

Recursive Definition

Recursive function definitions in mathematics are basically similar to recursive procedures in programming languages

A recursive definition always has two parts:

- Base case or cases
- Recursive formula

For example, the summation $\sum_{i=1}^n i$ can be defined as:

- $g(1) = 1$
- $g(n) = g(n - 1) + n$, for all $n \geq 2$

Both the base case and the recursive formula must be present to have a complete definition

The true power of recursive definition is revealed when the result for n depends on the results for more than one smaller value, as in the strong induction examples.

For example, the famous Fibonacci numbers are defined:

- $F_0 = 0$
- $F_1 = 1$
- $F_i = F_{i-1} + F_{i-2}, \quad \forall i \geq 2$

So $F_2 = 1, F_3 = 2, F_4 = 3, F_5 = 5, F_6 = 8, F_7 = 13, F_8 = 21, F_9 = 34$.

It isn't at all obvious how to express this pattern non-recursively.

Finding closed forms (1)

The simplest technique for finding closed forms is called “**unrolling**”.

For example, suppose we have a function $T : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T(1) &= 1 \\ T(n) &= 2T(n-1) + 3, \quad \forall n \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

The values of this function are $T(1) = 1$, $T(2) = 5$, $T(3) = 13$, $T(4) = 29$, $T(5) = 61$.

It isn't so obvious what the pattern is.

- The idea behind unrolling is to substitute a recursive definition into itself,
- so as to re-express $T(n)$ in terms of $T(n-2)$ rather than $T(n-1)$.
- We keep doing this, expressing $T(n)$ in terms of the value of T for smaller and smaller inputs,
- until we can see the pattern required to express $T(n)$ in terms of n and $T(0)$.
- So, for our example function, we would compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= 2T(n-1) + 3 \\
 &= 2(2T(n-2) + 3) + 3 \\
 &= 2(2(2T(n-3) + 3) + 3) + 3 \\
 &= 2^3T(n-3) + 2^2 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \\
 &= 2^4T(n-4) + 2^3 \cdot 3 + 2^2 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \\
 &\dots \\
 &= 2^kT(n-k) + 2^{k-1} \cdot 3 + \dots + 2^2 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= 2^k T(n - k) + 2^{k-1} \cdot 3 + \dots + 2^2 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \\
&= 2^k T(n - k) + 3(2^{k-1} + \dots + 2^2 + 2 + 1) \\
&= 2^k T(n - k) + 3 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (2^i)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we need to determine when the input to T will hit the base case.

In our example, the input value is $n - k$ and the base case is for an input of 1.

So we hit the base case when $n - k = 1$. i.e. when $k = n - 1$

Substituting this value for k back into our equation, and using the fact that $T(1) = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}T(n) &= 2^k T(n - k) + 3 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (2^i) \\&= 2^{n-1} T(1) + 3 \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (2^i) \\&= 2^{n-1} + 3 \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (2^k) \\&= 2^{n-1} + 3(2^{n-1} - 1) = 4(2^{n-1}) - 3 = 2^{n+1} - 3\end{aligned}$$

Finding closed forms (2)

The second technique for finding closed forms is using “induction” starting with a **guess or claim** for the solution.

Claims involving recursive definitions often require proofs using a strong inductive hypothesis.

For example, suppose that the function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by

$$f(0) = 2$$

$$f(1) = 3$$

$$\forall n \geq 1, f(n+1) = 3f(n) - 2f(n-1)$$

Claim $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n) = 2^n + 1$

Claim $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n) = 2^n + 1$

Proof: by induction on n .

Base: $f(0)$ is defined to be 2. $2^0 + 1 = 1 + 1 = 2$. So $f(n) = 2^n + 1$ when $n = 0$.

$f(1)$ is defined to be 3. $2^1 + 1 = 2 + 1 = 3$. So $f(n) = 2^n + 1$ when $n = 1$.

Induction: Suppose that $f(n) = 2^n + 1$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, k$.

$$f(k+1) = 3f(k) - 2f(k-1)$$

By the induction hypothesis, $f(k) = 2^k + 1$ and $f(k-1) = 2^{k-1} + 1$. Substituting these formulas into the previous equation, we get:

$$f(k+1) = 3(2^k + 1) - 2(2^{k-1} + 1) = 3 \cdot 2^k + 3 - 2^k - 2 = 2 \cdot 2^k + 1 = 2^{k+1} + 1$$

So $f(k+1) = 2^{k+1} + 1$, which is what we needed to show.

We need to use a strong induction hypothesis, as well as two base cases, because the inductive step uses the fact that the formula holds for two previous values of n (k and $k - 1$).