

MA302 Homework 5

WANG Duolei, SID:12012727

wangdl2020@mail.sustech.edu.cn

4.7 Solution

1. Necessary.

Considering

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(x(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

Derivate the equation with variable t , one can get

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)) \implies \dot{x} = f(x)$$

And $x(t) = x_0$ is also clearly.

2. Sufficient.

Considering integrate the equation, and use the initial condition, one can derive the formula

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(x(s))ds$$

4.8 Solution

According the conclusion in 4.7, one can get that the uniqueness of the solution is equivalent to the uniqueness of the integral from.

Considering an operator I by

$$I(x) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(x(s))ds$$

One can notice that

$$\begin{aligned} |I(x_1) - I(x_2)| &= \left| \int_0^t f(x_1(s))ds + \int_0^t f(x_2(s))ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^t |f(x_1(s)) - f(x_2(s))|ds \\ &\leq L \int_0^t |x_1 - x_2|ds \\ &\leq L \int_0^t \|x_1 - x_2\|_\infty ds \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\|I(x_1) - I(x_2)\|_\infty \leq LT \|x_1 - x_2\|_\infty$$

When $LT < 1$, the operator I is a contraction, thus has an unique fixed point. And the unique solution in any subinterval of $[0, T]$ has a condition $LT' \leq LT < 1$, which also means the unique solution exist. And use the initial condition x_0 , one can derive that the solution in any interval $[0, t]$ has the same formula, thus in the interval $[a, b] \subseteq [0, T]$.

5.4 Solution

Considering x is a point in $X - Y$, then there exist (y_i) such that $d(x, Y) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x - y_i\|$. As Y is a subspace thus closed, and there exist some subsequence such that (y_{i_k}) converges to a point $y \in Y$. Considering the sequence $\|x - y_{i_k}\|$, as $\|\cdot\|$ is a continuous function, is also converges. Thus $\|x - y_{i_k}\| \rightarrow \|x - y\| = \text{dist}(x, Y)$, with $y \in Y$.

5.6 Solution

Considering Y is a proper subspace of X . There exist $x \in X - Y$, which means that $\text{dist}(x, Y) = \varepsilon > 0$. Otherwise, if $\text{dist}(x, Y) = 0$, there exist $(y_n) \in Y$ such that $\|y_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$, what's more, existence of $(y_{n_k}) \rightarrow x$ implies x is a limit point of Y thus $x \in Y$, which is contradict to the hypothesis. Thus

$$\forall r > 0, \text{dist}\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}x, Y\right) = \frac{r}{\varepsilon}\text{dist}(x, Y) = r$$

5.7 Solution

Considering $(e_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a Hamel Basis of X , define $X_n = \text{Span}((e_i)_{i=1}^n)$. Considering y_i by $y_i \in X_i$ and choose $\text{dist}(y_i, X_{i-1}) = 3^{-i}$.

Thus $(y_i)_{i=1}^n$ is Cauchy but can't has a limitation in any X_n as

$$d(y_{n+k+1}, X_n) \geq 3^{-n} - \sum_{i=1}^k 3^{-(n+i)} \geq 3^{-n} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 3^{-(n+i)} = \frac{1}{2}3^{-n} > 0$$

Thus we got a contradiction.