain the circle  $r = 1$  (unit circle on  $z$ -plane).

*Fig. 3.1*

The *sufficient and necessary* condition for a  $z$ -transform to exist is

$$|X(z)| < \infty \quad \forall z \in \text{ROC}.$$

A *sufficient* condition is that the sequence  $\{x[n] z^{-n}\}$  is absolutely summable for  $z \in \text{ROC}$ , i.e.,

$$|X(z)| \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |x[n] z^{-n}| = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |x[n]| |z|^{-n} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |x[n]| r^{-n} < \infty$$

$\forall z \in \text{ROC}.$

This sufficient condition implies that if  $z = z_1 \in \text{ROC}$ , then all  $z$  on the circle defined by  $|z| = |z_1|$  will also be in ROC. Throughout the course, the ROC is thus defined by the set of  $z$  values for which  $\{x[n] z^{-n}\}$  is absolutely summable, i.e., a collection of concentric circles on  $z$ -plane. Therefore, the ROC consists of a *ring* in the  $z$ -plane.

*Fig. 3.2*

Note: if ROC does not contain the unit circle, then the Fourier transform of  $x[n]$  does not exist.

- It can be shown that if the sequence  $\{x[n] z^{-n}\}$  is absolutely summable for  $z \in \text{ROC}$ , i.e.

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |x[n]| r^{-n} < \infty$$

then  $X_M(z)$  with

$$X_M(z) = \sum_{n=-M}^M x[n] z^{-n}$$

converges *uniformly* to a continuous function of  $z$  for  $z \in \text{ROC}$ .

- It is shown by theory that the  $z$ -transform and all its derivatives are *continuous* functions of  $z$  within ROC.

Thus if ROC contains unit circle, then the Fourier transform  $X(e^{j\omega})$  and all its derivatives w.r.t.  $\omega$  are continuous function of  $\omega$ .

- Some useful  $z$ -transform pairs are summarized in Table 3.1.

*Table 3.1*

- Ex: Right-Sided Sequence

$$x[n] = a^n u[n], \quad a \text{ real} \Rightarrow X(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a^n u[n] z^{-n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (az^{-1})^n.$$

Convergence of  $X(z)$  requires *sufficiently* that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |az^{-1}|^n < \infty$$

which implies the ROC =  $\{z : |z| > |a|\}$ . Thus, for  $|z| > |a|$ ,

$$X(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (az^{-1})^n = \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}} = \frac{z}{z - a}.$$

Notes:

1. if  $|a| \geq 1$ ,  $x[n] = a^n u[n]$  does not have a Fourier transform.
2.  $X(z)$  simplifies to a rational function. Any rational  $z$ -transform can be described by its poles and zeros.
3. if  $a > 0$ ,  $z = a$  is the pole of  $X(z)$ , while  $z = 0$  is the zero.

*Fig. 3.3*

- Ex: Left-Sided Sequence

$$\begin{aligned} x[n] &= -a^n u[-n-1], \quad a \text{ real} \\ \Rightarrow X(z) &= - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} a^n z^{-n} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{-n} z^n = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a^{-1}z)^n. \end{aligned}$$

For  $|z| < |a|$ ,  $X(z)$  converges to

$$X(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{1 - a^{-1}z} = \frac{z}{z - a}.$$

Notes:

1. The  $z$ -transform here equals to that in the previous example for  $x[n] = a^n u[n]$  with the same pole-zero plot, while ROC is different.

*Fig. 3.4*

2. It is necessary to specify the algebraic expression for  $X(z)$  and the ROC for the bilateral  $z$ -transform of a given sequence.

- Ex: Sum of Two Sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 x[n] &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n] \\
 \Rightarrow X(z) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n] \right\} z^{-n} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n z^{-n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n z^{-n} \\
 &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{3}z^{-1}} \quad \text{for } \left|\frac{1}{2}z^{-1}\right| < 1 \text{ and } \left|-\frac{1}{3}z^{-1}\right| < 1 \\
 &= \frac{2z(z - \frac{1}{12})}{(z - \frac{1}{2})(z + \frac{1}{3})} \quad \text{for } |z| > \frac{1}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Fig. 3.5*

- Ex: Sum of Two Sequences (Revisited)

$$\begin{aligned}
 x[n] &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n] \\
 \Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} \quad |z| > \frac{1}{2} \\
 \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{3}z^{-1}} \quad |z| > \frac{1}{3} \\
 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{3}z^{-1}} \quad |z| > \frac{1}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

This indicates that the  $z$ -transform is linear and that the resultant ROC is the intersection of two individual ROCs.

- Ex: Two-Sided Sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
x[n] &= \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n] - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[-n-1] \\
\Rightarrow \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{3}z^{-1}} \quad |z| > \frac{1}{3} \\
\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[-n-1] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{-1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} \quad |z| < \frac{1}{2} \\
\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n] - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[-n-1] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{3}z^{-1}} + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} \quad \frac{1}{3} < |z| < \frac{1}{2} \\
&= \frac{2z(z - \frac{1}{12})}{(z + \frac{1}{3})(z - \frac{1}{2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notes:

1. The rational function here is identical to that in the previous example, but the ROC is different.

*Fig. 3.6*

2. This sequence does not have a Fourier transform.

- Ex: Finite-Length Exponential Sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
x[n] &= \begin{cases} a^n, & 0 \leq n \leq N-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
\Rightarrow X(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a^n z^{-n} = \frac{1 - (az^{-1})^N}{1 - az^{-1}} = \frac{1}{z^{N-1}} \frac{z^N - a^N}{z - a}.
\end{aligned}$$

The ROC is determined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |az^{-1}|^n < \infty.$$

As long as  $|az^{-1}|$  is finite, i.e.,  $|a| < \infty$  and  $z \neq 0$ ,  $X(z)$  exists. Assuming a finite  $|a|$ ,  $X(z)$  has a pole at  $z = 0$  and  $N-1$  zeros at  $z_k = a \exp\{j2\pi k/N\}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ .

*Fig. 3.7*

- See Table 3.1 for useful pairs.

### 3.2 Properties of the ROC for the $z$ -Transform

- Assuming that  $X(z)$  is rational and  $x[n]$  has finite amplitude for  $-\infty < n < \infty$ .
  1. The ROC is generally of the form  $0 \leq r_R < |z| < r_L \leq \infty$  (an annulus).
  2. For a given sequence  $x[n]$ ,  $X(e^{j\omega})$  exists iff the ROC of  $X(z)$  includes the unit circle.
  3. The ROC can not contain any poles.
  4. If  $x[n]$  is a *finite-duration sequence*, i.e., it is nonzero only for finite  $n$ 's, then the ROC is the entire  $z$ -plane except possibly  $z = 0$  or  $|z| = \infty$ .
  5. If  $x[n]$  is a *right-sided sequence*, i.e., it is zero for  $n < N_1 < \infty$ , then the ROC is of the form either  $r_{\max} < |z|$  or  $r_{\max} < |z| < \infty$ , with  $r_{\max}$  the largest magnitude of a finite pole.
  6. If  $x[n]$  is a *left-sided sequence*, i.e., it is zero for  $n > N_2 > -\infty$ , then the ROC is of the form either  $|z| < r_{\min}$  or  $0 < |z| < r_{\min}$ , with  $r_{\min}$  the smallest magnitude of a finite pole.
  7. If  $x[n]$  is a *two-sided sequence*, i.e., it is zero at most at a finite number of finite values for  $n$ , then the ROC is of the form  $0 \leq r_R < |z| < r_L \leq \infty$  with  $r_R$  and  $r_L$  the magnitudes of two distinct poles, and not containing any poles inside.
  8. The ROC must be a connected region (since  $X(z)$  is continuous).
- See section 3.2 for proof and

*Fig. 3.8*

- Ex: Non-Overlapping Regions of Convergence

$$\begin{aligned}
 x[n] &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] - \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[-n-1]. \\
 \Rightarrow X(z) &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{3}z^{-1}} \quad \text{for } |z| > \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } |z| < \frac{1}{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $x[n]$  has no  $z$ -transform.

### 3.3 The Inverse Z-Transform

- Defn: The inverse  $z$ -transform of  $X(z)$  is defined by the contour integral

$$x[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X(z) z^{n-1} dz$$

where  $C$  is a counterclockwise closed contour in the ROC of  $X(z)$  and encircling the origin  $z = 0$ .

- Derivation of this formal definition:

From Cauchy integral theorem,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C z^{-k} dz = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 1 \\ 0, & k \neq 1 \end{cases} = \delta[k-1]$$

for such  $C$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} X(z) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n] z^{-n} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X(z) z^{k-1} dz &= \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n] z^{-n+k-1} dz \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n] \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C z^{-n+k-1} dz}_{\delta[n-k+1-1]=\delta[n-k]} \\ &= x[k]. \end{aligned}$$

- If the ROC includes unit circle and we let  $C$  be unit circle,

$$\begin{aligned} x[n] &= \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_{z=e^{j\omega}} X(e^{j\omega}) e^{j\omega(n-1)} de^{j\omega} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X(e^{j\omega}) e^{j\omega n} d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

- We shall discuss below various useful procedures of evaluating inverse  $z$ -transform, i.e., finding  $x[n]$  from  $X(z)$ .

#### 3.3.1 Inspection Method

- The method is to inspect Table 3.1 to find the desired  $x[n]$ . For example, with pair 5 of Table 3.1

$$a^n u[n] \xleftrightarrow{Z} \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |a|$$

we can find the  $x[n]$  of

$$X(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{3}z^{-1}}, \quad |z| > \frac{1}{2}$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \begin{array}{l} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}}, \quad |z| > \frac{1}{2} \\ \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{3}z^{-1}}, \quad |z| > \frac{1}{3} \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow x[n] &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n]. \end{aligned}$$

### 3.3.2 Partial Fraction Expansion

- The method is to applying partial fraction expansion to a rational  $X(z)$  first, and use the inspection method to obtain  $x[n]$ . Let

$$X(z) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^M b_k z^{-k}}{\sum_{k=0}^N a_k z^{-k}} \text{ for } a_0 \neq 0 \text{ and } b_0 \neq 0.$$

Then,

$$X(z) = \frac{z^N \sum_{k=0}^M b_k z^{M-k}}{z^M \sum_{k=0}^N a_k z^{N-k}} \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{implying } M \text{ zeros and } N \text{ poles} \\ \text{at nonzero locations at most} \end{array} \right).$$

- In general,

$$X(z) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^M b_k z^{-k}}{\sum_{k=0}^N a_k z^{-k}} \text{ for } a_0 \neq 0 \text{ and } b_0 \neq 0$$

can be expressed as

$$X(z) = \frac{b_0 \prod_{k=1}^M (1 - c_k z^{-1})}{a_0 \prod_{k=1}^N (1 - d_k z^{-1})}$$

where  $c_k$ 's are nonzero zeros of  $X(z)$  and  $d_k$ 's are nonzero poles of  $X(z)$ . Suppose that  $c_k$ 's are different from  $d_k$ 's and that  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{N-s+1}$  are different and  $d_{N-s+1}, \dots, d_N$  are the same. Then, we can expand  $X(z)$  into

$$\begin{aligned} X(z) &= \sum_{r=0}^{M-N} B_r z^{-r} \text{ (exists if } M \geq N) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{N-s} \frac{A_k}{1 - d_k z^{-1}} \text{ (for poles of order one)} \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^s \frac{C_m}{(1 - d_{N-s+1} z^{-1})^m} \text{ (for pole of order } s). \end{aligned}$$



(For the cases with other different-order poles, above expression can be modified accordingly.), where

1.  $B_r$ 's can be derived by long division of the numerator by the denominator,
2.  $A_k = (1 - d_k z^{-1}) X(z) |_{z=d_k}$ ,
- 3.

$$C_m = \frac{1}{(s-m)! (-d_{N-s+1})^{s-m}} \cdot \left\{ \frac{d^{s-m}}{dw^{s-m}} [(1 - d_{N-s+1} w)^s X(w^{-1})] \right\} \Big|_{w=1/d_{N-s+1}}.$$

- Ex: Find  $x[n]$  if  $X(z)$  is given by

$$X(z) = \frac{1 + 2z^{-1} + z^{-2}}{1 - \frac{3}{2}z^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}z^{-2}} \text{ for } |z| > 1.$$

Now,

$$X(z) = \frac{1 + 2z^{-1} + z^{-2}}{(1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1})(1 - z^{-1})}.$$

*Fig. 3.11*

We have  $M = N = 2$  and two poles of order one, thus

$$X(z) = B_0 + \frac{A_1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} + \frac{A_2}{1 - z^{-1}}$$

where

1.  $B_0$  is derived

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}z^{-2} - \frac{3}{2}z^{-1} + 1}{\frac{z^{-2} + 2z^{-1} + 1}{z^{-2} - 3z^{-1} + 2}} \Big/ \frac{5z^{-1} - 1}{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow B_0 = 2$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}\right) X(z) \Big|_{z=\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{1 + 2z^{-1} + z^{-2}}{1 - z^{-1}} \Big|_{z=\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= -9
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= (1 - z^{-1}) X(z) \Big|_{z=1} \\
&= \frac{1 + 2z^{-1} + z^{-2}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} \Big|_{z=1} \\
&= 8.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$X(z) = 2 - \frac{9}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} + \frac{8}{1 - z^{-1}} \quad |z| > 1.$$

By inspection with Table 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\delta[n] &\xleftrightarrow{Z} 2 \quad \text{all } z \\
\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{Z} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} \quad |z| > \frac{1}{2} \\
u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{Z} \frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} \quad |z| > 1.
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$x[n] = 2\delta[n] - 9\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] + 8u[n].$$

### 3.3.3 Power Series Expansion

- If we can expand  $X(z)$  in terms of power series (Laurent series)

$$X(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n] z^{-n}$$

then  $x[n]$  can be obtained as the coefficient of  $z^{-n}$ .

- Ex:

$$\begin{aligned} X(z) &= z^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}\right) (1 + z^{-1}) (1 - z^{-1}) \quad |z| > 0 \\ &= z^2 - \frac{1}{2}z - 1 + \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow x[n] &= \begin{cases} 1, & n = -2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}, & n = -1 \\ -1, & n = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}, & n = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \Rightarrow x[n] &= \delta[n+2] - \frac{1}{2}\delta[n+1] - \delta[n] + \frac{1}{2}\delta[n-1]. \end{aligned}$$

- Ex:

$$X(z) = \log(1 + az^{-1}) \quad |z| > |a|$$

Since

$$\log(1+x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (-1)^{n+1} x^n \text{ for } |x| < 1$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} a^n z^{-n}}{n} \\ \Rightarrow x[n] &= (-1)^{n+1} \frac{a^n}{n} u[n-1]. \end{aligned}$$

- Ex: Consider

$$X(z) = \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |a|.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots \text{ for } |x| < 1$$

we have

$$X(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (az^{-1})^n \Rightarrow x[n] = a^n u[n].$$

- Ex: Consider

$$X(z) = \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}, \quad |z| < |a|.$$

Now

$$X(z) = \frac{a^{-1}z}{a^{-1}z - 1} = -a^{-1}z \left( \frac{1}{-a^{-1}z + 1} \right).$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \text{ for } |x| < 1$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(z) &= -a^{-1}z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{-n} z^n = -a^{-1}z \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 a^n z^{-n} \\ &= - \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 a^{n-1} z^{-(n-1)} \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} (-a^n) z^{-n} \\ \Rightarrow x[n] &= -a^n u[-n-1]. \end{aligned}$$

### 3.3.4 Cauchy Residue Theorem

- From Cauchy Residue Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} x[n] &= \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X(z) z^{n-1} dz \\ &= \sum (\text{residues of } X(z) z^{n-1} \text{ at the poles inside } C) \end{aligned}$$

- If  $X(z)$  is a rational function of  $z$ , then  $X(z) z^{n-1}$  has the form of

$$X(z) z^{n-1} = \frac{\Psi(z)}{(z - d_0)^s}$$

where  $X(z) z^{n-1}$  has a pole of order  $s$  at  $z = d_0$  and  $\Psi(z)$  has no poles nor zeros at  $z = d_0$ . Then,

$$\text{Res} [X(z) z^{n-1} \text{ at } z = d_0] = \frac{1}{(s-1)!} \left[ \frac{d^{s-1} \Psi(z)}{dz^{s-1}} \right] \Big|_{z=d_0}.$$

If  $s = 1$ ,

$$\text{Res} [X(z) z^{n-1} \text{ at } z = d_0] = \Psi(d_0).$$

- Ex: Consider

$$X(z) = \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |a|$$

$$\Rightarrow X(z) z^{n-1} = \frac{z^n}{z - a}.$$

1. For  $n \geq 0$ , there is only one pole of order one at  $z = a$  and

$$\text{Res} [X(z) z^{n-1} \text{ at } z = a] = a^n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

2. For  $n < 0$ , there is  $|n|$ -th order pole at  $z = 0$  and first order pole at  $z = a$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res} [X(z) z^{n-1} \text{ at } z = a] &= a^n \\ \text{Res} [X(z) z^{n-1} \text{ at } z = 0] &= \frac{1}{(|n| - 1)!} \frac{d^{|n|-1} (z - a)^{-1}}{dz^{|n|-1}} \Big|_{z=0} \\ &= (-1)^{|n|-1} \frac{(|n| - 1)!}{(|n| - 1)!} (z - a)^{-|n|} \Big|_{z=0} \\ &= (-1) (-1)^{|n|} (-a)^{-|n|} \\ &= - (a^{-1})^{|n|} \\ &= -a^n. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow x[n] = a^n - a^n = 0 \text{ for } n < 0.$$

3. Therefore, from cases 1 and 2,

$$x[n] = a^n u[n].$$

### 3.4 Z-Transform Properties

- We shall adopt the notations

$$\begin{aligned} x[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} X(z), & \text{ROC} &= R_x \\ x_1[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} X_1(z), & \text{ROC} &= R_{x_1} \\ x_2[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} X_2(z), & \text{ROC} &= R_{x_2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X(z) &= \mathcal{Z}\{x[n]\} \\ x[n] &= \mathcal{Z}^{-1}\{X(z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

- Properties:

1. Linearity:

$$ax_1[n] + bx_2[n] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} aX_1(z) + bX_2(z), \quad \text{ROC} = R_{x_1} \cap R_{x_2}.$$

2. Time-Shifting:

$$x[n - n_0] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} z^{-n_0} X(z), \quad \text{ROC} = R_x \text{ (may exclude } z = 0 \text{ or } |z| = \infty).$$

Pf:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n - n_0] z^{-n} &= z^{-n_0} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} x[m] z^{-m} \quad (m = n - n_0) \\ &= z^{-n_0} X(z). \end{aligned}$$

(ROC should be reconsidered at  $z = 0$  and  $|z| = \infty$ .) QED

Ex: Let

$$X(z) = \frac{1}{z - \frac{1}{4}}, \quad |z| > \frac{1}{4}.$$

First, from partial fraction expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} X(z) &= \frac{z^{-1}}{1 - \frac{1}{4}z^{-1}}, \quad |z| > \frac{1}{4} \\ &= -4 + \frac{4}{1 - \frac{1}{4}z^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \delta[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} 1, \quad \forall z \\ a^n u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |a| \\ \Rightarrow x[n] &= -4\delta[n] + 4 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n u[n] = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n-1} u[n-1]. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, since

$$\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n u[n] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{4}z^{-1}}, \quad |z| > \frac{1}{4}$$

we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n-1} u[n-1] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{z^{-1}}{1 - \frac{1}{4}z^{-1}}$$

from the time-shifting property.

3. Multiplication by  $z_0^n$ :

$$z_0^n x[n] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} X\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right), \quad \text{ROC} = |z_0| R_x.$$

Pf:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\text{new}}(z) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z_0^n x[n] z^{-n} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n] \left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^{-n} \\ &= X\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right) \quad \frac{z}{z_0} \in R_x. \quad \text{QED} \end{aligned}$$

Ex: Find  $X(z)$  of  $x[n] = r^n \cos(\omega_0 n) u[n]$ .

Now,

$$x[n] = \frac{1}{2} (re^{j\omega_0})^n u[n] + \frac{1}{2} (re^{-j\omega_0})^n u[n].$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1-z^{-1}}, \quad |z| > 1 \\ (re^{j\omega_0})^n u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{z}{re^{j\omega_0}}\right)^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |re^{j\omega_0}| = r \\ (re^{-j\omega_0})^n u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{z}{re^{-j\omega_0}}\right)^{-1}}, \quad |z| > r \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow X(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{1-re^{j\omega_0}z^{-1}} + \frac{1}{1-re^{-j\omega_0}z^{-1}} \right], \quad |z| > r \\ &= \frac{1-r\cos\omega_0 z^{-1}}{1-2r\cos\omega_0 z^{-1}+r^2 z^{-2}}, \quad |z| > r. \end{aligned}$$

4. Differentiation of  $X(z)$ :

$$nx[n] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} -z \frac{dX(z)}{dz}, \quad \text{ROC} = R_x \text{ (may exclude } z=0 \text{ or } |z|=\infty).$$

Pf:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} nx[n] z^{-n} &= z \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} nx[n] z^{-n-1} \\ &= -z \frac{d}{dz} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n] z^{-n} \\ &= -z \frac{dX(z)}{dz} \quad \text{QED} \end{aligned}$$

Ex: Find  $X(z)$  of  $na^n u[n]$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} a^n u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |a| \\ \Rightarrow na^n u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} -z \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}} \right), \quad |z| > |a| \\ &= \frac{z (az^{-2})}{(1 - az^{-1})^2}, \quad |z| > |a| \\ &= \frac{az^{-1}}{(1 - az^{-1})^2}, \quad |z| > |a|. \end{aligned}$$

( $|z| = \infty$  can be included in the ROC)

5. Conjugation of a Complex Sequence:

$$x^*[n] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} X^*(z^*), \quad \text{ROC} = R_x.$$

Pf:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n x^*[n] z^{-n} &= \left( \sum_n x[n] (z^{-n})^* \right)^* \\ &= \left( \sum_n x[n] (z^*)^{-n} \right)^* \\ &= X^*(z^*), \quad z^* \in R_x \Rightarrow z \in R_x. \quad \text{QED} \end{aligned}$$

6. Time Reversal:

$$x[-n] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} X\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad z^{-1} \in R_x.$$

Pf:

$$\sum_n x[-n] z^{-n} = \sum_{n'=-n} x[n'] (z^{-1})^{-n'} = X(z^{-1}), \quad z^{-1} \in R_x. \quad \text{QED}$$

Ex: Find  $z$ -transform of  $a^{-n} u[-n]$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} a^n u[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |a| \\ \Rightarrow a^{-n} u[-n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - az}, \quad |z| < |a^{-1}|. \end{aligned}$$



7. Convolution of Sequences:

$$x_1[n] * x_2[n] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} X_1(z) X_2(z), \text{ ROC} = R_{x_1} \cap R_{x_2}.$$

Pf:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (x_1[n] * x_2[n]) z^{-n} \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x_1[k] x_2[n-k] z^{-(n-k)} z^{-k} \\ &= \left( \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} x_2[m] z^{-m} \right) \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x_1[k] z^{-k} \right) \quad (m = n - k) \\ &= X_1(z) X_2(z), \quad z \in R_{x_1} \text{ and } z \in R_{x_2}. \quad \text{QED} \end{aligned}$$

Ex:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1[n] &= a^n u[n], \quad |a| < 1 \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} X_1(z) = \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |a| \\ x_2[n] &= u[n] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} X_2(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}}, \quad |z| > 1 \\ x_1[n] * x_2[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}}_{Y(z)}, \quad |z| > 1 \end{aligned}$$

By partial fraction expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} Y(z) &= \frac{1}{1 - a} \left( \frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} - \frac{a}{1 - az^{-1}} \right), \quad |z| > 1 \\ \Rightarrow y[n] &= \frac{1}{1 - a} (u[n] - a \cdot a^n u[n]) = \frac{1 - a^{n+1}}{1 - a} u[n]. \end{aligned}$$

8. Initial Value Theorem:

If  $x[n] = 0$  for  $n < 0$ , then

$$x[0] = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} X(z).$$

Pf:

$$\begin{aligned} X(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x[n] z^{-n} \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} X(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x[n] \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z^{-n} + x[0] = x[0]. \quad \text{QED} \end{aligned}$$

- See Table 3.2 for a list of properties.

### 3.5 Complex Convolution Theorem (Supplemental)

- Question: What is the  $z$ -transform of  $x_1[n] x_2[n]$ ?

Answer: Let

$$w[n] = x_1[n] x_2[n].$$

Then

$$W(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_1[n] x_2[n] z^{-n}.$$

From contour-integral definition of inverse  $z$ -transform,

$$x_2[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_{C_2} X_2(v) v^{n-1} dv$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow W(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi j} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_1[n] \oint_{C_2} X_2(v) \left(\frac{z}{v}\right)^{-n} v^{-1} dv \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_{C_2} \underbrace{\left[ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_1[n] \left(\frac{z}{v}\right)^{-n} \right]}_{X_1\left(\frac{z}{v}\right)} X_2(v) v^{-1} dv. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $C_2$  as a closed contour in the overlap of ROCs of  $X_1\left(\frac{z}{v}\right)$  and  $X_2(v)$ , then

$$\boxed{W(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_{C_2} X_1\left(\frac{z}{v}\right) X_2(v) v^{-1} dv.}$$

Alternatively, if we choose  $C_1$  as a closed contour in the overlap of ROCs of  $X_1(v)$  and  $X_2\left(\frac{z}{v}\right)$ , then

$$\boxed{W(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_{C_1} X_1(v) X_2\left(\frac{z}{v}\right) v^{-1} dv.}$$

- Question: What is the ROC for  $W(z)$ ?

Answer: Let

$$\begin{aligned} R_{x_1} &: r_{R_1} < |z| < r_{L_1} \\ R_{x_2} &: r_{R_2} < |z| < r_{L_2} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$

1.  $C_2$  is determined by

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} r_{R_2} < |v| < r_{L_2} \\ r_{R_1} < \left| \frac{z}{v} \right| < r_{L_1} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow r_{R_1} r_{R_2} < |z| < r_{L_1} r_{L_2}.$$

2.  $C_1$  is determined by

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} r_{R_1} < |v| < r_{L_1} \\ r_{R_2} < \left| \frac{z}{v} \right| < r_{L_2} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow r_{R_1} r_{R_2} < |z| < r_{L_1} r_{L_2}.$$

$\Rightarrow$  The ROC of  $W(z)$  should contain  $r_{R_1} r_{R_2} < |z| < r_{L_1} r_{L_2}$ , denoted by  $R_w$  which may be different from  $R_{x_1} \cap R_{x_2}$  (since  $X_1(v) X_2\left(\frac{z}{v}\right) v^{-1}$  may have different “poles” for  $z$ ).

- Note: As  $v = e^{j\theta}$ ,  $z = e^{j\omega}$ ,  $C_1 = C_2 =$  unit circle, we have

$$W(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X_1(e^{j\theta}) X_2(e^{j(\omega-\theta)}) d\theta$$

which is exactly the windowing theorem in the Fourier transform.

- Ex: Given  $x_1[n] = a^n u[n]$  and  $x_2[n] = b^n u[n]$  where  $|a| < 1$  and  $|b| < 1$ , find  $w[n] = x_1[n] x_2[n]$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(z) &= \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |a| \\ X_2(z) &= \frac{1}{1 - bz^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |b| \\ \Rightarrow W(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_{C_2} \underbrace{\frac{-z/a}{v - z/a}}_{X_1\left(\frac{z}{v}\right)} \underbrace{\frac{1}{v - b}}_{X_2(v)v^{-1}} dv \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_2$  is chosen as

*Fig. 44-F1*

with  $\left|\frac{z}{a}\right| > |b|$ , i.e.,  $|z| > |ab|$ . Now, from Cauchy Residue Theorem,

$$\text{Res} \left[ \frac{-z/a}{v - z/a} \frac{1}{v - b} \text{ at } v = b \right] = \frac{-z/a}{b - z/a}$$

$$\Rightarrow W(z) = \frac{1}{1 - abz^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |ab|$$

$$\Rightarrow w[n] = (ab)^n u[n] \text{ as expected!}$$

Note: In this example  $R_w$  is different from  $R_{x_1} \cap R_{x_2}$ .

### 3.6 Parseval's Relation (Supplemental)

- For two complex sequences  $x_1[n]$  and  $x_2[n]$ , Parseval's Relation states that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_1[n] x_2^*[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X_1(v) X_2^*\left(\frac{1}{v^*}\right) v^{-1} dv$$

where  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_1[n] x_2^*[n]$  is assumed to exist and  $C$  is in the overlap of ROCs of  $X_1(v)$  and  $X_2^*\left(\frac{1}{v^*}\right)$ .

Pf: Let  $y[n] \triangleq x_1[n] x_2^*[n]$ . From the Complex Convolution Theorem,

$$Y(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X_1(v) U\left(\frac{z}{v}\right) v^{-1} dv$$

where  $U(z) = \mathcal{Z}\{x_2^*[n]\}$  and  $C$  is in the overlap of ROC's of  $X_1(v)$  and  $U\left(\frac{z}{v}\right)$ . Now, from conjugation of a complex sequence,  $(x^*[n] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} X^*(z^*), \text{ROC} = R_x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} U(z) &= X_2^*(z^*), \text{ROC} = R_{x_2} \\ \Rightarrow Y(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X_1(v) X_2^*\left(\frac{z^*}{v^*}\right) v^{-1} dv. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$Y(z)|_{z=1} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} y[n]$$

yields the relation

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_1[n] x_2^*[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X_1(v) X_2^*\left(\frac{1}{v^*}\right) v^{-1} dv. \quad \text{QED}$$

- Notes:

1. When  $v = e^{j\omega}$ , we have the Parseval's Relation in terms of the Fourier Transform,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_1[n] x_2^*[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X_1(e^{j\omega}) X_2^*(e^{j\omega}) d\omega$$

which is difficult, in general, to evaluate.

2. Parseval's relation in terms of  $z$ -transform is easy to evaluate by use of the Cauchy Residue Theorem.

3. When  $x_1[n] = x_2[n] = x[n]$  is real,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |x[n]|^2 &= \text{energy of } x[n] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C X(v) X^* \left( \frac{1}{v^*} \right) v^{-1} dv \end{aligned}$$

where  $C \in ROC_x \cap (ROC_x)^{-1}$ , with  $ROC_x = \{z | r_R < |z| < r_L\}$  and  $(ROC_x)^{-1} = \{z | r_L^{-1} < |z| < r_R^{-1}\}$ .

• Ex: Find the energy of real sequence  $x[n]$  with

$$X(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - az^{-1})(1 - bz^{-1})}, \quad \begin{array}{l} |a| < 1, |b| < 1, a \neq b \\ |z| > \max\{|a|, |b|\} \end{array}.$$

Now,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^2[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C \frac{1}{(1 - av^{-1})(1 - bv^{-1})} \frac{1}{(1 - av)(1 - bv)} v^{-1} dv$$

with  $C$  being unit circle. This can be simplified to

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^2[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \oint_C \frac{v}{(v - a)(v - b)(1 - av)(1 - bv)} dv.$$

*Fig. 45-B1*

1.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res} \left[ \frac{v}{(v - a)(v - b)(1 - av)(1 - bv)} \text{ at } v = a \right] \\ &= \frac{a}{(a - b)(1 - a^2)(1 - ab)} \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res} \left[ \frac{v}{(v - a)(v - b)(1 - av)(1 - bv)} \text{ at } v = b \right] \\ &= \frac{b}{(b - a)(1 - ab)(1 - b^2)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^2[n] &= \frac{1}{(a-b)(1-ab)} \left[ \frac{a}{1-a^2} - \frac{b}{1-b^2} \right] \\
&= \frac{(a-b) + ab(a-b)}{(a-b)(1-ab)(1-a^2)(1-b^2)} \\
&= \frac{1+ab}{(1-ab)(1-a^2)(1-b^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

### 3.7 z-Transforms and LTI Systems

- For an LTI system with input  $x[n]$ , output  $y[n]$ , and impulse response  $h[n]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
y[n] &= x[n] * h[n] \\
\iff Y(z) &= X(z) H(z), \text{ ROC} = R_y = R_x \cap R_h
\end{aligned}$$

where  $X(z) = \mathcal{Z}\{x[n]\}$  for  $z \in R_x$ ,  $Y(z) = \mathcal{Z}\{y[n]\}$  for  $z \in R_y$ , and  $H(z) = \mathcal{Z}\{h[n]\}$  for  $z \in R_h$ , by convolution property. Here,  $H(z)$  is called the *system function* of the LTI system with impulse response  $h[n]$ .

- Ex: Let  $h[n] = a^n u[n]$  with  $|a| < 1$  and  $x[n] = A u[n]$ . Now, the system function is

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |a|$$

and the  $z$ -transform of the input is

$$X(z) = \frac{A}{1 - z^{-1}}, \quad |z| > 1.$$

Thus, the  $z$ -transform of the output is

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(z) &= \frac{A}{(1 - z^{-1})(1 - az^{-1})}, \quad |z| > 1 \\
&= \frac{Az^2}{(z-1)(z-a)} \\
&= \frac{A}{1-a} \left( \frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} - \frac{a}{1 - az^{-1}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

*Fig. 3.12*

Taking the inverse  $z$ -transform of  $Y(z)$  yields

$$y[n] = \frac{A}{1-a} (1 - a^{n+1}) u[n].$$

Alternatively, we can evaluate  $y[n]$  by convolution sum as

$$\begin{aligned}
 y[n] &= Au[n] * a^n u[n] \\
 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} Au[k] a^{n-k} u[n-k] \\
 &= A \sum_{k=0}^n a^{n-k} u[n] \\
 &= \frac{A}{1-a} (1 - a^{n+1}) u[n].
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the  $z$ -transform is useful for describing the input-output relation of an LTI system.

- Consider the causal LTI system described by a linear constant-coefficient difference equation

$$y[n] = - \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{a_k}{a_0}\right) y[n-k] + \sum_{k=0}^M \left(\frac{b_k}{a_0}\right) x[n-k]$$

with  $a_0 \neq 0$ . Let input  $x[n]$  and output  $y[n]$  be both causal. Taking  $z$ -transform, the equation becomes after applying linearity and time-shift properties

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y(z) &= - \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{a_k}{a_0}\right) z^{-k} Y(z) + \sum_{k=0}^M \left(\frac{b_k}{a_0}\right) z^{-k} X(z) \\
 \implies Y(z) &= H(z) X(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

with the system function of the causal LTI system given by

$$H(z) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^M \left(\frac{b_k}{a_0}\right) z^{-k}}{\sum_{k=0}^N \left(\frac{a_k}{a_0}\right) z^{-k}}$$

for  $|z| > r_R$  (since  $h[n]$  is causal).

Notes:

1.  $r_R$  is the magnitude of the pole of  $H(z)$  farthest from the origin.
2. If  $r_R < 1$ , all poles of  $H(z)$  locate inside the unit circle. Thus, the system is stable and the frequency response  $H(e^{j\omega})$  of the LTI system exists.

Recall that an LTI system is stable iff its impulse response is absolutely summable, i.e.,  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |h[n]| < \infty$ . Now, if the ROC of  $H(z)$  contains the unit circle, then  $h[n]$  is absolutely summable and this guarantees the system stability.

- Ex: First-Order Causal LTI System

Consider  $y[n] = ay[n-1] + x[n]$ . By inspection, the system function is given by

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |a|$$

$$\Leftrightarrow h[n] = a^n u[n].$$

### 3.8 The Unilateral z-Transform

- Defn: The unilateral  $z$ -transform of  $x[n]$  is defined and denoted by

$$\mathcal{X}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x[n] z^{-n}.$$

- Note:

1. Conventional  $z$ -transform is bilateral!
2. If  $x[n] = 0$  for  $n < 0$ , i.e., the sequence is causal, unilateral and bilateral  $z$ -transforms will be identical; and share the *same* properties.
3.  $\mathcal{X}(z)$  has a ROC with the form  $|z| > r_R$ . Thus, if  $\mathcal{X}(z)$  is a rational function,  $r_R$  is defined by the pole with the largest magnitude.

- Ex:  $x[n] = \delta[n]$

$\Rightarrow$  For unilateral  $z$ -transform,

$$\mathcal{X}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta[n] z^{-n} = 1 \quad \forall z$$

$\Rightarrow$  For bilateral  $z$ -transform,

$$X(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta[n] z^{-n} = 1 \quad \forall z.$$



- Ex:  $x[n] = \delta[n+1]$

$\Rightarrow$  For unilateral  $z$ -transform,

$$\mathcal{X}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta[n+1] z^{-n} = 0 \quad \forall z$$

$\Rightarrow$  For bilateral  $z$ -transform,

$$X(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta[n+1] z^{-n} = z \quad |z| < \infty$$

- Note: For  $x[n] \neq 0$ ,  $n < 0$ , i.e., any noncausal sequence,  $X(z)$  and  $\mathcal{X}(z)$  are different.
- Further Notes:

1. The time-shift properties for unilateral and bilateral  $z$ -transforms are different.

(a) For bilateral  $z$ -transform,

$$\begin{aligned} x[n] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} X(z) \\ x[n-m] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}} z^{-m} X(z) \end{aligned}$$

(b) For unilateral  $z$ -transform,  
let  $y[n] = x[n-m]$ ,  $m > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y[n] z^{-n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x[n-m] z^{-n} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n'=-m \\ (n'=n-m)}}^{\infty} x[n'] z^{-n'-m} \\ &= x[-m] + x[-m+1] z^{-1} + x[-m+2] z^{-2} + \\ &\quad \dots + x[-1] z^{1-m} + \left( \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} x[n'] z^{-n'} \right) z^{-m} \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^m x[-m+(k-1)] z^{-k+1}}_{\text{different from that of bilateral } z\text{-transform}} + z^{-m} \mathcal{X}(z) \end{aligned}$$

2. The linearities for both are, however, the same.

- Ex: Consider a system with (1) I/O relationship

$$y[n] - \frac{1}{2}y[n-1] = x[n] \quad \textcircled{*}$$

(2)  $x[n] = 1, n \geq 0$ , and (3)  $y[-1] = 1$ . We want to know the behavior of  $y[n]$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

Now, applying unilateral transform to both sides of  $\textcircled{*}$  yields

$$\mathcal{Y}(z) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \underbrace{y[-1]}_{\text{initial output}} + z^{-1}\mathcal{Y}(z) \right) = \mathcal{X}(z).$$

Since

$$\mathcal{X}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x[n] z^{-n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{-n} = \frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} \quad |z| > 1$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(z) &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} \left( \frac{1}{2}y[-1] + \frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} \right) \quad |z| > 1 \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} + \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}\right)(1 - z^{-1})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} + \frac{2}{1 - z^{-1}}}_{\text{partial fraction expansion}} \\ &= \frac{2}{1 - z^{-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{1 - z^{-1}} &\xrightarrow{\text{inverse unilateral } z\text{-transform}} 2u[n] \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} &\xrightarrow{\text{inverse unilateral } z\text{-transform}} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^n u[n] \\ \Rightarrow y[n] &= \left( 2 - \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{n+1} \right) u[n]. \end{aligned}$$

- Note: Unilateral  $z$ -transform is good for analyzing systems described by LCCD equations with *nonzero initial* conditions (i.e., noncausal output sequence).